

# Hypergraphon estimation error

Chanwoo Lee  
February 22, 2021

## 1 Theoretical guarantee of the estimation

We consider an undirected  $m$ -uniform hypergraph. The connectivity can be encoded by an adjacency tensor  $\{\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m}\}$  taking values in  $(\{0, 1\}^n)^{\otimes m}$ . The model is  $\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \mathcal{A}_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(m)}} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m})$  for any permutation  $\sigma$  for  $1 \leq i_l \leq n, l \in [m]$ , where

$$\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}).$$

$f$ : graphon.

Theta: discrete version of graphon

The sequence  $\{\xi_i\}$  are random variables from  $\text{Unif}[0, 1]$ . The function  $f$  assume to be symmetric such that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)})$  for any permutation  $\sigma$ . Since  $f$  is symmetric, it is enough to consider the domain only  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in [0, 1]^m : x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_m\}$ . Define the derivative operator by

Q: why do we need to introduce alpha-holder smoothness with alpha in (0, \infty)?

can we just restrict ourselves to Lipschitz condition with alpha in (0, 1)?

$$\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{\partial^{i_1 + \dots + i_m} f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{(\partial x_1)^{i_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{i_m}}$$

and the Hölder norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} = \max_{i_1 + \dots + i_m \leq \lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}} |\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{x})| + \max_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{y})|}{(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_1)^{\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor}}.$$

The Hölder class is defined by

$$\mathbf{w} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha(M) = \{\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \leq M : f \text{ is symmetric}\},$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is the smoothness parameter and  $M > 0$  is the size of the class. Notice that a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha(M)$ , satisfies the Lipschitz condition

alpha <= 1

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mu)| \leq M(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_1)^\alpha,$$

Do we require alpha <= 1 here?

Otherwise, the function f is a constant.

for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}$ . We assume that the hypergraphon  $f$  belongs to the function class:

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(M) = \{0 \leq f \leq 1 : f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha(M)\}.$$

For a given membership function  $z: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ , define the membership number function as  $h: [k]^m \rightarrow [n]^k$  such that  $h(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$  where  $h_i$  is the number of  $i$ -th membership from  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in [k]^m$  for  $i \in [m]$ . Given a tensor  $\Theta \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$ , we define a block average on the set  $z^{-1}(a_1) \times \dots \times z^{-1}(a_m)$  for  $a_i \in [k], i \in [m]$  as

any way to simplify the notation?

$$\bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} |z^{-1}(a)| |z^{-1}(a) - 1| \dots |z^{-1}(a) - h_a| + 1} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : i_\ell \in z^{-1}(a_\ell), \ell \in [m]} \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m}.$$

$\bar{\Theta}(\omega) = \{1 \text{ over constant}\} \sum_{\omega} \Theta(\omega)$  1 (omega and omega' are in the same block) We show that any hypergraphons in  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(M)$  can be approximated by the averaged block tensor.

**Lemma 1.** There exists  $z^*: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ , satisfying

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_m \in [k]} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : i_\ell \in (z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell), \ell \in [m]} (\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} - \bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z^*))^2 \leq CM^2 \left( \frac{m^2}{k^2} \right)^\alpha.$$

bar Theta: smooth version of Theta given block allocation.

Q: does Lemma 1 hold for any k?

*Proof.* Define  $z^*: [n] \rightarrow [k]$  by

$$(z^*)^{-1}(a) = \left\{ i \in [n]: \xi_i \in \left[ \frac{a-1}{k}, \frac{a}{k} \right) \right\}, \quad \text{for each } a \in [k].$$

Define  $Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^* = \{(u_1, \dots, u_m): z^*(u_i) = a_i \text{ for all } i \in [m]\}$ . By the construction of  $z^*$  for  $\xi_{i_\ell} \in [(a_{\ell-1} - 1)/k, a_\ell/k]$ , when  $|\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}| = m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - \bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z^*)| &= \left| f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} f(\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} |f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - f(\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} M \|(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - (\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m})\|_1^\alpha \\ &\leq CM \left( \frac{m}{k} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Similar results hold for the cases  $|\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}| < m$ . □

We estimate the hypergraphon  $\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m})$  by

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg \min_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}_k} \|\mathcal{A} - \Theta\|_F^2, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \{\Theta \in ([0, 1]^n)^{\otimes m}: \Theta = \mathcal{C} \times_2 \mathbf{M} \times_2 \dots \times_m \mathbf{M}, \text{ with a membership matrix } \mathbf{M} \text{ and a core tensor } \mathcal{C} \in ([0, 1]^k)^{\otimes m}\}.$$

Then we obtain the convergence rate for hypergraphon estimation with respect to the least square error.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\hat{\Theta}$  be the least square estimator from (1). Then, There exist two constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that,

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F^2 \leq C_1 \left( n^{\frac{-2m\alpha}{m+2\alpha}} + \frac{\log n}{n^{m-1}} \right),$$

with probability at least  $1 - \exp\left(-C_2 \left(n \log n + n^{\frac{m^2}{m+2\alpha}}\right)\right)$  uniformly over  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(M)$ .

*Proof.* First, we can find a block tensor  $\Theta^*$  close to true  $\Theta$  by Lemma 1. By triangular inequality,

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F^2 \leq \underbrace{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\|\Theta^* - \Theta\|_F^2}_{(ii)}.$$

Since we have already shown the error bound of (ii) in Lemma 1, we bound the error from (i). From the definition of  $\hat{\Theta}$  in (1), we have

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 \leq \|\Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2. \quad (2)$$

**Next question:**

1. current results are conditional on xi.

2. integrated error. that takes into account of both randomness in xi and y

$E_{\{X, \text{data involved in } \{ \text{that} \} \}} |\text{that } f(X) - f(X)|^2$

Combining (2) with the fact

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\Theta} - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 &= \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^* + \Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 \\ &= \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2 + \|\Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 + 2\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \Theta^* - \mathcal{A} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2 &\leq 2\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \mathcal{A} - \Theta^* \rangle \\ &= 2\left(\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \rangle + \langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \Theta - \Theta^* \rangle\right) \\ &\leq 2\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F \left( \left\langle \frac{\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*}{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle + \|\Theta - \Theta^*\|_F \right).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \text{ is the collection of membership matrices}\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*}{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle &\leq \sup_{\Theta' \in \mathcal{P}_k} \sup_{\Theta'' \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\langle \frac{\Theta' - \Theta''}{\|\Theta' - \Theta''\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{A} - \Theta$  is sub-Gaussian with proxy parameter  $\sigma^2 = 1/4$ . By union bound and the property of sub-Gaussian, we have, for any  $t > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F > t) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left| \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \right| + \|\Theta - \Theta^*\|_F \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left| \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \right| + Cn^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^\alpha \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}|^2 C_1^{k^m} \exp\left(-C_2\left(t - n^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^\alpha\right)^2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(2n \log k + C_1 k^m - C_2\left(t - n^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^\alpha\right)^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

For two universal constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ . The third line follows from [Phillippe Rigollet \[2015\]](#) and the fact that  $\Theta = \Theta(\mathbf{M}, \cdot)$  lies in a linear space of dimension  $k^m$ . Choosing  $t = n^{m/2}M(m/k)^\alpha + C\sqrt{n \log k + k^m}$  yields

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F \leq C_1 \left( \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{2\alpha} + \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m + \frac{\log k}{n^{m-1}} \right), \quad (3)$$

with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-C_2(n \log k + k^m))$ . Setting  $k = \lceil n^{\frac{m}{m+2\alpha}} \rceil$  to balance (3), completes the theorem.  $\square$

## 2 Discussion

Currently I am looking for the paper that guarantee the similar representation of exchangeable hypergraph. For exchangeable array such that  $\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \mathcal{A}_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(m)}}$ , It is known that there exists  $f: [0, 1]^n \times$

$[0, 1]^{\binom{n}{2}} \times \dots \times [0, 1]^{\binom{n}{n-1}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m}), \quad \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\alpha, \xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}, \xi_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, \xi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}).$$

[Austin et al., 2008]. I need to do more research for justification of modeling hypergraphon as

$$\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}).$$

## References

- Tim Austin et al. On exchangeable random variables and the statistics of large graphs and hypergraphs. *Probability Surveys*, 5:80–145, 2008.
- Jan-Christian Hitter Phillippe Rigollet. High dimensional statistics. *Lecture notes for course 18S997*, 2015.