

Hypergraphon estimation error

Chanwoo Lee
February 22, 2021

1 Theoretical guarantee of the estimation

We consider an undirected m -uniform hypergraph. The connectivity can be encoded by an adjacency tensor $\{\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m}\}$ taking values in $(\{0, 1\}^n)^{\otimes m}$. The model is $\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \mathcal{A}_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(m)}} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m})$ for any permutation σ for $1 \leq i_l \leq n$, $l \in [m]$, where

$$\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}).$$

The sequence $\{\xi_i\}$ are random variables from $\text{Unif}[0, 1]$. The function f assume to be symmetric such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)})$ for any permutation σ . Since f is symmetric, it is enough to consider the domain only $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in [0, 1]^m : x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_m\}$. Define the derivative operator by

$$\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{\partial^{i_1 + \dots + i_m}}{(\partial x_1)^{i_1} \dots (\partial x_m)^{i_m}} f(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

and the Hölder norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} = \max_{i_1 + \dots + i_m \leq \lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}} |\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{x})| + \max_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla_{i_1, \dots, i_m} f(\mathbf{y})|}{(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_1)^{\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor}}.$$

The Hölder class is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha(M) = \{f : \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \leq M, f \text{ is symmetric}\},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the smoothness parameter and $M > 0$ is the size of the class. Notice that a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha(M)$, satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq M(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_1)^{\alpha \wedge 1},$$

for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}$. We assume that the hypergraphon f belongs to the function class:

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(M) = \{0 \leq f \leq 1 : f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha(M)\}.$$

For a given membership function $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$, define the membership number function as $h : [k]^m \rightarrow [n]^k$ such that $h(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$ where h_i is the number of i -th membership from $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in [k]^m$ for $i \in [m]$. Given a tensor $\Theta \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\otimes m}$, we define a block average on the set $z^{-1}(a_1) \times \dots \times z^{-1}(a_m)$ for $a_i \in [k]$, $i \in [m]$ as

$$\bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} |z^{-1}(a)| |z^{-1}(a) - 1| \dots |z^{-1}(a) - h_a + 1|} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : i_\ell \in z^{-1}(a_\ell), \ell \in [m] \\ |\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}| = m}} \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m}.$$

We show that any hypergraphons in $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(M)$ can be approximated by the averaged block tensor.

Lemma 1. There exists $z^* : [n] \rightarrow [k]$, satisfying

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_m \in [k]} \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : i_\ell \in (z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell), \ell \in [m] \\ |\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}| = m}} (\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} - \bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z^*))^2 \leq CM^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{k^2} \right)^{\alpha \wedge 1}.$$

Proof. Define $z^*: [n] \rightarrow [k]$ by

$$(z^*)^{-1}(a) = \left\{ i \in [n] : \xi_i \in \left[\frac{a-1}{k}, \frac{a}{k} \right) \right\}, \quad \text{for each } a \in [k].$$

Define $Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^* = \{(u_1, \dots, u_m) : z^*(u_i) = a_i \text{ for all } i \in [m]\}$. By the construction of z^* for $\xi_{i_\ell} \in [(a_{\ell-1} - 1)/k, a_\ell/k]$, when $|\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}| = m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - \bar{\Theta}_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z^*)| &= \left| f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} f(\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} |f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - f(\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^m |(z^*)^{-1}(a_\ell)|} \sum_{(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in Z_{a_1, \dots, a_m}^*} M \|(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}) - (\xi_{u_1}, \dots, \xi_{u_m})\|_1^{\alpha \wedge 1} \\ &\leq CM \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^{\alpha \wedge 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar results hold for the cases $|\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}| < m$. \square

We estimate the hypergraphon $\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m})$ by

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg \min_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}_k} \|\mathcal{A} - \Theta\|_F^2, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \{\Theta \in ([0, 1]^n)^{\otimes m} : \Theta = \mathcal{C} \times_2 \mathbf{M} \times_2 \dots \times_m \mathbf{M}, \text{ with a membership matrix } \mathbf{M} \text{ and a core tensor } \mathcal{C} \in ([0, 1]^k)^{\otimes m}\}.$$

Then we obtain the convergence rate for hypergraphon estimation with respect to the least square error.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\hat{\Theta}$ be the least square estimator from (1). Then, There exist two constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that,

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F^2 \leq C_1 \left(n^{\frac{-2m(\alpha \wedge 1)}{m+2(\alpha \wedge 1)}} + \frac{\log n}{n^{m-1}} \right),$$

with probability at least $1 - \exp \left(-C_2 \left(n \log n + n^{\frac{m^2}{m+2(\alpha \wedge 1)}} \right) \right)$ uniformly over $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha(M)$.

Proof. First, we can find a block tensor Θ^* close to true Θ by Lemma 1. By triangular inequality,

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F^2 \leq \underbrace{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\|\Theta^* - \Theta\|_F^2}_{(ii)}.$$

Since we have already shown the error bound of (ii) in Lemma 1, we bound the error from (i). From the definition of $\hat{\Theta}$ in (1), we have

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 \leq \|\Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2. \quad (2)$$

Combining (2) with the fact

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\Theta} - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 &= \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^* + \Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 \\ &= \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2 + \|\Theta^* - \mathcal{A}\|_F^2 + 2\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \Theta^* - \mathcal{A} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F^2 &\leq 2\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \mathcal{A} - \Theta^* \rangle \\ &= 2\left(\langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \rangle + \langle \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*, \Theta - \Theta^* \rangle\right) \\ &\leq 2\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F \left(\left\langle \frac{\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*}{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle + \|\Theta - \Theta^*\|_F \right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \text{ is the collection of membership matrices}\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*}{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^*\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle &\leq \sup_{\Theta' \in \mathcal{P}_k} \sup_{\Theta'' \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\langle \frac{\Theta' - \Theta''}{\|\Theta' - \Theta''\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A} - \Theta$ is sub-Gaussian with proxy parameter $\sigma^2 = 1/4$. By union bound and the property of sub-Gaussian, we have, for any $t > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F > t) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left| \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \right| + \|\Theta - \Theta^*\|_F \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in ([0,1]^n)^{\otimes m}} \left| \left\langle \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')}{\|\Theta(\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{C}) - \Theta(\mathbf{M}', \mathcal{C}')\|_F}, \mathcal{A} - \Theta \right\rangle \right| + Cn^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{\alpha \wedge 1} \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}|^2 C_1^{k^m} \exp\left(-C_2 \left(t - n^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{\alpha \wedge 1}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(2n \log k + C_1 k^m - C_2 \left(t - n^{m/2}M\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{\alpha \wedge 1}\right)^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

For two universal constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. The third line follows from [Phillippe Rigollet \[2015\]](#) and the fact that $\Theta = \Theta(\mathbf{M}, \cdot)$ lies in a linear space of dimension k^m . Choosing $t = n^{m/2}M(m/k)^{\alpha \wedge 1} + C\sqrt{n \log k + k^m}$ yields

$$\frac{1}{n^m} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F \leq C_1 \left(\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{2(\alpha \wedge 1)} + \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m + \frac{\log k}{n^{m-1}} \right), \quad (3)$$

with probability at least $1 - \exp(-C_2(n \log k + k^m))$. Setting $k = \left\lceil n^{\frac{m}{m+2(\alpha \wedge 1)}} \right\rceil$ to balance (3), completes the theorem. \square

2 Discussion

Currently I am looking for the paper that guarantee the similar representation of exchangeable hypergraph. For exchangeable array such that $\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \mathcal{A}_{i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(m)}}$, It is known that there exists $f: [0, 1]^n \times$

$[0, 1]^{\binom{n}{2}} \times \dots \times [0, 1]^{\binom{n}{n-1}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m}), \quad \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\alpha, \xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}, \xi_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, \xi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}).$$

[Austin et al., 2008]. I need to do more research for justification of modeling hypergraphon as

$$\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = f(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_m}).$$

References

- Tim Austin et al. On exchangeable random variables and the statistics of large graphs and hypergraphs. *Probability Surveys*, 5:80–145, 2008.
- Jan-Christian Hitter Phillippe Rigollet. High dimensional statistics. *Lecture notes for course 18S997*, 2015.