

# Necessary condition for matrix-valued kernels

Miaoyan Wang, April 26, 2020

**Theorem 0.1** (Necessary condition). *Suppose  $\mathbf{K}: \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a function that takes as input a pair of matrices and produces a matrix. Let  $\{\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d}: i \in [n]\}$  denote a set of input matrices, and let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote an order-4  $(n, n, d, d)$ -dimensional tensor,*

$$\mathcal{K} = \llbracket \mathcal{K}(i, i', p, p') \rrbracket, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{K}(i, i', p, p') \text{ is the } (p, p')\text{-th entry of the matrix } \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'}).$$

*Then, the factorization  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_{i'})$  exists for some mapping  $\mathbf{h}$ , only if both of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *For every index  $i \in [n]$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{K}(i, i, :, : ) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is positive semidefinite.*
- (2) *For every index  $p \in [d]$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{K}(:, :, p, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive semidefinite.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $i \in [n]$  be a fixed index. For any vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathcal{K}(i, i, :, : ) \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{a} = \langle \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{a} \rangle = \|\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \geq 0$$

- (2) Let  $p \in [d]$  be a fixed index. We use  $[\cdot]_{(k,p)}$  to denote the  $(k, p)$ -th entry of the matrix. For any vector  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}^T \mathcal{K}(:, :, p, p) \mathbf{b} &= \sum_{ij} b_i b_j [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_j)]_{(p,p)} \\ &= \sum_{ij} b_i b_j \sum_k [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)]_{(k,p)} [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_j)]_{(k,p)} \\ &= \sum_k \left( \sum_i [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)]_{(k,p)} b_i \right) \left( \sum_j [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_j)]_{(k,p)} b_j \right) \\ &= \sum_k \left( \sum_i [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)]_{(k,p)} b_i \right)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Updated on April 29, 2020. Generalization of Mercer's theorem to matrix-valued predictors.

**Theorem 0.2** (Necessary and Sufficient condition (sample version)). *Suppose  $\mathbf{K}: \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a function that takes as input a pair of matrices and produces a matrix. Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d}: i \in [n]\}$  denote a set of input matrices, and let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote an order-4  $(n, n, d, d)$ -dimensional tensor,*

$$\mathcal{K} = \llbracket \mathcal{K}(i, i', p, p') \rrbracket, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{K}(i, i', p, p') \text{ is the } (p, p')\text{-th entry of the matrix } \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'}).$$

Then, the following two statements are equivalent:

- There exists a feature mapping  $\mathbf{h}(\cdot)$  over the input set  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'}) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_{i'})$ .
- The matrix  $\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd \times nd}$  is positive semidefinite. Here  $\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)}$  denotes the square unfolding of the tensor  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Remark 1.** Recall that earlier we have defined two types of kernel  $\mathbf{K}$ :

- Hadamard-product type:  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T)}_{d \text{ times}}$ .
- Matrix-polynomial type:  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T)^d$ .

Theorem 0.2 implies that the existence of feature mapping can be verified using the positive-definiteness of  $\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)}$ . Straightforward calculation shows that the Hadamard-product type kernel  $\mathbf{K}$  is valid whereas the matrix-polynomial type kernel is not.

*Proof of Theorem 0.2.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose  $\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd \times nd}$  is a positive semidefinite matrix. Then there exists a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (nd)}$  such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}. \quad (1)$$

We reshape  $\mathbf{A}$  into an  $(m, n, d)$ -dimensional tensor, and with a little abuse of notation, we still use  $\mathbf{A}$  to denote the resulting object. We define the feature mapping  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d} &\mapsto \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \\ \mathbf{X}_i &\mapsto \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{A}(:, i, :), \quad \text{for all } i \in [n]. \end{aligned}$$

The defined mapping satisfies that, for all  $i, i' \in [n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_{i'}) &= \mathbf{A}(:, i, :)^T \mathbf{A}(:, i', :) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(i, :, i', :) \\ &= \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from (1) and the third line follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1d}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{2d}, \dots, a_{nd})^T$  denote an arbitrary vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^T \mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)} \mathbf{a} &= \sum_{i, i', p, p'} a_{ip} \mathcal{K}(i, i', p, p') a_{i'p'} \\ &= \sum_{i, i', p, p'} a_{ip} [\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_{i'})]_{pp'} a_{i'p'} \\ &= \sum_{i, i', p, p'} a_{ip} \left( \sum_k [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)^T]_{pk} [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_{i'})]_{kp'} \right) a_{i'p'} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_k \left( \sum_{i,p} a_{ip} [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)]_{kp} \right) \left( \sum_{i',p'} a_{i'p'} [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_{i'})]_{kp'} \right) \\
&= \sum_k \left( \sum_{i,p} a_{ip} [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_i)]_{kp} \right)^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}_{(13)(24)}$  is positive semi-definite. □