

Duality and kernel algorithm

Chanwoo Lee, August 19, 2020

I derive dual problem based on concatenated map first and think bilinear map later.

1 Previous duality derivation in concatenated mapping

Primal problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \quad (1)$$

subject to $y_i \langle \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle \leq 1 - \xi_i$ and $\xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.

We can have equivalent problem introducing dummy variables $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

Primal problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i. \quad (2)$$

(1) and (2) are equivalent because “ $\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}}$ ” part force \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C} satisfy the constraint in (1). From (2), we can have dual problem.

Dual problem:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} \min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i. \quad (3)$$

From (3), we focus on “ $\min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}}$ ” part. We use alternating optimization fixing \mathbf{P} . Then, we derive first order condition on $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ fixing \mathbf{P} , and replace $(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ in terms of $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$. We have the following dual problem when we fix \mathbf{P} .

Dual problem:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_j) \mathbf{P} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

subject to $\sum_i y_i \alpha_i = 0$, and $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq c, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Notice that the constraint in (4) is from the first order condition on $(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$. When \mathbf{P} fixed, strong duality holds such that

$$\min_{\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} L(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} \min_{\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} L(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

where $L(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i$,
and \mathbf{P} is assumed to be fixed.

By the same way, we derived the dual problem when \mathbf{C} is fixed.

2 Issue in Section 4

We can not derive the dual problem (9) in the previous note (1).

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\mathbf{P}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_j) \mathbf{P} \rangle, \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_i y_i \alpha_i = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq c, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The dual problem of (1) is (3)

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} \min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i.$$

First, let “ $\min_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi}}$ ” reduced to “ $\min_{\mathbf{P}}$ ”. If we do not want to fix \mathbf{P} , then by the first order condition $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}, \\ \mathbf{P} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i (\mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{C})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i), \\ c\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\mu} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we plug the first and the second constraint into (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \max_{\mathbf{P}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_j) \mathbf{P} \rangle, \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_i y_i \alpha_i = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq c, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

However, \mathbf{P} also should satisfy the first order condition. Therefore, we cannot say (5) is equivalent to (3). Furthermore, when we do not use alternating algorithm where one of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{C} is fixed, there is no guarantee that the strong duality hold.

Numerically, The table is the values of (5) on each iteration which shows non-monotonic pattern.

3 Questions about bilinear mapping

1. In primal problem(Equation (1)) in the previous note, penalty part on function complexity is $\|\mathbf{C}\|_F^2$ not $\|\mathbf{P}_r \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_c^T\|_F^2$. I am not sure that the case where the penalty has $\|\mathbf{C}\|_F^2$ can be reduced to linear kernel case with low rank coefficient.

Iteration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Loss	44.18116	11.90551	49.82844	22.60086	41.06655	34.81242	62.36754

Table 1: Numerical result of loss function value on each iteration. Loss is calculated based on the loss in Equation (5)

2. In $\|\mathbf{C}\|_F^2$ case, the dual solution is

$$\max_{\alpha, \mu} \min_{\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c, \mathbf{C}, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{P}_r \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}_c^T \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i.$$

By the similar argument in previous section, we can derive dual problem when $\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c$ fixed

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{P}_r^T \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}_c, \mathbf{P}_r^T \Phi(\mathbf{X}_j) \mathbf{P}_c \rangle, \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \alpha_i \text{ and } y_i \alpha_i = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq c, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

However, \mathbf{P}_r and \mathbf{P}_c are not fixed, I cannot derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c, \mathbf{C}, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [(y_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{P}_r \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}_c^T \rangle - (1 - \xi_i))] - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \xi_i \\ & = \min_{\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \mathbf{P}_r^T \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \mathbf{P}_c, \mathbf{P}_r^T \Phi(\mathbf{X}_j) \mathbf{P}_c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$