Classification algorithm with matrix kernels

Miaoyan Wang, Aug 17, 2020

Notation:

- 1. $\mathbb{O}(d,r) := \{ \boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r} \colon \boldsymbol{P}^T \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{I}_r \}$, the collection of *d*-by-*r* matrices whose columns are orthonormal. When no confusion arises, I use the term "projection matrix" to denote either the matrix $\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{P}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ or the matrix $\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$.
- 2. $\mathcal{K}^{\text{row}}(i, j, \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}') := \langle \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i:}), \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}'_{j:}) \rangle$ denotes the value of row kernel evaluated at the vector pair, (*i*-th row of matrix \boldsymbol{X} , *j*-th row of matrix \boldsymbol{X}').
- 3. I sometimes use the shorthand $\mathcal{K}^{\text{row}}(i,j)$ to denote $\mathcal{K}^{\text{row}}(i,j,\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{X}')$, when the feature pair $(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{X}')$ is clear given the contexts. Note that $\mathcal{K}^{\text{row}}(i,j)$ can be calcualted without explicit feature mapping.
- 4. Similar convention for $\mathcal{K}^{\text{col}}(i, j, \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}')$.

1 Optimization formulation with bilinear mapping

Consider the bilinear mapping,

$$\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2} \to (\mathcal{H}_r \times \mathcal{H}_c)^{d_1 \times d_2}$$
$$\boldsymbol{X} \mapsto [\Phi(\boldsymbol{X})_{ij}], \quad \text{where } \Phi(\boldsymbol{X})_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\phi_c(\boldsymbol{X}_{i:}), \ \phi_r(\boldsymbol{X}_{:j})).$$

Primal problem:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{P}_r, \boldsymbol{P}_c} \min_{\boldsymbol{C}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{C}\|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i,
\text{subject to} \quad y_i \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{P}_c^T, \ \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \rangle \leq 1 - \xi_i \text{ and } \xi_i \geq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(1)

Parameters in the primal problem: $(\boldsymbol{P}_r, \boldsymbol{P}_c, \boldsymbol{C})$, where $\boldsymbol{P}_r \in \mathbb{O}(d_1, r_1)$, $\boldsymbol{P}_c \in \mathbb{O}(d_2, r_2)$, and $\boldsymbol{C} = [(\boldsymbol{c}_i^{\text{row}}, \ \boldsymbol{c}_i^{\text{col}})] \in (\mathcal{H}_r \times \mathcal{H}_c)^{r_1 \times r_2}$ is the low-rank "core matrix" consisting of linear coefficients.

The equivalent dual problem for (1) is

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{P}_r, \boldsymbol{P}_c} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{P}_c, \ \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{X}_j) \boldsymbol{P}_c \rangle,$$
subject to
$$\sum_i y_i \alpha_i = 0, \text{ and } 0 \le \alpha_i \le c, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(2)

The optimization (2) is also equivalent to

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}_{r},\boldsymbol{P}_{c}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \langle \boldsymbol{P}_{r}^{T} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c}, \ \boldsymbol{P}_{r}^{T} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{j}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c} \rangle, \\
\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i} y_{i} \alpha_{i} = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq c, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{r} \in \mathbb{O}(d_{1}, r_{1}), \ \boldsymbol{P}_{c} \in \mathbb{O}(d_{2}, r_{2}).$$
(3)

Our goal is to solve (3). The unknown parameters are (P_r, P_c, α) .

2 Algorithm for problem (3)

1. Update α , while holding (P_r, P_c) fixed.

Prepration: Let $\mathbf{W}^{\text{row}} = \mathbf{P}_r \mathbf{P}_r^T = \llbracket w_{ij}^{\text{row}} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_1}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{\text{col}} = \mathbf{P}_c \mathbf{P}_c^T = \llbracket w_{ij}^{\text{col}} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2 \times d_2}$ denote the row- and column-wise projection matrices, respectively.

We use kernel trick to solve for α without explicit feature mapping. Given the projections $(\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c)$, the optimization (3) is a stanard SVM with kernel $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$ defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}') = \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}) \boldsymbol{P}_c, \ \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}') \boldsymbol{P}_c \rangle
= (\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{col}}) (\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{row}} K^{\text{row}}(i,j)) + (\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{row}}) (\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{col}} K^{\text{col}}(i,j)).$$
(4)

Here I have used the shorthand $K^{\text{row}}(i,j)$ to denote the value of row kernel evaluated on the i-th row of X and j-th row of X'.

Remark 1 (Computational consideration). We can compute the summations in (4) without explicit loop. In particular, both identities hold: $\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{col}} = \|\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{P}_c\|_2^2$ and $\sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{\text{row}} K^{\text{row}}(i,j) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{K})$, where $\mathbf{K} \leftarrow [\![K^{\text{row}}(i,j,\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}')]\!]$ is a pre-stored matrix (or array, if we go through all possible feature pairs (\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}')).

2. Update P_r , while holding (α, P_c) fixed.

Denote the matrix $\mathbf{M} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \Phi(\mathbf{X}_{i}) \mathbf{P}_{c} \in (\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2})^{d_{1} \times r_{2}}$. The problem (3) reduces to

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}_r \in \mathbb{O}(d_1, r_1)} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{P}_c, \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_j) \boldsymbol{P}_c \rangle$$

$$= \max_{\boldsymbol{P}_r \in \mathbb{O}(d_1, r_1)} \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \boldsymbol{M} \rangle$$

$$= \max_{\boldsymbol{P}_r \in \mathbb{O}(d_1, r_1)} \langle \underbrace{\boldsymbol{P}_r \boldsymbol{P}_r^T}_{\text{rank-}r_1 \text{ projection}}, \underbrace{\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}^T}_{d_1 \text{-by-}d_1 \text{ p.s.d. matrix over } \mathbb{R}} \rangle. \tag{5}$$

By the property of low-rank projection (c.f. Lemma 1), the optimization in the last line has a closed-form solution,

 $P_r \leftarrow \text{top } r_1 \text{ eigenvectors of the matrix } MM^T.$

It remains to compute the matrix MM^T without explicit feature mapping. Write

$$\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{M}^{T} = \left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c}\right) \left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c}\right)^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \underbrace{\Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c} \boldsymbol{P}_{c}^{T} \Phi^{T}(\boldsymbol{X}_{j})}_{d_{1}\text{-by-}d_{1} \text{ matrix over } \mathbb{R}}.$$
(6)

The summand (6) involves the matrix of the type $\Phi(\mathbf{X}_i)\mathbf{P}_c\mathbf{P}_c^T\Phi^T(\mathbf{X}_j)$, for all feature pairs $(i,j) \in [n]^2$. Each of these matrices can be obtained without explicit feature mapping,

$$\begin{split} & \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i)\boldsymbol{P}_{c}\boldsymbol{P}_{c}^{T}\Phi^{T}(\boldsymbol{X}_j) \\ & = (\sum_{s,s'}w_{ss'}^{\text{col}}) \begin{bmatrix} K^{\text{row}}(1,1,\boldsymbol{X}_i,\boldsymbol{X}_j) & \cdots & K^{\text{row}}(1,n,\boldsymbol{X}_i,\boldsymbol{X}_j) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ K^{\text{row}}(n,1,\boldsymbol{X}_i,\boldsymbol{X}_j) & \cdots & K^{\text{row}}(n,n,\boldsymbol{X}_i,\boldsymbol{X}_j) \end{bmatrix} + \\ & \left(\sum_{s,s'}w_{ss'}^{\text{col}}K^{\text{col}}(s,s',\boldsymbol{X}_i,\boldsymbol{X}_j) \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where $K^{\text{row}}(s, s', \boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j)$ denotes the value of row kernel value evaluated on the s-th row of \boldsymbol{X}_i and s'-th row of \boldsymbol{X}_j , and likewise for $K^{\text{col}}(s, s', \boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_j)$.

3. Update P_c , while holding (α, P_r) fixed. Similar as step 2 but switching the role of rows and columns.

Lemma 1 (Best rank-r projection). Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a positive semi-definite matrix. Let $(\lambda_i, \mathbf{p}_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the i-th singular-value-singular vector pair of \mathbf{A} , and assume that eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ are sorted in non-increasing order. Consider an optimization problem specified as

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{O}(d,r)} f(\boldsymbol{P}), \quad \text{where} \quad f(\boldsymbol{P}) = \langle \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{P}^T, \ \boldsymbol{A} \rangle.$$

Then, the leading rank-r singular space of \mathbf{A} , denoted $\mathbf{P}^* = Span(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_r)$, optimizes the objective $f(\mathbf{P})$. In particular, $f(\mathbf{P}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i(\mathbf{A})$.

Proof. The positive semi-definiteness of A implies the existence of a symmetric matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that $A = B^2$. Furthermore, the singular values satisfy $\lambda_i^2(B) = \lambda_i(A)$ for all $i \in [d]$. Notice

that

$$f(\boldsymbol{P}) = \langle \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{P}^T, \ \boldsymbol{B}^2 \rangle = \|\boldsymbol{B}\|_F^2 - \|\underbrace{\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{P}^T)}_{\text{rank-}(d-r) \text{ approximation of } \boldsymbol{B}} \|_F^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2(\boldsymbol{B})$$

holds for all matrices $P \in \mathbb{O}(d,r)$. Therefore,

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{O}(d,r)} f(\boldsymbol{P}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i(\boldsymbol{A}),$$

where equality is attained if $P = \text{Span}(p_1, \dots, p_r)$.

3 Outputs

How to read off the decision function from the algorithm outputs?

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{new}}) = \langle \boldsymbol{P}_{r}^{T} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{new}}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c}, \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \boldsymbol{P}_{r}^{T} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}_{c} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{new}}), \boldsymbol{P}_{r} P_{r}^{T} \left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) P_{c} P_{c}^{T} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} y_{i} \left\{ \left(\sum_{s,s'} w_{ss'}^{\text{col}} \right) \left(\sum_{s,s'} w_{ss'}^{\text{row}} K^{\text{row}}(s,s',\boldsymbol{X}_{i},\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{new}}) \right) + \left(\sum_{s,s'} w_{ss'}^{\text{row}} \right) \left(\sum_{s,s'} w_{ss'}^{\text{col}} K^{\text{col}}(s,s',\boldsymbol{X}_{i},\boldsymbol{X}_{\text{new}}) \right) \right\}.$$

$$(7)$$

How to estimate the intercept in the primal problem?

$$\hat{b}_0 = \arg\min_{b_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{C} \|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - y_i f(\boldsymbol{X}_i) - y_i b_0)_+ \right\},\,$$

where $\|\boldsymbol{C}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{P}_c, \boldsymbol{P}_r^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_j) \boldsymbol{P}_c \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i(\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{M}^T)$, and $\lambda_i(\cdot)$ denotes the *i*-th eigenvalue of the matrix. The formula for $\|\boldsymbol{C}\|_F^2$ follows from the second line of (7) and the optimization (5).

4 Further thoughts

The dual optimization (3) yields a neater algorithm than previous approaches. Recall that, in the notes *0423.pdf and *0620.pdf, we have derived the alternating optimization algorithm for the

primal problem,

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}} \min_{\mathbf{C}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}^T \|_F^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i,$$
subject to $y_i \langle \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}^T, \Phi(\mathbf{X}_i) \rangle \leq 1 - \xi_i \text{ and } \xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n,$
where $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C}_r, \mathbf{C}_c), \ \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}_r, \mathbf{P}_c) \in \mathbb{O}(d_1, r) \times \mathbb{O}(d_2, r).$

$$(8)$$

The block variable P has explicit update, whereas the other block C has implicit update. Here we give a different perspective on the algorithm derivation. Notice that the primal problem (8) is equivalent to the dual problem,

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{P}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \langle \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{i}) \boldsymbol{P}, \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{j}) \boldsymbol{P} \rangle,
\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i} y_{i} \alpha_{i} = 0, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq c, \ i = 1, \dots, n,
\boldsymbol{P} = (\boldsymbol{P}_{r}, \ \boldsymbol{P}_{c}) \in \mathbb{O}(d_{1}, r_{1}) \times \mathbb{O}(d_{2}, r_{2}).$$
(9)

(For notational convenience, I will drop the column-wise projection P_c and consider row-wise projection P_r only. In such a case, $\Phi(X) \in \mathcal{H}^{d_1}$.)

Algorithm for optimization (9) over parameters (P, α) .

- 1. Update α holding P fixed. \Longrightarrow same as in the note *0620.pdf.
- 2. Update P holding α fixed.

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P} \leftarrow \underset{\boldsymbol{P} \in \mathbb{O}(d,r)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \boldsymbol{P}, \ \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_j) \boldsymbol{P} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{c.f. Lemma 1}}{=} \operatorname{top} \ r \ \text{singular vectors of matrix} \ \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{B}^T, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{B} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i)}_{2d_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that BB^T can be obtained without explicit feature mapping,

$$\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{B}^T = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i)\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i)\right)^T = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \Phi(\boldsymbol{X}_i) \Phi^T(\boldsymbol{X}_j).$$

As a by-product, the dual formulation (9) also justifies the same treatment to coefficients α , β in the previous algorithm.