Correction of Theorem

Chanwoo Lee, March 4, 2020

Using the notations in Correction,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\hat{\mathcal{Z}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}}) + (\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}})^T \nabla_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}})^T \nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\mathcal{Z}})(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}})$$

where, $\check{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma \mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}} + (1 - \gamma) \mathcal{Z}$.

• Score part,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial z_{\omega,\ell}} = \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega}=\ell\}} \frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f(z) - f(z')} \bigg|_{(z, z') = (z_{\omega,\ell}, z_{\omega,\ell-1})} - \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega}=\ell+1\}} \frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f(z'') - f(z)} \bigg|_{(z'', z) = (z_{\omega,\ell+1}, z_{\omega,\ell})},$$

This implies,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial z_{\omega,\ell}} \right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,\Delta}$$

Let us define $s_{\omega,\ell} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial z_{\omega,\ell}} \Big|_{\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}}}$ for all (ω, ℓ) . Based on the definition of $C_{\alpha,\beta,\Delta}$, $\nabla_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}}) = [s_{\omega,\ell}]$ is a random tensor whose entries are independently distributed satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}(s_{\omega,\ell}) = 0, \quad |s_{\omega}| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,Delta} \quad \text{for all } (\omega,\ell) \in [d_1] \times \dots \times [d_K] \times (L-1). \tag{1}$$

By lemma 6, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-C_1(\sum_k d_k + L - 1))$, we have

$$\|\nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}})\|_{\sigma} \le C_2 C_{\alpha,\beta,\Delta} \sqrt{\sum_k d_k + L - 1},$$
 (2)

where C_1, C_2 are two positive constants that depend only on K. Furthermore, note that $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{Z}) \leq (r+1,2)$, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}) \leq (r+1,2)$, so $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}) \leq 2(r+1,2)$. By lemma 3, $\|\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}\|_* \leq (2(r_{\max}+1))^{\frac{K}{2}} \|\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}\|_F$. Combining (15), (1) and (2), we have that, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-C_1(\sum_k d_k + L - 1))$,

$$|\langle \operatorname{Vec}(\nabla_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}})), \operatorname{Vec}(\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}) \rangle| \leq C_2 C_{\alpha,\beta,\Delta} \sqrt{(r_{\max} + 1)^K (\sum_k d_k + L - 1)} \|\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}\|_F.$$

• Hessian part,

For this part, I fail to find good conditions that make the following hold.

$$\sum_{\omega} \sum_{\ell} z_{\omega,\ell}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega}=\ell \text{ or } \ell+1\}} \to \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\ell} z_{\omega,\ell}^2 \mathbb{P}(y_{\omega}=\ell \text{ or } \ell+1).$$

(If we use CLT, we get trivial lower bound for $\sum_{\omega} \sum_{\ell} z_{\omega,\ell}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega}=\ell \text{ or } \ell+1\}}$). Instead, since we know $\nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\mathcal{Z}})$ is negative definite,

$$\lambda = \max_{\gamma \in [0,1]} \lambda_1(\nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\gamma \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{true}} + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{Z}))$$

where, λ_1 is the largest eigen-value. The strict log concavity of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Z})$ implies $\lambda < 0$ (: [0, 1] is compact). This implies that for any $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots d_K \times (L-1)}$, we have

$$z^T \nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\mathcal{Z}}) z \leq \lambda ||z||_F.$$

Therefore, we can bound the quadratic term.

$$\operatorname{Vec}(\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}})^T \nabla_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\mathcal{Z}}) \operatorname{Vec}(\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}) = \lambda \|\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\operatorname{true}}\|_F^2.$$

Based on the above arguments, we can have the following bound with high probability.

$$\|\mathcal{Z} - \mathcal{Z}^{\text{true}}\|_F \le \frac{cC_{\alpha,\beta,\Delta}^2(r_{\max}+1)^K}{\lambda^2} \left(L - 1 + \sum_k d_k\right).$$