# Supplements for "Tensor denoising and completion based on ordinal observations"

#### 1 Proofs

#### 1.1 Estimation error for tensor denoising

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We suppress the subscript  $\Omega$  in the proof, because the tensor denoising assumes complete observation  $\Omega = [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$ . It follows from the expression of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta)$  that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial \theta_{\omega}} = \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega} = \ell\}} \frac{\dot{g}_{\ell}(\theta_{\omega})}{g_{\ell}(\theta_{\omega})},$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial \theta_{\omega}^{2}} = \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \mathbb{1}_{\{y_{\omega} = \ell\}} \frac{\ddot{g}_{\ell}(\theta_{\omega})g_{\ell}(\theta_{\omega}) - \dot{g}_{\ell}^{2}(\theta_{\omega})}{g_{\ell}^{2}(\theta_{\omega})} \text{ and } \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial \theta_{\omega} \theta_{\omega}'} = 0 \text{ if } \omega \neq \omega', \tag{1}$$

for all  $\omega \in [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$ . Define  $d_{\text{total}} = \prod_k d_k$ . Let  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{total}}}$  denote the vector of gradient with respect to  $\text{Vec}(\Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{total}}}$ , and  $\nabla^2_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  the corresponding Hession matrix of size  $d_{\text{total}}$ -by- $d_{\text{total}}$ . Here,  $\text{Vec}(\cdot)$  denotes the operation that turns a tensor into a vector. By (1),  $\nabla^2_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is a diagonal matrix. Recall that

$$U_{\alpha} = \max_{\ell \in [L], |\alpha| \le \alpha} \frac{\dot{g}_{\ell}(\theta)}{g_{\ell}(\theta)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\alpha} = \max_{\ell \in [L], |\alpha| \le \alpha} \frac{\dot{g}_{\ell}^{2}(\theta) - \ddot{g}_{\ell}(\theta)g_{\ell}(\theta)}{g_{\ell}^{2}(\theta)} > 0.$$

Therefore, all entries in  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  are upper bounded  $U_{\alpha} > 0$ , and all diagonal entries in  $\nabla_{\Theta}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  are upper bounded by  $-L_{\alpha} < 0$ .

By the second-order Taylor's expansion of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Theta)$  around  $\Theta^{\text{true}}$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) + \langle \nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}})^T \nabla_{\Theta}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\Theta}) \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}),$$
 (2)

 $\check{\Theta} = \gamma \Theta^{\text{true}} + (1 - \gamma)\Theta$  for some  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\nabla^2_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\Theta})$  denotes the  $\prod_k d_k$ -by- $\prod_k d_k$  Hessian matrix evaluated at  $\check{\Theta}$ .

We first bound the linear term in (2). Note that, by Lemma 4,

$$|\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}), \text{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}})\rangle| \le ||\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}})||_{\sigma} ||\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}||_{*},$$
 (3)

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$  denotes the tensor spectral norm and  $\|\cdot\|_{*}$  denotes the tensor nuclear norm. Define

$$s_{\omega} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial \theta_{\omega}} \Big|_{\Theta = \Theta^{\text{true}}} \text{ for all } \omega \in [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K].$$

Based on (1) and the definition of  $U_{\alpha}$ ,  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) = [\![s_{\omega}]\!]$  is a random tensor whose entries are independently distributed satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}(s_{\omega}) = 0, \quad |s_{\omega}| \le U_{\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } \omega \in [d_1] \times \dots \times [d_K]. \tag{4}$$

By lemma 2, with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-C_1 \sum_k d_k)$ , we have

$$\|\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}})\|_{\sigma} \le C_2 U_{\alpha} \sqrt{\sum_{k} d_k},$$
 (5)

where  $C_1, C_2$  are two positive constants that depend only on K. Furthermore, note that  $\operatorname{rank}(\Theta) \leq r$ ,  $\operatorname{rank}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) \leq r$ ,  $\operatorname{so \, rank}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}) \leq 2r$ . By lemma 3,  $\|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_* \leq (2r_{\text{max}})^{\frac{K-1}{2}} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F$ . Combining (3), (4) and (5), we have that, with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-C_1 \sum_k d_k)$ ,

$$|\langle \nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}), \text{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}) \rangle| \le C_2 U_{\alpha} \sqrt{r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_{k} d_k} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F.$$
 (6)

We next bound the quadratic term in (2). Note that

$$\operatorname{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}})^{T} \nabla_{\Theta}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\Theta}) \operatorname{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}}) = \sum_{\omega} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\partial \theta_{\omega}^{2}} \Big|_{\Theta = \check{\Phi}} \right) (\theta_{\omega} - \theta_{\operatorname{true},\omega})^{2}$$

$$\leq -L_{\alpha} \sum_{\omega} (\Theta_{\omega} - \Theta_{\operatorname{true},\omega})^{2}$$

$$= -L_{\alpha} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}}\|_{F}^{2}, \tag{7}$$

where the second line comes from the fact that  $\|\check{\Theta}\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha$  and the definition of  $L_{\alpha}$ .

Combining (2), (6) and (7), we have that, for all  $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}$ , with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-C_1 \sum_k d_k)$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta) \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) + C_2 U_{\alpha} \left( r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_{k} d_k \right)^{1/2} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F - \frac{L_{\alpha}}{2} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F^2.$$

In particular, the above inequality also holds for  $\hat{\Theta} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\hat{\Theta}) \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) + C_2 U_{\alpha} \left( r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_{k} d_k \right)^{1/2} \| \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}} \|_F - \frac{L_{\alpha}}{2} \| \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}} \|_F^2.$$

Since  $\hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta), \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\hat{\Theta}) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta^{\mathrm{true}}) \geq 0$ , which gives

$$C_2 U_{\alpha} \left( r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_{k} d_k \right)^{1/2} \| \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}} \|_F - \frac{L_{\alpha}}{2} \| \hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}} \|_F^2 \ge 0.$$

Henceforth,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_k d_k}} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F \le \frac{2C_2 U_\alpha \sqrt{r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_k d_k}}{L_\alpha \sqrt{\prod_k d_k}} = \frac{2C_2 U_\alpha r_{\text{max}}^{(K-1)/2}}{L_\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k d_k}{\prod_k d_k}}.$$

This completes the proof.

*Proof of Corollary 1.* The result follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 6.  $\Box$ 

#### 1.2 Sample complexity for tensor completion

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let  $d_{\text{total}} = \prod_{k \in [K]} d_k$ , and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  be a constant to be specified later. Our strategy is to construct a finite set of tensors  $\mathcal{X} = \{\Theta_i : i = 1, \ldots\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  satisfying the properties of (i)-(iv) in Lemma 7. By Lemma 7, such a subset of tensors exist. For any tensor  $\Theta \in \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}$  denote the distribution of  $\mathcal{Y}|\Theta$ , where  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the ordinal tensor. In particular,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$  is the distribution of

 $\mathcal{Y}$  induced by the zero parameter tensor  $\mathbf{0}$ , i.e., the distribution of  $\mathcal{Y}$  conditional on the parameter tensor  $\Theta = \mathbf{0}$ . Based on the Remark for Lemma  $\mathbf{6}$ , we have

$$KL(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}||\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{0}}) \le C||\Theta||_F^2, \tag{8}$$

where  $C = \frac{(4L-6)\dot{f}^2(0)}{A_{\alpha}} > 0$  is a constant independent of the tensor dimension and rank. Combining the inequality (8) with property (iii) of  $\mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$KL(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}||\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{0}}) \le \gamma^2 R_{\max} d_{\max}. \tag{9}$$

From (9) and the property (i), we deduce that the condition

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{X}) - 1} \sum_{\Theta \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{0}}) \le \varepsilon \log \left\{ \operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{X}) - 1 \right\}$$
(10)

holds for any  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  when  $\gamma \in [0,1]$  is chosen to be sufficiently small depending on  $\varepsilon$ , e.g.,  $\gamma \leq \sqrt{3\varepsilon}$ . By applying Theorem 1.1 to (10), and in view of the property (iv), we obtain that

$$\inf_{\hat{\Theta}} \sup_{\Theta^{\text{true}} \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F \ge \frac{\gamma}{8} \min\left\{\alpha \sqrt{d_{\text{total}}}, C^{-1/2} \sqrt{R_{\text{max}} d_{\text{max}}}\right\}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - 2\varepsilon - \sqrt{\frac{16\varepsilon}{R_{\text{max}} d_{\text{max}}}}\right). \tag{11}$$

Note that  $\operatorname{Loss}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\text{true}}) = \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_F^2 / d_{\text{total}}$  and  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{P}$ . By taking  $\varepsilon = 1/8$  and  $\gamma = 1/2$ , we conclude from (11) that

$$\inf_{\hat{\Theta}} \sup_{\Theta^{\mathrm{true}} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}\left( \mathrm{Loss}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\mathrm{true}}) \geq \frac{1}{256} \min\left\{\alpha^2, \frac{C^{-1}R_{\mathrm{max}}d_{\mathrm{max}}}{d_{\mathrm{total}}}\right\} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{R_{\mathrm{max}}d_{\mathrm{max}}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. For notational convenience, we use  $\|\Theta\|_{F,\Omega} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Theta_{\omega}^2$  to denote the sum of squared entries over the observed set  $\Omega$ , for a tensor  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$ .

Following a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}(\Theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}(\Theta^{\text{true}}) + \langle \nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}, \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}})^T \nabla_{\Theta}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}(\check{\Theta}) \text{ Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}),$$
(12)

where

- 1.  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}$  is a length- $d_{\text{total}}$  vector with  $|\Omega|$  nonzero entries, and each entry is upper bounded by  $L_{\alpha} > 0$ .
- 2.  $\nabla^2_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}$  is a diagonal matrix of size  $d_{\text{total}}$ -by- $d_{\text{total}}$  with  $|\Omega|$  nonzero entries, and each entry is upper bounded by  $-U_{\alpha} < 0$ .

Similar to (3) and (7), we have

$$|\langle \nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}, \Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}} \rangle| \leq C_2 U_{\alpha} \sqrt{r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \sum_{k} d_k} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{F,\Omega}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}})^{T} \nabla_{\Theta}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\check{\Theta}) \operatorname{Vec}(\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}}) \leq -L_{\alpha} \|\Theta - \Theta^{\operatorname{true}}\|_{F,\Omega}^{2}. \tag{13}$$

Combining (12)-(13) with the fact that  $\nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \nabla_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y},\Omega}(\Theta^{\text{true}})$ , we have

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{F,\Omega} \le \frac{2C_2 U_\alpha r_{\text{max}}^{(K-1)/2}}{L_\alpha} \sqrt{\sum_k d_k}.$$
 (14)

Lastly, we invoke the result regarding the closeness of  $\Theta$  to its sampled version  $\Theta_{\Omega}$ , under the entrywise bound condition. Note that  $\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{\infty} \leq 2\alpha$  and  $\text{rank}(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}) \leq 2r$ . By Lemma 9,  $\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{M} \leq 2^{3(K-1)/2} \left(\frac{\prod r_k}{r_{\text{max}}}\right)^{3/2}$ . Therefore, the condition in Lemma 10 holds with  $\beta = 2^{3(K-1)/2} \left(\frac{\prod r_k}{r_{\text{max}}}\right)^{3/2}$ . Applying Lemma 10 to (14) gives

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{F,\Pi}^2 \le \frac{1}{m} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{F,\Omega}^2 + c\beta \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k d_k}{|\Omega|}}$$
$$\le C_2 r_{\text{max}}^{K-1} \frac{\sum_k d_k}{|\Omega|} + C_1 r_{\text{max}}^{3(K-1)/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k d_k}{|\Omega|}},$$

with probability at least  $1 - \exp(-\frac{\sum_k d_k}{\sum_k \log d_k})$  over the sampled set  $\Omega$ . Here  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  are two constants independent of the tensor dimension and rank. Therefore,

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta^{\text{true}}\|_{F,\Pi}^2 \to 0$$
, as  $\frac{|\Omega|}{\sum_k d_k} \to \infty$ ,

provided that  $r_{\text{max}} = O(1)$ .

### 1.3 Auxiliary lemmas

We begin with a set of technical lemmas that are useful for the proofs of the main theorems.

**Lemma 1** (Tomioka and Suzuki [2014]). Suppose that  $S = [s_{\omega}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  is an order-K tensor whose entries are independent random variables that satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}(s_{\omega}) = 0$$
, and  $\mathbb{E}(e^{ts_{\omega}}) \le e^{t^2L^2/2}$ .

Then the spectral norm  $\|S\|_{\sigma}$  satisfies that,

$$\|\mathcal{S}\|_{\sigma} \le \sqrt{8L^2 \log(12K) \sum_k d_k + \log(2/\delta)},$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

**Remark 1.** The above lemma provides the bound on the spectral norm of random tensors. The result was firstly presented in Nguyen et al. [2015], and we adopt the version from Tomioka and Suzuki [2014].

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $S = [\![s_\omega]\!] \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  is an order-K tensor whose entries are independent random variables that satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}(s_{\omega}) = 0, \quad and \quad |s_{\omega}| \le U.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathcal{S}\|_{\sigma} \ge C_2 U \sqrt{\sum_{k} d_k}\right) \le \exp\left(-C_1 \log K \sum_{k} d_k\right)$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is an absolute constant, and  $C_2 > 0$  is a constant that depends only on K.

*Proof.* Note that the random variable  $U^{-1}s_{\omega}$  is zero-mean and supported on [-1,1]. Therefore,  $U^{-1}s_{\omega}$  is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\frac{1-(-1)}{2}=1$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}(U^{-1}s_{\omega}) = 0$$
, and  $\mathbb{E}(e^{tU^{-1}s_{\omega}}) \le e^{t^2/2}$ .

It follows from Lemma 1 that, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$||U^{-1}S||_{\sigma} \le \sqrt{(c_0 \log K + c_1) \sum_k d_k + \log(2/\delta)},$$

where  $c_0, c_1 > 0$  are two absolute constants. Taking  $\delta = \exp(-C_1 \log K \sum_k d_k)$  yields the final claim, where  $C_2 = c_0 \log K + c_1 + 1 > 0$  is another constant.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  be an order-K tensor with Tucker rank $(A) = (r_1, \dots, r_K)$ . Then

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_* \le \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k r_k}{\max_k r_k}} \|\mathcal{A}\|_F,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_*$  denotes the nuclear norm of the tensor.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose  $r_1 = \min_k r_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{(k)}$  denote the mode-k matricization of  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $k \in [K]$ . By Wang et al. [2017, Corollary 4.11], and the invariance relationship between a tensor and its Tucker core [Jiang et al., 2017, Section 6], we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_{*} \le \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{k \ge 2} r_k}{\max_{k \ge 2} r_k}} \|\mathcal{A}_{(1)}\|_{*},\tag{15}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{(1)}$  is a  $d_1$ -by- $\prod_{k\geq 2} d_k$  matrix with matrix rank  $r_1$ . Furthermore, the relationship between the matrix norms implies that  $\|\mathcal{A}_{(1)}\|_* \leq \sqrt{r_1} \|\mathcal{A}_{(1)}\|_F = \sqrt{r_1} \|\mathcal{A}\|_F$ . Combining this fact with the inequality (15) yields the final claim.

**Lemma 4.** Let A, B be two order-K tensors of the same dimension. Then

$$|\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle| \leq ||\mathcal{A}||_{\sigma} ||\mathcal{B}||_{*}.$$

*Proof.* By Friedland and Lim [2018, Proposition 3.1], there exists a nuclear norm decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}$ , such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \sum_r \lambda_r \boldsymbol{a}_r^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_r^{(K)}, \quad \boldsymbol{a}_r^{(k)} \in \mathbf{S}^{d_k - 1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{for all } k \in [K],$$

and  $\|\mathcal{B}\|_* = \sum_r |\lambda_r|$ . Henceforth we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle| &= |\langle \mathcal{A}, \sum_{r} \lambda_{r} \boldsymbol{a}_{r}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{r}^{(K)} \rangle| \leq \sum_{r} |\lambda_{r}| |\langle \mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{a}_{r}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{r}^{(K)} \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{r} |\lambda_{r}| ||\mathcal{A}||_{\sigma} = ||\mathcal{A}||_{\sigma} ||\mathcal{B}||_{*}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.** Let X, Y be two discrete random variables taking values on L possible categories, with category probabilities  $\{p_\ell\}_{\ell\in[L]}$  and  $\{q_\ell\}_{\ell\in[L]}$ , respectively. Suppose  $p_\ell$ ,  $q_\ell > 0$  for all  $i\in[L]$ . Then, the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence satisfies that

$$KL(X||Y) \stackrel{def}{=} -\sum_{\ell \in [L]} \mathbb{P}_X(\ell) \log \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{P}_Y(\ell)}{\mathbb{P}_X(\ell)} \right\} \le \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \frac{(p_\ell - q_\ell)^2}{q_\ell}.$$

*Proof.* Using the fact  $\log x \le x - 1$  for x > 0, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{KL}(X||Y) &= \sum_{\ell \in [L]} p_{\ell} \log \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \left( \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} - 1 \right) (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell \in [L]} (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sum_{\ell \in [L]} (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell}) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\mathrm{KL}(X||Y) \le \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \left( \frac{p_{\ell}}{q_{\ell}} - 1 \right) (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \frac{(p_{\ell} - q_{\ell})^2}{q_{\ell}}.$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\mathcal{Y} \in [L]^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  be an ordinal tensor generated from the model (1) with the link function f and parameter tensor  $\Theta$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}$  denote the joint categorical distribution of  $\mathcal{Y}|\Theta$  induced by the parameter tensor  $\Theta$ , where  $\|\Theta\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha$ . Define

$$A_{\alpha} = \min_{\ell \in [L], |\theta| < \alpha} \left[ f(\theta + b_{\ell}) - f(\theta + b_{\ell-1}) \right]. \tag{16}$$

Then, for any two tensors  $\Theta$ ,  $\Theta^*$  in the parameter spaces, we have

$$KL(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}||\mathbb{P}_{\Theta^*}) \le \frac{2(2L-3)}{A_{\Omega}}\dot{f}^2(0)||\Theta - \Theta^*||_F^2.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the distribution over the ordinal tensor  $\mathcal{Y} = [\![y_\omega]\!]$  is induced by  $\Theta = [\![\theta_\omega]\!]$ . Then, based on the generative model (1),

$$\mathbb{P}(y_{\omega} = \ell | \theta_{\omega}) = f(\theta_{\omega} + b_{\ell}) - f(\theta_{\omega} + b_{\ell-1}),$$

for all  $\ell \in [L]$  and  $\omega \in [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$ . For notational convenience, we suppress the subscribe in  $\theta_{\omega}$  and simply write  $\theta$  (and respectively,  $\theta^*$ ). Based on Lemma 5 and Taylor expansion,

$$KL(\theta||\theta^*) \leq \sum_{\ell \in [L]} \frac{\left[ f(\theta + b_{\ell}) - f(\theta + b_{\ell-1}) - f(\theta^* + b_{\ell}) + f(\theta^* + b_{\ell-1}) \right]^2}{f(\theta^* + b_{\ell}) - f(\theta^* + b_{\ell-1})}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\ell=2}^{L-1} \frac{\left[ \dot{f}(\eta_{\ell} + b_{\ell}) - \dot{f}(\eta_{\ell-1} + b_{\ell-1}) \right]^2}{f(\theta^* + b_{\ell}) - f(\theta^* + b_{\ell-1})} (\theta - \theta^*)^2 + \frac{\dot{f}^2(\eta_1 + b_1)}{f(\theta^* + b_1)} (\theta - \theta^*)^2$$

$$+ \frac{\dot{f}^2(\eta_{L-1} + b_{L-1})}{1 - f(\theta^* + b_{L-1})} (\theta - \theta^*)^2,$$

where  $\eta_{\ell}, \eta_{\ell-1}$  fall between  $\theta$  and  $\theta^*$ , and  $\dot{b}_{\ell}$  falls between  $b_{\ell-1}$  and  $b_{\ell}$ . Therefore,

$$KL(\theta||\theta^*) \le \left(\frac{4(L-2)}{A_{\alpha}} + \frac{2}{A_{\alpha}}\right)\dot{f}^2(0)(\theta - \theta^*)^2 = \frac{2(2L-3)}{A_{\alpha}}\dot{f}^2(0)(\theta - \theta^*)^2,\tag{17}$$

where we have used Taylor expansion, the bound (16), and the fact that  $\dot{f}(\cdot)$  peaks at zero for an unimodal and symmetric function. Now summing (17) over the index set  $\omega \in [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$  gives

$$\mathrm{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}||\mathbb{P}_{\Theta^*}) = \sum_{\omega \in [d_1] \times \dots \times [d_K]} \mathrm{KL}(\theta_\omega||\theta_\omega^*) \le \frac{2(2L-3)}{A_\alpha} \dot{f}^2(0) \|\Theta - \Theta^*\|_F^2.$$

**Remark 2.** In particular, let  $\mathbb{P}_0$  denote the distribution of  $\mathcal{Y}|0$  induced by the zero parameter tensor. Then we have

$$\mathrm{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\Theta}||\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{0}}) \le \frac{2(2L-3)}{A_{\alpha}}\dot{f}^{2}(0)||\Theta||_{F}^{2}.$$

**Lemma 7.** Assume the same setup as in Theorem 4.2. Without loss of generality, suppose  $d_1 =$  $\max_k d_k$ . Define  $R = \max_k r_k$  and  $d_{total} = \prod_{k \in [K]} d_k$ . For any constant  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ , there exist a finite set of tensors  $\mathcal{X} = \{\Theta_i : i = 1, \ldots\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  satisfying the following four properties:

- (i)  $Card(\mathcal{X}) > 2^{Rd_1/8} + 1$ , where Card denotes the cardinality;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{X}$  contains the zero tensor  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\Theta\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \min \left\{ \alpha, \ C^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{Rd_1}{d_{total}}} \right\}$  for any element  $\Theta \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (iv)  $\|\Theta_i \Theta_j\|_F \ge \frac{\gamma}{4} \min \left\{ \alpha \sqrt{d_{total}}, \ C^{-1/2} \sqrt{Rd_1} \right\}$  for any two distinct elements  $\Theta_i, \ \Theta_j \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

Here  $C = C(\alpha, L, f, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{(4L-6)f^2(0)}{A_{\alpha}} > 0$  is a constant independent of the tensor dimension and

*Proof.* Given a constant  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ , we define a set of matrices:

$$C = \left\{ \boldsymbol{M} = (m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times R} : a_{ij} \in \left\{ 0, \gamma \min \left\{ \alpha, C^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{Rd_1}{d_{\text{total}}}} \right\} \right\}, \ \forall (i,j) \in [d_1] \times [R] \right\}.$$

We then consider the associated set of block tensors:

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}) = \{ \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \dots \times d_K} \colon \Theta = \boldsymbol{A} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{d_3} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{d_K},$$
 where  $\boldsymbol{A} = (\boldsymbol{M}| \dots |\boldsymbol{M}| \boldsymbol{O}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}, \ \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathcal{C} \},$ 

where  $\mathbf{1}_d$  denotes a length-d vector with all entries 1,  $\mathbf{0}$  denotes the  $d_1 \times (d_2 - R|d_2/R|)$  zero matrix, and  $|d_2/R|$  is the integer part of  $d_2/R$ . In other words, the subtensor  $\Theta(I, I, i_3, \dots, i_K) \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}$  are the same for all fixed  $(i_3, \dots, i_K) \in [d_3] \times \dots \times [d_K]$ , and furthermore, each subtensor  $\Theta(I, I, i_3, \dots, i_K)$  itself is filled by copying the matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times R}$  as many times as would fit.

By construction, any element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , as well as the difference of any two elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , has Tucker rank at most  $\max_k r_k \leq R$ , and the entries of any tensor in  $\mathcal{B}$  take values in  $[0, \alpha]$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}$ .

By Lemma 8, there exists a subset  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{B}$  with cardinality  $\operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{X}) \geq 2^{Rd_1/8} + 1$  containing the zero  $d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K$  tensor, such that, for any two distinct elements  $\Theta_i$  and  $\Theta_j$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\|\Theta_i - \Theta_j\|_F^2 \ge \frac{Rd_1}{8} \gamma^2 \min\left\{\alpha, \frac{C^{-1}Rd_1}{d_{\text{total}}}\right\} \lfloor \frac{d_2}{R} \rfloor \prod_{k \ge 3} d_k \ge \frac{\gamma^2 \min\left\{\alpha^2 d_{\text{total}}, C^{-1}Rd_1\right\}}{16}.$$

In addition, each entry of  $\Theta \in \mathcal{X}$  is bounded by  $\gamma \min \left\{ \alpha, C^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{Rd_1}{d_{\text{total}}}} \right\}$ . Therefore the Properties (i) to (iv) are satisfied.

**Lemma 8** (Varshamov-Gilbert bound). Let  $\Omega = \{(w_1, \ldots, w_m) : w_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ . Suppose m > 8. Then there exists a subset  $\{w^{(0)}, \ldots, w^{(M)}\}$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $w^{(0)} = (0, \ldots, 0)$  and

$$||w^{(j)} - w^{(k)}||_0 \ge \frac{m}{8}, \quad \text{for } 0 \le j < k \le M,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_0$  denotes the Hamming distance, and  $M \geq 2^{m/8}$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Tsybakov [2009]). Assume that a set  $\mathcal{X}$  contains element  $\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_M$   $(M \geq 2)$  such that

- $d(\Theta_j, \Theta_j) \ge 2s > 0, \forall 0 \le j \le k \le M;$
- $\mathbb{P}_i \ll \mathbb{P}_0, \forall j = 1, \dots, M, and$

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} KL(\mathbb{P}_j || \mathbb{P}_0) \le \alpha \log M$$

where  $d: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \mapsto [0, +\infty]$  is a semi-distance function,  $0 < \alpha < 1/8$  and  $P_j = P_{\Theta_j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1 \dots, M$ . Then

$$\inf_{\hat{\Theta}} \sup_{\Theta \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{P}_{\Theta}(d(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \ge s) \ge \frac{\sqrt{M}}{1 + \sqrt{M}} \left( 1 - 2\alpha - \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{\log M}} \right) > 0.$$

**Definition 1** (Ghadermarzy et al. [2019]). Define  $T_{\pm} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \{\pm\}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K} : \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{T}) = 1 \}$ . The atomic M-norm of a tensor  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  is defined as

$$\|\Theta\|_{M} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Theta \in tconv(T_{\pm})\}\$$

$$= \inf\left\{\sum_{\mathcal{X} \in T_{\pm}} c_{x} : \Theta = \sum_{\mathcal{X} \in T_{\pm}} c_{x} \mathcal{X}, \ c_{x} > 0\right\}.$$

**Lemma 9** (Ghadermarzy et al. [2019]). Let  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  be an order-K, rank- $(r_1, \ldots, r_K)$  tensor. Then

$$\|\Theta\|_{\infty} \le \|\Theta\|_{M} \le \left(\frac{\prod_{k} r_{k}}{r_{\max}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\Theta\|_{\infty}.$$

**Lemma 10** (Ghadermarzy et al. [2019]). Define  $\mathbb{B}_M(\beta) = \{\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K} : \|\Theta\|_M \leq \beta\}$ . Let  $\Omega \subset [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$  be a random set with  $m = |\Omega|$ , and assume that each entry in  $\Omega$  is drawn with replacement from  $[d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$  using probability  $\Pi$ . Define

$$\|\Theta\|_{F,\Pi}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{\Omega \in \Pi} \|\Theta\|_{F,\Omega}^2.$$

Then, there exists a universal constant c > 0, such that, with probability at least  $1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_k d_k}{\sum_k \log d_k}\right)$  over the sampled set  $\Omega$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m} \|\Theta\|_{F,\Omega}^2 \ge \|\Theta\|_{F,\Pi}^2 - c\beta \sqrt{\frac{\sum_k d_k}{m}}$$

holds uniformly for all  $\Theta \in \mathbb{B}_M(\beta)$ .

## References

- Shmuel Friedland and Lek-Heng Lim. Nuclear norm of higher-order tensors. *Mathematics of Computation*, 87(311):1255–1281, 2018.
- Navid Ghadermarzy, Yaniv Plan, and Özgür Yilmaz. Near-optimal sample complexity for convex tensor completion. *Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA*, 8(3):577–619, 2019.
- Bo Jiang, Fan Yang, and Shuzhong Zhang. Tensor and its Tucker core: the invariance relationships. Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications, 24(3):e2086, 2017.
- Nam H Nguyen, Petros Drineas, and Trac D Tran. Tensor sparsification via a bound on the spectral norm of random tensors. *Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA*, 4(3):195–229, 2015.
- Ryota Tomioka and Taiji Suzuki. Spectral norm of random tensors. arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.1870, 2014.
- Alexandre B Tsybakov. Introduction to nonparametric estimation, revised and extended from the 2004 french original, translated by Vladimir Zaiats, 2009.
- Miaoyan Wang, Khanh Dao Duc, Jonathan Fischer, and Yun S Song. Operator norm inequalities between tensor unfoldings on the partition lattice. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 520: 44–66, 2017.