

# Impacts of noise to convergence rates

Miaoyan Wang, Feb 5, 2021

Consider the signal plus noise model

$$\mathcal{Y} = \Theta + \mathcal{E},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  consists of mean-zero, independent (but not necessarily identical) noise entries, and  $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sgn}}(r)$  is an  $\alpha$ -smooth tensor.

Our earlier result requires bounded observation  $\|\mathcal{Y}\|_\infty \leq A$  for some constant  $A > 0$ . I believe this assumption can be relaxed to the following two assumptions.

**Assumption 1** (sub-Gaussian noise).

1. There exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$ , independent of tensor dimension, such that  $\|\Theta\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ .
2. The noise entries  $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$  are independent and sub-Gaussian, i.e,  $\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{E}(\omega)| \geq L) \leq Ce^{-L^2/\sigma^2}$  for  $L > 0$  and  $\omega \in [d_1] \times \cdots \times [d_K]$ . Here  $\sigma^2$  is the sub-Gaussian parameter.

Early result states that, under the strong boundedness assumption, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  (Is  $C$  linear or quadratic in upper bound  $\|\mathcal{Y}\|_\infty$ ?) such that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \geq Ct_n \right] \leq \exp(-Cnt_n), \text{ where } t_n \asymp \left( \frac{dr}{n} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \vee \frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Conjecture 1.** Under the Assumption 1, with very high probability (*polynomial tail or exponential tail?*)

$$\text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \leq t_n(\sigma \log d + \alpha).$$

**Sketch of proofs.** Let  $L = L(d, \alpha, \sigma)$  denote the scaling factor we aim to find. Divide the sample space into two cases:

- Case 1: Every tensor entry  $|\mathcal{Y}(\omega)| \leq L$ .
- Case 2: At least one entry  $\mathcal{Y}(\omega)$  such that  $|\mathcal{Y}(\omega)| > L$ .

We bound the tail event by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[ \text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \geq Lt_n \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \geq Lt_n | \text{case 1}) \mathbb{P}(\text{case 1}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \geq Lt_n | \text{case 2}) \mathbb{P}(\text{case 2}) \\ &\leq \exp(-Lnt_n) + 1 - \left[ 1 - C \exp(-(L - \alpha)^2/\sigma^2) \right]^{Kd} \\ &\lesssim \exp(-Lnt_n) + CK \exp(-(L - \alpha)^2/\sigma^2 + \log d). \end{aligned}$$

There are two competing considerations when choosing  $L$ . We want a small  $L$  in the MAE bound whereas a large  $L$  in the probability bound. A somewhat balanced  $L$  is to set  $(L - \alpha)^2/\sigma^2 \approx 4 \log d$ , i.e.  $L \asymp 2\sigma\sqrt{\log d} + \alpha$ . In this case, with probability at least  $1 - cd^{-c}$ , we have

$$\text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) \leq t_n(\sigma \log d + \alpha).$$

Several details need to fill in:

- Any changes to the algorithm? Perhaps change the range  $\pi \in [-1, 1]$  to  $\pi \in [-\alpha - 2\sigma \log d, \alpha + 2\sigma \log d]$ ? Should we also change  $H = H(\alpha, \sigma)$ ?

- Possible to obtain a sharper bound? Intuitively, the bound should be zero when  $\sigma = 0$ . The current bound has an additional  $\alpha$  term. Go through each step of earlier proofs, including sign tensor estimation and aggregation.
- How does the sign estimation bound depend on  $(\alpha, \sigma)$ ?
- The  $\text{MAE}(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta)$  increases with both noise *and* signal. Does it intuitively make sense?