Quiz 5

Quiz Rules: closed-book, closed-notes; no computers, no calculators, no phones; if you are working on papers, have only a pen/pencil on your desk; if you are working with digital devices, keep them in airplane mode; work independently for at most 90 minutes

On my honor, I pledge that I followed the Quiz Rules and I have neither given nor received unpermitted aid on this quiz.

signature: Chango print name: Chango lee start time: 4.40 end time: [0:40

Suppose that we observe

$$y = Xw^* + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has orthonormal columns and $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Consider the regularized optimization for estimating \mathbf{w}^*

for $p \neq 1$ or 2 and $\lambda > 0$.

1. Show/explain how the optimization problem above can be transformed into an equivalent optimization of the form

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 \ + \ \frac{\lambda}{p} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_p^p$$

and explain how \tilde{y} is related to y.

- 2. Let $\lambda = 0$.
 - (a) Give an expression for $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}$, the solution to optimization above, and
 - (b) Consider the prediction error for a new observation of the form $y = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}^* + \epsilon$, for arbitrary, fixed $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and independent noise $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Show that the expected squared prediction error

$$\mathbb{E}[(y - x^T \hat{w})^2] = ||x||_2^2 + 1.$$

(a)
$$\min_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\mathbf{z} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{z}} = (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{z} = 0$$

$$\lim_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\mathbf{z} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} \mathbf{w}$$

$$\lim_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\mathbf{z} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{w}$$

(b)
$$E(\hat{w}) = X^{E}X w^{x} = w^{x}$$

Var(\hat{w}) = I

$$\begin{aligned}
& = E(E^2) + E(x(wx-w) + e)^2 \\
& = E(E^2) + E(x(wx-x(w))^2 \\
& = 1 + E((x(w)-E(x(w))^2))^2 \\
& = 1 + Vor(x(w)) \\
& = 1 + x(x(w) + e)^2
\end{aligned}$$

- 3. Let $\lambda > 0$ and p = 2.
 - (a) Give an expression for $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}$, the solution to optimization above in this case, and
 - (b) Consider the prediction error for a new observation of the form $y = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w}^* + \epsilon$, as above. Derive an expression for the expected squared prediction error $\mathbb{E}[(y \boldsymbol{x}^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}})^2]$, and show that it reduces to the expression in the MLE case when $\lambda = 0$.

(a)
$$a \log \min_{w} \frac{1}{2} \| \chi^{2} - w \|^{2} + \frac{3}{2} \| w \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial L(w)}{\partial w} = W - \chi^{2} y + \lambda w = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} L(w)}{\partial w} = (1+\lambda) I \quad P.d^{2}$$
(b) $E(w) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \chi^{2} \chi^{2} \chi^{2} = \frac{1}{1+\lambda} w^{2}$

$$Var(w) = (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} I \quad E(\chi^{2} w) = \frac{1}{1+\lambda} x^{2} w^{2}$$

$$Var(x(w)) = (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} x^{2} x = (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} \| x \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$E((y - \chi^{2} w)^{2}) = E((\chi^{2} (w^{2} - w) + \varepsilon)^{2})$$

$$= E(E) + E(\chi^{2} (w^{2} - w))^{2}$$

$$= 1 + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \chi^{2} w^{2} - \chi^{2} w)^{2} + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} \| x \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= 1 + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \chi^{2} w^{2} - \chi^{2} w)^{2} + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} \| x \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= 1 + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} (\chi^{2} w)^{2} + (\frac{1}{1+\lambda})^{2} \| x \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= 1 + \| x \|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{if } reduces + 0 \text{ expression in } M = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \| x \|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{if } reduces + 0 \text{ expression in } M = 0$$

(continued on next page)

- 4. Let $\lambda > 0$ and p = 1.
 - (a) What value of λ would you suggest for this case and why?
 - (b) Suppose that \mathbf{w}^* has only k < n nonzero elements. Consider the prediction error for a new observation of the form $y = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}^* + \epsilon$, as above. Show that the expected squared prediction error can be bounded as follows

$$\mathbb{E}[(y - \boldsymbol{x}^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}})^2] \le (2 \log n + 1)(k+1) \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2 + 1$$
.

Hint: You may use the soft-thresholding result from class which states that the solution to the optimization in (2), for appropriately chosen λ , produces an estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}$ satisfying the bound

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{w}^* - \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}}\|_2^2] \leq (2\log n + 1)\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \min(|\boldsymbol{w}_i^*|^2, 1)\right).$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 + 3\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_1 \implies \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}}_i = Syn(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i) \max(|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i| - 3, 0)$$

$$\text{(a)} \quad \text{I sugget } \mathcal{D} = \sqrt{2\log n} \quad \text{because } \max\{\mathcal{E}_i| \approx \sqrt{2\log n}\}$$

$$\text{then we have } p(|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i| > 3 \mid \boldsymbol{w}_i = \delta) \approx 0$$

$$(\text{with the above fact } p(|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i| > 3 \mid |\boldsymbol{w}_i = \delta) \approx 0$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i| > 3 \mid |\boldsymbol{w}_i = \delta) \approx 1$$

$$\text{we can bound } \max\{0\} + \varepsilon^2\} = \mathbb{P}(n+1) + \varepsilon^2$$

$$= E([X+(W+-1)+e]^2) = E[(X+(W+-1))^2] + E(z^2)$$

$$= E([X+(W+-1)+e]^2) = E[(X+(W+-1))^2] + I$$

$$= E(X+(W+-1))^2 + I$$

$$\leq ||\chi||^2 \left(2 \log n + 1\right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min \left(1 \log^2 x_i^2, 1\right)\right)$$
 $\leq k \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min \left(1 \log^2 x_i^2, 1\right)\right)$

$$(x) \leq ||x||^{2} (2lgn+1) (1+k)$$

$$\therefore E((y-x+0)^{2}) = E[[x+w+0]^{2}] + 1$$

$$\leq ||x||^{2} (2lgn+1) (1+k) + 1$$