

Boundaries with Gaussian Width

Zhuoyan Xu, Jiaxin Hu

8.8 2019

1 Boundaries for unsupervised generalized model

Suppose $\mathbf{P}(Y = 1) = f(\Theta)$ and $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}$, where

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\Theta : \text{rank}(\Theta) = R_T, \|\Theta\|_\infty \leq \alpha\}.$$

Let $\hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta)$ where $\mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta)$ is the log-likelihood of parameter Θ . Then we have:

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F \leq \frac{2L_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha} \sup_{\mu \in \frac{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'}{|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'|}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mu \rangle$$

where every entry of \mathcal{E} i.i.d follows $sG(1)$. L_α and γ_α are defined in the paper *Wang 2019*.

Proof: Apply Taylor Expansion of the log-likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\Theta}) = \mathcal{L}(\Theta_{true}) + \langle \mathcal{S}_Y(\Theta_{true}), \Theta - \Theta_{true} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{vec}(\Theta - \Theta_{true})^T \mathcal{H}_Y(\tilde{\Theta}) \text{vec}(\Theta - \Theta_{true})$$

Then according to $\mathcal{L}_Y(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta_{true})$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \mathcal{S}_Y(\Theta_{true}), \Theta - \Theta_{true} \rangle - \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2} \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F^2 \\ \|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F &\leq \frac{2L_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha} \sup \langle L_\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{S}_Y(\Theta_{true}), \frac{\Theta - \Theta_{true}}{\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F} \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{2L_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha} \sup_{\mu \in \frac{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'}{|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'|}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mu \rangle \end{aligned}$$

2 Boundaries for semi-supervised generalized model

2.1 Using RIP property

Consider we have an extra covariate matrix $X^{d_1 \times p}$ (accounting for features), which contains the information of countries. We want to connect the membership matrix (or factor matrix) A and B with the information in tensor X .

The general form is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit} \{E[\mathcal{Y}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_K}]\} &= \Theta = \mathcal{G}^{r_1 r_2 \dots r_K} \times_1 W^{d_1 r_1} \times_2 N_2^{d_2 r_2} \dots \times_K N_K^{d_K r_K} \\ W^{d_1 r_1} &= X^{d_1 p} N_1^{p r_1} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{G} is the low rank core tensor of factorization. W, N_2, \dots, N_K are factor matrices. N_1 is the regression coefficient matrix for X on W . Under this scenario, $p > d_1$.

We can write down the model in another view, which helps to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= \mathcal{C} \times_1 X^{d_1 p} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{G}^{r_1 r_2 \dots r_K} \times_1 N_1^{d_1 r_1} \times_2 N_2^{d_2 r_2} \dots \times_K N_K^{d_K r_K} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C} is tensor with tucker rank (r_1, \dots, r_K) .

Definition (Restricted Isometry Property). The isometry constant of X is the smallest number δ_R such as the following holds for all \mathcal{C} with Tucker rank at most $R = \max\{r_1, \dots, r_K\}$.

$$(1 - \delta_R) \|\mathcal{C}\|_F^2 \leq \|\mathcal{C} \times_1 X\|_F^2 \leq (1 + \delta_R) \|\mathcal{C}\|_F^2$$

Thus:

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_R} \|(\hat{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}) \times_1 X\|_F^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \delta_R} \|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta)\|_F^2$$

Combined with our conclusion in Unsupervised setting, we have:

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{C}} - \mathcal{C}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta_R} 2C_2 \frac{L_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha} \sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{K-1} r_k \sum_{k=1}^K d_k}$$

2.2 Without using RIP

Suppose $\mathbf{P}(Y = 1) = f(\Theta \times_1 X)$, $X \in R^{d_1 \times p}$ is the covariate matrix (n \times p) and $\text{rank}(X) = p$. $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}^*$, where

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\Theta : \text{rank}(\Theta) = R_T, \|\Theta\|_\infty \leq \alpha\}$$

$$\Theta \times_1 X \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \Theta \in \mathcal{P}^*$$

Let $\hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}^*} \mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta)$ where $\mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta)$ is the log-likelihood of parameter Θ . Then we have:

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F \leq \frac{2L_\alpha \kappa(X)}{\gamma_\alpha \|X\|_2} \sup_{\mu \in \frac{\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'}{|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'|}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mu \rangle$$

where every entry of \mathcal{E} i.i.d follows $sG(1)$. L_α and γ_α are defined in the paper Wang 2019; $\kappa(X)$ is the condition number of X ; $\|X\|_2$ is the spectral norm of X .

Proof: It is obvious that:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta) = \sum [I_{Y=1} \log(f(\Theta \times_1 X)) + I_{Y=0} \log(1 - f(\Theta \times_1 X))]$$

Define:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\Theta^*) = \sum [I_{Y=1} \log(f(\Theta^*)) + I_{Y=0} \log(1 - f(\Theta^*))]$$

Due the MLE $\hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}^*} \mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_Y(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_Y(\Theta_{true}) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\hat{\Theta} \times_1 X) \geq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X)$$

Define $\mathcal{S}_Y^* = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y'$, $\mathcal{H}_Y^* = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y''$. Apply Taylor Expansion on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\hat{\Theta} \times_1 X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\hat{\Theta} \times_1 X) &= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_Y(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X) + \langle \mathcal{S}_Y^*(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X), (\Theta - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{vec}((\Theta - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X)^T \mathcal{H}_Y^*(\tilde{\Theta} \times_1 X) \text{vec}((\Theta - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X) \end{aligned}$$

Because $\Theta \times_1 X \in \mathcal{P}$, using the notation in unsupervised case:

$$\mathcal{S}_Y^*(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X) \leq L_\alpha; \quad \mathcal{H}_Y^*(\tilde{\Theta} \times_1 X) \leq -\gamma_\alpha$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \mathcal{S}_Y^*(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X), (\Theta - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X \rangle - \frac{\gamma_\alpha}{2} \|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X\|_F^2 \\ \|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X\|_F &\leq \frac{2L_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha} \langle L_\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{S}_Y^*(\Theta_{true} \times_1 X), \frac{(\Theta - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X}{\|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X\|_F} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

According to the **Theorem 6** in *Bo Jiang, et al 2016*, we have:

$$c\|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true})\|_F \leq \|(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}) \times_1 X\|_F$$

where $c = \|X\|_2/\kappa(X)$ in our setting. That would lead to:

$$\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta_{true}\|_F \leq \frac{2L_\alpha\kappa(X)}{\gamma_\alpha\|X\|_2} \sup_{\mu \in \frac{\mathcal{P}-\mathcal{P}'}{|\mathcal{P}-\mathcal{P}'|}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mu \rangle.$$

3 Algorithm Simulation

When we conduct the constrained optimization in unsupervised model, we implement the algorithm in the paper you assigned us [*1-Bit Matrix Completion under Exact Low-Rank Constraint*]. The object function is the cross entropy plus penalty. And we found it must be solved by some solvers in R instead of GLM. And the log-Likelihood is not non-decreasing. We're still working on it.