## Statistical analysis of low-rank binary tensor regression

Miaoyan Wang, first draft on 08/13, updated on 08/21

## 1 Preliminaries

We use lower-case letters (a, b, ...) for scalars and vectors, upper-case boldface letters  $(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, ...)$  for matrices, and calligraphy letter  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, ...)$  for tensors of order 3 or greater. Let  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  denote an order-K  $(d_1, ..., d_K)$ -dimensional tensor. We say that an event A occurs "with very high probability" if  $\mathbb{P}(A)$  tends to 1 faster than any polynomial of  $d_{\min} = \min\{d_1, ..., d_K\}$ . We use  $S^{d-1} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 = 1\}$  to denote the Euclidean sphere in dimension d.

**Property 1.** Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$  be a full-rank matrix, where  $\operatorname{rank}(X) = p \leq d$ . The SVD of X can be expressed as  $X = P\Delta Q^T$ , where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  consist of, respectively, the left and right singular vectors, and  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is the diagonal matrix consisting of non-zero singular values. The following properties hold:

1. 
$$(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1/2} = \mathbf{Q} \Delta^{-1}$$
.

2. Let 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}$$
. Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{P}$ .

3. 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{X} = \Delta \mathbf{Q}^T$$
.

## 2 Results

Suppose we observe an order-K binary tensor  $\mathcal{Y} \in \{0,1\}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$ , along with a set of covariate matrices  $\mathbf{X}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times p_k}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, K$ . Consider a tensor regression model:

$$logit(\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{Y})) = \mathcal{B} \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K, \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times \cdots \times p_K}$  is a coefficient tensor of interest. Furthermore, the tensor  $\mathcal{B}$  is assumed to (i) be entrywise bounded, and (ii) admit a low-rank Tucker decomposition; that is, rank $(\mathcal{B}) = r \equiv (r_1, \ldots, r_K)^T$ , where  $r_k \leq p_k \leq d_k$ . The parameter space we consider is

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{r}, \alpha) = \{ \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times \dots \times p_K} : \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \boldsymbol{r}, \text{ and } \|\mathcal{B}\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha \}.$$

In the following analysis, we assume both the multilinear rank r and entrywise bound  $\alpha$  are known. The adaptation of unknown rank will be addressed in the next note.

**Remark 1.** Model (1) incorporates the following examples as special cases:

(1) Binary tensor decomposition. In the absence of side information, set  $X = I_k$  to be identity matrix and  $p_k = d_k$  for k = 1, ..., K. Then the model (1) reduces to unsupervised binary tensor

decomposition.

(2) Network link prediction model. Suppose K = 2 and  $X_1 = X_2$ . Then the model (1) reduced to the matrix logistic model [Baldin and Berthet, 2018] that is commonly used in the network analysis:

$$logit(\mathbb{E}(Y)) = X^T B X$$
, where  $rank(B) \le r$ .

(3) **Semi-supervised decomposition**. Suppose the covariate information is available only for a subset of modes. Without loss of generality, suppose the covariates  $X_k \neq I$  are available in modes  $1, \ldots, L$ , where L < K. Then the model (1) reduces to a semi-supervised decomposition model:

$$\operatorname{logit}(\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{Y})) = \underbrace{\mathcal{B}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times \dots \times p_L \times d_{L+1} \times \dots \times d_K}} \times_1 \underbrace{X_1}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times p_1}} \times_2 \dots \times_L \underbrace{X_L}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{d_L \times p_L}}.$$

For parsimony, we do not distinguish modes with available side information from those without side information. We focus on the general tensor regression model (1) with mild assumption on  $\{X_k\}$ . Specifically, the covariates  $\{X_k\}$  are assumed to satisfy the following restricted isometry property (RIP) assumption.

**Assumption 1** (Restricted Isometry Property). Let  $d = \prod_k d_k$ . The covariates  $\{X_k\}$  are called to satisfy the RIP condition if there exists a positive constant  $\delta_{r,\alpha} \in (0,1)$  such that

$$d(1 - \delta_{r,\alpha}) \|\mathcal{B}\|_F^2 \le \|\mathcal{B} \times_1 \boldsymbol{X}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \boldsymbol{X}_K\|_F^2 \le d(1 + \delta_{r,\alpha}) \|\mathcal{B}\|_F^2,$$

holds for all tensors  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{P}(r, \alpha)$  in the parameter space.

**Remark 2.** The RIP assumption requires the covariates at each of the modes are nearly orthonormal, at least when restricted to the desired parameter space.

**Proposition 1** (Random design). Suppose  $X_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times p_k}$  consists of i.i.d. standard Gaussian entries for k = 1, ..., K. Then with very high probability,  $X_k$  satisfies the RIP condition with  $\delta = 2$ .

**Theorem 1** (Main Results). Consider a tensor regression model (1) with  $\mathcal{Y} \in \{0,1\}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  the response and  $\mathbf{X}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times p_k}$  the mode-k covariates. Let  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{MLE}$  be the restricted rank- $\mathbf{r}$  maximum likelihood estimate of the coefficient tensor, where  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_K)'$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{MLE} = \mathop{\arg\min}_{\mathcal{B}: \; rank(\mathcal{B}) = \boldsymbol{r}, \|\mathcal{B}\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha} Log\text{-}lik \; (\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{Y}, \{\boldsymbol{X}_k\}).$$

Suppose the covariates  $X_k$  are full rank and satisfy the RIP condition with RIP constant  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . Then, with very high probability,

$$\left\|\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{MLE} - \mathcal{B}_{true}\right\|_{F} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha}}{\prod_{k} d_{k}} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \delta_{2\boldsymbol{r},2\alpha})}{(1 - \delta_{2\boldsymbol{r},2\alpha})^{2}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} r_{k}}{r_{\max}}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{k},$$

where  $C_{\alpha} > 0$  is a constant independent of the tensor dimension or rank.

**Theorem 2** (KL-Divergence and Hellinger Loss). See Zhuoyan's note "Evidence theory on prediction error" (08/09) and Jiaxin's note "Boundaries for different prediction error metrics" (08/09).

## 3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. Following the similar argument as in [Wang and Li, 2019], we have Log-lik( $\mathcal{B}_{true}$ )  $\leq$  Log-lik( $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{MLE}$ ). By Taylor expansion,

$$\|(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}) \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K\|_F^2 \le C_\alpha \langle \mathcal{S}, (\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}) \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  is a random tensor consisting of i.i.d. bounded random entries. Applying the RIP condition to  $(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}) \in \mathcal{P}(2r, 2\alpha)$  in the inequality (2) yields

$$(1 - \delta_{2\mathbf{r},2\alpha}) \| (\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}) \|_F^2$$

$$\leq \| (\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}) \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K \|_F^2$$

$$\leq C_\alpha \times \| \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \|_F \times \sqrt{(1 + \delta_{2\mathbf{r},2\alpha}) \frac{\prod_k r_k}{r_{\text{max}}} \sum_k p_k},$$

where the last line uses the Lemma 2. Therefore,

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{MLE}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F \le C_{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \delta_{2\boldsymbol{r},2\alpha})}{(1 - \delta_{2\boldsymbol{r},2\alpha})^2} \frac{\prod_k r_k}{r_{\text{max}}} \sum_k p_k}.$$

**Lemma 1.** Suppose the matrices  $\{X_k\}$  satisfy the RIP condition with constant  $\delta_{r,\alpha} \in (0,1)$ . Then the matrices  $\{\tilde{X}_k^T X_k\}$  also satisfy the RIP condition with the same RIP constant.

*Proof.* Let  $X_k = P_k \Delta_k Q_k^T$  be the SVD of  $X_k$ , and by Property 1,  $\tilde{X}_k^T X_k = \Delta_k Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times p_k}$ . Note that the F-norm is invariant under orthonormal transformation. Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{B} \times_1 \boldsymbol{X}_1 \times_2 \dots \times_K \boldsymbol{X}_K\|_F = \|\mathcal{B} \times_1 (\boldsymbol{P}_1 \Delta_1 \boldsymbol{Q}_1^T) \times_2 \dots \times_K (\boldsymbol{P}_K \Delta_K \boldsymbol{Q}_K^T)\|_F$$

$$= \|\mathcal{B} \times_1 (\Delta_1 \boldsymbol{Q}^T) \times_2 \dots \times_K (\Delta_K \boldsymbol{Q}^T)\|_F$$

$$= \|\mathcal{B} \times_1 (\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_1 \boldsymbol{X}_1^T)^{1/2} \times_2 \dots \times_K (\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_K \boldsymbol{X}_K)^{1/2}\|_F.$$

The proof is complete by invoking the Assumption 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{P}(r, \alpha)$  be a fixed tensor in the parameter space  $\mathcal{P}(r, \alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times \cdots \times d_K}$  be a random tensor with i.i.d. bounded random entries. Suppose  $\{X_k\}$  satisfy the RIP condition with

RIP constant  $\delta_{r,\alpha}$ . Then, with very high probability,

$$\langle \mathcal{S}, \ \mathcal{B} \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K \rangle \leq \|\mathcal{B}\|_F \times \sqrt{(1 + \delta_{r,\alpha}) \frac{\prod_{k=1}^K r_k}{r_{\max}} \sum_{k=1}^K p_k}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{X}_k = X_k (X_k^T X_k)^{-1/2} = P_k$ , where  $P_k$  consists of left singular vectors of X. By the definition of inner product,

$$\langle \mathcal{S}, \ \mathcal{B} \times_{1} \mathbf{X}_{1} \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} \mathbf{X}_{K} \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \underbrace{\mathcal{S} \times_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1}^{T} \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{K}^{T}}_{:=\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{1} \times \cdots \times p_{K}} \text{ is a sub-Gaussian}(1) \text{ tensor by Lemma 3}} , \ \mathcal{B} \times_{1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{1}) \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{K}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{K}) \right\rangle.$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{E}\|_{\sigma} \times \left\| \mathcal{B} \times_{1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{1}) \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{K}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{K}) \right\|_{*}$$

$$\leq \|\mathcal{E}\|_{\sigma} \times \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{k} r_{k}}{r_{\max}}} \times \left\| \mathcal{B} \times_{1} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{1}) \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{K}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{K}) \right\|_{F}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{k} r_{k}}{r_{\max}}} \times \|\mathcal{E}\|_{\sigma} \times \sqrt{1 + \delta_{r,\alpha}} \|\mathcal{B}\|_{F},$$

where the last line comes from the RIP condition of  $\{\tilde{X}_k^T X_k\}$  by Lemma 1. Combining with the fact that  $\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\sigma} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\sum_k p_k})$  (c.f. Theorem 1 in Tommioka and Suzuki, 2014], we have

$$\langle \mathcal{S}, \ \mathcal{B} \times_1 \mathbf{X}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{X}_K \rangle \leq \|\mathcal{B}\|_F \times \sqrt{(1 + \delta_{r,\alpha}) \frac{\prod_k r_k}{r_{\max}} \sum_k p_k}.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let S be an  $sG(\sigma)$  tensor of dimension  $(d_1, \ldots, d_K)$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times p_k}$  be column-wise orthogonal matrices. Then  $\mathcal{E} = S \times_1 \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_1^T \times_2 \cdots \times_K \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_K^T$  is an  $sG(\sigma)$  tensor of dimension  $(p_1, \ldots, p_K)$ .

*Proof.* (Extended from Zhuoyan's note version 4.0) To show  $\mathcal{E}$  is an sG tensor, it suffices to show that the  $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{u}_K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{u}_K \rangle$  is a sub-Gaussian random variable with parameter  $\sigma$ , where  $\boldsymbol{u}_k \in \boldsymbol{S}^{p_k-1}$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .

Note that,

$$\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{u}_K) = \mathcal{S}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_1 \boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_K \boldsymbol{u}_K).$$

Because  $\tilde{X}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}$  are column-wise orthogonal matrices, so  $\|\tilde{X}_k u_k\|_2 = \|u_k\|_2 = 1$ . By definition of sub-Gaussian tensor,  $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{X}_1 u_1, \ldots \tilde{X}_K u_K)$  is a sub-Gaussian random variable with parameter  $\sigma$ , so is the  $\mathcal{E}(u_1, \ldots, u_K)$ .