
THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1 IN REBUTTAL LETTER

A PREPRINT

September 4, 2019

1 Notations

$\mathbf{c}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$: unknown mode-k cluster membership vector with element $c_{i_k}^{(k)}$ refers to the true label of i_k th fiber in mode k , $\forall k \in [K]$, $i_k \in [d_k]$;

$\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$: mode-k cluster assignment vector with element $\hat{c}_{i_k}^{(k)}$ refers to the assigned label of i_k th fiber in mode k , $\forall k \in [K]$, $i_k \in [d_k]$;

$\mathbf{p}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{R_k}$: mode-k cluster proportion vector with element $p_{r_k}^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i_k=1}^{d_k} \mathbb{I}\{c_{i_k}^{(k)} = r_k\}}{d_k}$, $\forall k \in [K]$, $r_k \in [R_k]$;

$\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{R_k}$: mode-k label proportion vector with element $\hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i_k=1}^{d_k} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{c}_{i_k}^{(k)} = r_k\}}{d_k}$, can be seen as a function of $\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}$, $\forall k \in [K]$, $r_k \in [R_k]$;

$\mathbf{D}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{R_k \times R_k}$: mode-k confusion matrix with element $D_{r_k, r'_k}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i_k=1}^{d_k} \mathbb{I}\{c_{i_k}^{(k)} = r_k, \hat{c}_{i_k}^{(k)} = r'_k\}$, can be seen as a function of $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})$, $\forall k \in [K]$, $r_k \in [R_k]$;

$\mathcal{J}_\tau = \{(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) : \hat{p}_{r_1}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}) > \tau, \dots, \hat{p}_{r_K}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) > \tau, r_k \in [R_k], k \in [K]\}$;

$\mathcal{I}_d \subset 2^{[d_1]} \times \dots \times 2^{[d_K]}$: is the set of all the blocks that satisfy that $p_{i_k}^{(k)} > \tau$, $\forall i_k \in [d_k]$, $\forall k \in [K]$;

$L_d = \inf\{|I| : I \in \mathcal{I}_d\}$;

$\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty = \max_{r_1, \dots, r_K} |\mathbf{A}_{r_1, \dots, r_K}|$ for any tensor $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times \dots \times R_K}$.

Remark. 1. $\mathbf{D}^{(k)} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{p}^{(k)}$, $\mathbf{D}^{(k)T} \mathbf{1} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(k)}$. If $\mathbf{D}^{(k)}$ is diagonal, then the assigned labels match the true cluster in mode k , $\forall k \in [K]$.

2. Because our model satisfies the irreducible core assumption, there is always exists a τ such that our estimator $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) \in \mathcal{J}_\tau$. We denote it as marginal assumption in this proof.

2 Definition

$$\mathbf{CER}(\mathbf{M}_k, \mathbf{M}'_k) = \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i \in [d_k]} \mathbb{I}\{\mathbf{M}_k(i) \neq \mathbf{M}'_k(i)\}$$

$$\mathbf{MCR}(\mathbf{M}_k, \mathbf{M}'_k) = \max_{r_k \in [R_k]} \min_{a_k \neq a'_k \in [R_k]} \{\mathbf{D}_{a_k r_k}^{(k)}, \mathbf{D}_{a'_k r_k}^{(k)}\}$$

Remark. By the definition of \mathbf{MCR} and the marginal assumption, obviously, when $\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, true})$ is small enough, the $\mathbf{CER}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, true})$ would be very small, too.

3 Introduction

Theorem 3.1. Consider a sub-Gaussian tensor block model with variance parameter σ^2 and non-degenerate clusterings, $\delta_{min} = \min\{\min_{r_1 \neq r'_1} \max_{r_2, \dots, r_K} (c_{r_1, \dots, r_K} - c_{r'_1, \dots, r_K})^2, \dots, \min_{r_K \neq r'_K} \max_{r_1, \dots, r_{K-1}} (c_{r_1, \dots, r_K} - c_{r_1, \dots, r'_K})^2\}$, $\exists k \in [K]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{MCR}(\hat{M}_k, P_k M_{k, true}) \geq \varepsilon) \leq 2^{1+\sum_{k=1}^K d_k} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2 \varepsilon^2 \delta_{min}^2 \prod_{k=1}^K d_k}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

To prove the theorem, considering our least-square estimator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Theta} &= \underset{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}}{\text{argmin}} \{-2 \langle \mathcal{Y}, \Theta \rangle + \|\Theta\|_F^2\} \\ &= \underset{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}}{\text{argmax}} \{\langle \mathcal{Y}, \Theta \rangle - \frac{\|\Theta\|_F^2}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

the $\langle \mathcal{Y}, \Theta \rangle - \frac{\|\Theta\|_F^2}{2}$ is the log-likelihood of the data tensor when our model is a Gaussian tensor block model.

Then the profile log-likelihood $F(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) &= \sup_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}} \{\langle \mathcal{Y}, \Theta \rangle - \frac{\|\Theta\|_F^2}{2}\} \\ &= \sup_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} y_{i_1, \dots, i_K} c_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} c_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}^2 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}} \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}} c_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} c_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}^2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \prod_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)} \overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \prod_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)} f(\overline{y_{r_1(i_1), \dots, r_K(i_K)}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$. Thus our clustering estimator can be represented as

$$(\widehat{\hat{c}^{(1)}}, \dots, \widehat{\hat{c}^{(K)}}) = \underset{(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) \in \mathcal{J}_\tau}{\text{argmax}} F(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) \quad (1)$$

The error $\|\hat{\Theta} - \Theta\|_F^2$ comes from two aspects: noise and clustering. To measure the error which is from noise, we define a new function $G(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)})$:

$$G(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} [D^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \cdots [D^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K} f(E_{r_1, \dots, r_K})$$

where $E(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_K}$,

$$E(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K} = \frac{\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_K} c_{j_1, \dots, j_K} \mathbb{I}\{c_{i_1}^{(1)} = j_1, \hat{c}_{i_1}^{(1)} = r_1\} \cdots \mathbb{I}\{c_{i_K}^{(K)} = j_K, \hat{c}_{i_K}^{(K)} = r_K\}}{\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \mathbb{I}\{\hat{c}_{i_1}^{(1)} = r_1, \dots, \hat{c}_{i_K}^{(K)} = r_K\}}$$

is the average value of $E y_{i_1, \dots, i_K}$ over the block defined by labels r_1, \dots, r_K . Additionally, we define normalized residual matrix $R(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times \cdots \times R_K}$:

$$R(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K} = \overline{Y_{r_1, \dots, r_K}} - E(\hat{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{c}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K}$$

4 Proof

Under the condition of $\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k,true}) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \in [K]$, the most of the error comes from the noise but not clustering. Because the ε is arbitrary, when ε is very small, we can convert our goal into finding the upper bound of $\mathbb{P}(G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} p_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots p_{r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{r_1, \dots, r_K}) \leq h(\varepsilon))$ where $h(\varepsilon)$ is a function of ε . Here is rigorous

proof:

Lemma 4.1. For all $\tau > 0$, for $(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) \in \mathcal{J}_\tau$ and $\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k,true}) \geq \varepsilon$, $\exists k \in [K]$,

$$G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} p_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots p_{r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{r_1, \dots, r_K}) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{min}}{4}$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_1, \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{M}_{1,true}) \geq \varepsilon$, then for some r_1 and some $a_1 \neq a'_1$, $\min\{D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)}, D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)}\} \geq \varepsilon$. Since the core tensor is irreducible according to our basic assumption in paper, there exist a_2, \dots, a_K such that $c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} \neq c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K}$. Select the a_2, \dots, a_K such that $(c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 = \min_{a_1 \neq a'_1} \max_{a_2, \dots, a_K} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2$. Let

$W = [\mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \dots [\mathbf{D}^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K}$, this is nonzero according to the selection of r_1, \dots, r_K . Now, there exists $c_* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [N \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K} &= D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{a_1, \dots, a_K}) + D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K}) \\ &\quad + (W - D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)} - D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}) f(c_*) \end{aligned}$$

Let $z = \frac{[\mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}{W}$ and define $N = [\nu_{a_1, \dots, a_K}] \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times \dots \times R_K}$ with $\nu_{a_1, \dots, a_K} = f(c_{a_1, \dots, a_K})$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{[N \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}{W} - f(z) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{W} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - z)^2 + \frac{D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{W} (c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K} - z)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{W - D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)} - D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{W} (c_* - z)^2 \right] \text{ (Taylor Series)} \\ &\geq \frac{\min\{D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)}, D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)}\} D_{a_2 r_2}^{(2)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{W} \left[\frac{1}{2} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - z)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (z - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\min\{D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)}, D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)}\} D_{a_2 r_2}^{(2)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{4W} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 \text{ (Basic Inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \dots [\mathbf{D}^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K} f\left(\frac{[\mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}{[\mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \dots [\mathbf{D}^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K}}\right) \\ &\quad - [N \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \\ &= W f(z) - [N \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \\ &\leq -\frac{\min\{D_{a_1 r_1}^{(1)}, D_{a'_1 r_1}^{(1)}\} D_{a_2 r_2}^{(2)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{4} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{\varepsilon D_{a_2 r_2}^{(2)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{4} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \prod_{k=1}^K p_{r_k}^{(k)} f(c_{r_1, \dots, r_K}) \\
&= \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} [\mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \dots [\mathbf{D}^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K} f\left(\frac{[\mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}{[\mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_1} \dots [\mathbf{D}^{(K)T} \mathbf{1}]_{r_K}}\right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} [N \times_1 \mathbf{D}^{(1)T} \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{D}^{(K)T}]_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \\
&\leq -\varepsilon \sum_{r_2, \dots, r_K} \frac{D_{a_2 r_2}^{(2)} \dots D_{a_K r_K}^{(K)}}{4} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2 \\
&\leq -\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4} \left(\text{because } \sum_{r_k=1}^{R_k} D_{a_k r_k}^{(k)} = \hat{p}_{a_k}^{(k)} \geq \tau \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\text{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, \text{true}}) \geq \varepsilon$, $k \in [K]$, then the left hand side would be bounded by $-\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{2(K-1)}}{4 \prod_{k=2}^K R_k^2} (c_{a_1, \dots, a_K} - c_{a'_1, \dots, a_K})^2$. Thus,

$$G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} p_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots p_{r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{r_1, \dots, r_K}) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4}$$

□

By lemma 4.1, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\text{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, \text{true}}) \geq \varepsilon\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} p_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots p_{r_K}^{(K)} f(c_{r_1, \dots, r_K}) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\widehat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})) - F(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(K)}) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we let $r_d = \sup_{\mathcal{J}_\tau} |F(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) - G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}))|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) - F(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(K)}) \\
&\leq |F(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) - G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}))| \\
&\quad + |F(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(K)}) - G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\mathbf{c}^{(K)}))| \\
&\quad + |G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})) - G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\mathbf{c}^{(K)}))| \\
&\leq 2r_d - \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\text{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, \text{true}}) \geq \varepsilon\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(F(\widehat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) - F(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{(K)}) \leq 2r_d - \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{4}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(r_d \geq \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{\min}}{8}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we convert our problem into find the upper bound of $\mathbb{P}\left(r_d \geq \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{min}}{8}\right)$. Consider $\mathbb{P}(r_d \leq t)$, because f is locally lipschitz continuous with lipschitz constant $c = \sup |f'(\mu)|$ for μ in a neighborhood of the convex hull of the entries of \mathcal{C} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}) - G(\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(K)}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)}))| \\
&= \left| \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \hat{p}_{r_1}^{(1)} \hat{p}_{r_2}^{(2)} \dots \hat{p}_{r_K}^{(K)} [f(\overline{Y_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}) - f(E_{r_1, \dots, r_K})] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \hat{p}_{r_1}^{(1)} \hat{p}_{r_2}^{(2)} \dots \hat{p}_{r_K}^{(K)} |f(\overline{Y_{r_1, \dots, r_K}}) - f(E_{r_1, \dots, r_K})| \\
&\leq c \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \hat{p}_{r_1}^{(1)} \hat{p}_{r_2}^{(2)} \dots \hat{p}_{r_K}^{(K)} |R(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K}| \\
&\leq c \|\mathbf{R}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, true}) \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\|\mathbf{R}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})\|_\infty \geq \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{min}}{8c}\right) \quad (2)$$

According to Hoeffding's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(|R(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{min}}{8c}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(|\overline{Y_{r_1, \dots, r_K}} - E(\hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}^{(K)})_{r_1, \dots, r_K}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon \tau^{K-1} \delta_{min}}{8c}\right) \\
&\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau^{2(K-1)} \delta_{min}^2 L_d}{128 \sigma^2 c^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the result with $L_d \geq \tau^K \prod_{k=1}^K d_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{MCR}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k, \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{M}_{k, true}) \geq \varepsilon\right) &\leq 2^{1+\sum_{k=1}^K d_k} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau^{2(K-1)} \delta_{min}^2 L_d}{128 \sigma^2 c^2}\right) \\
&\leq 2^{1+\sum_{k=1}^K d_k} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau^{3K-2} \delta_{min}^2 \prod_{k=1}^K d_k}{128 \sigma^2 c^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $C_2 = \frac{\tau^{3K-2}}{128 c^2}$ yields the result.

References

- [1] Cheryl J. Flynn and Patrick O. Perry. Consistent Biclustering. arXiv:1206.6927v3 [stat:ME]