## TR Global Convergence 0610

Jiaxin Hu

06/10/2020

## GLOBAL CONVERGENCE PROPERTY FOR TR ALGORITHM 1

Here we study the global convergence property of iterates generated by Algorithm 1. For simplicity, let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the decision variables  $(\mathcal{C}, \{M_k\})$ .

**Theorem 1** (Global Convergence). Assume the set  $\{A \mid \mathcal{L}(A) \geq \mathcal{L}(A^{(0)})\}$  is compact and the stationary points of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  are isolated module equivalence. Then any sequence  $A^{(t)}$  generated by alternating algorithm converges to a stationary point of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  up to equivalence.

## **PROOF**

Pick an arbitrary iterate  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$ . Because of the compactness of set  $\{\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(0)})\}$ , the domain of  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$  is bounded and thus there exists convergent sub-sequences of  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^*$  denote a limiting points of  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(t)})$  increases monotonically along with  $t \to \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is a stationary point of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{A}^*\}$  denote the set of all the limiting points of  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$ . We have  $\mathcal{S} \subset \{\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(0)})\}$  and thus  $\mathcal{S}$  is a compact set. According to [Lange, 2012],  $\mathcal{S}$  is also connected.

Consider the equivalence of Tucker tensor representation. We define the equivalent class of  $\mathcal{A}$  as:

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \mathcal{A}' | \ M_k' = M_k P_k^T, \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \times \{ P_k \}, \text{ where } P_k^T \in \mathbb{O}_{r_k}, \forall k \in [K] \}.$$

Notice that, for arbitrary  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  is a non-empty open set. For arbitrary two non-equivalent points  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_2) = \emptyset$  and thus  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  is not connected. Using the definition of equivalent class, let  $\mathcal{S}_E$  denote the enlarged set of  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that:

$$\mathcal{S}_E = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}).$$

The enlarged set  $S_E$  satisfies below two properties:

- 1. [Union of Stationary Point] The set  $S_E$  is an union of equivalent classes generated by the stationary points in S.
- 2. [Connectedness model equivalence] The set  $S_E$  is connected between different equivalent classes.

Property 1 is obtained by rewriting the definition of  $S_E$ . Property 2 is concluded by the connectedness of S.

The isolation of stationary points and Property 1 imply that  $S_E$  only contains finite number of different equivalent classes. Otherwise, there is a sequence of non-equivalent stationary points whose

limit is not isolated. Combined the definition of equivalent class and Property 2, we can conclude that  $S_E$  only contains a single equivalent class; i.e.  $S_E = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}^*)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is a stationary point of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, all the convergent subsequences of  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$  converge to one stationary point  $\mathcal{A}^*$  up to equivalence.

In other words, any iterate  $\mathcal{A}^{(t)}$  generated by Algorithm 1 converges to a stationary point of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  up to equivalence.