

Algorithmic guarantees

1 General setting

We first introduce the regularity condition on the loss function \mathcal{L} and set \mathcal{S} .

Definition 1. Let f be a real-valued function. We say f satisfies $\text{RCG}(\alpha, \beta, \mathcal{S})$ condition for $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and the set \mathcal{S} if,

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x'), x - x' \rangle \geq \alpha \|x - x'\|_2^2 + \beta \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2^2,$$

for any $x, x' \in \mathcal{S}$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} &:= \max \{ \sigma_{\max}(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{B})), \sigma_{\max}(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B})), \sigma_{\max}(\mathcal{M}_3(\mathcal{B})) \}, \\ \underline{\lambda} &:= \min \{ \sigma_{\min}(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{B})), \sigma_{\min}(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B})), \sigma_{\min}(\mathcal{M}_3(\mathcal{B})) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\kappa = \bar{\lambda}/\underline{\lambda}$ can be regarded as a tensor condition number. Here \mathcal{M}_i is the matricization operator with respect to i -th mode.

We define some constants related to side information $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &:= \prod_{k=1}^3 \|\mathbf{X}_k\|_F^2, \\ \gamma_2 &:= \prod_{k=1}^3 \sigma_{\min}(\mathbf{X}_k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we scale the the side information matrices \mathbf{X}_k so that $\|\mathbf{X}_k\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 1.1. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a α_1 -smooth and α_2 -strongly function. Define $g: \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $g(\mathcal{B}) = f(\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})$ for all $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3}$. Then, g is $\alpha_1 \gamma_1$ -smooth and $\alpha_2 \gamma_2$ -strongly convex function.

Proof. First, we prove the strong convexity. By definition, we have

$$f(\mathcal{T}_1) \geq f(\mathcal{T}_2) + \langle \nabla f(\mathcal{T}_2), \mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2 \rangle + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \|\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2\|_F^2, \text{ for all } \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}.$$

Notice that for any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3}$, we have $\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$. Thus, for any $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\mathcal{B}_1 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) \\ &\geq f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}), (\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \|(\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}\|_F^2 \\ &\geq f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1^T, \mathbf{X}_2^T, \mathbf{X}_3^T\}, \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle + \frac{\alpha_2 \gamma_2}{2} \|\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2\|_F^2. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, g is $\alpha_2 \gamma_2$ -strongly convex from (1) because

$$g(\mathcal{B}_1) \geq g(\mathcal{B}_2) + \langle \nabla g(\mathcal{B}_2), \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle + \frac{\alpha_2 \gamma_2}{2} \|\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2\|_F^2, \text{ for all } \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3}.$$

Smoothness of g is directly followed by

$$\|\nabla g(\mathcal{B}_1) - \nabla g(\mathcal{B}_2)\|_F = \|(\nabla f(\mathcal{B}_1 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) - \nabla f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1^T, \mathbf{X}_2^T, \mathbf{X}_3^T\}\|_F$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|(\nabla f(\mathcal{B}_1 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) - \nabla f(\mathcal{B}_2 \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}))\|_F \sqrt{\gamma_1} \\
&\leq \alpha_1 \|(\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}\|_F \sqrt{\gamma_2} \\
&= \alpha_1 \gamma_1 \|\mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2\|_F,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from β smoothness of f . \square

Since negative log-likelihoods of poisson and binomial distribution are not strongly convex and smooth in the unbounded domain. We thus introduce the following assumption on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$ to ensure that $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$ is in a bounded set.

Assumption 1. Suppose $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} = \mathcal{C}^* \times \{\mathbf{M}_1^*, \mathbf{M}_2^*, \mathbf{M}_3^*\}$, where $\mathbf{M}_k^* \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times r_k}$ is a orthogonal matrix for $k = 1, 2, 3$. There exists some constants $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^3$, B such that $\|\mathbf{M}_k^*\|_{2,\infty}^2 \leq \frac{\mu_k r_k}{p_k}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and $\bar{\lambda} \leq B \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{k=1}^3 p_k}{\prod_{k=1}^3 \mu_k r_k}}$. Here $\|\mathbf{M}_k^*\|_{2,\infty}$ is the largest row-wise ℓ_2 norm of \mathbf{M}_k^* .

Remark 1. This condition guarantees that $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$ is entry-wise upperbounded by B , which guarantees the local strong convexity and smoothness of the negative log-likelihood function.

We define searching space \mathcal{S} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{S}_c \times \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3, \text{ where} \\
\mathcal{S}_k &= \left\{ (\mathbf{M}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times r_k} : \|\mathbf{M}_k\|_{2,\infty} \leq b \sqrt{\frac{\mu_k r_k}{p_k}} \right\} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \\
\mathcal{S}_c &= \left\{ \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3} : \max_k \|\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{C})\|_2 \leq b^{-3} B \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{k=1}^3 p_k}{\prod_{k=1}^3 \mu_k r_k}} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

2 Poisson tensor case

Suppose we observe $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ that satisfies $\exp(\dots)$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) \text{ independently.}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ is the low rank tensor parameter whose rank is (r_1, r_2, r_3) .

Then we consider the following negative log-likelihood to estimate $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B} | \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3) = \sum_{ijk} \left(-\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} [\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}]_{ijk} + \exp([\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}]_{ijk}) \right).$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose Assumption 1 holds and

1. Initialization: $\|\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} - \mathcal{B}^{(0)}\|_F^2 \leq c_1 \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{(\gamma_1 e^B + \gamma_2 e^{-B})^2} \kappa^{-2} \underline{\lambda}^2$
2. Signal to noise ratio: $\underline{\lambda}^2 \geq c_2 \kappa^2 e^{3B} \sum_{k=1}^3 (d_1 d_2 d_3 r_k / d_k + d_k r_k)$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are universal constants. Then, with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_3 \max_k d_k)$, we have

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{B}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 \leq c_4 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 d_k r_k \right),$$

for some constants that do not depend on d_k or r_k .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{ijk} (-\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \mathcal{T}_{ijk} + \exp(\mathcal{T}_{ijk}))$ for all $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$. Then we know \mathcal{L}' is e^B smooth and e^{-B} -strongly convex [Han et al., 2020]. By Lemma 1.1, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B} | \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3)$ is $\gamma_1 e^B$ -smooth and $\gamma_2 e^{-B}$ -strongly convex function. Therefore, based on Lemma E.1 in Han et al. [2020], we know $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B} | \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3)$

satisfies $\text{RCG}(\alpha, \beta, \mathcal{S})$ with $\alpha = \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 e^B + \gamma_2 e^{-B}}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma_1 e^B + \gamma_2 e^{-B}}$, where \mathcal{S} is defined in (2). Therefore, direct application to Theorem 3.1 in Han et al. [2020] with sufficiently large steps T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}^{(T)} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 &\leq C \frac{\kappa^4}{\alpha} \xi^2, \text{ where} \\ \xi &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B} | \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3), \mathcal{T} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now we find an upper bound of ξ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle (\mathcal{Y} - \exp(\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1^T, \mathbf{X}_2^T, \mathbf{X}_3^T\}, \mathcal{T} \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle (\mathcal{Y} - \exp(\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})), \mathcal{T} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\} \rangle \\ &\leq \sqrt{\gamma_1} \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}') \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}'\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle (\mathcal{Y} - \exp(\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})), \mathcal{T}' \rangle \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\gamma_1 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 d_k r_k \right)} e^B, \text{ with probability } 1 - C'/(d_1 d_2 d_3), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for some constants $C, C' > 0$. Here the last inequality comes from Lemma 2.1. Plugging (4) into (3) completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma E.10, Han et al. [2020]). Let $\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathcal{X}_{ijk})$ independently, and each entry of \mathcal{X} is bounded with $|\mathcal{X}_{ijk}| \leq B$. Then, with probability at least $1 - c/p_1 p_2 p_3$

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle \mathcal{Y} - \exp(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{T} \rangle \leq C \sqrt{df(\mathcal{X})} e^B,$$

where $df(\mathcal{X})$ is the number of free parameters of \mathcal{X} .

3 Binomial tensor case

Suppose we observe $\mathcal{Y} \in \{0, 1\}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ that satisfies **logistic(...)**

$$\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}) \text{ independently.}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ is the low rank tensor parameter whose rank is (r_1, r_2, r_3) .

Then we consider the following negative log-likelihood to estimate $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B} | \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3) = - \sum_{ijk} \left(\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} [\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}]_{ijk} + \log \left(1 + \exp \left([\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}]_{ijk} \right) \right) \right).$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose Assumption 1 holds and

1. Initialization: $\|\mathcal{B}^{(0)} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 \leq c_1 \frac{\min(\gamma_1/\gamma_2, \gamma_2/\gamma_1)}{e^B + 3} \kappa^{-2} \underline{\lambda}^2$

2. Signal to noise ratio: $\underline{\lambda}^2 \geq c_2 \kappa^2 e^{3B} \sum_{k=1}^3 (d_1 d_2 d_3 r_k / d_k + d_k r_k)$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are universal constants. Then, with probability at least $1 - c_3 / (d_1 d_2 d_3)$, we have

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{B}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 \leq c_4 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 d_k r_k \right),$$

for some constants that do not depend on d_k or r_k .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{T}) = -\sum_{ijk} (\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \mathcal{T}_{ijk} + \log(1 + \exp(\mathcal{T}_{ijk})))$ for all $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$. Then we know \mathcal{L}' is $\frac{1}{e^B+3}$ -smooth and $\frac{1}{4}$ -strongly convex [Han et al., 2020]. By Lemma 1.1, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3)$ is $\frac{\gamma_1}{e^B+3}$ -smooth and $\frac{\gamma_2}{4}$ -strongly convex function. By Lemma E.1 in Han et al. [2020], we set

$$\alpha = \frac{\min(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{2(e^B + 3)} \leq \frac{\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{4(e^B+3)}}{\frac{\gamma_1}{e^B+3} + \frac{\gamma_2}{4}} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{1}{2 \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\gamma_1}{e^B+3} + \frac{\gamma_2}{4}}$$

and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3)$ satisfies RCG($\alpha, \beta, \mathcal{S}$) with \mathcal{S} is defined in (2). Therefore, direct application to Theorem 3.1 in Han et al. [2020] with sufficiently large steps T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}^{(T)} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 &\leq C \frac{\kappa^4}{\alpha} \xi^2, \text{ where} \\ \xi &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3), \mathcal{T} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now we find an upper bound of ξ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \left\langle \left(-\mathcal{Y} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})} \right) \times \{\mathbf{X}_1^T, \mathbf{X}_2^T, \mathbf{X}_3^T\}, \mathcal{T} \right\rangle \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \left\langle -\mathcal{Y} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})}, \mathcal{T} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\} \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sqrt{\gamma_1} \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3} \\ \text{rank}(\mathcal{T}') \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}'\|_F^2 \leq 1}} \left\langle -\mathcal{Y} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\})}, \mathcal{T}' \right\rangle \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\gamma_1 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 d_k r_k \right)}, \text{ with probability } 1 - C' / (d_1 d_2 d_3), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C, C' > 0$. Here the last inequality comes from (D.27) in the proof of Theorem 4.5 in Han et al. [2020]. \square

4 Sub-Gaussian case with initial points assumption

Suppose we observe $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{N}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ that satisfies

$$\mathcal{Y}_{ijk} \sim \text{Sub-Gaussian}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}, \sigma) \text{ independently.}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ is the low rank tensor parameter whose rank is (r_1, r_2, r_3) .

Then we consider the following negative log-likelihood to estimate $\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}\|_F^2$$

Following the same proof technique in Section 2,3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose Assumption 1 holds and

1. Initialization: $\|\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} - \mathcal{B}^{(0)}\|_F^2 \leq c_1 \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \kappa^{-2} \underline{\lambda}^2$
2. Signal to noise ratio: $\underline{\lambda}/\sigma \geq C_1 d_{\max}^{3/4} r_{\max}^{1/4}$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are universal constants. Then, with probability at least $1 - c_3/(d_1 d_2 d_3)$, we have

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{B}} - \mathcal{B}_{\text{true}}\|_F^2 \leq c_4 \sigma^2 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 d_k r_k \right),$$

for some constants that do not depend on d_k or r_k .

Remark 2. Notice that our error bound terms and probability have changed from p_1, p_2, p_3 to d_1, d_2, d_3 . The main reason is that we did not consider structure of $\mathcal{T} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}$ whose degree of freedom is $r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i r_i$ when we calculate ξ we did not consider \mathcal{T}' structure. Instead, we regard $\mathcal{T} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}$ as \mathcal{T}' whose degree of freedom is $r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d_i r_i$ to apply lemmas in the reference directly.

References

Rungang Han, R. Willett, and Anru Zhang. An optimal statistical and computational framework for generalized tensor estimation. *ArXiv*, abs/2002.11255, 2020.