

Proofs

Jiaxin Hu

1 Problem Formulation and Model

Consider two random tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d^{\otimes m}}$, where $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{B}'(\omega)$ denote the tensor entry indexed by $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^m$. Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}' are super-symmetric; i.e., $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}(f(\omega))$, $\mathcal{B}'(\omega) = \mathcal{B}'(f(\omega))$ for any function f permutes the indices in ω for all $\omega \in [n]^m$. Consider the bivariate generative model for the entries $\{\omega : 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n\}$

$$(\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}'(\omega)) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}'(\omega)) \perp (\mathcal{A}(\omega'), \mathcal{B}'(\omega')), \text{ for all } \omega \neq \omega',$$

where the correlation $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and \perp denote the statistical independence. We call \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}' as two correlated Wigner tensors.

Suppose we observe the tensor pair \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}' \circ \pi^*$, where $\pi^* : [n] \mapsto [n]$ denotes a permutation on $[d]$, and by definition $\mathcal{B}(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \mathcal{B}'(\pi(i_1), \dots, \pi(i_m))$ for all $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^m$.

This work aims to recover the true matching π given the noisy observations \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} .

2 Gaussian Tensor Matching

Notations.

1. L_p norm for function $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$:

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

2. $[n]^m$: denote the dimensional- m space with elements $\{(i_1, \dots, i_m) : i_k \in [n] \text{ for all } k \in [m]\}$.

2.1 Matching via Empirical Distributions

We construct the L_p distance statistics, $d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k)$, to evaluate the similarity between the pairs (i, k) ,

$$d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_n^i(t) - G_n^k(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$F_n^i(t) = \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^{m-1}} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{A}_{i, i_2, \dots, i_m} \leq t\}, \text{ and } G_n^k(t) = \frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^{m-1}} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{B}_{k, i_2, \dots, i_m} \leq t\}.$$

The Gaussian tensor matching algorithm using $d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k)$ is in Algorithm 1, where the p should be given in practice.

Algorithm 1 Gaussian tensor matching via empirical distribution

Input: Gaussian tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{\otimes m}}$.

- 1: Calculate the distance statistics $d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k)$ in (1) for each pair of $(i, k) \in [n]^2$.
- 2: Sort $\{d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k) : (i, k) \in [n]^2\}$ and let S be the set of indices of the smallest d elements.
- 3: **if** there exists a permutation $\hat{\pi}$ such that $S = \{(i, \hat{\pi}(i)) : i \in [n]\}$ **then**
- 4: Output $\hat{\pi}$.
- 5: **else**
- 6: Output error.
- 7: **end if**

Output: Estimated permutations $\hat{\pi}$ or error.

The theoretical guarantee for the success of Algorithm 1 is below.

Theorem 2.1 (Conjecture. Guarantee of Algorithm 1). *Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}$. Suppose $\sigma \leq c/\log n$ for sufficiently small constant $c \in (0, 1/2)$. Algorithm 1 recover the true permutation π^* with probability tends to 1.*

Conjecture 1 (Tail bounds for empirical process). Consider the correlated pairs of normal variables (X_i, Y_i) for $i \in [n]$, where $X_i, Y_i \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\text{cov}(X_i, Y_i) = \rho$. Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}$, and F_n, G_n denote the empirical CDF of $\{X_i\}$ and $\{Y_i\}$. Then, the L_p norm between F_n and G_n satisfies:

1. if $\rho > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|F_n - G_n\|_p \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n}}) \leq C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right); \quad (2)$$

2. if $\rho = 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|F_n - G_n\|_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n}}) \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right), \quad (3)$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$ with universal positive constants C_1 and C_2 .

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality, we assume the true permutation π^* is the identity mapping; i.e., $\pi^*(i) = i$ for all $i \in [n]$. For simplicity, let d_{ik} denote the distance statistics $d_p(\mu_i, \nu_j)$ in (1) with general $p \in [1, \infty)$. To guarantee the Algorithm 1 outputs the true permutation with probability, it suffices to show

$$\min_{i \neq k \in [n]^2} d_{ik} > \max_{i \in [n]} d_{ii}$$

with probability tends to 1.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{i \neq k \in [n]^2} d_{ik} > \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}}\right) &= \prod_{i \neq k \in [n]^2} \mathbb{P}\left(d_{ik} > \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}}\right) \\ &\leq \left[1 - C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{n(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows by the inequality (3) in Conjecture 1.

Also, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i \in [n]} d_{ii} < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}}\right) &= \prod_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{P}\left(d_{ii} < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}}\right) \\ &\leq \left[1 - C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^n,\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows by the inequality (2) in Conjecture 1.

Take $\sigma \leq \frac{c}{\log n}$ for $c < 1/2$. We have

$$\left[1 - C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{n(n-1)} \geq \left[1 - \frac{C_2}{n^{1/c}}\right]^{n(n-1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1,$$

and

$$\left[1 - C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^n \geq \left[1 - \frac{C_1}{n^{1/c}}\right]^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{i \neq k \in [n]^2} d_{ik} > \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}} > \max_{i \in [n]} d_{ii}\right) &\geq 1 - \left(1 - \left[1 - C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{n(n-1)} + 1 - \left[1 - C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right]^n\right) \\ &\rightarrow 1,\end{aligned}$$

when n goes to infinity.

We then finish the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

2.2 Seeded matching

We consider the high-degree seed set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(i, k) \in [n]^2 : a_i, b_k \geq \xi, d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k) \leq \zeta\}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$a_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{m-1}}} \sum_{\omega \in [n]^{m-1}} \mathcal{A}_{i,\omega}, \quad b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{m-1}}} \sum_{\omega \in [n]^{m-1}} \mathcal{B}_{k,\omega},$$

are the counterparts of “degrees” for Gaussian tensors.

Let $\pi_0 : S \mapsto T$ denotes the mapping corresponding to the seeds, where $S, T \subset [n]$ and $\pi_0(j) = \pi(j)$ for all $j \in S$.

Define the neighbourhood

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(i_2, \dots, i_m) : i_l \in S, \text{ for all } l = 2, \dots, m\}$$

with $|\mathcal{N}| = |\mathcal{S}|^{m-1}$, and define $\pi_0(\mathcal{N})$ by replacing i_l to $\pi_0(i_l)$ in the definition of \mathcal{N} for all $l = 2, \dots, m$. Then, we define the similarity between the node i in \mathcal{A} and node k in \mathcal{B} as

$$H_{ik} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}_{i,\omega} \mathcal{B}_{k,\pi_0(\omega)}. \quad (5)$$

We find the rest of the mapping via the matrix H .

See the improved matching strategy in Algorithm 2 with seeded matching as a subroutine in Algorithm 3.

Algorithm 2 Gaussian tensor matching with seed improvement

Input: Gaussian tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{\otimes m}}$, threshold ξ, ζ .

- 1: Calculate the distance statistics $d_p(\mu_i, \nu_k)$ in (1) for each pair of $(i, k) \in [n]^2$.
- 2: Obtain the high-degree set \mathcal{S} in (4).
- 3: **if** there exists a permutation π_0 such that $\mathcal{S} = \{(i, \pi_0(i)) : i \in [n]\}$ **then**
- 4: Run bipartite Algorithm with seed π_0 and output $\hat{\pi}$
- 5: **else**
- 6: Output error.
- 7: **end if**

Output: Estimated permutations $\hat{\pi}$ or error.

Algorithm 3 Seeded Gaussian tensor matching

Input: Gaussian tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{\otimes m}}$, seed $\pi_0 : S \mapsto T$.

- 1: For $i \in S^c$ and $k \in T^c$, obtain the similarity matrix $H = \llbracket H_{ik} \rrbracket$ as (5).
- 2: Find the optimal bipartite permutation $\tilde{\pi}_1$ such that

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 = \arg \max_{\pi : S^c \mapsto T^c} \sum_{i \in S^c} H_{i,\pi(i)}.$$

Let π_1 denote the matching on $[n]$ such that $\pi_1|_S = \pi_0$ and $\pi_1|_{S^c} = \tilde{\pi}_1$.

- 3: For each pair $(i, k) \in [n]^2$, calculate $W_{ik} = \sum_{\omega \in [n]^{m-1}} \mathcal{A}_{i,\omega} \mathcal{B}_{k,\pi_1(\omega)}$.
- 4: Sort $\{W_{ik} : (i, k) \in [n]^2\}$ and let \hat{S} denote the set of indices of largest d elements.
- 5: **if** there exists a permutation $\hat{\pi}$ such that $\hat{S} = \{(i, \hat{\pi}(i)) : i \in [n]\}$ **then**
- 6: Output $\hat{\pi}$.
- 7: **else**
- 8: Output error.
- 9: **end if**

Output: Estimated permutations $\hat{\pi}$ or error.

The theoretical guarantee for Algorithm 2 is below.

Note that the purple parts (lines 3-4) in Algorithm 3 can be considered as the post-processing or be replaced by the iterative post-processing which will be used in simulations. Without the

post-processing, let the estimate $\hat{\pi} = \pi_1$. In the following theorems, we develop the guarantees **without** post-processing.

Theorem 2.2 (Conjecture: Guarantee for Algorithm 2). *Let $\rho = \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}$. Suppose $\sigma \leq c/\log^{1/3(m-1)} n$ for sufficiently small constant c . Choose thresholds $\xi \geq c_1 \sqrt{\log^{1/(m-1)} n}$ with universal positive constant c_1 and $\zeta \leq \sqrt{\sigma/n^{m-1}}$. Algorithm 2 recover the true permutation π^* with probability tends to 1.*

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof of Theorem 2.2 separates into two parts: (1) accuracy for the seeded Algorithm 3; (2) high-degree seed set \mathcal{S} generates a desirable seed for seeded algorithm to succeed.

For (1), Lemma 1 indicates the seeded Algorithm 3 successfully recovers the true matching when the seed set \mathcal{S} includes $c_0 \log^{1/(m-1)} n$ true pairs for some constant $c_0 \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1/\rho)$ and no fake pairs. For simplicity, let $s = c_0 \log^{1/(m-1)} n$ denote the number of necessary true pairs in the seed. Hence, we only need to show the set \mathcal{S} (4) with proper thresholds ξ and ζ satisfies the conditions for Lemma 1 under $\sigma \leq c/\log^{1/3(m-1)} n$ with small c .

Note that for $(i, k) \in [n]^2$

$$\mathbb{P}(a_i \geq \xi, b_k \geq \xi) = \begin{cases} Q^2(\xi) & \text{if } (i, k) \text{ is a fake pair, i.e., } i \neq \pi^*(k) \\ Q(\xi) \exp(-C\sigma^2\xi^2) & \text{if } (i, k) \text{ is a true pair, i.e., } i = \pi^*(k), \end{cases}$$

where Q is the complementary CDF of normal distribution and C is a positive constant. Also, by the Conjecture 1, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(d_{ik}(\mu_i, \nu_k) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n^{m-1}}}\right) \begin{cases} \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) & \text{if } (i, k) \text{ is a fake pair, i.e., } i \neq \pi^*(k) \\ \geq 1 - C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) & \text{if } (i, k) \text{ is a true pair, i.e., } i = \pi^*(k). \end{cases}$$

Take $\zeta \leq \sqrt{\sigma/n^{m-1}}$. Then, for \mathcal{S} satisfying the conditions for Lemma 1, we have

1. \mathcal{S} has s true pairs with high probability

$$nQ(\xi) \exp(-C\sigma^2\xi^2) \left[1 - C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\right] \geq s; \quad (6)$$

2. \mathcal{S} has no fake pairs with high probability

$$n^2 Q^2(\xi) C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) = o(1). \quad (7)$$

Take $\xi \geq c_1 \sqrt{s}$. By inequality (6), we have $Q(\xi) \geq \frac{s}{n} \exp(Cc_1^2 \sigma^2 s) [1 - C_1 \exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma})]^{-1}$. Plugging the inequality for $Q(\xi)$ into the inequality (7), we have

$$\frac{C_2 s^2}{1 - C_1 \exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma})} \exp\left(2Cc_1^2 \sigma^2 s - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) = o(1),$$

which implies $\sigma \leq \frac{c}{s^{1/3}}$ with small constant c such that $2Cc_1^2 c^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} < 0$.

Note that $s = c_0 \log^{1/(m-1)} n$. We finish the proof of Theorem 2.2.

□

Lemma 1 (Accuracy for seeded Algorithm 3). *Suppose the seed π_0 corresponds to $s = |\mathcal{S}| = c_0 \log^{1/(m-1)} n$ true pairs for some constant $c_0 \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1/\rho)$ and no fake pairs. The Algorithm 3 recovers the true permutation π^* with probability tends to 1.*

Proof for Lemma 1. Without loss of generality, we assume the true permutation π^* is the identity mapping; i.e., $\pi^*(i) = i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Without post-processing, it suffices to show the $\tilde{\pi}_1$ recovers all the true pairs out of the seed set \mathcal{S} ; i.e.,

$$\pi^*/\pi_0 = \arg \max_{\pi: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow T^c} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}^c} H_{i, \pi(i)},$$

where π^*/π_0 is the mapping excluding the pairs in the seed π_0 . It suffices to show that

$$\min_{i \in \mathcal{S}^c} H_{ii} > \max_{i \neq j \in \mathcal{S}^c} H_{ij} \quad (8)$$

holds with high probability tends to 1. By the inequality (12) in Lemma 2, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\min_{i \in \mathcal{S}^c} \frac{1}{s^{m-1}} H_{ii} \leq \rho - t_1 \right) \leq 2(n-s) \exp \left(- \min \left\{ \frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)} \right\} s^{m-1} t_1^2 \right) \quad (9)$$

for $t_1 \in [0, \min\{2\rho, 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\}]$ and by the inequality (13) in Lemma 2

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{i \neq j \in \mathcal{S}^c} H_{ij} \geq t_2 \right) \leq 2(n-s)^2 \exp \left(- \frac{1}{4} s^{m-1} t_2^2 \right), \quad (10)$$

for $t_2 \in [0, \sqrt{2}]$. To let event (8) holds with probability tends to 1, we need the probabilities (9) and (10) goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with proper t_1 and t_2 , which implies

$$\rho - t_1 > t_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t_1^2 > \frac{\log n}{\min \left\{ \frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)} \right\} s^{m-1}}, \quad t_2^2 > \frac{8 \log n}{s^{m-1}}. \quad (11)$$

Take $s^{m-1} = c \log n$ such that $c \leq \frac{n^{m-1}}{\log n}$. Now, to finish the proof of Lemma 1, we only need to verify that there exist c, t_1, t_2 that satisfy all the inequalities in (11).

Consider $t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log n}{\min \left\{ \frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)} \right\} s^{m-1}}}$ and $t_2 = \sqrt{\frac{16 \log n}{s^{m-1}}}$. Note that $\min \left\{ \frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{32}$. Then, we need

$$\rho - \sqrt{\frac{64}{c}} > \sqrt{\frac{16}{c}}, \quad \text{and thus} \quad c \geq \frac{12}{\rho},$$

which can be satisfied when sufficiently large n under the constraint $c \leq \frac{n^{m-1}}{\log n}$.

We then finish the proof of Lemma 2. □

Lemma 2 (Tail bounds for the product of normal variables). *Consider the correlated pairs of normal variables (X_i, Y_i) for $i \in [n]$, where $X_i, Y_i \sim N(0, 1)$. Let $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} X_i Y_i$. If $\text{cov}(X_i, Y_i) = \rho > 0$, then we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(|H - \rho| \geq t) \leq 4 \exp \left(- \min \left\{ \frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)} \right\} n t^2 \right), \quad (12)$$

for constant $t \in [0, \min\{2\rho, 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\}]$. If $\text{cov}(X_i, Y_i) = 0$, then, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|H| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{4}\right), \quad (13)$$

for constant $t \in [0, \sqrt{2}]$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Consider the case that $\rho > 0$. The proof of inequality (13) is involved as an intermediate step under the case $\rho > 0$. Note that $Y_i = \rho X_i + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}Z_i$, where Z_i is independent with X_i . Then it is equivalent to develop the tail bound for the sum $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\rho X_i^2 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}X_i Z_i)$. We consider the tail probabilities for X_i^2 and $X_i Z_i$ separately.

Tail probability of X_i^2 . Note that X_i^2 s are sub-exponential variables with parameters (2,4) and expectation 1, and with Bernstein-type bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 1)\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{8}\right),$$

when $t \in [0, 1]$.

Tail probability of $X_i Z_i$. Note that for $\lambda^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda X_i Z_i)] = \mathbb{E}_{X_i}[\mathbb{E}_{Z_i}[\exp(\lambda X_i Z_i)|X_i]] = \mathbb{E}_{X_i}[\exp(\lambda^2 X_i^2/2)] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} \leq \exp(2\lambda^2/2),$$

where the second and third inequalities follow by the properties of sub-Gaussian variables, and the last inequality follows by the inequality $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} \leq \exp(x)$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$. Hence, $X_i Z_i$ is also sub-exponential with parameters $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$ with expectation 0. By Bernstein-type bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Z_i\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{4}\right),$$

for $t \in [0, \sqrt{2}]$. Then, we finish the proof of inequality (13).

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\rho X_i^2 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}X_i Z_i) - \rho \geq t\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\rho \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 1) + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Z_i \geq t\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\rho \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 1) \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{1-\rho^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Z_i \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{32\rho^2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{16(1-\rho^2)}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\min\left(\frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)}\right) nt^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, \min\{2\rho, 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\}]$. Similarly, we also have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\rho - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\rho X_i^2 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}X_i Z_i) \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\min\left(\frac{1}{32\rho^2}, \frac{1}{16(1-\rho^2)}\right) nt^2\right),$$

with $t \in [0, \min\{2\rho, 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1-\rho^2}\}]$.

Then, we finish the proof of Lemma 2. □