

Principle of Proof Writing

Jiixin Hu

06/14/2020

1 MATH, NOTATION

1. Specify the variables/functions. Every time you use a variable/function, you should explain it, including its domain and meaning. Use $:=$ or \triangleq for definition or assignment. The operator $=$ means a equal comparison.
 - Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{C}, \{\mathbf{M}_k\})$ denote the decision variables.
 → Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{C}, \{\mathbf{M}_k\}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the decision variables, where $d = \prod_k r_k + \sum_k r_k d_k$ is the number of parameters.
 - Let S denote the update mapping.
 → Let $S: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the update mapping.
 - The objective function is a function of tensor coefficient $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{M}_K$
 → The objective function is a function of tensor coefficient $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{M}_K$
 - $\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(t)}) - \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^*)\|_F \leq c \|\mathcal{A}^{(t)} - \mathcal{A}^*\|_F \rightarrow \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^{(t)}) - \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}^*)\|_F \leq c \|\mathcal{A}^{(t)} - \mathcal{A}^*\|_F, \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_+.$
2. Make the notation consistent. You should not change the variable/function you defined previously without any explanation. You also should not use the same notation for two different things.
 - *The notation \mathcal{L} a shorthand of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\cdot)$. You should make it clear before you use it.*
 Suppose \mathcal{A}^* is a stationary point of $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$.
 → For notational convenience, we drop the subscript \mathcal{Y} from the objective $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\cdot)$. The objective function can be viewed either as a function of decision variables \mathcal{A} or a function of coefficient tensor \mathcal{B} . With slight abuse of notation, we write both function as $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$... Suppose \mathcal{A}^* is a stationary point of $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$.
 - *If you use ∇f to refer the derivative or gradient of a function, you should not use df or f' in the rest of the proof.*

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{CC}^2 \mathcal{L} & d_{CM_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & d_{CM_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \\ d_{M_1 C}^2 \mathcal{L} & d_{M_1 M_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & d_{M_1 M_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{M_K C}^2 \mathcal{L} & d_{M_K M_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & d_{M_K M_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{CC}^2 \mathcal{L} & \nabla_{CM_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & \nabla_{CM_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \\ \nabla_{M_1 C}^2 \mathcal{L} & \nabla_{M_1 M_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & \nabla_{M_1 M_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \nabla_{M_K C}^2 \mathcal{L} & \nabla_{M_K M_1}^2 \mathcal{L} & \cdots & \nabla_{M_K M_K}^2 \mathcal{L} \end{pmatrix}$$

- *You should not use ρ for spectral radius and contraction parameter at the same time.*
 Let ρ be the spectral radius of ∇S ... Let $\rho = \rho + \epsilon$ be the contraction parameter.
 → Let ρ be the spectral radius of ∇S ... Let $\rho_0 = \rho + \epsilon$ be the contraction parameter.

- Use bold for matrices.
 $(\mathcal{C}, M_1, \dots, M_K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{M}_1, \dots, \mathbf{M}_K).$

3. Avoid unnecessary notation.

- You have already explained the domain of \mathcal{A} . The new notation Ω is unnecessary.
 Let Ω denote the domain of \mathcal{A} and Ω_O denote the equivalent class of \mathcal{A}^* ... For $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_O$,
 ..., For $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega/\Omega_O$...
 → Let Ω_O denote the equivalent class of \mathcal{A}^* ... For $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_O$, ..., For $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^d/\Omega_O$...

2 LANGUAGE

1. **Grammar! Grammar! Grammar!**

- some notations → some notation
- There exists a sub-sequences of iterate \mathcal{A} ... → There exist a sub-sequence of iterate \mathcal{A}
- Combine the equation 7 and 8, we have ... → Combining the equation 7 and 8, we have...

2. Use sentences. The math notation or equation should be a noun or short clause in a sentence.

3. Be short and concise. Proof is also a part of academic writing.

- The set \mathcal{E} only contains a finite number of different equivalent classes.
 → The set \mathcal{E} contains only a finite number of equivalent classes.
- The set \mathcal{E} satisfies below two properties.
 → The set \mathcal{E} satisfies two properties below.
- The statement to derive (1) is trivial whereas (2) needs explanation.
 The set \mathcal{E}_S satisfies two properties below: (1) is ... (2) is... (1) comes from ... (2) comes from...
 → The set \mathcal{E}_S satisfies two properties below: (1) is ... (2) is... ,which is comes from...

4. Use formal expressions.

- Trivially,... → Therefore,...
- In other words,... → We conclude that,...

5. Avoid "can".

- We can conclude that,... → We conclude that,...

3 LOGIC

1. Use a clear proof structure. You can prove step by step from assumptions to the goal or you can use contradiction. Never mix these two structures in a single proof.

- Consider the proof of Uniqueness of tensor tucker decomposition.

2. Avoid big leaps. Make every step concrete.

- *Consider the first version of local convergence. I took the statement that ∇S is invariant to orthogonal transformation for granted. Then the whole proof went to a wrong direction.*

3. Reorganize. Check whether your proof logic is a "chain".

- *The order of proof writing is not the same as the way you think. So, make it readable for reader.*

4. Summarize the cited or too detailed steps. Also be short and concise logically.

- *I used implicit function theorem to show that each micro-step in update mapping S is continuously differentiable. However, it is unnecessary to put such detailed thing in the proof.*
- *The way I showed $\nabla S = -(L + D)^{-1}L^T$ is exactly the same as reference. Just cite the reference.*