## Graphic Lasso: Self-Consistency

Jiaxin Hu

February 14, 2021

## 1 Noiseless case

Consider the noiseless case

$$\mathcal{Y} = f(\Theta)$$
.

where  $\Theta = \mathcal{C} \times_1 M_1 \times_2 \cdots \times_K M_K$ , and  $f(\cdot)$  is an entry-wise link function. Suppose we have the following optimization problem.

$$\max_{\Theta = \mathcal{C} \times_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \times_2 \dots \times_K \mathbf{M}_K} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\Theta) = \langle \mathcal{Y}, \Theta \rangle - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} g(\Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_K}). \tag{1}$$

**Lemma 1** (Noiseless estimation). Let  $\{C, M_k\}$  denote the true parameters and  $\{\hat{C}, \hat{M}_k\}$  are the estimation which maximizes the loss function. Suppose  $g(\cdot)$  is a convex function with bounded second derivative  $\sup_x g''(x) \leq a$ , and  $\max_{r_1,\ldots,r_K} |(g')^{-1}(f(c_{r_1,\ldots,r_K}))| \leq C$ , where C is a positive constant depends on C. Assume the minimal gap between blocks is strictly larger than 0, i.e.,  $\delta > 0$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(MCR(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k, \boldsymbol{M}_k) \ge \epsilon) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We prove the accuracy in following steps.

1. With given membership matrix  $\hat{M}_k$ , the estimate  $\hat{C}$  is

$$\hat{c}_{r_1,...,r_K}(\hat{M}_k) = (g')^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\prod_k d_k \prod_k \hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)}} [f(\mathcal{C}) \times_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \hat{\mathbf{M}}_1^T \times_2 \cdots \times_K \mathbf{M}_K \mathbf{M}_K^T]_{r_1,...,r_K} \right).$$

Note that the estimation  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  depends on  $\hat{M}_k$ . Therefore, we denote the estimation as  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{M}_k) = [\hat{c}_{r_1,\dots,r_K}(\hat{M}_k)]$ .

2. We define some useful functions. First, we define

$$F(\hat{\pmb{M}}_k) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{\pmb{M}}_k), \hat{\pmb{M}}_k) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \prod_k d_k \prod_k \hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)} h(g'(\hat{c}_{r_1, \dots, r_K}(\hat{\pmb{M}}_k))),$$

where 
$$h(x) = x(g')^{-1}(x) - g((g')^{-1}(x))$$
.

Note that  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\hat{M}_k)$  does not include the randomness. Thus, we have  $g'(\hat{c}_{r_1,\dots,r_K}(\hat{M}_k)) = \mathbb{E}\left[g'(\hat{c}_{r_1,\dots,r_K}(\hat{M}_k))\right]$ , and

$$G(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k) = \sum_{r_1,\dots,r_K} \prod_k d_k \prod_k \hat{p}_{r_k}^{(k)} h(\mathbb{E}\left[g'(\hat{c}_{r_1,\dots,r_K}(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k))\right]) = F(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k),$$

which implies that there does not exist the estimation error.

Note that for true membership, we have

$$F(\mathbf{M}_k) = G(\mathbf{M}_k) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{M}_k), \mathbf{M}_k),$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(M_k) = (g')^{-1}(f(\mathcal{C}))$  is not equal to the true core tensor  $\mathcal{C}$ .

3. We only need to consider the classification error. Under the assumptions of the positive minimal gap and the boundedness of the second derivative of g, when  $MCR(\hat{M}_k, M_k) \geq \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$G(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_k) - G(\mathbf{M}_k) \le -\frac{\epsilon}{4a} \tau^{K-1} \delta.$$

4. Since  $\{\hat{\mathcal{C}}\hat{M}_k, \hat{M}_k\}$  is the maximizer of the loss function, we have

$$0 \le F(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k) - F(\boldsymbol{M}_k) = G(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k) - G(\boldsymbol{M}_k).$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}(MCR(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k, \boldsymbol{M}_k) \ge \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(G(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_k) - G(\boldsymbol{M}_k) \le -\frac{\epsilon}{4a}\tau^{K-1}\delta) = 0.$$

Remark 1. The lemma 1 implies that the true membership  $M_k$  is the maximizer of the function  $G(M_k')$ . Due to the noiselessness,  $G(M_k') = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}(M_k'), M_k')$ , and  $\{\hat{\mathcal{C}}(M_k), M_k\}$  is the maximizer of the noiseless loss function. However, the true parameter  $\{\mathcal{C}, M_k\}$  is not the maximizer of the noiseless loss function, since  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(M_k) \neq \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, we conclude that the loss function (1) is self-consistent to  $\{\hat{\mathcal{C}}(M_k), M_k\}$  but not self-consistent to  $\Theta$ .