Precision clustering vs Community detection

Jiaxin Hu

July 8, 2021

1 Some thoughts about precision clustering

Intuitively, the misclassification rate of the MLE of the clustering, \hat{z} , should decrease as the number of categories K increasing, e.g., $\mathbb{P}(MCR(\hat{z},z^*)=1)$ decreases as $K\to\infty$. Note that we have four blocks of parameter in the model: clustering assignment z (or membership matrix M), degree-corrected parameter for each category u_k (or the diagonal matrix U), intercept matrix Θ_0 , factor matrix Θ_r . For fixed number of groups R, there two possible explanation for the accuracy improvement:

- 1. (direct improvement) given other parameters u_k, Θ_0, Θ_r , the accuracy of \hat{z} increases due to the increment of K;
- 2. (indirect improvement) the increment of K benefits the estimation of u_k, Θ_0, Θ_r , and thereof improves the estimation of \hat{z} .

The following example indicates that the community detection (biclustering, or tensor clustering) takes advantage of the "direct improvement" while precision clustering does not enjoy the "direct improvement". Without the loss of generality, we let the dimension of precision matrices is 1 and thus precision clustering is equal to an 1-dimensional clustering.

Example 1. Consider the case R=2 and 2K independent categories $X_1,...,X_K,X_{K+1},...,X_{2K}$, where $z^*(k)=1$ for k=1,...,K and $z^*(k)=2$ for k=K+1,...,2K. Suppose we have a new category X_{2K+1} , and we want to estimate the assignment $z^*(2K+1)$. Assume we know the new category X_{2K+1} belongs to the group 1, i.e., $z^*(2K+1)=1$ and the degree-corrected parameters are known.

In **community detection**, suppose the in-community connection p and between-community connection q are known. With new category X_{2K+1} , we have new 4K entries in the adjacent matrix, $A_{i,2K+1}$ and $A_{2K+1,i}$, for i = 1, ..., 2K. Then, the probability for the MLE $\hat{z}(2K+1)$ misclassifies is

$$\mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)=1}(\hat{z}(2K+1)=2) = \mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)}\left[\mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=2,p,q|A) > \mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=1,p,q|A)\right],$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)=1}$ denote the true probability measure, i.e.,

$$A_{i,2K+1} = A_{2K+1,i} \sim Ber(\theta_i \theta_{2K+1} p), \quad i = 1, ..., K$$

 $A_{i,2K+1} = A_{2K+1,i} \sim Ber(\theta_i \theta_{2K+1} q), \quad i = K+1, ..., 2K,$

and $\mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=r,p,q|A), r=1,2$ denote the likelihood with parameter p,q and the data A if X_{2k+1} belongs to group r. Note that \mathcal{L} is a function of $A_{i,2K+1}$ and $A_{2K+1,i}$ which implies the comparison between $\mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=2,p,q|A) > \mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=1,p,q|A)$ is related to the categories $X_1,...,X_{2K}$. Thus, the misclassification probability of the new category depends on previous 2K categories in biclustering. Specifically, the equation (21) in Gao et al. (2018) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)=1}(z(2K+1)=2) \le 2\exp\left(-\theta_{2K+1}K(\sqrt{p}-\sqrt{q})^2\right)$$

In **precision clustering**, suppose the group 1 follows $N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ and group 2 follows $N(0, \sigma_2^2)$. With new category X_{2K+1} , we have one new data point X_{2K+1} . The the probability for the MLE $\hat{z}(2K+1)$ misclassifies is

$$\mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)=1}(\hat{z}(2K+1)=2) = \mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)}\left[\mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=2,\sigma_1,\sigma_2|X_k) > \mathcal{L}(z(2K+1)=2,\sigma_1,\sigma_2|X_k)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)}\left[\ell(\sigma_2|X_{2K+1}) > \ell(\sigma_1|X_{2K+1})\right],$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{z^*(2K+1)=1}$ denote the true probability measure, i.e., $X_{2K+1} \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$, $\ell(\sigma|X)$ denote the likelihood function for data $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and the second equation follows by the fact the $X_1, ..., X_{2K+1}$ are independent. Thus, the misclassification probability of the new category does not depend on previous 2K categories with given true parameters in precision clustering.

Hence, I suspects that precision clustering may not achieve the exponential rate in K as biclustering or tensor clustering, since precision clustering can only reflect the "indirect improvement" from K.

2 Special example

References

Gao, C., Ma, Z., Zhang, A. Y., and Zhou, H. H. (2018). Community detection in degree-corrected block models. The Annals of Statistics, 46(5):2153–2185.