Solution to "Chapter 2: Basic tail and concentration bounds"

Jiaxin Hu

July 9, 2020

1 Summary

Theorem 1.1 (Markov's inequality). Suppose $X \geq 0$ is a random variable with finite mean, we have

 $\mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le \frac{E[X]}{t}, \quad \forall \ t > 0.$

Theorem 1.2 (Chebyshev's inequality). Suppose $X \ge 0$ is a random variable with finite mean μ and finite variance, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \ge t) \le \frac{var(X)}{t^2}, \quad \forall \ t > 0.$$

Theorem 1.3 (Markov's inequality for polynomial moments). Suppose the random variable X has a central moment of order k. Applying Markov's inequality to the random variable $|X - \mu|^k$ yields

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X - \mu|^k]}{t^k}, \quad \forall \ t > 0.$$

Theorem 1.4 (Chernoff bound). Suppose the random variable X has a moment generating function in the neighborhood of 0, i.e. $\varphi_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] < +\infty, \forall \lambda \in (-b,b), b > 0$. Applying Markov's inequality to the random variable $Y = e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}$ yields

$$\mathbb{P}((X - \mu) \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda t}}.$$

Optimizing the choice of λ for the tightest bound yields the Chernoff bound

$$\mathbb{P}((X - \mu) \ge t) \le \inf_{\lambda \in [0, b)} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda t}}.$$

Theorem 1.5 (Hoeffding bound for bounded variable). Consider a random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$, and such that $X \in [a,b]$ almost surely, where a,b are two constants. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le e^{\frac{s(b-a)^2}{8}}.$$

Particularly, the variable $X \sim subG(\frac{(b-a)^2}{4})$.

Proof. See Exercise 2.4.

2 Exercises

2.1 Exercise 2.1

(Tightness of inequalities.) The Markov and Chebyshev's inequalities can not be improved in general.

- (a) Provide a random variable $X \geq 0$ for which Markov's inequality (1.1) is met with equality.
- (b) Provide a random variable Y for which Chebyshev's inequality (1.2) is met with equality.

Solution:

(a) Recall the proof of Markov's inequality. For any t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^t x f_X(x) dx + \int_t^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx \ge \int_t^{+\infty} x f_X(x) \ge t \int_t^{+\infty} f_X(x) = t \mathbb{P}(X \ge t). \quad (1)$$

If Markov's inequality meets the equality, the inequality (1) meets the equality.

Consider a variable X with distribution P(X = 0) = 1. For any t > 0, the variable X satisfies

$$\int_0^t x f_X(x) dx = 0 \text{ and } \int_t^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx = \int_t^{+\infty} t f_X(x) dx.$$

Therefore, for variable X, the Markov's inequality is met with equality.

(b) Chebyshev's inequality follows by applying Markov's inequality to the non-negative random variable $Y = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2$. Let the distribution of Y be $\mathbb{P}(Y = 0) = 1$. Then the Markov's inequality for Y and the Chebyshev's inequality for X meet the equalities. By transformation, the distribution of random variable X is $\mathbb{P}(X = \mathbb{E}[X]) = 1$. Therefore, for any random variable X with distribution $\mathbb{P}(X = c) = 1, c \in \mathbb{R}$, the Chebyshev's inequality is met with equality.

2.2 Exercise 2.2

Lemma 1 (Standard normal distribution). Let $\phi(z)$ be the density function of a standard normal $Z \sim N(0,1)$ variable. Then,

$$\phi'(z) + z\phi(z) = 0, (2)$$

and

$$\phi(z)(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z^3}) \le \mathbb{P}(Z \ge z) \le \phi(z)(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{3}{z^5}), \quad \text{for all } z > 0.$$
 (3)

Proof. First, we prove the equation (2). The pdf of standard normal distribution $\phi(z)$ satisfies

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2}); \quad \phi'(z) = -z \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2}) = -z\phi(z).$$

Next, we prove the equation (3). Using equation (2), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \ge z) = \int_{z}^{+\infty} \phi(t)dt = \int_{z}^{+\infty} -\frac{1}{t}\phi'(t)dt = \frac{1}{z}\phi(z) - \int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2}}\phi(t)dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{z}\phi(z) + \int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{3}}\phi'(t)dt = \frac{1}{z}\phi(z) - \frac{1}{z^{3}}\phi(z) + \int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{3}{t^{4}}\phi(t)dt$$

Since $\frac{3}{t^4}\phi(t) \geq 0$, therefore $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq z) \geq \phi(z)(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z^3})$. On the other hand,

$$\int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{3}{t^{4}} \phi(t) dt = \int_{z}^{+\infty} -\frac{3}{t^{5}} \phi'(t) dt = \frac{3}{z^{5}} \phi(z) - \int_{z}^{+\infty} \frac{15}{t^{6}} \phi(t) dt \le \frac{3}{z^{5}} \phi(z).$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(Z \ge z) \le \phi(z)(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{3}{z^5}).$

2.3 Exercise 2.3

Lemma 2 (Polynomial bound and Chernoff bound). Suppose $X \geq 0$, and that the moment generating function of X exists in the neighborhood of θ . Given some $\delta > 0$ and integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k} \le \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}.$$

Consequently, an optimized bound based on polynomial moments is always at least as good as the Chernoff upper bound.

Proof. By power series, we have

$$e^{\lambda X} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{X^k \lambda^k}{k!}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (4)

Since the moment generating function $\varphi_X(\lambda)$ exists in the neighbor hood of 0, there exists a constant b > 0 such that

$$\inf_{\lambda>0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda \delta}} = \inf_{\lambda \in (0,b)} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda \delta}} < +\infty.$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of the power series (4) yields

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] \lambda^k}{k!} < +\infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, b).$$

Therefore, the moment $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] < +\infty$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ exists. Applying the power serious to $e^{\lambda \delta}$, we obtain the result

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]}{\delta^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^k]\lambda^k}{k!}}{\frac{\lambda^k \delta^k}{k!}} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda \delta}}.$$

2.4 Exercise 2.4

In Exercise 2.4, we prove theorem 1.5, Hoeffding bound for bounded variable. Consider a random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{R}[X]$ and such that $a \leq X \leq b$ almost surely. Define the function

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}].$$

We apply Taylor Expansion of $\varphi(\lambda)$ at 0.

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)\lambda + \frac{\varphi''(\lambda_0)}{2}\lambda^2, \quad \lambda_0 = t\lambda, \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1].$$
 (5)

In equation (5), the term $\varphi(0) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^0] = 0$. For the first-order derivative $\varphi'(\lambda)$, we apply the power series and have

$$\varphi'(\lambda) = \left(\log \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^k X^k}{k!}\right]\right)' = \left(\log \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k]\right)'$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k] / \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^{(k+1)}] / \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k]$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}[Xe^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}$$

Therefore, $\varphi'(0) = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$. For the second-order derivative $\varphi''(\lambda)$,

$$\begin{split} \varphi''(\lambda) &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^{(k+1)}] \middle/ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k] \right)' \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^{(k+2)}] \middle/ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k] - \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^{(k+1)}] \middle/ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^k] \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2 e^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} - \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[X e^{\lambda X}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]}\right)^2 \\ &\stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[X])^2 \end{split}$$

Therefore, the second-order derivative $\varphi''(\lambda)$ can be interpreted as the variance of X with a reweighted distribution $dP' = \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} dP_X$, where P_X is the distribution of X. Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dP' = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} dP_X = 1,$$

the function P' is indeed a distribution. Under any distribution, we always have

$$var(X) = var(X - \frac{a+b}{2}) \le \mathbb{E}[(X - \frac{a+b}{2})^2] = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.$$

Back to the equation (5),

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)\lambda + \frac{\varphi''(\lambda_0)}{2}\lambda^2 \le 0 + \lambda\mu + \frac{(b-a)^2}{8}\lambda^2$$

Taking exponential on both sides of the inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \exp(\varphi(\lambda)) \le e^{\mu\lambda + \frac{(b-a)^2}{8}\lambda^2}.$$
 (6)

The equation (6) implies that X is a sub-Gaussian variable with at most $\sigma = \frac{(b-a)}{2}$.

2.5 Exercise 2.5

Lemma 3 (Sub-Gaussian bounds and means/variance). Consider a random variable X such that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} + \mu \lambda}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7}$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and $var(X) \leq \sigma^2$.

Proof. First, by equation (7), the moment generating function of X exists, and thus the mean and variance of X exist. Applying power series on both sides of equation (7),

$$\lambda \mathbb{E}[X] + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X^2] + o(\lambda^2) \le \mu \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2}{2} + o(\lambda^2). \tag{8}$$

Dividing by $\lambda > 0$ on both sides of equation (8) and letting $\lambda \to 0^+$, we have $\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \mu$; dividing dividing by $\lambda < 0$ on both sides of equation (8) and letting $\lambda \to 0^-$, we have $\mathbb{E}(X) \geq \mu$. Therefore, the mean $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$. Similarly, we subtract $\mathbb{E}[X]\lambda$ and $\mu\lambda$ and divide $\frac{2}{\lambda^2}$ on both sides of equation (8). We have $\mathbb{E}[X^2] \leq \sigma^2 + \mu^2$, and thus $var(X) \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2 = \sigma^2$.

Question: Suppose the smallest possible σ satisfying the inequality (7) is chosen. Is it true that $var(X) = \sigma^2$?

Solution: The statement that $var(X) = \sigma^2$ is not always true. Recall the function $\varphi(\lambda)$ in exercise 2.4. By the results in exercise 2.4, the equation (7) is equal to

$$\varphi''(\lambda) \le \sigma^2, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\varphi''(\lambda)$ is the variance of X with with a re-weighted distribution $dP' = \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]} dP_X$, where P_X is the distribution of X. If the statement that $var(X) = \sigma^2$ is true, then $\max_{\lambda} \varphi''(\lambda) = \varphi''(0)$, which is not always true. A counter example is below.

Consider a random variable $X \sim Ber(1/3)$ with var(X) = 2/9. Let $\lambda = 1$. The re-weighted distribution dP' is

$$P'(X=0) = \frac{2}{3\mathbb{E}[e^X]}; \quad P'(X=1) = \frac{e}{3\mathbb{E}[e^X]}, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{E}[e^X] = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{e}{3}.$$

Therefore, the variance of X with dP' is $\frac{2}{3\mathbb{E}[e^X]} \times \frac{e}{3\mathbb{E}[e^X]} = 0.2442 > 2/9$. Therefore, the smallest possible σ^2 is strictly larger than var(X) in this case.