

Changes in the final manuscript

This file summarizes the changes in the final manuscript compared with the submission after the second-round revision. We list the changes by types and code the modifications by red color. See the color-coded manuscript “ieeefinalcolorcode.pdf” for all detailed modifications.

Explanation and statement modifications.

1. We have revised the explanations for the boundedness constraints c_3, c_4 in Page 4:

“... Third, the constant c_3 requires that all slides in \mathcal{S} have non-degenerate norm. Particularly, the lower bound c_3 excludes the no purely zero slide case to avoid trivial non-identifiability of model (2); see Example 2 below. The upper bound c_4 is a technical constraint to avoid the slides with unbounded norm as dimension grows; in practice, the constraint $\max_a: \|\text{Mat}(\mathcal{S})_a\| \leq c_4$ would likely never be active with a large $c_4 \geq \|\mathcal{Y}\|_F$”

2. We have corrected the conclusions related to $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}^2$ in Theorem 2:

“... Further, we define the parameter space $\mathcal{P}'(\gamma') := \mathcal{P} \cap \{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = p^{\gamma'}\}$, where $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}^2$ is the mean tensor minimal gap in (9). When $\gamma' < -(K-1)$, we have

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{z}_{\text{stat}}} \sup_{(z, \theta, \mathcal{S}) \in \mathcal{P}'(\gamma')} \mathbb{E} [p\ell(\hat{z}_{\text{stat}}, z)] \geq 1.”$$

Related discussion in Page 6 and the Proof of Theorem 2 in Appendix D are also revised correspondly.

Minor technical condition modifications.

1. We have added the ranges of the number of communities $r \geq 2$ (or $r \geq 1$), order $K \geq 2$, and dimension $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the statements of Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, Lemma 1, Corollary 1, Proposition 1 in the main text, and the Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, Lemmas 8, 11, 12, 13 in the Appendices. We take the modification in Theorem 4 as a typical example here:

“Consider the general sub-Gaussian dTBM with fixed $r \geq 1, K \geq 2$, i.i.d. noise ...”

“...With probability going to 1 as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we have ...”

“...We have ... with probability going to 1 as $p \rightarrow \infty$”

2. We have clarified the technical assumptions in Lemma 1 and Theorems 3, 5.

In Lemma 1, we have added the lower bound of degree θ and removed the Assumption 1:

“Consider the dTBM model (2) under the parameter space \mathcal{P} in (3) with $r \geq 2$. Suppose θ is balanced satisfying (7) and $\min_{i \in [p]} \theta(i) \geq c$ from some constant $c > 0$. Then, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, for all i, j such that $z(i) \neq z(j)$, we have ...”

In Theorems 3 and 5, we have clarified the linear local stability condition:

“... Assume the local linear stability of degree holds in the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(z, \varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon \leq E_0$ and some $E_0 \geq \check{C} \log^{-1} p$ with some positive constant \check{C}”

Proof modifications.

1. We have added discussions of extreme cases with $r = 1$ in the Proofs of Theorem 1, 4, and 5.

In the Proof of Theorem 1, we have added following statements:

“... if the model (27) violates Assumption 2. Note that $\Delta_{\min}^2 = 1$ when there exists a $k \in [K]$ such that $r_k = 1$. Hence, we consider the case that $r_k \geq 2$ for all $k \in [K]$. Without loss of generality, ...

First, we show the uniqueness of \mathbf{M}_k for all $k \in [K]$. When $r_k = 1$, all possible \mathbf{M}_k is equal to the vector $\mathbf{1}_{p_k}$, and the uniqueness holds trivially. Hence, we consider the case that $r_k \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we consider $k = 1$ with $r_1 \geq 2$ and show the uniqueness of the first mode membership matrix; ...”

In the Proofs of Theorem 4 and 5, we have added following statement:

“For the case $r = 1$, we have $\ell(z^{(t)}, z) = 0$ trivially for all $t \geq 0$. Hence, we focus on the proof of the first mode clustering $z_1^{(t+1)}$ with $r \geq 2$; ...”

2. We have revised the Proofs of Lemma 1 and 9 for better presentations.

In Proof of Lemma 1, we showed the equivalence between mean tensor and core tensor minimal gaps via the cosine terms:

... “Then, we have

$$\cos(\mathbf{S}_{z_1(i):}, \mathbf{S}_{z_1(j):}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{S}_{z_1(i):}, \mathbf{S}_{z_1(j):} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{S}_{z_1(i):}\| \|\mathbf{S}_{z_1(j):}\|} = (1+o(1)) \frac{\langle \mathbf{X}_{i:}, \mathbf{X}_{j:} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{X}_{i:}\| \|\mathbf{X}_{j:}\|} = (1+o(1)) \cos(\mathbf{X}_{i:}, \mathbf{X}_{j:}),$$

where the second inequality follows by the balance assumption on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$”

In Proof of Lemma 9, we used a more classical textbook result to upper bound maximal inner product between low-rank tensor and random noise tensor:

“... Consider the SVD for matrix $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T$ with orthogonal matrices $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 2r}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2r}$ and diagonal matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{2r \times 2r}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \text{rank}(\mathbf{T}) \leq 2r, \|\mathbf{T}\|_F = 1} \langle \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \rangle &= \sup_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \text{rank}(\mathbf{T}) \leq 2r, \|\mathbf{T}\|_F = 1} \langle \mathbf{U}\Sigma, \mathbf{E}\mathbf{V} \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2nr}} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{e} \leq C\sigma\sqrt{nr}, \end{aligned}$$

with probability $1 - \exp(-C_2 nr)$, where C, C_2 are two positive constants, the vectorization $\mathbf{e} = \text{Vec}(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2nr}$ has independent mean-zero sub-Gaussian entries with bounded variance σ^2 due to the orthogonality of \mathbf{V} , and the last inequality follows from Rigollet and Hütter (2015, Theorem 1.19). ...”