

Graphic Lasso: Scaled membership (Simple Case)

Jiixin Hu

March 24, 2021

1 Simple case

Consider the model in which K categories share the same precision matrix structure with different magnitude. The optimization problem is stated below:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\{u, \Theta\}} \quad & \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \Omega^k = u_k \Theta, \quad k = 1, \dots, K, \\ & u_k \geq a, \|u\|_F = 1, \quad a > 0, \\ & \Theta \text{ is positive definite with, and } \tau_1 < \varphi_{\min}(\Theta) \leq \varphi_{\max}(\Theta) < \tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. I just use $\|u\|_F = 1$ for simplicity. Later, we should use $\|u\|_F = K$

Lemma 1 (Precision matrix Accuracy). *Let $\{u, \Theta\}$ denote the true parameters. Consider a estimation $\{\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}\}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta)$. With probability tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have the accuracy*

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k \right\|_F = \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} - u_k \Theta \right\|_F \leq 4\tau_2^2 C \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}}$$

Remark 2. It is weird that there is no K in the accuracy rate.

Proof. **Step I (Decomposition):**

Considering the objective difference between the estimator and the true parameters, we define the following function.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) &= \mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) - \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} \rangle - \langle S^k, u_k \Theta \rangle - \log \det(\hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta) \\ &= G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) + G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, u_k (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta) \rangle - \log \det(u_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta), \\ G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \rangle - \log \hat{u}_k / u_k. \end{aligned}$$

Consider G_1 . Let $\Delta = \hat{\Theta} - \Theta$. By Taylor Expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\log \det(u_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta) &\geq -\langle (u_k \Theta)^{-1}, u_k \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{2u_k^2 \tau_2^2 + \|u_k \Delta\|_F^2} \|u_k \Delta\|_F^2, \\ &\geq -\langle u_k^{-1} \Sigma, u_k \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_F^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the first inequality uses the fact that $\varphi_{\max}(u_k \Theta) \leq u_k \tau_2$. Plugging the inequality (1) into G_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k - u_k^{-1} \Sigma, u_k \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_F^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2 \\ &= \langle \sum_{k=1}^K u_k S^k - K \Sigma, \Delta \rangle + \frac{K}{2\tau_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_F^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we bound the difference between the sample covariance matrix and the true covariance matrix. Let $X_1^k, \dots, X_n^k \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}_p(0, \Sigma/u_k), k = 1, \dots, K$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K u_k S_{jl}^k = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left((\sqrt{u_k} X_{ij}^k)(\sqrt{u_k} X_{il}^k) - (\sqrt{u_k} X_{.j}^k)(\sqrt{u_k} X_{.l}^k) \right).$$

Since $\sqrt{u_k} X_i^k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{nK} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{u_k} X_{ij}^k)(\sqrt{u_k} X_{il}^k) - \Sigma_{jl} \right| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{nK}}, \quad (2)$$

with high probability. Therefore, we have a lower bound of G_1 , which is

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) &\geq \frac{K}{2\tau_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_F^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2 - C\sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta\|_1 \\ &\geq H_1 - H_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \frac{K}{2\tau_2^2 + \|\Delta\|_F^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2, \\ H_2 &= C\sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}} \|\Delta\|_F. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Consider G_2 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} -\log \hat{u}_k / u_k &= -\log \det(\hat{u}_k \Theta) + \log \det(u_k \Theta) \\ &\geq -\langle u_k^{-1} \Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \Theta \rangle + \frac{1}{2u_k^2 \tau_2^2 + (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2} (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Plugging the inequality (4) into G_2 , we have

$$G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq H_1 + H_2 + H_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k - u_k^{-1} \Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \rangle, \\ H_4 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle u_k^{-1} \Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta) \rangle, \\ H_5 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{2u_k^2 \tau_2^2 + (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2} (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

For H_3 , similarly in G_1 , we can bound the difference between the sample covariance and the true covariance matrix. With bound (2), we have

$$|H_3| \leq \sum_{k=1}^K C \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}} \|(\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta}\|_F. \quad (5)$$

For H_4 , by the property of dual norm and inner product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_4| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \|u_k^{-1} \Sigma\|_2 \|(\hat{u}_k - u_k) \Delta\|_* \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\sqrt{p}}{u_k \tau_1} |\hat{u}_k - u_k| \|\Delta\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

For H_5 , we have

$$H_5 \geq \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{2u_k^2 \tau_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2} (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2.$$

Step II (Assumption):

By assumption, we have $\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq G(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) = G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) + G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \\ &\geq H_1 + H_5 - H_2 - |H_3| + H_4. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H_2, |H_3| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, a sufficient condition for the estimation $\{\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}\}$ to satisfy the assumption is that

$$\|\Delta\|_F^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Problem here! I expect that the condition (6) is a necessary condition, but I can not rigorously prove it since we do not know the sign of H_4 . If H_4 always keep negative, $\|\Delta\|_F^2, \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ may also lead to $0 \geq G$. In the following proof, we assume the estimation satisfies the condition (6).

Suppose the estimation $\{\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}\}$ satisfies the condition (6). Then, for n large enough, we have

$$H_1 \geq \frac{K}{4\tau_2^2} \|\Delta\|_F^2, \quad (7)$$

$$H_5 \geq \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{4u_k^2 \tau_2^2} (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \left\| \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F^2.$$

Note that $\sum_{k=1}^K u_k^2 = 1$ and by Jensen's inequality we have $\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{u_k^2} \geq K \times K / \sum_{k=1}^K u_k^2 = K^2 \geq K$. Similarly, we have $\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{u_k} \geq \sqrt{K}$.

Two conjecture inequalities:

Since all elements $(\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2$ are near 0, we have

$$H_5 \geq \frac{K}{4\tau_2^2} \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \left\| \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F^2, \quad (8)$$

$$H_4 \approx \frac{\sqrt{K}}{2\tau_2^2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \|\Delta\|_F. \quad (9)$$

Step III(Combination):

Combine all the ingredients (7), (3), (5), (9), and (8) together. We finally have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq H_1 + H_5 + H_4 - H_2 - |H_3| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\tau_2^2} \left\{ K \|\Delta\|_F^2 + K \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \left\| \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F^2 + 2\sqrt{K} \|\Delta\|_F \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right\} \\ &\quad - C \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}} \left[\sqrt{K} \|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\tau_2^2} \left[\sqrt{K} \|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right]^2 - C \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}} \left[\sqrt{K} \|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the accuracy rate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k \right\|_F &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} - u_k \Theta \right\|_F \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K u_k \|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \\ &\leq \sqrt{K} \|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \\ &\leq 4\tau_2^2 C \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

□