

Graphic Lasso: Possible Accuracy for Multi-Layer Model

Jiaxin Hu

January 5, 2021

1 A simple extension

Let $Q(\Omega) = \text{tr}(S\Omega) - \log |\Omega|$. Assume the rank of decomposition r is known. Consider the constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathcal{C}} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^K [Q(\Omega^k)] \quad \text{Under what condition, the collection of latent parameters} \\ & \{\Theta_0, \dots, \Theta_r, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\} \\ & \text{can be identified from } \Omega? \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \Omega^k = \Theta_0 + \sum_{l=1}^r u_{lk} \Theta_l, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, K, \\ & \|\Theta_l\|_0 \leq b, \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, r, \\ & \|\Theta_0\|_0 \leq b_0, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, b_0 are fixed positive constants, $\|\cdot\|_0$ refers to the vector L_0 norm, and $\|\cdot\|_0$ refers to the matrix L_0 norm. For simplicity, let $\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \{\hat{\Theta}_0, \hat{\Theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\Theta}_r, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_r\}$ denote the estimation, and $\hat{\Omega}^k = \hat{\Theta}_0 + \sum_{l=1}^r \hat{u}_{lk} \hat{\Theta}_l$ for $k = 1, \dots, K$.

For true precision matrices Ω^k , let $T^k = \{(j, j') | \omega_{j,j'}^k \neq 0\}$ and $q^k = |T^k|$. Let $T = T^1 \cup \dots \cup T^K$ and $q = |T|$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose two assumptions hold. Let $\{\Omega^k\}$ denote the true precision matrices. For the estimation $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^K [Q(\hat{\Omega}^k)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^K [Q(\Omega^k)]$ and satisfies the constraints, the following accuracy bound holds with probability tending to 1.*

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \|\hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k\|_F = \mathcal{O}_p \left[\left\{ \frac{(p+q) \log p}{n} \right\}^{1/2} \right].$$

Proof. Let Ω^k denote the true precision matrices for $k = 1, \dots, K$. Consider the estimation $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^K [Q(\hat{\Omega}^k)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^K [Q(\Omega^k)]$. Let $\Delta^k = \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k$. Define the function

$$G(\{\Delta^k\}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \text{tr}(S(\Omega^k + \Delta^k)) - \text{tr}(\Omega^k) - \log |\Omega^k + \Delta^k| + \log |\Omega^k| = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \sum_{k=1}^K \text{tr}((S^k - \Sigma^k) \Delta^k), \quad I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^K (\tilde{\Delta}^k)^T \int_0^1 (1-v)(\Omega^k + v\Delta^k)^{-1} \otimes (\Omega^k + v\Delta^k)^{-1} dv \tilde{\Delta}^k.$$

With probability tending to 1, we have

$$I_1 \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\log p}{n} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left(|\Delta_{T^k}^k|_1 + |\Delta_{T^{k,c}}^k|_1 \right) + C_2 \left(\frac{p \log p}{n} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_F, \quad I_2 \geq \frac{1}{4\tau_2^2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_F^2.$$

Note that $|\Delta_{T^k}^k|_1 \leq q^{1/2} \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_F$. Then, we only need to deal with $|\Delta_{T^{k,c}}^k|_1$. Rewrite the term, we have

$$|\Delta_{T^{k,c}}^k|_1 = |\hat{\Theta}_{0,T^{k,c}} + \hat{u}_{1k} \hat{\Theta}_{1,T^{k,c}} + \cdots + \hat{u}_{rk} \hat{\Theta}_{r,T^{k,c}}|_1 \leq (b_0 + rb) \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_{\max} \leq (b_0 + rb) \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_F.$$

Then, by Guo et al, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \Delta^k \right\|_F = \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k \right\|_F = \mathcal{O}_p \left[\left\{ \frac{(p+q) \log p}{n} \right\}^{1/2} \right]. \quad (1)$$

□

Remark 1. Note that q can be replaced by $\max_k q^k$, where $q^k \leq (b_0 + rb)$ for all $k = 1, \dots, K$. Also, the accuracy (1) holds when q^k are fixed. Otherwise, the accuracy is of order $\mathcal{O}_p \left[q \left\{ \frac{\log p}{n} \right\}^{1/2} \right]$.