Graphic Lasso: Scaled membership with intercept

Span(U) orthogonal Span (intercept)

Jiaxin Hu

k=1,..., 4: Theta_0+ mu1 Theta k=5: Theta_0 + mu2 Theta

4' for each I: sum_{k} mu_{kl} = 0

April 5, 2021 mu_1, mu_2 > 0

==> Theta0' = Theta0+mu1Theta

k=1,... 4: Theta0'+0*0 k=5,: Theta0' + 1*differnce

1 Identifiability

Consider the model

$$\Omega^k = \Theta^0 + \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \Theta^l, \quad k \in [\text{Mitercept_U}][\text{Theta_0, Theta}]$$
 rank([intercept, U]) = r+1

Let $U = [u_{kl}] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times r}$ be the membership matrix and u_l denote the l-th column of U. Let $I_l = \{k : u_{kl} \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 1 (Identifiability of scaled membership model with intercept). Suppose the parameter (U, Θ^l) satisfies the following condition.

- 1. $\Theta^0, \Theta^1, ..., \Theta^l$ are positive definite with bounded singular values, i.e., $0 < \tau_1 \le \min_{l=0,1,...r} \varphi_{\min}(\Theta^l) \le \max_{l=0,1,...r} \varphi_{\max}(\Theta^l) \le \tau_2 < \infty$.
- 2. $\Theta^l, l = 0, 1, ..., r$ are irreducible in the sense that $\Theta^l \neq C\Theta^{l'}$ for any pair l, l' and for any constant C.
- 3. The columns of U are non-overlap, with $||u_l||_F = 1$.
- 4. For all $l \in [r]$, there exists at least one $u_{kl}, k \in I_l$ has different values with other non-zero entries, i.e., there exists a pair $k, k' \in I_l$ such that $u_{k'l} \neq u_{kl}$. span(U) != Span (intercept)

Then, the parameters in model (1) are identifiable.

[intercept, U] ~ [Intercept, U+span(intercept)]

Proof. We prove the identifiability by cases. Suppose there exists an other set of parameter (0,10) Thetal such that

$$\Omega^k = \tilde{\Theta}^0 + \sum_{l=1}^r \tilde{u}_{kl} \tilde{\Theta}^l,$$

with corresponding \tilde{I}_l .

1. Assume there exists a $l \in [r]$ such that $I_l \neq \tilde{I}_l$. Without the loss of generality, we assume $|I_l| \geq |\tilde{I}_l|$. Then, there exist a pair $k, k' \in I_l$ while $k \in \tilde{I}_l, k' \in \tilde{I}_{l'}$. Then, we have

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{kl}\Theta^{l} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}^{l}$$

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{k'l}\Theta^{l} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{k'l'}\tilde{\Theta}^{l'}.$$

which implies that

$$\Theta^{0} - \tilde{\Theta}^{0} = -u_{kl}\Theta^{l} + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}^{l}$$

$$= -\frac{u_{k'l}}{u_{kl}} \left[u_{kl}\Theta^{l} + \frac{\tilde{u}_{k'l'}u_{kl}}{u_{k'l}}\tilde{\Theta}^{l'} \right]$$
(2)

The equation (2) is valid since $u_{kl} \neq 0$, for all $k \in I_l$. Note that by condition $2 \tilde{\Theta}^l \neq C \tilde{\Theta}^{l'}$ for any constant C. Thus, there exists an index $i \in [p]$ such that the i-th column of $\tilde{\Theta}^l$, $\tilde{\Theta}^{l'}$, denoted $\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{v}'_i$, are linearly independent. Let v_i denote the i-th column of Θ^l . Then we the following two vectors are also linearly independent.

$$-u_{kl}v_i + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{v}_i$$
, and $u_{kl}v_i + \frac{\tilde{u}_{k'l'}u_{kl}}{u_{k'l}}\tilde{v}'_i$,

which contradicts to the equation (2). Hence, for all $l \in [r]$, we have $I_l = \tilde{I}_l$.

- 2. Assume for all $l \in [r]$, we have $I_l = \tilde{I}_l$.
 - (a) Assume there exists a $l \in [r]$ such that $\Theta^l \neq C\tilde{\Theta}^l$ for any constant C. Then, there exists an index $i \in [p]$ such that the columns v_i, \tilde{v}_i of $\Theta^l, \tilde{\Theta}^l$ respectively are linearly independent.

Also, by condition 4, there exist a pair $k, k' \in I_l$ such that $u_{kl} - u_{k'l} \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{kl}\Theta^{l} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}^{l}$$

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{k'l}\Theta^{l} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{k'l}\tilde{\Theta}^{l},$$

which implies that

$$\Theta^0 - \tilde{\Theta}^0 = -u_{kl}\Theta^l + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}^l = -u_{k'l}\Theta^l + \tilde{u}_{k'l}\tilde{\Theta}^l,$$

and

$$[u_{k'l} - u_{kl}]v_i = [\tilde{u}_{k'l} - \tilde{u}_{kl}]\tilde{v}_i. \tag{3}$$

Since $u_{k'l} - u_{kl} \neq 0$, the equation (3) contradicts to the assumption that v_i and \tilde{v}_i are linear independent and $\Theta^l \neq C\tilde{\Theta}^l$. Therefore, for all $l \in [r]$, we have $\Theta^l = C\tilde{\Theta}^l$ for some constant C.

(b) Assume for all $l \in [r]$, we have $\Theta^l = C\tilde{\Theta}^l$ for some constant C. Then, for any pair $l, l' \in [r]$, we have

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{kl}\Theta^{l} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}^{l}$$

$$\Theta^{0} + u_{k'l'}\Theta^{l'} = \tilde{\Theta}^{0} + \tilde{u}_{k'l'}\tilde{\Theta}^{l'},$$

which implies that

$$\Theta^0 - \tilde{\Theta}^0 = (\tilde{u}_{kl} - Cu_{kl})\tilde{\Theta}^l = (\tilde{u}_{k'l'} - Cu_{k'l'})\tilde{\Theta}^{l'}.$$
 (4)

The equation (4) contradicts to the condition 2 if the left hand side $\Theta^0 - \tilde{\Theta}^0$ is not equal to 0. Hence, we have $\Theta^0 = \tilde{\Theta}^0$, and thus $\tilde{u}_{kl} = Cu_{kl}$ for all $k \in I_l$. By condition 3, we have $||u_l||_F = ||\tilde{u}_l||_F = C ||u_l||_F = 1$. Then, we have C = 1 and thus $\Theta^l = C\tilde{\Theta}^l$, $u_{kl} = \tilde{u}_{kl}$.

Therefore, we have shown that the $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\Theta}^l) = (U, \Theta^l)$ and the parameters satisfying the condition 1-4 are identifiable.

2 Accuracy rate

Consider a simple case of model (1) when r = 1. The optimization problem is stated below

$$\begin{split} & \min_{\{u,\Theta\}} \quad \mathcal{L}(u,\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k), \\ & s.t. \quad \Omega^k = \Theta^0 + u_k \Theta, \quad k \in [K], \\ & u_k > 0, \|u\|_F^2 = 1, \\ & u_{k'} \neq u_k, \quad \text{for some } k, k' \in [K] \\ & \Theta^0, \Theta \text{ are positive definite with, and} \\ & \tau_1 < \min\{\varphi_{\min}(\Theta^0), \varphi_{\min}(\Theta)\} \leq \max\{\varphi_{\max}(\Theta^0), \varphi_{\max}(\Theta)\} < \tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0 \end{split}$$