Algorithmic guarantee for sub-Gaussian noise case with squared error loss

Suppose that we observe $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$ and $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3) = \Theta$, with $\Theta = \mathcal{B} \times \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3\}$, where $\mathbf{X}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times p_k}$ is a feature matrix on mode $k \in [3]$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3}$ is unknown low rank tensor to estimate. Consider the following model,

where $\mathcal E$ is a noise tensor whose entries are independently derawn from sub Gaussian distribution and $\mathcal B = \mathcal C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ new noise: $\mathbb C = \mathbb C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ new noise: $\mathbb C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ new noise: $\mathbb C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ noise: $\mathbb C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ noise tensor whose entries are independently derawn from sub Gaussian distribution and Gaussian the squared error loss $\mathcal C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ noise tensor whose entries are independently derawn from sub Gaussian distribution and Gaussian the squared error loss $\mathcal C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ noise tensor whose entries are independently derawn from sub Gaussian distribution and Gaussian deray has a squared error loss $\mathcal C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \in \mathbb R^{r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb R^{p_i \times r_i}$ is a squared error loss $\mathcal C \times \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ for some $\mathcal C \times \{M_1,$

Define

$$\begin{split} \bar{\lambda} &:= \max \left\{ \sigma_{\max} \left(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{B}) \right), \sigma_{\max} \left(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}) \right), \sigma_{\max} \left(\mathcal{M}_3(\mathcal{B}) \right) \right\}, \\ \underline{\lambda} &:= \min \left\{ \sigma_{\min} \left(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{B}) \right), \sigma_{\min} \left(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B}) \right), \sigma_{\min} \left(\mathcal{M}_3(\mathcal{B}) \right) \right\}, \end{split}$$

and $\kappa = \bar{\lambda}/\underline{\lambda}$ can be regarded as a tensor condition number. Here \mathcal{M}_i is the matricization operator with respect to *i*-th mode.

We obtain the initial points $(\mathcal{C}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{M}_1^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{M}_2^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{M}_3^{(0)})$ from the following procedure.

- 1. Let $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y} \times \{ (\boldsymbol{X}_1^T \boldsymbol{X}_1)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_1^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_2^T \boldsymbol{X}_2)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_2^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_3^T \boldsymbol{X}_3)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_3^T \}.$
- 2. Obtain $\mathbf{M}'_i = \text{HeteroPCA}_{r_i} \left(\mathcal{M}_i(\mathcal{Y}') \mathcal{M}_i(\mathcal{Y}')^T \right)$ for i = 1, 2, 3.
- 3. Obtain $C' = \mathcal{Y}' \times \{ (M_1')^T, (M_2')^T, (M_3')^T \}$
- 4. Obtain initial points $(\mathcal{C}^{(0)}, M_1^{(0)}, M_2^{(0)}, M_3^{(0)})$ from scaling with,

$$C^{(0)} = C'/b^3$$
 and $M_i^{(0)} = bM_i'$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. (2)

In this setting, we have the following corollary from Theorem 4.1. in Han et al. [2020].

Corollary 0.1. Suppose we observe $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3}$, where $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{Y}|X_1, X_2, X_3) = \mathcal{C} \times \{X_1M_1, X_2M_2, X_3M_3\}$. Suppose all entries of $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \times \{(X_1^TX_1)^{-1}X_1^T, (X_2^TX_2)^{-1}X_2^T, (X_3^TX_3)^{-1}X_3^T\}$ are independent mean-zero sub-Gaussian random variables such that

$$\sup_{q>1}(\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}'_{ijk}|^q)^{1/q}q^{1/2}\leq\sigma.$$
 E consists of i.i.d. sub-Gaussian.

Assume $\underline{\lambda}/\sigma \geq C_1 p_{\max}^{3/4} r_{\max}^{1/4}$. Then with probability at least $1 - \exp(cp_{\max})$, our algorithm with the above initial points in (2) yields

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\text{true}} - \hat{\mathcal{B}}\|_F^2 \le C_2 \sigma^2 \left(r_1 r_2 r_3 + \sum_{k=1}^3 p_k r_k \right),$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are global constants.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{Y}' := \mathcal{Y} \times \{ (\boldsymbol{X}_1^T \boldsymbol{X}_1)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_1^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_2^T \boldsymbol{X}_2)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_2^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_3^T \boldsymbol{X}_3)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_3^T \},$$

$$\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \times \{ (\boldsymbol{X}_1^T \boldsymbol{X}_1)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_1^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_2^T \boldsymbol{X}_2)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_2^T, (\boldsymbol{X}_3^T \boldsymbol{X}_3)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_3^T \}.$$

Then, we have

$$\underset{\mathcal{B}}{\arg\min} \|\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{B} \times \{\boldsymbol{X}_1, \boldsymbol{X}_2, \boldsymbol{X}_3\}\|_F^2 = \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\arg\min} \|\mathcal{Y}' - \mathcal{B}\|_F^2.$$

Notice that (1) becomes exactly the same setting in [Han et al., 2020, Theorem 4.1] with reparametrization as $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{E}'$.

Remark 1. To make sure that all entries of \mathcal{E}' are independent, rows of X_i should be orthogonal each other. To extend the result to non orthogonal X_i , we need to prove two bounds based on the proof of Theorem 4.1.

$$\|\sin\Theta(\boldsymbol{M}_{i}^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{M}_{i})\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{p_{i}}\underline{\lambda} + \sqrt{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}}}{\underline{\lambda}^{2}}$$
(3)

I have not proved this part (I am not sure how to prove. The main difficulty is that entries of \mathcal{Y}' are not independent unless X_i is orthogonal).

2.

1.

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F < 1}} \langle \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{T} \rangle \leq C \prod_{i=1}^3 \|\boldsymbol{X}_i (\boldsymbol{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{X}_i)^{-1}\|_F \left(\sqrt{r_1 r_2 r_3} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{d_i r_i} \right)$$

Proof. By definition of \mathcal{E}' , we have

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F \leq 1}} \langle \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{T} \rangle = \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3} \\ \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{T}) \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}\|_F \leq 1}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T} \times \{ \boldsymbol{X}_1(\boldsymbol{X}_1^T \boldsymbol{X}_1)^{-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_2(\boldsymbol{X}_2^T \boldsymbol{X}_2)^{-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_3(\boldsymbol{X}_3^T \boldsymbol{X}_3)^{-1} \} \rangle$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^3 \|\boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{X}_i)^{-1}\|_F \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3 \\ \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{T}') \leq (r_1, r_2, r_3) \\ \|\mathcal{T}'\|_F \leq 1}} \langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}' \rangle$$

$$\leq C \prod_{i=1}^3 \|\boldsymbol{X}_i(\boldsymbol{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{X}_i)^{-1}\|_F \left(\sqrt{r_1 r_2 r_3} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{d_i r_i}\right).$$

The last inequality comes from (D.1) in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

If (3) is true, then we do not have to have orthogonal X_i .

Remark 2. For simplicity, assume $X_i^T X_i = I$ for all i = 1, 2, 3. Let $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{B}) = \|\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{B} \times \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}\|_F^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{B}) = \|\mathcal{Y} \times \{X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T\} - \mathcal{B}\|_F^2$. Then, alternating optimizations based on gradient descent with respect to $\mathcal{C}, M_1, M_2, M_3$ has the same output regardless whether we use \mathcal{L}_1 or \mathcal{L}_2 . When we consider sub-Gaussin noise + least square loss case, our algorithmic output and considered loss properties are all equivalent to theirs in Han et al. [2020]. However, if we use negative log-likelihood of poisson or binomial distribution, our loss function is not equivalent to theirs because we cannot successfully reparametrize variable to remove side information X_i as in this note. In addition, our optimization is different from settings of theorem 4.4 and 4.5 in Han et al. [2020]. In this case, we cannot directly apply their theorems. I will keep thinking about how to adapt their results to our case.

References

Rungang Han, R. Willett, and Anru Zhang. An optimal statistical and computational framework for generalized tensor estimation. *ArXiv*, abs/2002.11255, 2020.