

# Graphic Lasso: Identifiability with intercept

Jiixin Hu

April 10, 2021

Consider the model

$$\Omega_k = \Theta_0 + \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \Theta_l, \quad k \in [K]. \quad (1)$$

Let  $U = \llbracket u_{kl} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times r}$  be the membership matrix and  $u_l$  denote the  $l$ -th column of  $U$ . Let  $I_l = \{k : u_{kl} \neq 0\}$  for  $l \in [r]$  and  $I_0 = \{k : u_{kl} = 0, l \in [r]\}$ .

**Lemma 1** (Identifiability of  $r = 1$  case). *Suppose  $r = 1$  and the parameter  $(U, \Theta_l)$  of model (1) satisfy the following conditions*

1.  $\Theta_0, \Theta_1$  are positive definite with bounded singular values.
2.  $\Theta_0, \Theta_1$  are irreducible in the sense that  $\Theta_0 \neq C\Theta_1$  for any constant  $C$ .
3. The matrix  $U$  reduces to a vector and  $\|U\|_F = 1$ .
4. The vector  $U$  is not align with  $1_K$  and satisfies the linear constraint  $W^T U = 0$  for a known vector  $W = (w_1, \dots, w_K)^T$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^K w_k = w_0$ , where  $w_0 \neq 0$ .

Then, the parameter  $(U, \Theta_l)$  are identifiable.

**Remark 1.** Geometrically, when  $r = 1$ , if we only have one point, we can never identify the intercept  $\Theta_0$  and the slope  $\Theta_1$ . Then, we need two distinct points and thus the vector  $U$  should not be align with  $1_K$ . Given one line, to identify the one intercept and  $K$  levels  $u_k \Theta_1$ , we need a linear constraint on  $u_k$ , i.e.,  $W^T U = 0$ . Just like the set-to-0 constraint and the sum-to-0 constraint in one-way ANOVA. The extra condition  $\sum_{k=1}^K w_k \neq 0$  guarantees the linear constraint  $W^T U = 0$  does not contradict to the non-align assumption.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\Theta}_l)$  also satisfy the model (1) and the conditions 1-4. By condition 4, there exist  $k, k'$  such that  $u_k \neq u_{k'}$ . Then we have

$$\Theta_0 - \tilde{\Theta}_0 = \tilde{u}_k \tilde{\Theta}_1 - u_k \Theta_1 = \tilde{u}_{k'} \tilde{\Theta}_1 - u_{k'} \Theta_1,$$

which implies that

$$(u_k - u_{k'}) \Theta_1 = (\tilde{u}_k - \tilde{u}_{k'}) \tilde{\Theta}_1,$$

and thus  $\tilde{\Theta}_1 = c \Theta_1$  for some constant  $c \neq 0$ . Hence, the form of  $\tilde{\Theta}_0$  is  $\tilde{\Theta}_0 = \Theta_0 + a \Theta_1$  for some constant  $a$ . Therefore, we have

$$\Theta_0 + u_k \Theta_1 = \tilde{\Theta}_0 + \frac{u_k - a}{c} \tilde{\Theta}_1.$$

By the constraint  $W^T \tilde{U} = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{W^T U - a w_0}{c} = 0,$$

which implies that  $aw_0 = 0$  and thus  $a = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\tilde{\Theta}_0 = \Theta_0$  and thereby  $\tilde{\Theta}_1 = \Theta_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2** (Identifiability of  $r \geq 2$  case). *Suppose  $r \geq 2$  and the parameter  $(U, \Theta_l)$  of model (1) satisfy the following conditions*

1.  $\Theta^0, \Theta^1, \dots, \Theta^l$  are positive definite with bounded singular values, i.e.,  $0 < \tau_1 \leq \min_{l=0,1,\dots,r} \varphi_{\min}(\Theta^l) \leq \max_{l=0,1,\dots,r} \varphi_{\max}(\Theta^l) \leq \tau_2 < \infty$ .
2.  $\Theta^l, l = 0, 1, \dots, r$  are irreducible in the sense that  $\Theta^l \neq C\Theta^{l'}$  for any pair  $l, l'$  and for any constant  $C$ .
3. The columns of  $U$  are non-overlap, with  $\|u_l\|_F = 1$  for all  $l \in [r]$ .
4. There are at least two subspaces spanned by the non-zero parts of  $u_l, u_{l'}$  that are not align with 1s. Particularly,  $u_l$  has at least  $r + 1$  different entries, and  $u_{l'}$  has at least  $r$  different entries.

Then, the parameter  $(U, \Theta_l)$  are identifiable.

**Remark 2.** Geometrically, given the rank  $r$ , we should have  $r$  non-parallel lines in the form of  $\{\Theta_0 + c\Theta_l, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Note that  $\Theta_0$  can be uniquely identified by the intersection of two non-parallel lines. Hence, we need constraints to make there are two determinate lines with given  $\Omega^k$ .

The main idea for the condition 4 is that: Suppose  $r + 1$  different points are on the same line  $L$  in the ground truth, and the other points are not on  $L$ . No matter how we regroup these points, there are always two points from the  $r + 1$  points assigned in one group. Note that these two points are on the line  $L$  while other points outside the  $r + 1$  points are not on  $L$ . This implies that  $r + 1$  points should be assigned in one group and the other points can not be assigned in this group. Thus, we have a determinate line  $L$ . Next, we have  $r - 1$  groups left and  $r$  different points are on the same line  $L'$  in the ground truth. Similarly, we have another determinate line  $L'$ . The line  $L$  and  $L'$  will uniquely identify the intercept  $\Theta_0$  and thereby the  $\Theta_l, l \in [r]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose group  $l_1, l_2$  are not align with 1s, and there are  $r + 1$  different  $u_{kl_1}$  and  $r$  different  $u_{kl_2}$ . Let  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\Theta}_l)$  also satisfy the model (1) and the conditions 1-4.

Since there are  $r + 1$  different entries in  $u_{l_1}$ , there exist a group  $l$  such that there are at least two members  $k_1, k_2 \in \tilde{I}_l$  with  $u_{k_1 l_1} \neq u_{k_2 l_2}$ . Then, we have

$$\Theta_0 - \tilde{\Theta}_0 = \tilde{u}_{k_1 l} \tilde{\Theta}_l - u_{k_1 l_1} \Theta_{l_1} = \tilde{u}_{k_2 l} \tilde{\Theta}_l - u_{k_2 l_2} \Theta_{l_1},$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\Theta}_l = c\Theta_{l_1}$  for some constant  $c \neq 0$  and  $\Theta_0 - \tilde{\Theta}_0 = c_1 \Theta_{l_1}$ .

For members  $k \in I_{l_1} \cap \tilde{I}_{l'}, l' \neq l$ , we have

$$\Theta_0 + u_{kl_1} \Theta_{l_1} = \tilde{\Theta}_0 + \tilde{u}_{kl'} \tilde{\Theta}_{l'}, \quad (2)$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\Theta}_{l'} = C\Theta_{l_1} = C'\tilde{\Theta}_l$  for some constants  $C, C'$ . This contradicts to the condition 2, and therefore  $I_{l_1} \cap \tilde{I}_l = I_{l_1}$ .

For members  $k \in I_{l'} \cap \tilde{I}_l, l' \neq l_1$ , we have

$$\Theta_0 + u_{kl'}\Theta_{l'} = \tilde{\Theta}_0 + \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}_l,$$

which implies that  $\Theta_{l'} = C\Theta_{l_1}$  for some constant  $C$ . This contradicts to the condition 2, and therefore we have  $I_{l_1}^c \cap \tilde{I}_l = \emptyset$ .

Hence, we have  $I_{l_1} = \tilde{I}_l$ . Excluding the members  $k \in I_{l_1}$ , we have  $r - 1$  groups. With similar procedures, we will have  $I_{l_2} = \tilde{I}_{l'}, l' \neq l$ .

By the equations (2), we will have

$$\Theta_0 - \tilde{\Theta}_0 = c_1\Theta_{l_1} = c_2\Theta_{l_2},$$

where the second equation from the proof for  $I_{l_2} = \tilde{I}_{l'}$ . Note that  $\Theta_{l_1} \neq C\Theta_{l_2}$  for all constant  $C$ . Therefore, we have  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ , and thus  $\Theta_0 = \tilde{\Theta}_0$ .

With  $\Theta_0 = \tilde{\Theta}_0$ , for all  $k \in [K], l \in [r]$ , we have

$$u_{kl}\Theta_l = \tilde{u}_{kl}\tilde{\Theta}_l.$$

With condition 3, we finally have  $\Theta_l = \tilde{\Theta}_l, l = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $U = \tilde{U}$ .

□