

Graphic Lasso: Accuracy for precision matrices

Jiaxin Hu

March 18, 2021

1 Penalized vs Hard Constraint

	Penalized	Hard Constraint
Problem	$\min_{\mathbf{U}, \Theta^l} \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k) + \lambda \ \Omega^k\ _1$ <p>with constraint</p> $\Omega^k = \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \Theta^l, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$ <p>and $\lambda > 0$, \mathbf{U} is a membership matrix.</p>	$\min_{\mathbf{U}, \Theta^l} \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k)$ <p>with constraint</p> $\ \Theta^l\ _0 \leq q, \Omega^k = \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \Theta^l, \quad k = 1, \dots, K$ <p>and $\lambda > 0$, \mathbf{U} is a membership matrix., where q represents the true sparasity.</p>
Accuracy	<p>A1: Suppose</p> $\Lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda_2 \sqrt{\frac{1+p/q}{nK}}$ <p>for Λ_1, Λ_2 large enough, we have</p> $\sum_{k=1}^K \ \Delta_k\ _F \leq C \sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{(p+q) \log p}{n}}.$	<p>A2: We have</p> $\sum_{k=1}^K \ \Delta_k\ _F \leq C' \sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{(p+q) \log p}{n}}$

2 Proof

Notations.

1. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{U}, \Theta^l) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k) + \lambda \|\Omega^k\|_1$, where $\Omega^k = \sum_{l=1}^r u_{kl} \Theta^l, k = 1, \dots, K$.
2. Let D denote the confusion matrix between the estimation $\{\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^k\}$ and the true parameters, in which $D_{al} = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{I}\{u_{ka} = \hat{u}_{kl} = 1\}$.

3. Let I_l denote the index set of the categories in the k -th group based on the parameter \mathbf{U} , i.e., $I_l = \{k : u_{kl} = 1\}$. Let \hat{I}_l denote the estimated set by $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$. Note that $|\hat{I}_l \cap I_a| = D_{al}$.
4. $\tau = \max_{l \in [r]} \varphi_{\max}(\Theta^l)$ is the maximal singular value of the true precision matrices.
5. $T = \cup_{l=1}^r T_l$, where T_l is an index set such that for all $(i, j) \in T_l$ we have $\Theta_{i,j}^l \neq 0$.
6. $q = |T|$ be the upper bound of the number of non-zero entries for all Θ^l .

Proof for A_1 . First, we define the function $G(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^k)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^l) &= \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^l) - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{U}, \Theta^l) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^r \sum_{a=1}^r \sum_{k \in \hat{I}_l \cap I_a} \langle S^k, \hat{\Theta}^l \rangle - \langle S^k, \Theta^a \rangle - \log \det(\hat{\Theta}^l) + \log \det(\Theta^a) + \lambda \left\| \hat{\Theta}^l \right\|_1 - \lambda \left\| \Theta^a \right\|_1 \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^r \sum_{a=1}^r G_{al}(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^l).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\Delta_{al} = \hat{\Theta}^l - \Theta^a, l \in [r], a \in [r]$. Then, the function $G(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^l)$ is a function of $\{\Delta_{al}\}$ denoted by $G(\Delta_{al})$ and $G(0) = 0$. If we take a closed convex set around 0 and show that the function G strictly positive at the boundary, then we obtain accuracy rate based on our construction of the convex set. Particularly, we set the set $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{a,l} \sqrt{D_{al}} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \leq M \sqrt{\frac{(p+q) \log p}{n}} \right\}$.

With arbitrary pair of a, l , consider the the decomposition

$$G_{al}(\hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\Theta}^l) = A_{al,1} + A_{al,2} + A_{al,3} + A_{al,4},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{al,1} &= \sum_{k \in \hat{I}_l \cap I_a} \langle S^k - \Sigma^a, \Delta_{al} \rangle, \\
A_{al,2} &= D_{al} (\text{vec}(\Delta_{al}))^T \int_0^1 (1-v) (\Theta^a + v \Delta_{al})^{-1} \otimes (\Theta^a + v \Delta_{al})^{-1} dv \text{vec}(\Delta_{al}), \\
A_{al,3} &= \lambda D_{al} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_{T^c}^l \right\|_1 \right), \\
A_{al,4} &= \lambda D_{al} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_T^l \right\|_1 - \left\| \Theta_T^a \right\|_1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $A_{al,1}$, by Note 0115 and 0113, we have $|A_{al,1}| \leq A_{al,11} + A_{al,12}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{al,11} &\leq \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(C_1 \sqrt{\frac{q \log p}{n}} + C_2 \sqrt{\frac{p \log p}{n}} \right) \|\Delta_{al}\|_F, \\
&\leq \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(C \sqrt{\frac{(q+p) \log p}{n}} \right) \|\Delta_{al}\|_F, \\
A_{al,12} &= \sqrt{D_{al}} C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta_{al, T^c}\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

For $A_{al,2}$, we have

$$A_{al,2} \geq \frac{D_{al}}{2\tau^2 + \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2 \geq \frac{1}{4\tau^2} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2,$$

where the second inequality holds when n is large enough.

For $A_{al,4}$, we have

$$|A_{al,4}| \leq \lambda D_{al} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_T^l - \Theta_T^a \right\|_1 \right) \leq \lambda D_{al} \sqrt{q} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F.$$

Combining the decomposition results for all pairs a, l , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta_{al}) &= \sum_{a,l} G_{al}(\Delta_{al}) \\ &\geq \sum_{al} A_{al,2} - A_{al,11} - A_{al,12} + A_{al,3} - |A_{al,4}| \\ &= \frac{1}{4\tau^2} \sum_{al} D_{al} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2 - \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(C \sqrt{\frac{(q+p)\log p}{n}} \right) \|\Delta_{al}\|_F - \sum_{al} \lambda D_{al} \sqrt{q} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \\ &\quad + \sum_{al} \lambda D_{al} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_{T^c}^l \right\|_1 \right) - \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta_{al,T^c}\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{al} \lambda D_{al} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_{T^c}^l \right\|_1 \right) - \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta_{al,T^c}\|_1 \\ \geq \sum_{al} \lambda \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_{T^c}^l \right\|_1 \right) - \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta_{al,T^c}\|_1 \\ = \left(\lambda - C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \right) \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(\left\| \hat{\Theta}_{T^c}^l \right\|_1 \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by the assumption that $\lambda \geq \Lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}$ for Λ_1 large enough.

Note that

$$\sum_{al} D_{al} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \leq \sqrt{K} \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \leq \sqrt{K} M \sqrt{\frac{(p+q)\log p}{n}},$$

and by Cauchy Schwartz we have

$$\sum_{al} D_{al} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2 \geq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \right)^2.$$

Then, the function (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta_{al}) &\geq \frac{1}{4\tau^2} \sum_{al} D_{al} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F^2 - \sum_{al} \sqrt{D_{al}} \left(C \sqrt{\frac{(q+p)\log p}{n}} \right) \|\Delta_{al}\|_F - \sum_{al} \lambda D_{al} \sqrt{q} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\tau^2 r^2} M^2 \frac{(q+p)\log p}{n} - C M \frac{(q+p)\log p}{n} - \Lambda_2 M \frac{(q+p)\log p}{n} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

when M is large enough. Therefore, there is a local minima inside the convex set \mathcal{A} and the convex set implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \Omega^k - \hat{\Omega}^k \right\|_F = \sum_{al} D_{al} \|\Delta_{al}\|_F \leq \sqrt{K} C \sqrt{\frac{(p+q) \log p}{n}}.$$

□