Graphic Lasso: Scaled membership

Jiaxin Hu

March 21, 2021

1 Simple case

Now we consider a simple case that K categories share the same structure of the precision matrix but with different scalar coefficients. To make the parameters identifiable, we need the assumptions mentioned in the Proposition 2 of the Note 010921. The problem is stated as following.

The original proposition 2 requires column sum to zero. So, some u have to be negative?

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\{u,\Theta\}} \quad \mathcal{L}(u,\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, \Omega^k \rangle - \log \det(\Omega^k), \\ & s.t. \quad \Omega^k = u_k \Theta, \quad k = 1, ..., K, \\ & u_k \geq 0, \|u\|_F = 1, \end{aligned}$$

1.1 Trivial Accuracy

strictly positive? Otherwise, Omega is not positive semi definite.

There is a trivial accuracy rate if we simply consider $u_k\Theta$ as K different precision matrix.

Lemma 1 (Trivial Accuracy). Let $\{u, \Theta\}$ denote the true parameters. Consider a estimation $\{\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}\}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta)$. With probability tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$, we have the accuracy

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k \right\|_F = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} - u_k \Theta \right\|_F^2 \le CK \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}}.$$

Remark 1. The Trivial Accuracy does not take the advantage of the same structure. So, the accuracy is of order $\mathcal{O}(K)$ which should not be optimal.

1.2 Sharp Accuracy

If we consider the common structure of precision matrix, my conjecture is that the accuracy should be of order $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{K})$.

Lemma 2 (Sharp Accuracy(conjecture)). Let $\{u, \Theta\}$ denote the true parameters. Consider a estimation $\{\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}\}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta)$. With probability tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$, we have the accuracy

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \hat{\Omega}^k - \Omega^k \right\|_F = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} - u_k \Theta \right\|_F \le C\sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}}.$$

How about taking ``Omega^k \over mu_k" as the quantity of interest?

Also, add lower boundedness constraints on ground truth and estimated mu k.

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \hat{u}_{k} \hat{\Theta} - u_{k} \Theta \right\|_{F}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{k}^{2} \left\| \hat{\Theta} - \Theta \right\|_{F}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| (\hat{u}_{k} - u_{k}) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_{F}^{2},$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{K} u_k^2 = 1$, the first term represents the estimation error from Θ , and the second term represents the estimation error from u. Consider the function

$$G(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) - \mathcal{L}(u, \Theta)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \langle S^k, \hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta} \rangle - \langle S^k, u_k \Theta \rangle - \log \det(\hat{u}_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta)$$

$$= G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) + G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} G_1(\hat{u},\hat{\Theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, u_k(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta) \rangle - \log \det(u_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta), \\ G_2(\hat{u},\hat{\Theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \rangle \text{ there also we have to show hat mu_k} -> \text{\mu_k}. \end{split}$$

Intuitively, this is similar to (continuous-version) clustering accuracy.

Consider G_1 . Let $\Delta = \hat{\Theta} - \Theta$. By Taylor Expansion, we have

$$-\log \det(u_k \hat{\Theta}) + \log \det(u_k \Theta) \ge -\langle (u_k \Theta)^{-1}, u_k \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^2} \|u_k \Delta\|_F^2,$$
$$\ge -\langle u_k^{-1} \Sigma, u_k \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^2} u_k^2 \|\Delta\|_F^2$$

where τ is the max singular value of Θ . Then, we have

$$G_{1}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \langle S^{k} - u_{k}^{-1} \Sigma, u_{k} \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^{2}} u_{k}^{2} \|\Delta\|_{F}^{2}$$
$$= \langle \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{k} S^{k} - K \Sigma, \Delta \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{F}^{2}.$$

Let $X_1^k, ..., X_n^k \sim_{i.i.d.} \mathcal{N}_p(0, \Sigma/u_k), k = 1, ..., K$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_k S_{jl}^k = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((\sqrt{u_k} X_{ij}^k) (\sqrt{u_k} X_{il}^k) - (\sqrt{u_k} X_{.j}^k) (\sqrt{u_k} X_{.l}^k) \right).$$

Since $\sqrt{u_k}X_i^k \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{nK} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sqrt{u_k} X_{ij}^k) (\sqrt{u_k} X_{il}^k) - \Sigma_{jl} \right| \le C \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{nK}},$$

with hight probability. Thus, we have

$$G_{1}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \frac{1}{4\tau^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{F}^{2} - C\sqrt{K}\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}} \|\Delta\|_{1}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4\tau^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{F}^{2} - C\sqrt{K}\sqrt{\frac{p^{2}\log p}{n}} \|\Delta\|_{F}$$
(1)

Consider G_2 . Note that

$$-\log \hat{u}_k/u_k = -\log \det(\hat{u}_k\Theta) + \log \det(u_k\Theta)$$
$$\geq -\langle u_k^{-1}\Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k)\Theta \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^2}(\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2.$$

Plug into the G_2 . We have

$$G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \langle S^k - u_k^{-1} \Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \rangle + \langle u_k^{-1} \Sigma, (\hat{u}_k - u_k) (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta) \rangle + \frac{1}{4\tau^2} (\hat{u}_k - u_k)^2 \|\Theta\|_F^2$$

Conjecture.

The conjecture might be true given the boundedness constraints on the hat mu_k and mu_k.

$$G_{2}(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \geq \frac{1}{4\tau^{2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| (\hat{u}_{k} - u_{k}) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_{F} \right)^{2} - C\sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{p^{2} \log p}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| (\hat{u}_{k} - u_{k}) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_{F} + \frac{1}{2\tau^{2}} \left\| \Delta \right\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| (\hat{u}_{k} - u_{k}) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_{F}.$$

$$(2)$$

Combining the upper bounds (1) with (2), we have

$$0 \ge G_1(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) + G_2(\hat{u}, \hat{\Theta}) \\ \ge \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} \|\Delta\|_F + \frac{1}{2\tau} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right)^2 - C\sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{p^2 \log p}{n}} \left(\|\Delta\|_F + \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| (\hat{u}_k - u_k) \hat{\Theta} \right\|_F \right),$$

which implies the accuracy rate.