

# Guarantee for Ding's algorithm

Jiaxin Hu

April 9, 2022

This note shows that the non-iterative clean-up does not benefit the Algorithm 3 in [Ding et al. \(2021\)](#), in terms of the order of seeds number.

## Ding's Algorithm

For self-consistency, we recall the model and seeded matching without non-iterative clean-up in the Algorithm 3 of [Ding et al. \(2021\)](#). Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$  denote two correlated Erdős-Rényi graphs following  $\mathcal{G}(n, q, \rho)$ ; i.e., with permutation  $\pi^*$  on  $[n]$

$$\mathbf{B}_{ij} \sim \begin{cases} \text{Ber}(\rho) & \text{if } \mathbf{A}_{\pi^*(i)\pi^*(j)} = 1 \\ \text{Ber}\left(\frac{q(1-\rho)}{1-q}\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{A}_{\pi^*(i)\pi^*(j)} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (\mathbf{A}_{\pi^*(i)\pi^*(j)}, \mathbf{B}_{ij}) \perp (\mathbf{A}_{\pi^*(i')\pi^*(j')}, \mathbf{B}_{i'j'}) \text{ for all } (i, j) \neq (i', j').$$

Suppose we have the seed set with corresponding perfect matching  $\pi_0 : S \mapsto T$ , where  $S, T \subset [n]$  and  $\pi_0(i) = \pi^*(i)$  for all  $i \in S$ . Let  $s := |S|$  denote the number seeds corresponding to  $\pi_0$ . [Ding et al. \(2021\)](#) adapts following Algorithm 1 for seeded matching.

---

**Algorithm 1** Seeded matching without non-iterative clean-up in [Ding et al. \(2021\)](#)

---

**Input:** Binary matrices  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$ , the perfect matching  $\pi_0 : S \mapsto T$ .

- 1: For each  $i \in S^c$  and  $k \in T^c$ , define  $n_{ik} = \sum_{j \in S} \mathbf{A}_{ij} \mathbf{B}_{k\pi_0(j)}$ .
- 2: Define a bipartite graph with vertex sets  $S^c \times T^c$  and adjacency matrix  $H$  given by  $H_{ik} = \mathbb{1}\{n_{ik} \geq \kappa\}$  for each  $i \in S^c$  and  $k \in T^c$ , where  $\kappa = sq\rho/2$ .
- 3: Find a perfect matching  $\tilde{\pi}_1 : S^c \mapsto T^c$  such that

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 = \arg \max_{\pi : S^c \mapsto T^c \text{ is a perfect matching}} \sum_{i \in S^c} H_{i\pi(i)}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\pi_1$  denote a permutation on  $[n]$  such that  $\pi_1|_S = \pi_0$  and  $\pi_1|_{S^c} = \tilde{\pi}_1$ .

**Output:** Estimated permutation  $\pi_1$ .

---

## Guarantee for Algorithm 1 to exactly recover.

**Lemma 1** (Guarantee for Algorithm 1). *Assume  $sq\rho \gtrsim \log n$  and  $\rho \geq 12q$ . The output  $\pi_1$  from Algorithm 1 is equal to true permutation  $\pi^*$  with probability tends to 1.*

**Remark 1.** Lemma 1 indicates that under the same seed condition,  $sq\rho \gtrsim \log n$ , Ding's seeded algorithm achieves exact recovery without non-iterative clean-up.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $\pi^*$  is the identity mapping; i.e.,  $\pi^*(i) = i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . To show the exact recovery of  $\pi_1$ , we need to show that  $\tilde{\pi}_1$  in (1) is equal to  $\pi^*|_{S^c}$ ; i.e., for all perfect matching  $\pi : S^c \mapsto T^c$

$$\sum_{i \in S^c} \mathbb{1}\{n_{ii} \geq \kappa\} \geq \sum_{i \in S^c} \mathbb{1}\{n_{i\pi(i)} \geq \kappa\}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that with probability tends to 1

$$\min_{i \in S^c} n_{ii} \geq \max_{i, k \in S^c, i \neq k} n_{ik}.$$

Note that  $n_{ii} \sim \text{Binom}(s, q\rho)$  and  $n_{ik} \sim \text{Binom}(s, q^2)$  for all  $i, k \in S^c$ . Recall  $\kappa = sq\rho/2$ . By Proposition 1 and union bound, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{i \in S^c} n_{ii} \leq \kappa\right) \leq n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}sq\rho\right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i, k \in S^c, i \neq k} n_{ik} \geq \kappa\right) \leq n^2 2^{-sq\rho/2} \leq n^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}sq\rho\right).$$

Therefore, by the assumption that  $sq\rho \gtrsim \log n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{i \in S^c} n_{ii} \geq \max_{i, k \in S^c, i \neq k} n_{ik}\right) \geq 1 - n \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}sq\rho\right) - n^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}sq\rho\right) \rightarrow 1,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

□

**Proposition 1** (Tail bound for Binomial variables). *Let  $X \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq (1 - t)np) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}np\right), \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq R) \leq 2^{-R}, \quad \text{for all } R \geq 6np.$$

*Proof.* See Appendix A in Ding et al. (2021).

□

## References

Ding, J., Ma, Z., Wu, Y., and Xu, J. (2021). Efficient random graph matching via degree profiles. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 179(1):29–115.