

# MLE for Gaussian Matching

Jiaxin Hu

March 30, 2022

## 1 MLE for Gaussian Tensor matching

Recall that we have two random tensors  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}' \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{\otimes m}}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$  and  $\mathcal{B}'(\omega)$  denote the tensor entry indexed by  $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^m$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$  are super-symmetric; i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}(\omega) = \mathcal{A}(f(\omega))$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'(\omega) = \mathcal{B}'(f(\omega))$  for any function  $f$  permutes the indices in  $\omega$  for all  $\omega \in [n]^m$ . Consider the bivariate generative model for the entries  $\{\omega : 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n\}$

$$(\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}'(\omega)) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}'(\omega)) \perp (\mathcal{A}(\omega'), \mathcal{B}'(\omega')), \quad \text{for all } \omega \neq \omega',$$

where the correlation  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  and  $\perp$  denote the statistical independence.

Suppose we observe the tensor pair  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}' \circ \pi^*$ , where  $\pi^* : [n] \mapsto [n]$  denotes a permutation on  $[d]$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \mathcal{B}'(\pi(i_1), \dots, \pi(i_m))$  for all  $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in [n]^m$ . Equivalently, let  $\Pi^* \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$  denote the permutation matrix, where

$$\Pi_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \pi^*(i) = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \times_1 \Pi^* \times_2 \dots \times_m \Pi^*.$$

Let  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The likelihood function of  $\pi : [n] \mapsto [n]$  (or corresponding  $\Pi \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$ ) with given observations  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\pi | \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) &= \frac{1}{[\det(2\pi\Sigma)]^{n^m}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega \in [n]^m} (\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega)) \Sigma^{-1} (\mathcal{A}(\omega), \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega))^T\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\det(2\pi\Sigma)]^{n^m}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \sum_{\omega \in [n]^m} \mathcal{A}(\omega)^2 + \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega)^2 - 2\rho \mathcal{A}(\omega) \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sum_{\omega \in [n]^m} \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega)^2 = \sum_{\omega \in [n]^m} \mathcal{B}(\omega)^2$ . Then, the only term dependent to  $\pi$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\mathcal{A}(\omega) \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega)$ . Thus, with fixed  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , we have the MLE that satisfies

$$\hat{\pi} = \arg \max_{\pi : [n] \mapsto [n]} \mathcal{L}(\pi | \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \arg \max_{\pi : [n] \mapsto [n]} \sum_{\omega \in [n]^m} \rho \mathcal{A}(\omega) \mathcal{B}(\pi \circ \omega) = \arg \max_{\Pi \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}} \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \times_1 \Pi \times_2 \dots \times_m \Pi \rangle.$$

Solving  $\hat{\pi}$  can be viewed as a special case of *multiway assignment problem* which is a higher-order generalization of *quadratic assignment problem*.

## References