Seminar Review 4.27-5.3

Jiaxin Hu
04/29/2020

IFDS 4.27

Title: Controlling Gradient Decay in RNN using Adjoint Mechanics

Author: Liam Johnston, advised by Vivak Patel

This talk aims to combats the vanishing gradient problem in the Adjoint method of backpropagation RNN using Adjoint method. The Adjoint method is an efficient way to computes the gradient of objective function via corresponding Lagrangian efficiently. Gradient vanishing leads the layers closest to the outcome to dominate the parameter updating. However, the vanishing Lagrange multiplier leads to the gradient decay and the domination by closer layers to the outcome. The presenter introduces a co-adjoint method with penalized objective function to address handle the gradient vanishing, where a penalty term of vanishing Lagrange multiplier λ_t , $G(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_T)$, is added to the objective function. The penalty term contains the penalty for small multipliers λ_t , $\phi(\lambda_t)$, and the variance between adjoint size. Simulations show the better accuracy of this co-adjoint method over LSTM and typical Adjoint method.

Note that the g Gradient vanishing problem is a numerical issue. because e Every middle term x_t is supposed to contains all the former information the information of every previous input $u_1, ..., u_t$ due to the RNN nature. If we have a super process to estimate the parameters of the network, n No information will be lost lose, if we have a super process to estimate the network parameters. Besides, we should investigate more on penalty sensitivity. the sensitivity of the penalty $\phi(\lambda_t)$ should be investigated more.

Clean:

This talk combats the vanishing gradient problem in backpropagation RNN using Adjoint method. The Adjoint method computes the gradient of objective function via Lagrangian efficiently. However, the vanishing Lagrange multiplier leads to the gradient decay and the domination by closer layers to the outcome. The presenter introduces a co-adjoint method with penalized objective function to handle the vanishing. The penalty term contains the penalty for small multipliers and the variance between adjoint size. Simulations show the better accuracy of co-adjoint method over LSTM and typical Adjoint method.

Gradient vanishing problem is a numerical issue. Every middle term is supposed to contain all the former information due to the RNN nature. No information will lose, if we have a super process to estimate the network parameters. Besides, we should investigate more on penalty sensitivity.

Questions:

1. Since the objective function of this co-adjoint method is no longer equal to the original objective function, how to ensure the minimizers of these two functions are close?

Possible Answer: Since the term $G(\lambda_t)$ is also a function of network parameters actually, I guess the minimizer of the co-adjoint method is a refined version of the original objective function, like the penalized likelihood methods. However, I think it is difficult to write the explicit relationship between two minimizers as the network layers increasing.

SILO 4.29

Title: Why some robust estimators are efficiently computable Author: Jiantao Jiao, UC Berkeley

This talk explains why we can find the theoretical and computational feasibility of finding a robust estimate in the finite-sample corruption model theoretically and computationally. The problem is formulated as a minimization problem: $\min \|\Sigma_q\|_2$, s.t. $q \in \Delta_{n,\epsilon}$. The estimation results in solving a constrained program to minimize the spectral norm of weighted covariance matrix. Presenter proves the feasibility in three steps. First, the presenter proves that the KKT points for the program is are approximate the global minimums if the corruption proportion of the corrupted data is smaller than 1/3. Second, a non-constrained gradient descent method that ignores the constrain is showed to finds the KKT points efficiently, though the algorithm is not universally guaranteed in any case. Third, the presenter proposes the low-regret generalization algorithm for KKT point with respect to the constrain, which is a universal way to find the KKT.

Clean:

This talk explains the theoretical and computational feasibility of finding a robust estimate in the finite-sample corruption model. The estimation results in solving a constrained program to minimize the spectral norm of weighted covariance matrix. Presenter proves the feasibility in three steps. First, KKT points are approximate global minimums if the corruption proportion is smaller than 1/3. Second, a non-constrained gradient descent method finds the KKT points efficiently, though the algorithm is not universally guaranteed. Third, low-regret generalization algorithm with the constrain is a universal way to find the KKT.