

**Question - 1**
Hash - 1

SCORE: 5 points

Which of the following statement(s) is TRUE?

1. A hash function takes a message of arbitrary length and generates a fixed length code.
2. A hash function takes a message of fixed length and generates a code of variable length.
3. A hash function may give the same hash value for distinct messages.

- ☐ I only
- ☐ II and III only
- ☒ I and III only
- ☐ II only

Question - 2
Hash - 2

SCORE: 5 points

Suppose we are using $\text{Hash}(k) = 3 * k \% 13$, and an array of size 13 for our Hash Table, what's the result after inserting the numbers below into the hash table if we use linear probing? (where * represents that there is no value at that index). Note that the array indices are, as usual, 0 thru 12.

Numbers in order: 22 -> 40 -> 36 -> 55 -> 24 -> 27 -> 28

- ☐ * 22 * 40 36 27 * 24 * 55 28 * *
- ☐ 22 * 40 36 27 28 24 * 55 * * * *
- ☐ 22 * 27 36 28 * 24 * 55 * * * *
- ☐ * 22 * 40 27 36 * 24 * 55 * * * *
- ☐ * 22 * 27 36 28 * 24 * * * * *
- ☒ * 22 * 40 36 27 28 24 * 55 * * * *

Question - 3
Hash - 3

SCORE: 5 points

In simple uniform hashing, where there are n keys, what is the order of complexity for search?

- ☐ $O(n)$

- ☐ $O(\log n)$
- ☐ $O(n \log n)$
- ☒ $O(1)$

Question - 4

Hash - 4

SCORE: 5 points

Suppose we have a mutable class X which contains two attributes: *name* and *ID*. We manually override the *hashCode* function with our own implementation that returns a value based on both attributes, for example, $name.hashCode() + ID * 31$. Note that this is just an example, not the definition of any particular hash function.

First, we create a new instance $X\ x = new\ X("INFO6205", 27)$. We then add this instance (x) into an empty HashSet (s), i.e. $s.put(x)$. Next, we modify the *ID* of this instance, e.g. $x.setID(42)$.

Finally, we invoke the *contains* function, i.e. $s.contains(x)$. What result should we expect?

- ☐ True
- ☐ False
- ☐ Runtime Exception
- ☐ Null
- ☒ Probably false but possibly true.

Question - 5

Hash - 5

SCORE: 5 points

The advantages of separate chaining as an implementation of a hash table over the linear probing (open addressing) scheme include:

- ☐ Space used is less
- ☒ Deletion is easier
- ☒

As more keys are added, performance degrades gracefully rather than suddenly blowing up.

- ☐ None of the above

Question - 6

Coding

SCORE: 30 points

Please implement the *put* and *get* methods for a linear probing hash table.

