Algebraic data types in Encore: reconciling objection-oriented and functional programming Uppsala University

Micael Loberg

January 17, 2018

This page intentionally left blank

Abstract

Encore is an object-oriented programming language that has a focus on implementing concurrent and parallel systems and it is using the Actor model. Unlike traditional object-oriented programming languages objects in Encore has their own thread of control. Encore also has passive objects which lack their own thread of control, these are mainly used to create data structures such as lists or trees and are used inside of active objects. This thesis presents Algebraic Data Types (ADTs) as a complement to passive classes, and explores how this construct normally found in functional languages can be a useful addition to a object-oriented language such as Encore. The implementation take advantage of the fact that the Encore compiler has a desugaring phase where the ADT syntax is transformed to passive classes. The paper also discusses the design of the syntax and the behaviour of Algebraic Data Types with concurrent and parallel systems in mind. Optimization has also been made to how pattern matching is done when used together with Algebraic Data Types.

 $This\ page\ intentionally\ left\ blank$

Contents

1	1.2 Algebraic Data Types 1.2.1 ADTs In Imperative/OO Languages 2.2.1.1 Scala	1 1 2 2
2	Encoding ADTs in Encore 5 2.0.1 Encoding scheme	5
3	v	3
4	Implementation 13 4.0.1 Implementation via desugaring	1
5	Evaluation and discussion 14 5.1 Expressive power 14 5.1.1 Some cool example 14 5.1.2 Performance 14 5.1.2.1 Benchmark 14	1 1
6	Conclusion and Future work 15	5
7	References 16	3
Aı	pendices 18	3
[Kiko: Maybe an introduction similar to the style of Gustav's thesis, where he gives a brief intro and the project goal. Right after that, the background]		

1. Background

1.1 Encore

Encore is an object-oriented programming language that is being developed at Uppsala University[6]. It has a focus on implementing concurrent and parallel systems and it is using the Actor model. Encore has active objects that have their own thread of control and they can communicate with each other through message passing. Encore also has passive objects; these lack their own thread of control and behaves like objects in more traditional object-oriented programming languages such as C++ or Java. Passive objects are mainly used to create data structures such as lists or trees and are used inside of active objects...

1.2 Algebraic Data Types

In functional languages we often use Algebraic data types (ADTs) to describe composite data. Data structures can in type theory be described in terms of products, sums and recursive types. This leads to an algebra for describing data structures (hence Algebraic Data Types). Such data types are common in functional languages, such as ML or Haskell.

Robert Harper describes **Products** of types in the book 'Practical Foundations for Programming Languages' as the following:

"The binary **product** of two types consists of ordered pairs of values, one from each type in the order specified. The associated eliminatory forms are projections, which select the first and second component of a pair. The nullary product, or unit, type consists solely of the unique "null tuple" of no values, and has no associated eliminatory form."

An example of a product type is a tuple consisting of a bool and an int, (bool, int). Assuming an 8 bit integer the int part of the tuple can assume 256 different values, and a boolean can have two different values (true and false). So a tuple of this type can assume a total of 512 different values.

Sums of types can be seen as the choice of two or more variants of a data structure. Some type Foo could be defined as the choice between a int or a bool. In Haskell this could be defined as data Foo = bool | int. If an integer can have 256 different values and a boolean two; the type Foo which is defined as the choice between the two can take on a total of 258 different values.

An example of a data structure that is both a sum and product type can be seen in listing 1.2. It is an example of a polymorphic binary tree implemented using ADTs. Here Tree is the name of the type and Nil and Branch are constructors that are used to create new instances of type Tree and t is a type variable. The type Tree is also recursive as it is defined in terms of itself.

```
data Tree t = Nil
| Branch t (Tree t) (Tree t)
| Listing 1.1: Binary tree definition in Haskell
```

1.2.1 ADTs In Imperative/OO Languages

1.2.1.1 Scala

While Algebraic Data Types is mostly found in functional languages there are examples of imperative languages that features them. Perhaps most notably in Scala.

A binary tree in Scala could have the following definition:

Noteworthy here is that the ADT is defined in terms of a Trait and classes extending the trait. In Scala a sealed trait is a special kind of trait that can only be extended in the same file as it is defined. A case class is mostly a normal class with a few differences such as its being immutable[5]. Case classes can also be used in pattern matching:

```
tree match {
   case Branch(value, left, right) => Foo()
   case Nil() => Bar()
}
```

Listing 1.3: Pattern matching on an ADT in Scala

One can easily see the similarities of this implementation and the one in listing 1.3. Here Tree is the new type, Nil and Branch could be seen as constructors for the type Tree.

By using the scheme of traits and classes to create something that looks and behaves a lot like an ADT Scala have created objects and are both sum and product types, and the fact that case classes can be used in destructured pattern matching allows for allows for programming patterns normally found only in functional languages.

1.2.1.2 ABS

The Abstract Behavioral Specification language[3] (ABS) is another language that features ADTs. It is a language that is interesting to look at because just like Encore it is an Object Oriented language that uses the Actor model as its concurrency model. When implementing ADTs in Encore, some design decisions have to be made and looking at another language that were faced with the same design decisions might give some insight of what a good design could be. One such example is that of mutability. In ABS all values held by an ADT is immutable, the reasoning behind this is to make them safe to pass around between different actors and that it makes it easier to reason about the code[4]

A linked list containing Integers can be defined as

Names for constructors and their arguments can optionally be omitted, an example is the following implementation of the Bool datatyp}

If data constructor arguments have names, like head and tail in listing 1.7 - it defines a function that, when passed a value expressed with the given constructor, return the argument. The name of an accessor function must be unique in the module it is defined in. It is an error to have multiple accessor functions with the same name, or to have a function definition with the same name as an accessor function.

Listing 1.7: Accessor funtion in ABS

[Kiko: Grammar-wise, be careful when you talk in singular and plural: e.g. ADTs is in no way equivalent with classes – it should be – ADTs are in no way equivalent with classes. I have seen this mistake more than 5 times in chapter 1, and it happens from the singular to plural case and vice versa. Use "is" when you talk about a single thing and "are" when you talk about many things:)]

2. Encoding ADTs in Encore

2.0.1 Encoding scheme

In Encore it is currently possible to emulate the behaviour of ADTs using a scheme of traits and classes.[1]

An implementation of a linked list in Encore could consist of the two classes Node and Last, both of which implements a trait LinkedList

Classes can implement extractor methods that exposes the internal structure of an object which can then be used in pattern matching. If the trait LinkedList requires the classes who implements the trait to also implement destructor methods, an object of type LinkedList can be used in pattern matching

```
trait LinkedList[t]
require def Node() : Maybe[(t, LinkedList)]
require def Last() : Maybe[(t)]
end
```

Listing 2.1: trait LinkedList that requires classes to implement destructor methods

The class Node in listing 2.2 will implement both of the extractor methods Node() and Last(). The method Node() will return an option type containing a tuple consisting of the internal objects to be exposed, in this case value and next while the method Last() will return Nothing

Similarly an object of type Last (listing 2.2) will also implement both extractor methods Node() and Last(). Though here the method Node() will return Nothing while Last() returns Just(value).

```
read class Node[t] : List[t](value, next)
val value : t
val next : List[t]

def init(value : t, next : List[t]) : unit
this.value = value
this.next = next
end
```

```
def Last() : Maybe[t]
10
      Nothing
11
    end
12
13
    def Node() : Maybe[(t, List[t])]
14
     Just((this.value, this.next))
15
    end
17 end
19 read class Last[t] : List[t](value)
    val value : t
20
    def init(value : t) : unit
22
      this.value = value
24
    def Last() : Maybe[t]
     {	t Just(this.value)}
27
    def Node() : Maybe[(t, List[t])]
      Nothing
31
    end
32
33 end
```

Listing 2.2: Implementation of Node and Last classes

To make the classes Node and Last look more like branches of an ADT and hide the fact that they are classes, we also add constructor functions to create the objects for us.

```
1 fun Node[t](value : t, next : List[t]) : List[t]
2   new Node[t](value, next)
3 end
4
5 fun Last[t](value : t) : List[t]
6   new Last[t](value)
7 end
```

Listing 2.3: Constructor functions for Node and Last

A LinkedList containing the values [1,2,3] can now be created like this var list = Node(1, Node(2, Last(3)))

Listing 2.4: Creation of list containing three elements

The variable list can now be used in pattern matching

```
1 fun listLength[t](list : List[t]) : int
2  match list with
3   case Node(value, next) => 1 + listLength(next)
4   case Last(value) => 1
5  end
6 end
```

Listing 2.5: Function that uses pattern matching to calculate the length of a list

Now we have something that looks and behaves a lot like an ADT in for example Haskell. We have functions that creates the objects for us and hides the fact that we're creating an object from a class and we can perform pattern matching on the structure of of the object.

2.0.2 Problems with encoding

In the previous section we have seen how we in Encore can emulate the behavior of ADTs by using a scheme of traits, classes, methods and pattern matching. Though this is far from perfect.

Perhaps the most noticeable problem is the difference in amount of lines of code needed to create something that behaves like an ADT in Encore compared to languages that has ADTs as actual types.

Another problem is the cost of doing pattern matching on classes. Consider the extractor method Node() on line 30 in listing 2.2. The purpose of the method is to tell if a given List is of type Node or not. The value it returns is a Maybe type, holding a tuple that contains the values a Node wants to expose. Memory for both the Maybe and the Tuple types needs to be allocated for and the fields from the Node needs to be copied over to the tuple. All of this adds a considerable amount to the runtime of an application that does a lot of pattern matching.

Given these problems we fix them by extending Encore and adding ADTs to the language. A more compact syntax and a better optimized method of performing pattern matching is designed.

3. **Design**

TODO

[Kiko: Maybe in this phase you can explain the phases that the compiler has and why you decided to do things in the desugaring and code gen phases. The advantages of this design choice, etc.]

3.0.1 Syntax

The syntax for ADTs have gone through a number of iterations before we settled on the final one described below. It is inspired by the syntax Scala use for its ADTs, but modified to fit in with the rest of the Encore language. The grammar of the syntax can be seen in listing 3.1 in Extended BNF form[2]

An ADT is defined by using the keyword data, followed by an identifier starting with a capital letter.

```
1 data Foo

A branch (or constructor?) of an ADT is defined as following:

1 case Bar(valueA : t1, valueB : t2) : Foo
```

where Bar is the name of the branch, valueA and valueB are the fields of type t1 and t2, :Foo lets the compiler know that Bar is a branch of Foo. A branch does not have to be defined on the line following the ADT, but anywhere as long as it is in the same file. Both ADTs and its branches can define methods. Methods are defined on a new indented line under the ADT/branch definition. An ADT or branch that have methods defined needs to be closed with the end keyword.

```
1 data Foo
2 def Bar() : unit
3 --methodbody
4 end
5 end
```

Listing 3.2: ADT definition with a method

ADTs can also take optional type parameters. Type parameters are defined with a comma separated list within brackets

```
1 data List[t]
2 case Node[t](value : t, next : List[t]) : List[t]
3 case Nil[t]() : List[t]
```

Listing 3.3: Generic linked list implemented with an ADT

To create an instance of a branch you call the constructor functions that is generated for each of the branches. A instance of a Node can be created like this:

```
1 let
2  list = Node(1, Nil())
3 in
4  --body
5 end
```

Listing 3.4: Declaration of a list containing one element

ADTs can be used in pattern matching expressions

```
1 match list with
2   case Node(value, next) => Foo()
3   case Nil() => Bar()
4 end
```

Listing 3.5: Pattern matching on a linked list

3.0.2 Behaviour

ADTs and their branches gets desugared to read only traits and classes, so they can do everything traits and classes are capable of. Methods that are declared inside of the ADT declaration ends up in the trait as required methods, and methods declared in the branch end up in the class. It's however worth to note

that in the current state of Encore, when you call a constructor function for a branch you will get an object with the type of the trait back. So right now it's not possible to ever call a method on an ADT branch. This can quite easily be solved by adding a few more features to Encore, this I will discuss in chapter 6

As mentioned above, the classes and traits generated are read only, this means that the values held by an ADT branch are immutable. The main motivation for them being immutable is that it is what I believe most users will expect from an ADT as its a language feature mostly found in functional languages. It also makes them safe to pass around between different actors as no actor is able to modify it.

4. Implementation

4.0.1 Implementation via desugaring

The Encore compiler is written in Haskell and generates C code as output which is then piped into Clang to generate executable code. The Encore compiler has a desugaring phase that can be used to turn the ADT nodes in the Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) into class and trait nodes. Methods that are used as constructors for the different branches will also be created.

The following linked list implemented with an ADT

```
1 data List[t]
2 case Node[t](value : t, next : List[t]) : List[t]
3 case Last[t](value : t) : List[t]
```

Listing 4.1: Linked list before it has been desugared

will after the desugaring phase be transformed to the following trait, classes and methods.

```
read trait List[t]
    require def Last() : Maybe[t]
   require def Node() : Maybe[(t, List[t])]
6 read class Node[t] : List[t](value, next)
    val value : t
    val next : List[t]
    \operatorname{def} init(value : t, next : List[t]) : unit
     this.value = value
11
12
      this.next = next
13
   def Last() : Maybe[t]
15
     Nothing
16
    def Node() : Maybe[(t, List[t])]
      Just((this.value, this.next))
   end
21
22 end
```

```
24 read class Last[t] : List[t](value)
    val value : t
    \operatorname{def} init(value : t) : unit
      this.value = value
28
    def Last() : Maybe[t]
      Just (this.value)
32
33
    def Node() : Maybe[(t, List[t])]
      Nothing
   end
37
38 end
40 fun \ Node[t](value : t, next : List[t]) : List[t]
new Node[t](value, next)
42 end
44 fun Last[t](value : t) : List[t]
new Last[t](value)
_{46} end
47
```

Listing 4.2: Desugared linked list

The trait List that has been generated in listing 4.2 requires that the classes implements extractor methods, one for each branch of the ADT. The extractor methods are used in pattern matching and their purpose will be discussed in the next chapter. In this case the extractor methods are Node() and Last().

Every branch in the ADT will be transformed into a class containing the fields contained in the branch, a constructor method and extractor methods for all the branches of the ADT.

A creator function for each branch will also be created. These are used as a syntactic sugar to create instances of the ADTs branches and hide the fact that they are implemented as classes.

4.0.2 Pattern matching optimization

In section 2.0.2 we see why the current implementation of pattern matching in Encore is not optimal for use with ADTs. Here we will look at the improvements that has been made in this area.

Consider the following ADT definition

```
1 data List
2 case Node(value : int, next : List) : List
3 case Last(value : int) : List
```

Prior to any of my optimizations the extractor methods for Node would look like the following:

```
1 def Node() : Maybe[(int, List)]
2   Just((this.value, this.next))
3 end
4
5 def Last() : Maybe[int]
6   Nothing
7 end
```

Listing 4.3: Extractor methods before optimization

As explained in section 2.0.2 the problem with this is the return types of the extractor methods. The value it returns is a Maybe type, holding a tuple that contains the values a Node wants to expose. Memory for both the Maybe and the Tuple types needs to be allocated for and the fields from the Node needs to be copied over to the tuple.

```
1 match list with
2   case Node(value, next) => foo()
3   case Last(value) => bar()
4 end
```

Listing 4.4: Pattern matching on a List

The code generated from the pattern matching in listing 4.4 will generate C code that resembles that of listing 4.5.

```
insert horrible code here
```

Listing 4.5: Pattern matching on a List

A more efficient way of doing this is to get rid of the option type associated with the extractor methods and instead have them return an integer, 1 if it is a match, 0 otherwise. To avoid having to allocate a tuple and copy values to and from it we instead we make us of typecasts so that the fields can be accessed directly. The code from listing 4.4 now generates the following C code

```
insert slightly less horrible code here
Listing 4.6: Pattern matching on a List
```

By getting rid of the Maybe and Tuple types we make avoid allocating memory on the heap that the garbage collector would have to clean up afterwards.

5. Evaluation and discussion

TODO

5.1 Expressive power

TODO

5.1.1 Some cool example

TODO

5.1.2 Performance

TODO

5.1.2.1 Benchmark

TODO

6. Conclusion and Future work

ADTs in Encore are cool and/or totally sweet!

7. References

Bibliography

- [1] Gustav Lundin *Pattern Matching in Encore*. http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:930151/FULLTEXT01.pdf
- [2] Wikipedia Extended BNF https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extended_Backus%E2%80%93Naur_form
- [3] Einar Broch JohnsenReiner HähnleJan SchäferRudolf SchlatteMartin Steffen ABS: A Core Language for Abstract Behavioral Specification https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-642-25271-6_8
- [4] Algebraic Data Types in ABS http://abs-models.org/documentation/manual/#sec:algebraic-data-types
- [5] Case classes in Scala https://docs.scala-lang.org/tour/case-classes.html
- [6] Stephan Brandauer Elias Castegren Dave Clarke Kiko Fernandez-Reyes Einar Broch JohnsenKa I. PunS. Lizeth Tapia Tarifa Tobias Wrigstad Albert Mingkun Yang Parallel Objects for Multicores: A Glimpse at the Parallel Language Encore

Appendices