# STATS300B – Lecture 5

## Julia Palacios Scribed by Michael Howes

## 01/18/22

### Contents

L	Convergence of random variables
	1.1 Skorokhod's theorem
	1.2 Marginal convergence
2	Delta method

## 1 Convergence of random variables

### 1.1 Skorokhod's theorem

We ended last lecture with the statement and a proof of Skorokhod's theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Skorokhod). Suppose that  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X_0$ . Then there exist random variables  $X_n^*$  such that  $X_n^* \stackrel{\text{dist}}{=} X_n$  and  $X_n^* \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X_0^*$ .

The idea behind the proof was to work with the inverse CDFs,

$$F_n^{-1}(t) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_n(x) \ge t\},\$$

where  $F_n(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x)$ . We defined  $X_n^* = F_n^{-1}(\xi)$  where  $\xi \sim U(0,1)$ . We showed that if  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X_0$ , then  $F_n^{-1}(\xi) \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} F_0^{-1}(\xi)$ .

#### 1.2 Marginal convergence

Let  $X_n$  and X be random k-vectors. Then

- 1.  $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$  if and only if  $X_{n,j} \stackrel{p}{\to} X_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .
- 2.  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$  if and only if  $X_{n,j} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .
- 3.  $X_n \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X$  if and only if  $X_{n,j} \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .
- 4. If  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ , then  $X_{n,j} \stackrel{d}{\to} X_j$  for all j = 1, ..., k. But (!) it is possible that  $X_{n,j} \stackrel{d}{\to} X_j$  for all j = 1, ..., k and  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ . Indeed,  $X_n$  need not have any distribution limit (see homework).

So for convergence in distribution, marginal (or element-wise) convergence is not enough to imply joint convergence. The Cramer–Wald device provides one work around. To show that  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ , it suffices to show that  $a^T X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} a^T X$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and if  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ , then  $a^T X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} a^T X$ . There is a special

case when marginal convergence in distribution does imply joint convergence in distribution. This is when all but one of the entries of X are constant. More precisely, one can show, that if  $X_n, Y_n, X$  are random vectors and y is a constant, then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} y \Longrightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, y).$$

Note that since y is a constant, the condition  $Y_n \stackrel{p}{\to} y$  is equivalent to  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} y$ . This theorem can be combined with the continuous mapping theorem to prove Slutsky's theorem which is a real workhorse of asymptotic statistics.

**Theorem 2** (Slutsky's). Suppose  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{p}{\to} c$ , then

- 1.  $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X + c$
- 2.  $Y_n X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} cX$ ,
- 3.  $X_n/Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X/c \text{ provided } c \neq 0.$

*Proof.* As claimed above, we know that  $(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, c)$ . The function  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto x/y$  are all continuous on their domains. Thus, by the continuous mapping theorem the above results hold.

**Example 1** (One-sided t-test). Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Suppose we wish to test  $H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$  against  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ .

If  $X_i$  was normally distributed, we know that the uniformly most powerful unbiased test rejects when  $T_n \ge t_{n-1,\alpha}$  where

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu_0)}{S_n},$$

and

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2.$$

When  $X_i$  are normally distributed and the null holds, we know that  $T_n$  has the students t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. We would like to know the asymptotic distribution of  $T_n$  in the non-normal cases. In particular,

- 1. What is the asymptotic distribution of  $T_n$  when  $\mu = \mu_0$ ?
- 2. What is the asymptotic distribution of  $T_n$  when  $\mu > \mu_0$ ?

With Slutsky's theorem we can answer both of these,

- 1. We have seen before that the weak law of large numbers implies that  $S_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \sigma$  and that the central limit theorem implies that  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n \mu_0) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . Thus, Slutsky's theorem implies that  $T_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, 1)$ .
- 2. If  $\mu > \mu_0$ , then we can write  $T_n$  as,

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) + \sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{S_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{S_n} + \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{S_n}.$$

We know that  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n-\mu)}{S_n} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0,1)$  but  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu-\mu_0)}{S_n} \stackrel{p}{\to} +\infty$ . Thus, when  $\mu > \mu_0$ ,  $T_n \stackrel{d}{\to} +\infty$ . Thus, for  $\mu > \mu_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu,\sigma^2}(T_n \ge t_{n-1,\alpha}) \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\to} 1.$$

Therefor, under any alternative, the test has asymptotic power 1.

**Example 2** (Testing variance). Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] < \infty$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mu)^4] = \mu_4 < \infty$ . Let  $Y_i = (X_i - \mu)^2$  then  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \sigma^2$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(Y_i) = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)^4] - \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)^2]^2 = \mu_4 - \sigma^4$ . Thus,

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - \sigma^2)}{\sqrt{\mu_4 - \sigma^4}} \rightarrow \mathsf{N}(0, 1).$$

**Example 3** (Pearson's chi-squared). Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. with distribution  $\mathsf{Multinomial}_k(1, p)$ . That is each  $X_i$  is a vector in  $\{0, 1\}^k$  with exactly one entry equal to one and,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i,j}=1)=p_j,$$

for every j. Let  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \mathsf{Multinomial}_k(n,p)$  and let  $\widehat{p} = \frac{N}{n}$ . Let  $H_0$  be the hypothesis  $p = p_0$  and let  $H_1$  be  $p \neq p_0$ . Pearson's chi-squared test statistic of  $H_0$  against  $H_1$  is,

$$Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(N_j - np_{0,j})^2}{np_{0,j}}.$$

We will show that under  $H_0$ ,  $Q \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi^2_{k-1}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . It suffices to write  $Q_n = W_n^T W_n$  where  $W_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, I_{k-1})$ . With this in mind, define,

$$Z_n = \begin{bmatrix} rac{N_1 - np_{0,1}}{\sqrt{np_{0,1}}} \\ \vdots \\ rac{N_k - np_{0,k}}{\sqrt{np_{0,k}}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}_{H_0}[Z] = 0$  and, for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$Cov_{H_0}(Z_{n,i}Z_{n,j}) = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{p_{0,i}p_{0,j}}}Cov_{H_0}(N_{n,i}N_{n,j})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n\sqrt{p_{0,i}p_{0,j}}}np_{0,i}p_{0,j}$$
$$= \sqrt{p_{0,i}p_{0,j}}.$$

And

$$\operatorname{Var}_{H_0}(Z_{n,j}) = \frac{1}{np_{0,j}} \operatorname{Var}_{H_0}(N_{n,j}) = \frac{np_{0,j}(1 - p_{0,j})}{np_{0,j}} = 1 - p_{0,j}.$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(Z_n) = \Sigma$  where  $\Sigma = I - \sqrt{p_0} \sqrt{p_0}^T$ . Furthermore, by the multivariate CLT,  $Z_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}_K(0,\Sigma)$ . Now let  $\Gamma$  be an orthogonal matrix with first row equal to  $\sqrt{p_0}$ . It follows that,

$$Z_n^T Z_n = (\Gamma Z_n)^T (\Gamma Z_n)$$
$$= V_n^T V_n.$$

By Slutsky's we have  $V_n \stackrel{d}{\to} V \sim \Gamma \mathsf{N}_k(0, \Sigma) = \mathsf{N}_k(0, \Gamma^T \Sigma \Gamma)$ . Furthermore,

$$\Gamma^{T} \Sigma \Gamma = \Gamma^{T} \Gamma - \Gamma^{T} \sqrt{p_{0}} \sqrt{p_{0}}^{T} \Gamma = I - e_{1} e_{1}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus  $V^T V \sim \chi_{k-1}^2$  and  $Q_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_{k-1}^2$ .

## 2 Delta method

The central limit theorem tells us that  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Often we aren't just interested in the mean. The *delta method* allows us to study the asymptotic distribution of functions of the mean.

**Theorem 3** (Delta method 1). Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . Suppose that f is differentiable at  $\mu$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}(f(\bar{X}_n) - f(\mu)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, [f'(\mu)]^2 \sigma^2).$$

Proof. We can prove this result by combining Slutsky's theorem with a Taylor's approximation. We have

$$f(\bar{X}_n) = f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(\bar{X}_n - \mu) + o(\bar{X}_n - \mu).$$

By the central limit theorem  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  and so  $o(\bar{X}_n - \mu) = o_p(\sqrt{n})$ . Thus, rearranging the above we get,

$$\sqrt{n}(f(\bar{X}_n) - f(\mu)) = f'(\mu)\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) + o_p(1).$$

Slutsky's theorem thus implies that  $\sqrt{n}(f(\bar{X}_n) - f(\mu)) \stackrel{d}{\to} f'(\mu) \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma^2) = \mathsf{N}(0,[f'(\mu)]^2\sigma^2).$ 

The delta method can be generalized to situations other than that of the central limit theorem. There are also higher dimensional versions like the following.

**Theorem 4** (Delta mathod 2 (higher dimensional)). Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are random k-vectors such that,

$$a_n(X_n-c) \stackrel{d}{\to} Y.$$

If f is a real-valued function that is differentiable at c, then

$$a_n(f(X_n) - f(c)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \nabla f(c)^T Y.$$

The proof is via a Taylor's approximation like the previous version.

**Example 4.** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. random vectors with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \theta \neq 0$  and  $Cov(X_1) = \Sigma$ . Define  $\phi(h) = \frac{1}{2} \|h\|_2^2$ . By the multivariate central limit theorem,  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}_k(0, \Sigma)$ . Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\phi(\bar{X}_n) - \phi(\theta)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \theta^T \mathsf{N}(0, \Sigma) = \mathsf{N}(0, \theta^T \Sigma \theta),$$

since  $\nabla h(\theta) = \theta$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}_n^2$ . We know that  $S_n^2 \stackrel{p}{\to} \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$ , but can we say something about the limiting distribution of  $S_n^2$ ? Note that  $S_n^2 = \phi(\bar{X}_n, \bar{X}^2_n)$  where  $\phi(x, y) = y - x^2$ . Note that  $\nabla \phi(x, y) = (-2x, 1)^T$ . Also, assume  $X_1$  has a finite fourth moment,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_n \\ \bar{X}^2 n \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \overset{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}_2(0, \Sigma).$$

Where

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(X) & \operatorname{Cov}(X, X^2) \\ \operatorname{Cov}(X, X^2) & \operatorname{Var}(X^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that  $\nabla \phi(\mu, \mu^2 + \sigma^2) = (-2\mu, 1)^T$ . Thus,

$$\nabla \phi(\mu, \mu^2 + \sigma^2)^T \Sigma \nabla \phi(\mu, \mu^2 + \sigma^2) = [-2\mu, 1] \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu\sigma^2 + \text{Cov}(X, X^2) \\ -2\mu \text{Cov}(X, X^2) + \text{Var}(X^2) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 4\mu^2\sigma^2 - 4\mu \text{Cov}(X, X^2) + \text{Var}(X^2)$$
$$=: \gamma.$$

By the delta-method  $\sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \sigma^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0, \gamma)$ .

If the first derivate of our function is zero, then we can use the higher order delta method to get a better approximation.

**Theorem 5** (Delta method 3 (higher order)). Suppose that  $X_n$  are random k-vectors such that

$$r_n(X_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} X,$$

where  $r_n$  is a deterministic function with  $r_n \to +\infty$ . Let  $\phi$  be a real-valued function that is twice differentiable at  $\theta$  with  $\phi'(\theta) = 0$ . Then,

$$r_n^2(\phi(X_n) - \phi(\theta)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \frac{1}{2} X^T \nabla^2 \phi(\theta) T.$$

Note that since  $r_n \to +\infty$ ,  $r_n^2 > r_n$  for sufficiently large n. Thus, the rate of convergence of  $\phi(X_n)$  to  $\phi(\theta)$  is faster. This is because we have to multiply by large numbers in order to have  $\phi(X_n) - \phi(X)$  converge to a non-trivial distribution. We will prove the higher order delta method at the start of the next class.