## STATS300A - Lecture 11

## Dominik Rothenhaeusler Scribed by Michael Howes

10/25/21

### **Contents**

L	Announcement	1
2	Admissibility $(d=1)$	1
3	Inadmissibility $(d \ge 3)$	2

#### 1 Announcement

Please arrive at least 5 minutes early to the exam on Wednesday.

# 2 Admissibility (d=1)

We have seen that unique Bayes estimators are admissible. We wish to boost this result to  $\bar{X}_n$  which a limit of Bayes estimators.

**Example 1.** Suppose  $X_1,\ldots,X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown and we are using squared error loss. To show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is admissible we will use a limiting Bayes argument. Suppose without loss of generality that  $\sigma^2=1$  and that  $\bar{X}_n$  is inadmissible. Note that the risk of  $\bar{X}_n$  is  $\frac{1}{n}$  constantly. Thus if  $\bar{X}_n$  is inadmissible, then there exists an estimator  $\delta$  such that  $R(\theta,\delta)<1/n$  for some  $\theta$  and  $R(\theta,\delta)\leq 1/n$  for all  $\theta$ .

One can use the dominated convergence theorem to show that  $\theta \mapsto R(\theta, \delta)$  is continuous. Thus there exists an interval  $(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  such that  $\theta_1 - \theta_0 > 0$  and  $R(\theta, \delta') \le 1/n - \varepsilon$  for all  $\theta \in (\theta_0, \theta_1)$ .

Let  $r'_{\tau}$  be the average risk of  $\delta$  with respect to the prior  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$ . Also let  $r_{\tau}$  be the average risk of the Bayes estimator with respect to the prior  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$ . We know that  $r_{\tau}$  is the posterior variance of  $\tau$  and thus

$$r_{\tau} = \frac{1}{n+1/\tau^2} = \frac{\tau^2}{n\tau^2 + 1}.$$

Thus  $r_{\tau}$  approaches 1/n as  $\tau \nearrow \infty$ . We also know that  $r'_{\tau} \le 1/n$  for all  $\tau$ . We will now look at the

ratio  $\frac{1/n-r'_{\tau}}{1/n-r_{\tau}}$ . This is a sort of Taylor's expansion of  $r_{\tau}$  and  $r'_{\tau}$  about 1/n. Note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1/n - r_{\tau}'}{1/n - r_{\tau}} &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1/n - R(\theta, \delta)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta}{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{\tau^2}{n\tau^2 + 1}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1/n - R(\theta, \delta)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta}{\frac{n\tau^2 + 1}{n(n\tau^2 + 1)} - \frac{n\tau^2}{n(n\tau^2 + 1)}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1/n - R(\theta, \delta)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta}{\frac{1}{n(n\tau^2 + 1)}} \\ &= \frac{n(n\tau^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1/n - R(\theta, \delta)) \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{n(n\tau^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \cdot \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} (1/n - R(\theta, \delta)) \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{n(n\tau^2 + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} \cdot \varepsilon \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \exp(-1/2\theta^2) d\theta. \end{split}$$

As  $\tau \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{n(n\tau^2+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\tau} \to \infty$  and by the dominanted convergence theorem  $\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \exp(-1/2\theta^2)d\theta \to \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} 1d\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_0 > 0$ . Thus we have

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1/n - r'_{\tau}}{1/n - r'_{\tau}} = \infty.$$

In particular there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\frac{1/n - r'_{\tau}}{1/n - r_{\tau}} > 1$ . This implies that  $r'_{\tau} < r_{\tau}$  which is a contradiction.

# 3 Inadmissibility $(d \ge 3)$

We will now look at an example of simultaneous estimation and look at the James-Stein estimator. The take away will be that minimax estimators and UMRUES need not be admissible.

Suppose  $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, I_p)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Our goal is to estimate  $\theta$  under the loss  $L(\theta, d) = \sum_{j=1}^p (\theta_j - d_j)^2 = \|\theta - d\|_2^2$ . The estimator  $\delta(X) = X$  is

- A minimax estimator for  $\theta$ .
- The UMRUES for  $\theta$ .
- The MLE for  $\theta$ , that is  $X = \arg \max_{\theta} p(x; \theta)$ .

From many perspectives X seems like the best estimator but X is admissible for  $p \geq 3$ . Recall the emperical Bayes estimator for  $\theta$ 

$$\left(1 - \frac{p}{\sum_{i} X_i^2}\right) X.$$

This came up in the setting  $\theta_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$  and  $X_i | \theta_i \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta_1, 1)$ . We will see that a similar estimator will outperform  $\delta(X) = X$  uniformly in  $\theta$  in a frequentist setting.

For intuition one may ask what is the problem with  $\delta(X) = X$ ? The problem is that  $\|X\|_2^2$  is normally much larger  $\|\theta\|_2^2$  since  $\mathbb{E}[\|X\|_2^2] = \sum_{i=1}^P \left(\theta_i^2 + 1\right) = \|\theta\|_2^2 + p >> \|\theta\|_2^2$ .

**Theorem 1.** [TPE 5.5.1] Define the estimator  $\delta^0$  by

$$\delta_j^0(X) = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_2^2}\right) X_j.$$

The estimato  $\delta^0$  had strictly smaller risk than  $\delta(X) = X$  for all  $\theta$ . Thus  $\delta(X) = X$  is inadmissible. We call  $\delta^0$  a James-Stein estimator.

*Proof.* We know that  $R(\theta, \delta) = p$  when  $\delta(X) = X$ . Now note that

$$\begin{split} R(\theta, \delta^{0}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \sum_{j} \left( \theta_{j} - \left( 1 - \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} \right) X_{j} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \sum_{j} \left( \theta_{j} - X_{j} + \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} X_{j} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (\theta_{j} - X_{j})^{2} \right] - 2 \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (X - \theta_{j}) \left( \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} X_{j} \right) \right] + \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^{2} X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] \\ &= p - 2 \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (X_{j} - \theta_{j}) \left( \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} X_{j} \right) \right] + \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^{2} X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right]. \end{split}$$

Recall Stein's identity if  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)(X - \mu)] = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[g'(X)].$$

By conditioning this gives

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[ (X_j - \theta_j) \left( \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_2^2} X_j \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[ (p-2) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \left( \frac{X_j}{\|X\|_2^2} \right) \right].$$

If we make this substitution we have

$$\begin{split} R(\theta, \delta^0) &= p - 2 \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (p - 2) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{j}} \left( \frac{X_{j}}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} \right) \right] + \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{(p - 2)^{2} X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] \\ &= p - 2 \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (p - 2) \frac{\|X\|_{2}^{2} - 2X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] + \sum_{j} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{(p - 2)^{2} X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] \\ &= p - 2 (p - 2) \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \sum_{j} \frac{\|X\|_{2}^{2} - 2X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] + (p - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \sum_{j} \frac{X_{j}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] \\ &= p - 2 (p - 2) \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{p \|X\|_{2}^{2} - 2 \|X\|_{2}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] + (p - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\|X\|_{2}^{2}}{\|X\|_{2}^{4}} \right] \\ &= p - 2 (p - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} \right] + (p - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} \right] \\ &= p - (p - 2)^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{\|X\|_{2}^{2}} \right] \\ &< p. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\delta^0$  uniformly outperforms  $\delta$ .

- **Remark 1.** (a) Why only p > 2? The random variable  $\frac{1}{\|X\|_2^2}$  is not integrable when p = 2. Thus the regularity conditions of Stein's identity are not met.
  - (b) The above theorem is surprising! We can improve the risk by sharing information across different dimensions. The components  $X_{\setminus j}$  are used to estimate  $\theta_j$  even though  $X_j$  are independent and  $\theta_j$  do not have any restrictions.
  - (c) Define  $\delta_j^v = \left(1 \frac{p-2}{\|X_v\|_2^2}\right)(X_j v_j)$  for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Then the above proof shows that  $\delta^v$  also out performs  $\delta$ .
- (d) If  $\sigma \neq 1$ , then we can use  $\widehat{\theta}_{JS} = \left(1 \frac{\sigma^2(p-2)}{\|X\|_2^2}\right) X$ .
- (e)  $\hat{\theta}_{JS}$  is inadmissible, we can improve by using

$$\delta'_j(X) = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|X\|_2^2}\right)_+ X_j.$$

(f) A warning: For some j,  $\mathbb{E}[(\delta_j^0(X) - \theta_j)^2]$  may be larger than  $\mathbb{E}[(X_j - \theta_j)^2]$ . An example is "Baseball batting averages - James-Stein estimator"