

# STATS310A - Lecture 8

Persi Diaconis  
Scribed by Michael Howes

10/14/21

## Contents

|          |                    |          |
|----------|--------------------|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Integration</b> | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1      | Definition         | 1        |
| 1.2      | Properties         | 2        |
| 1.3      | Remarks            | 4        |

## 1 Integration

### 1.1 Definition

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable. We wish to define

$$\int f d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega).$$

**Definition 1.** The function  $f$  is a *simple function* if there exists a finite partition of  $\Omega$   $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and values  $x_i \in [-\infty, \infty]$  such that

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta_{A_i}(\omega),$$

where we use the conventions  $0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0$  and  $x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot x = \infty$  if  $x \in (0, \infty]$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $f \geq 0$ , then there exists a sequence of simple functions  $f_n \geq 0$  such that  $f_n(\omega) \nearrow f(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $n$  and define

$$f_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2^n} & \text{if } \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq f(\omega) < \frac{k}{2^n} \text{ for some } k = 1, \dots, n2^n, \\ n & \text{if } f(\omega) \geq n. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**Definition 2.** Suppose  $f(\omega) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega$ . Define

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = \sup \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \mu(A_i) \right),$$

where the supremum is over all measurable partitions of  $\Omega$  and  $\nu_i = \inf_{\omega \in A_i} f(\omega)$ . For a general  $f$  define

$$f_+(\omega) = \max\{f(\omega), 0\} \text{ and } f_-(\omega) = \max\{-f(\omega), 0\}.$$

Note that  $f_+, f_- \geq 0$  and  $|f| = f_+ + f_-$  and  $f = f_+ - f_-$ . We define  $\int f d\mu$  based on cases.

- If  $\int f_+ d\mu < \infty$  and  $\int f_- d\mu < \infty$ , then define  $\int f = \int f_+ d\mu - \int f_- d\mu$ .
- If  $\int f_+ d\mu = \infty$  and  $\int f_- d\mu < \infty$ , then define  $\int f d\mu = \infty$ .
- If  $\int f_+ d\mu < \infty$  and  $\int f_- d\mu = \infty$ , then define  $\int f d\mu = -\infty$ .
- If  $\int f_+ d\mu = \infty$  and  $\int f_- d\mu = \infty$ , then  $\int f d\mu$  is not defined.

## 1.2 Properties

**Proposition 1.** Suppose  $f, g, f_n \geq 0$ .

- (a) If  $f(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta_{A_i}(\omega)$  is simple, then  $\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mu(A_i)$ .
- (b) If  $f(\omega) \leq g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega$ , then  $\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$ .
- (c) If  $f_n(\omega) \nearrow f(\omega)$  for all  $n$  and  $\omega$ , then  $\int f_n d\mu \nearrow \int f d\mu$ . (Monotone convergence theorem).
- (d) If  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ , then  $\int \alpha f + \beta g d\mu = \alpha \int f d\mu + \beta \int g d\mu$ .

*Proof.* (a) Fix a partition  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^m$  and let  $\beta_j = \inf_{\omega \in B_j} f(\omega)$ . If  $\omega \in A_i \cap B_j$ , then  $\beta_j \leq f(\omega) = x_i$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mu(B_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m x_i \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mu(A_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\int f d\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mu(A_i)$ . The other direction we get for free since  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a partition and  $f$  equals  $x_i$  on  $A_i$ .

- (b) This follows from the definition since

$$\inf\{f(\omega) : \omega \in A_i\} \leq \inf\{g(\omega) : \omega \in A_i\},$$

for any measurable set  $A_i$ .

- (c) By (b) we know that  $\int f_n d\mu$  is an increasing sequence and that  $\int f_n d\mu$  is bounded above by  $\int f d\mu$ . Thus  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu$  exists and  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$ . It remains to prove that  $\int f d\mu \leq \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ . Thus we must show that for every partition  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i \mu(A_i) \leq \lim_n \int f_n d\mu,$$

where  $\nu_i = \inf\{f(\omega) : \omega \in A_i\}$ . Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i \mu(A_i)$ . We will consider different cases.

- i. Suppose that  $S$  is finite and  $0 < \nu_i < \infty$  and  $0 < \mu(A_i) < \infty$  for all  $i$ . Choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon < \mu(A_i)$  for all  $i$ . Define

$$A_{n,i} = \{\omega \in A_i : f_n(\omega) \geq \nu_i - \varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $f_n \nearrow f$ , we know that  $A_{n,i} \nearrow A_i$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\mu(A_{n,i}) \nearrow \mu(A_i)$ . Note that

$$\int f_n d\mu \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (\nu_i - \varepsilon) \mu(A_{n,i}).$$

Thus

$$\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - \varepsilon) \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \nu_i \mu(A_i) - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(A_i).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we see that  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \mu(A_i) = S$ . Thus  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \geq \int f d\mu$ .

- ii. Now suppose that  $S < \infty$  and  $\nu_i$  or  $\mu(A_i)$  is equal to 0 or  $\infty$  for some  $i$ . By reordering we have  $0 < \nu_i, \mu(A_i) < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, i_0$  and the rest of the terms are of the form  $0 \cdot \infty$ ,  $\infty \cdot 0$  or  $0 \cdot 0$ . We can then apply case 1 to the partition  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{i_0} \cup \left\{ \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_0} A_i \right)^c \right\}$ .
- iii. Suppose that  $S = \infty$ . Then for some  $i_0$  we have  $\nu_{i_0} \mu(A_{i_0}) = \infty$ . Thus either  $\nu_{i_0} = \infty$  and  $\mu(A_{i_0}) > 0$  or  $\nu_{i_0} > 0$  and  $\mu(A_{i_0}) = \infty$ . Choose  $x, y > 0$  so that  $0 < x < \nu_{i_0}$  and  $0 < y < \mu(A_{i_0})$ . Let  $B_n = \{\omega : f_n(\omega) > x\}$ . Then  $B_n \nearrow B = \{\omega : f(\omega) \geq x\}$ . So  $\mu(B_n) \nearrow \mu(B) \geq \mu(A_{i_0}) \geq y$ . Thus by using the partition  $\{B_n, B_n^c\}$ , we see that

$$\int f_n d\mu \geq x \cdot \mu(B_n).$$

Thus  $\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \geq xy$ . The product  $xy$  can be arbitrary large and thus  $\int f_n d\mu \geq \infty$ .

- (d) Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are both simple functions  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta_{A_i}$  and  $g = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \delta_{B_j}$ . Then

$$\alpha f + \beta g = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha x_i + \beta y_j) \delta_{A_i \cap B_j}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int \alpha f + \beta g d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha x_i + \beta y_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_i \cap B_j) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mu(A_i) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \mu(B_j) \\ &= \alpha \int f d\mu + \beta \int g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now for general  $f$  and  $g$ , let  $f_n \nearrow f$  and  $g_n \nearrow g$  be approximating sequences of simple functions. Then  $(\alpha f_n + \beta g_n) \nearrow \alpha f + \beta g$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int \alpha f + \beta g d\mu &= \int \alpha f_n + \beta g_n d\mu \\ &= \alpha \int f_n d\mu + \beta \int g_n d\mu \\ &= \alpha \int f d\mu + \beta \int g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 1.3 Remarks

- (a) If we have a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\int f d\mu$  agrees with the Riemann integral.
- (b) In the same setting as (a), the function  $f = \delta_{\mathbb{Q} \cap [a, b]}$  is not Riemann integrable but  $\int f d\mu$  does exist and  $\int f d\mu = 0$ .
- (c) We still need Riemann integrals for improper integrals. For example  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$  is not Lebesgue integrable but it does have a convergent improper Riemann integral.
- (d) Riemann integration is also needed for calculations, for Brownian motion and many other things.
- (e) The Henstock integral generalises both the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals.

**Example 1.** In general  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , does not imply  $\int f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ . Consider

$$f_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} n^2 & \text{if } \omega \in (0, 1/n) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\omega$  but  $\int f_n d\mu = n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n \nearrow \infty$ .

The properties (a)-(d) hold if the hypotheses hold *almost surely*. For example for (b) we can prove

$$\mu(\{\omega : f(\omega) > g(\omega)\}) = 0 \implies \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = \{\omega : f(\omega) \leq g(\omega)\}$ . By hypothesis  $\mu(G^c) = 0$ . For every partition  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $\mu(A_i) = \mu(A_i \cap G)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{A_i} f(\omega) \mu(A_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \inf_{A_i} f(\omega) \mu(A_i \cap G) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \inf_{A_i \cap G} f(\omega) \mu(A_i \cap G) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \inf_{A_i \cap G} g(\omega) \mu(A_i \cap G) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \inf_{A_i \cap G} g(\omega) \mu(A_i \cap G) + \inf_{G^c} g(\omega) \mu(G^c) \\ &\leq \int g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.** If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a probability space and  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable, then we call  $\int f d\mu$  the *expectation of  $f$*  and we write

$$\mathbb{E}[X] := \int X d\mu.$$