STATS310A - Lecture 14

Persi Diaconis Scribed by Michael Howes

11/09/21

Contents

1 Recap

2 Stein's equation

2 Proof of the Poisson approximation

4 References

8

1 Recap

Today we will continue with Stien's method and Poisson approximation. As before \mathcal{P}_{λ} will be used to denote the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$. That is, for $j \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\{j\}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{j}}{j!}$. As before we will say that a random variable Z is Poisson(λ) to mean that Z has distribution \mathcal{P}_{λ} and so

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \in A) = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A).$$

Suppose we have a finite index set I and random variables $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ such that X_i takes values 0, 1. Suppose $\mathbb{P}(X_i=1)=\mathbb{E}[X_i]=p_i$ and that $\mathbb{P}(X_i=1,X_j=1)=\mathbb{E}[X_iX_j]=p_{ij}$. Let

$$W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i,$$

and

$$\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} p_i = \mathbb{E}[W].$$

Also define \mathbb{P}_W to be the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_W(A) = \mathbb{P}(W \in A)$ for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that we have a dependency graph Γ for $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. That is, for all subsets $A, B \subseteq I$, if A and B are disjoint and there are no edges between A and B in Γ , then

$$\{X_i\}_{i\in A}$$
 and $\{X_j\}_{j\in B}$,

are independent. For $i \in I$ we define N_i to be the neighbourhood of i in Γ . That is,

$$N_i = \{j \in I : \text{there is an edge from } i \text{ to } j \text{ in } \Gamma\} \cup \{i\}.$$

We wish to prove

Theorem 1. With notation as above

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} - \mathbb{P}_{W}\|_{TV} \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{i \in N_{i} \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_{i}} p_{ij} \right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ is the total variation distance.

2 Stein's equation

The key idea is the following proposition:

Proposition 1. A random variable is $Poisson(\lambda)$ if and only if for all bounded $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Zf(Z)] - \lambda \mathbb{E}[f(Z+1)] = 0.$$

We'll need the following analytic lemma.

Lemma 1 (**). For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a unique function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- i. f(0) = 0,
- ii. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda f(j+1) jf(j) = \delta_A(j) \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)$
- iii. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f(j)| \le 1.25$.
- iv. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f(j+1) f(j)| \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1})$.

Proof. Starting at j = 0 we can set

$$f(j+1) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(jf(j) + \delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) \right).$$

The function f is well-defined by recursion and unique by induction. Thus there exists a unique function f satisfying items i. and ii. We wish to show that f satisfies items iii. and iv. If we multiply the equation

$$\lambda f(j+1) - jf(j) = \delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A),$$

by $\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$, we get the equation

$$\frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{i!}f(j+1) - \frac{\lambda^j}{(j-1)!}f(j) = \frac{\lambda^j}{i!}\left(\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_\lambda(A)\right),\,$$

for $j \ge 1$ and for j = 0 we have

$$\lambda f(1) = \lambda \left(\delta_A(0) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!}f(k) &= \lambda f(1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{j!}f(j+1) - \frac{\lambda^j}{(j-1)!}f(j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \left(\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_\lambda(A)\right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \left(\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_\lambda(A)\right). \end{split}$$

The last equality hold because

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j}}{j!} (\delta_{A}(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)) = e^{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{A}(j) \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\{j\}) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j}}{j!}$$
$$= e^{\lambda} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) e^{\lambda}$$
$$= 0$$

Taking absolute values we get

$$|f(k)| = \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \left(\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} |\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^{k-j-1}j!} |\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^{k-j-1}j!}.$$

The last equality holds since $\delta_A(j)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) \in [0,1]$. We will now perform a change on variables and sum over j' = k - j - 1 so that j = k - j' - 1. We thus have

$$|f(k)| \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^{k-j-1} j!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j'=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^{j'} (k-1-j')!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j'=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-j')}{\lambda^{j'}}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j'=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right)^{j'}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right)^{j'}.$$

If $k < \lambda + 1$, then above series is convergent and we have

$$|f(k)| \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{k-1}{\lambda}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda - k + 1}.$$

In particular when $k \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{5}$,

$$|f(k)| \le \frac{1}{4/5} = 1.25.$$

Using

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!}f(k) = -\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \left(\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)\right),\,$$

we also have

$$|f(k)| \leq \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} |\delta_A(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)|$$

$$\leq \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j-k} k!}{j!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m k!}{(m+k)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{(m+k)(m+k-1)\dots(k+1)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{k+1}\right)^m$$

If $k > \lambda - 1$, then the above series is convergent and so

$$|f(k)| \le \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{k+1}} \right) = \frac{k+1}{k(k+1-\lambda)}.$$

In particular if $k > \lambda + 1/5$ and $k \ge 2$, then $\frac{k+1}{k} \le \frac{3}{2}$ and so

$$|f(k)| \le \frac{k+1}{k(1+1/5)} = \frac{5(k+1)}{6k} \le 1.25.$$

For k < 2, we have f(0) = 0 and

$$|f(1)| = \frac{1}{\lambda} |\delta_A(1) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)|,$$

which is maximized when $A = \{0\}$ or $A = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. In both these cases,

$$|\delta_A(1) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)| = 1 - e^{-\lambda},$$

and thus

$$|f(1)| \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda} \right) \le 1.$$

Thus we have shown iii. To show iv. we need to bound |f(j+1) - f(j)|. By the triangle inequality

$$|f(j+1) - f(j)| \le |f(j+1)| + |f(j)| \le 2 \times 1.25 \le 3.$$

For homework show that

$$|f(j+1) - f(j)| \le \lambda^{-1},$$

for
$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{3}$$
.

We are now ready to prove proposition 1.

Proof. First suppose that Z is Poisson(λ) and $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then

$$\mathbb{E}[Zf(Z)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} jf(j)\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\{j\})$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} jf(j) \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{j}}{j!}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jf(j) \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{j}}{j!}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(j) \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{j}}{(j-1)!}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k+1) \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{k+1}}{k!}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k+1)\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(\{k\})$$

$$= \lambda \mathbb{E}[f(Z+1)].$$

Alternative one can note that the equation

$$\mathbb{E}[Zf(Z)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[f(Z+1)],$$

is linear in f and thus reduce the result to the case when f is an indicator function (that is, use a (1),(2),(3) argument).

Now conversely suppose that for all bound $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[Zf(Z)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[f(Z+1)].$$

Let A be a subset of \mathbb{N} and let f be as in Lemma (**). We then have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \in A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta_{A}(j) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)) \, \mathbb{P}(Z = j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (jf(j) - \lambda f(j+1)) \, \mathbb{P}(Z = j)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[Zf(Z) - \lambda f(Z+1)]$$
$$= 0.$$

So $\mathbb{P}(Z \in A) = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)$ and Z is Poisson(λ).

3 Proof of the Poisson approximation

We are now ready to prove

$$\|\mathbb{P}_W - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}\|_{TV} \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{i \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i} p_{ij} \right),$$

where the notation is as at the start of this lecture.

Proof. Since

$$\|\mathbb{P}_W - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}\|_{TV} = \sup_{A \subset \mathbb{N}} |\mathbb{P}_W(A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)|,$$

it suffices to show that

$$|\mathbb{P}_W(A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)| \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{i \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i} p_{ij} \right),$$

for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Fix such an A and define $\Delta = \mathbb{P}_W(A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)$. Let f be as in Lemma (**). Then, as seen in the previous proof,

$$\Delta = \mathbb{P}(W \in A) - \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(A)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Wf(W) - \lambda f(W+1)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i \in I} X_i f(W) - p_i f(W+1)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}\left[X_i f(W) - p_i f(W+1)\right]$$

For every i, let $W_i = W - X_i$ and $V_i = \sum_{j \in N_i^c} X_j$. Note that by the definition of a dependency graph, V_i is independent of X_i . Note also that

$$X_i f(W) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X_i = 0, \\ f(W_i + 1) & \text{if } X_i = 1. \end{cases}$$
$$= X_i f(W_i + 1).$$

Thus

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_i - p_i) f(W_i + 1) + p_i (f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_i - p_i) (f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[p_i (f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)) \right]. \tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_i - p_i) (f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1)) \right] + \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[p_i (f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)) \right]$$

$$= (I) + (II).$$

The equality in (1) holds because V_i and X_i are independent and thus for each i,

$$E[(X_i - p_i)f(W_i + 1)] = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)(f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1))] + \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)f(V_i + 1)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)(f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1))] + \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)]\mathbb{E}[f(V_i + 1)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)(f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1))].$$

We will now bound the absolute value of the sums (I) and (II). We'll start with (II) which is simplier. For each i, $f(W_i + 1) = f(W + 1)$ if $X_i = 0$ and otherwise $W_i + 1$ and W + 1 differ by 1. Thus,

$$|f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)| \le X_i \min(3, \lambda^{-1}).$$

And so we have

$$\left| \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[p_i (f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)) \right] \right| \leq \sum_{i \in I} p_i \mathbb{E} \left[|f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)| \right]$$

$$\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} p_i \mathbb{E}[X_i]$$

$$= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} p_i p_i.$$

The sum (I) is trickier but similar ideas can be used to bound it. For a fixed i, let X'_1, \ldots, X'_m be an enumeration of the variables in $N_i \setminus \{i\}$. We then have

$$|f(W_{i}+1) - f(V_{i}+1)| = \left| f\left(1 + V_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} X'_{k}\right) - f(1 + V_{i}) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m} X'_{j} \left(f\left(1 + V_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{j} X'_{k}\right) - f\left(1 + V_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} X'_{k}\right) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} X'_{j} \left| f\left(1 + V_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{j} X'_{k}\right) - f\left(1 + V_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} X'_{k}\right) \right|$$

$$\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{m} X'_{j}$$

$$= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{j \in N_{i} \setminus \{i\}} X_{j}.$$

Thus we have that

$$\left| \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_i - p_i)(f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1)) \right] \right| \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} \mathbb{E}[|X_i - p_i|X_j]$$

$$= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] + p_i \mathbb{E}[X_j]$$

$$= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + p_i p_j.$$

Thus combining our bounds on (I) and (II) we have

$$|\Delta| \le \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + p_i p_j + p_i p_i \right)$$

$$= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{i \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i} p_{ij} \right)$$

4 References

Three references are

- "Poisson Approximation and the Chen-Stein Method" by Arratia, Goldstein and Gord.
- "Exchangeable pairs and Poisson approximation" by Chatterjee, Diaconis and Meckes.
- "An Introduction to Stein's Method" by Barbour and Chen. This is a textbook which is available online through Stanford Libraries.