

# Undergraduate Research Statement (DRAFT)

Michael Joseph Ellis

When acknowledging my research as multi-focal—a blend of Human-Computer Interaction, Artificial Intelligence, and Human Factors Psychology—I like to refer to it as “Human-AI Interaction.” As an emerging interdisciplinary field (as of the time of making this statement), my work explicitly considers problems in computer science and psychology, where I study the underlying meaningful value for the individual when AI is developed to cater to a need. Although the applications differ (and hence, two or all areas appear seemingly unrelated in technicality and distinct discipline), the tools, techniques, and perspectives on a problem are corollary and connect my work.

For instance, consider the publications [?] and [?]. The first paper is on efficient vision transformers, aiming to enhance autonomous off-road perception by improving the computational efficiency and accuracy of vision transformers in unstructured environments. On the other hand, the second paper strays from a strictly technical aspect and explores how AI can be applied to risk communication regarding disasters and emergency decision-making. Although the applications prove the use of AI vary (given studies are of different disciplinary specialties), a very similar approach appears: they each show how AI techniques can extract and process critical information in dynamic and unpredictable environments, improving decision-making via situational awareness and response efficiency. In other words, similar fine-tuning and technique analysis can successfully contribute meaningfully—by showcasing real-world significance for the individual—in two otherwise unrelated papers.

While we know how to apply AI where we can personalize experiences in controlled environments with abundant data, we know less about how to effectively use these methods when individual preferences and behaviors are less predictable, data is limited or inconsistent, or when policies and usability standards are not met/addressed.

Now, consider my research focus on addressing questions that emerge from applying AI to enhance personalized experiences in academia and professional settings.

- **How can we train models that adapt to individual learning styles and professional needs?**

Many learners and professionals engage with digital platforms in unique ways, so models must detect and respond to individual patterns, adjusting content delivery or task recommendations to support diverse needs effectively.

- **How can AI-driven personalization provide motivation and retention in digital learning environments?**

By identifying and responding to signs of engagement or disengagement, AI should dynamically adjust learning paths or workflow recommendations, which improve motivation and reduce drop-off rates.

- **How can we design models that generalize well across varied academic and professional contexts?**

Data from different educational and professional settings can vary widely. To create adaptable and impactful AI solutions, we need to find ways to train generalizable models that maintain accuracy and relevance across diverse environments, data sources, and user demographics.

Currently, methods address the first challenge by brute force: to get neural networks to learn the individual's preferred learning style—auditory, visual, reading/writing, and kinesthetic. Researchers monitor attention, cognitive workload, facial expressions, and emotional states and utilize various ML algorithms to interpret these data points [?]. However, to use these models, researchers have to label data themselves. Each data point needs diverse examples that show the points under uninteresting variations that the researcher wants the models to ignore, requiring a demanding number of samples [?]. This process is called supervised learning, which can be time-consuming and limits data analysis efficiency. The applicability of these methods in questions two and three are also directly limited by this drawback. Since prerequisite knowledge of the learning styles is to be had before labeling, these models are biased off topics we already know enough about, making them less suited for discovery and reliant on human intervention [?].

In this statement, I describe my current research, consisting of various works in AI development, Human-Centered Computing discussions, and decision-making based on communications of risk to an individual. Following, I discuss individual progress toward my research focus and my future work with connections.

## Current Work

### Humans & Technology.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

### Risk Communication & Decision-Making.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor

gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

### **Machine Learning & Artificial Intelligence.**

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## **Individual Work**

Talk about individual research. i.e., independent analysis & learning, informal research experience

- analysis of papers read (add Constantinos et al. paper [?], add U-Net paper [?] and add AI in psychiatry paper [?] (look in notes))
- find connections between the papers or tie them to primary work [?], [?], [?]
- no individually conducted research to talk about, unfortunately
- theoretical ideas I've developed (look in notes)
  - explain understanding and insights
  - take note of style and framing

## **Future Work**

state any questions I want to explore maybe talk about future research potential approaches identified

## **References**

- [1] Pickeral A. Marquez E. Smith M.C. Faykus III, M.H. and J.C. Calhoun. Efficient vision transformers for autonomous off-road perception systems. *Journal of Computer and Communications*, 2024.

- [2] Amelia Fiske, Peter Henningsen, and Alena Buyx. Your robot therapist will see you now: Ethical implications of embodied artificial intelligence in psychiatry, psychology, and psychotherapy. *J Med Internet Res*, 21(5):e13216, May 2019.
- [3] Pierre Geurts, Alexandre Irrthum, and Louis Wehenkel. Supervised learning with decision tree-based methods in computational and systems biology. *Mol. BioSyst.*, 5:1593–1605, 2009.
- [4] Tingting Jiang, Zhumo Sun, Shiting Fu, and Yan Lv. Human-ai interaction research agenda: A user-centered perspective. *Data and Information Management*, page 100078, 2024.
- [5] Constantinos K. Coursaris Pierre-Majorique Léger Jinglu Jiang, Alexander J. Karran and Joerg Beringer. A situation awareness perspective on human-ai interaction: Tensions and opportunities. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 39(9):1789–1806, 2023.
- [6] Varsha T. Lokare and Prakash M. Jadhav. An ai-based learning style prediction model for personalized and effective learning. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 51:101421, 2024.
- [7] Robert Ighodaro Ogie, Juan Castilla Rho, and Rodney J. Clarke. Artificial intelligence in disaster risk communication: A systematic literature review. In *2018 5th International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies for Disaster Management (ICT-DM)*, pages 1–8, 2018.
- [8] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation, 2015.
- [9] Zhen Shao, Jing Zhang, and Lin Zhang. Exploring the technological potential and moral perils of generative ai in post-adoption use. In *PACIS 2024 Proceedings*, number 2, 2024.
- [10] Devin P. Sullivan, Casper F. Winsnes, Lovisa Åkesson, Martin Hjelmare, Mikaela Wiking, Rutger Schutten, Linzi Campbell, Hjalti Leifsson, Scott Rhodes, Andie Nordgren, Kevin Smith, Bernard Revaz, Bergur Finnbogason, Attila Szantner, and Emma Lundberg. Deep learning is combined with massive-scale citizen science to improve large-scale image classification. *Nature Biotechnology*, 36(9):820–828, Oct 2018.