

User Stories: ATM Withdrawal Epic

- Due Sunday by 11:59pm
- Points 100
- Submitting a file upload

Assignment: ATM Withdrawal Epic – User Stories

Context

In this assignment, you will practice writing user stories for a focused epic: **ATM Withdrawal**.

Automated Teller Machines (ATMs) allow bank customers to withdraw money, check balances, and perform other account-related tasks. For this exercise, we will focus only on the **withdrawal process**.

Your Task

You will write a set of **user stories** for the **ATM Withdrawal epic**, using the template provided.

Assignment Instructions

1. Understand the Epic

The ATM Withdrawal epic involves everything a user may want to do to withdraw cash from an ATM securely, accurately, and conveniently. Think about all possible users (e.g., account holder, bank administrator, maintenance staff).

2. Use the Template Provided

Each user story must follow this structure:

- *As a [user role], I want to [goal] so that [benefit].*

You must also include:

- **Acceptance Criteria** (clear, testable conditions for success).
- **Priority** (High, Medium, Low).

3. Write User Stories

- Create **at least 5 user stories** related to the ATM withdrawal epic.
- Stories should adhere to **INVEST principles** (Independent, Negotiable, Valuable, Estimable, Small, Testable).

4. Submission Format

Submit a single document (Word, PDF, or Google Doc) with:

- A short introduction (2–3 sentences) explaining the ATM Withdrawal epic.
- The completed user stories using the template.

Grading Rubric (100 points total)

Criteria	Excellent (A)	Proficient (B)	Developing (C)	Needs Improvement (D/F)	Points
Epic Understanding (10 pts)	Clear, concise intro shows strong understanding of ATM withdrawal.	Adequate intro; some gaps in clarity.	Minimal intro; vague description.	Missing or incorrect context.	/10
Number of User Stories (20 pts)	5+ well-developed stories provided, all relevant to withdrawal.	4 stories provided, mostly relevant.	3 stories; relevance inconsistent.	Fewer than 3 stories or largely irrelevant.	/20
User Story Format (20 pts)	All stories follow template and INVEST principles precisely.	Most stories follow template; minor issues.	Some stories deviate from format; weak INVEST alignment.	Format not followed; stories unclear.	/20
Acceptance Criteria (20 pts)	Each story includes clear, testable acceptance criteria.	Most stories have acceptance criteria; some vague.	Few stories include criteria; mostly unclear.	Criteria missing or unusable.	/20
Priority Assignment (10 pts)	Each story has appropriate, logical priority.	Most stories have priority; some questionable.	Few stories have priority; inconsistently applied.	No priorities assigned.	/10
Clarity & Completeness (20 pts)	Stories are concise, readable, and free of ambiguity.	Mostly clear; some minor ambiguity.	Several unclear or incomplete stories.	Stories difficult to understand or incomplete.	/20
Total: 100 points					