Table 1. Demographics

Study	Sample Size	Sex	Mean age in Years (Std. Deviation)	Prior publications
			NPS Training Data	
Study 1 (NSF)	26	9 F	27.8	Atlas et al. (2014), Pain; Wager et al. (2013) NEJM
			NPS Testing Data	
Study 2 (BMRK3)	33	22 F	27.9 (9.0)	Woo et al. (2015), PLOS Biology Wager et al. (2013) NEJM
Study 3 (BMRK4)	28	10 F	25.2 (7.4)	Krishnan et al. ( <i>Under Review</i> )
Study 4 (IE)	50	27 F	25.1 (6.9)	Roy et al. (2014), Nature Neuroscience
Study 5 (ILCP)	29	16 F*	20.4 (3.3)**	Schmidt et al. (In Prep.)
Study 6 (EXP)	17	9 F	25.5	Atlas et al. (2010), Journal of Neuroscience
Study 7 (SCEBL)	26	11 F	28 (9.3)	Koban et at. ( <i>In Prep.</i> )

*Note*. \*Internal study codes to facilitate tracking of datasets; \*Gender of one participant is unknown; \*\*Age of one participant is unknown. Publications include: (Atlas et al., 2010a; Atlas et al., 2014a; Roy et al., 2014; Wager et al., 2013; Woo et al., 2015b).

Table 2. Stimulation Parameters

Study	Intensities	Mean Temperature by Intensity Level (Within Subject SE)	Rating scale	Mean Ratings by Intensity Level (Within Subject SEM)					
NPS Training Data									
Study 1 (NSF)	N, L, M, H (Calibrated)	40.8, 43.1, 45.1, 47.0 (0.16)	0-8 VAS (0, no sensation; 1, non-painful warmth; 2, low pain; 5, moderate pain; 8, maximum tolerable pain)	2.0, 2.8, 4.2, 6.6 (0.14)					
			NPS Testing Data						
Study 2 (BMRK3)	6 levels (Fixed)	44.3, 45.3, 46.3, 47.3, 48.3, 49.3	0-100 VAS	49.1, 56.6, 74.3, 99.4, 133.0, 159.3 (3.12)					
Study 3 (BMRK4)	L, M, H (Fixed)	46.0, 47.0, 48.0	0-100 VAS (0, no sensation; 1.4, barely detectable; 6.1, weak; 17.2, moderate; 35.4, strong; 53.3, very strong; 100, strongest imaginable sensation)	UL: 31.7, 40.5, 53.6 (0.9787) LL: 31.5, 40.2, 53.3 (0.96)					
Study 4 (IE)	L, M, H (Fixed)	46.0, 47.0, 48.0	0-100 VAS (0, no pain; 100, worst imaginable pain)	29.4, 38.9, 51.9 (0.64)					
Study 5 (ILCP) Study 6 (EXP)	L, H (Calibrated) L, M, H (Calibrated)	44.7, 46.7 (0) 41.2, 44.4, 47.2 (0.21)	0-8 VAS (no pain to worst pain imaginable) 0-8 VAS (0, no sensation; 1, non-painful warmth; 2, low pain; 5, moderate pain; 8, maximum tolerable pain)	24.3, 46.7 (1.14) 2.5, 4.3, 7.4 (0.13)					
Study 7 (SCEBL)	L, M, H (Fixed)	48, 49, 50	0-100 VAS (0, no pain; 100, worst imaginable pain)	26.0, 33.3, 40.4 (1.12)					

Note: Heat /pain levels: N = Nonpainful, L = Low, M = Medium, H = High. Sites of stimulation: UL = Upper Limb, LL = Lower Limb. VAS = visual analogue scale.

Table 3. Task Characteristics

Study	Duration (seconds)	Inter-heat interval (seconds)	Locations (number of sites)	Range of Number of Trials Per Subject	Mean proportion of trials excluded (Std. Deviation)	Other experimental manipulations				
NPS Training Data										
Study 1 (NSF)	10	38	Arm (3)	35-48	0.08 (0.07)	Masked emotional faces evenly crossed with temperature				
	NPS Testing Data									
Study 2 (BMRK3)	12.5	20.5-28.5	Arm (2)	97	0.1 (0.04)	Cognitive self-regulation up and down				
Study 3 (BMRK4)	11	25-27	Arm (4), Foot (4)	81	0.08 (0.06)	Heat-predictive visual cues (low, medium, or high)				
Study 4 (IE)	11	36-38	Arm (6)	48	N/A	Heat-predictive visual cues; placebo manipulation				
Study 5 (ILCP)	10	17-25	Arm (2)	64	0.05 (0.03)	Agency (make choice, observe choice), Certainty (80% low pain, 50% low pain)				
Study 6 (EXP)	10	38	Arm (4)	61-64	0.03 (0.04)	Heat-predictive auditory cues				
Study 7 (SCEBL)	1.85	26-37	Leg (6)	96	0.04 (0.03)	Heat-predictive visual cues (low or high) and unreinforced social information				

Note: The exclusion criterion was a high variance inflation factor.

Table 4. Acquisition Parameters

Study	Study Location	Scanner Details	EPI Parameters	Voxel Size (mm³)	Acquisition Parameters	Discarded Volumes	Stimulus Software	Analysis Software			
		NPS Training Data									
Study 1 (NSF)	Columbia	1.5T GE Signa TwinSpeed Excite HD	TR = 2000 ms TE = 34 ms FOV = 224 mm Matrix = 64×64	3.5×3.5×4.0	24 slices	5	E-Prime	SPM8			
				NPS Testi	ng Data						
Study 2 (BMRK3)	Columbia	3T Phillips Achieva TX	TR = 2000 ms TE = 20 ms FOV = 224 mm Matrix = 64×64	3.0×3.0×3.0	42 Slices Interleaved SENSE = 1.5	4	E-Prime	SPM8			
Study 3 (BMRK4)	CU Boulder	3T Siemens Tim Trio	TR = 1300 ms TE = 25 ms FOV = 220 mm Matrix = 64×64 Flip Angle = 50°	3.4×3.4×3.4	26 Slices Interleaved iPAT = 2	6	Matlab	SPM8			
Study 4 (IE)	CU Boulder	3T Siemens Tim Trio	TR = 1300 ms TE = 25 ms FOV = 220 mm Matrix = 64×64 Flip Angle = 75°	3.4×3.4×3	26 Slices Interleaved iPAT = 2	6	E-Prime	SPM8			
Study 5 (ILCP)	CU Boulder	3T Siemens Tim Trio	TR = 1980 ms TE = 25 ms FOV = 220 mm Matrix = 64×64 Flip Angle = 75°	3.4×3.4×3	35 Slices Interleaved iPAT = 0	5	Matlab	SPM8			
Study 6	Columbia	1.5T GE	TR = 2000 ms	3.5×3.5×4.55	24 Slices	5	E-Prime	SPM5			

(EXP)		Signa TwinSpeed Excite HD	TE = 40 ms FOV = 224 mm Matrix = 64×64 Flip Angle = 84°					
Study 7 (SCEBL)	CU Boulder	3T Siemens Tim Trio	TR = 1300 ms TE = 25 ms FOV = 220 mm Matrix = 64×64 Flip Angle = 50°	3.4×3.4×3.4	26 Slices Interleaved iPAT = 2	3	E-Prime	SPM8

Note. TR = Time to Repeat; TE = Time to Echo; FOV = Field of View