

Team Contributions: Rev 0

Natural Language Processing for Mental Health Risk Prediction

Team 13, The Cognitive Care Crew
Jessica Dawson
Michael Breau
Matthew Curtis
Benjamin Chinnery
Yaruo Tian

1 Demo Plans

[What you will demonstrating —SS]

2 Meeting Attendance

Student	Meetings
Total	10
Benjamin Chinnery	10
Micheal Breau	10
Jessica Dawson	8
Matthew Curtis	6
Yaruo Tian	8

Overall, any noticeable discrepancies within meeting numbers since the POC demo can be accredited to the varying responsibilities of each member. As far as core group discussions and supervisor meetings go, the team has nearly perfect attendance, as those are of the highest priority, and any group members who have been absent, have always taken the initiative to have one on one discussions to catch themselves up.

Additional meetings outside of the core functionality are not mandated for all members to attend, as they may consist of ops team integration, or may be more task specific on topics not associated with that specific member. Teammates

which cannot attend these non-mandatory briefings are allowed to devote that time however they wish, as will be briefed on any developments at the next core team meeting.

3 Lecture Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	2
Benjamin Chinnery	2
Micheal Breau	2
Jessica Dawson	0
Matthew Curtis	1
Yaruo Tian	1

Unfortunately, not all members have been available for all lectures since the POC demo due to conflicts with other courses and personal responsibilities. These members have always ensured that other group members will be present, and that relevant information will be relayed to them after the lectures.

4 Commits

This data represents the number of commits to both the Document Repository and the Private Code Repository since the POC demonstration.

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	75	100%
Benjamin Chinnery	8	11%
Micheal Breau	39	52%
Jessica Dawson	3	4%
Matthew Curtis	13	17%
Yaruo Tian	12	16%

The data displayed above would tend to illustrate a rather uneven distribution of work amongst team members, but luckily team members have been satisfied with the overall distribution of effort, and these unequal commit numbers boil down to variations within assigned tasks, associated teamwork per task, as well as individual's committing approach. To elaborate on this, team members working a joint task may find themselves needing to commit more frequently to keep branches up to date, rather than members working on individual assignments. Additionally, the number of tasks can be directly corresponding

to the type of task itself, for example Michael’s work on the continuous integration Pylint checkers required numerous commits to main in order to test functionality.

Finally, certain efforts from team members may not be sufficiently displayed, if they were spending their time experimenting with methodologies that would not end up being merged into main, as well as if they were updating documentation intended for internal stakeholder and supervisor use on the UQAM overleaf repository, as those private documents are not intended to be pushed to main or shared.

5 Issue Tracker

[For each team member how many issues have they authored (including open and closed issues) and how many have they been assigned (only counting closed issues). —SS]

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Name 1	Num	Num
Name 2	Num	Num
Name 3	Num	Num
Name 4	Num	Num
Name 5	Num	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

6 CICD

[Say how CICD is used in your project —SS]