### **Peer Evaluation Form for SRS Document**

#### Evaluator's Group number:

14

#### Author's Group Number (of the SRS being reviewed):

15

#### Date of Evaluation

9-5-2023

#### Section 1: Introduction to SRS

* Is the introduction clear and well-defined?
  + Yes
  + No
* Are the objectives of the software clearly stated?
  + Yes
  + No

**Comments:**

#### Section 2: Importance of Scope

* Is the scope of the project clearly defined?
  + Yes
  + No
* Are both "In Scope" and "Out of Scope" sections present?
  + Yes
  + No

**Comments:**

#### Section 3: 'In Scope' Details

* Are the functional requirements clearly listed and explained?
  + Yes
  + No
* Are non-functional requirements addressed?
  + Yes
  + No

**Comments:**

#### Section 4: 'Out of Scope' Details

* Is it clear what is not included in the project?
  + Yes
  + No
* Are future developments or enhancements mentioned?
  + Yes
  + No

**Comments:**

#### Section 5: Constraints, Assumptions, and Dependencies

* Are constraints such as time, budget, or resources mentioned?
  + Yes
  + No
* Are assumptions and dependencies clearly outlined?
  + Yes
  + No

**Comments:**

#### Overall Feedback:

* Is the SRS document well-organized?
  + Yes
  + No
* Is the language clear and easy to understand?
  + Yes
  + No
* Would you feel confident developing software based on this SRS?
  + Yes
  + No

#### Additional Comments:

Missing out of scope section and future developments.

#### Comments/questions on sketches of their product:

**Overall Grade out of 100: 90**

*This is not the grade for assignment, this is for the team to see the level of their SRS from the other team’s perspective.*