

```

#
#   Introduction to Econometrics
#
#   Final Project
#
#   Due Tuesday, August 2, 2017
#
#   Code Written by Michael Barrett
#
#####
#
# Start with a clean slate!
closeAllConnections()
rm(list = ls())
#
#   Estimating Keynesian Consumption Function
#
# Load .csv
cons <- read.csv("W:/2017 Spring-Summer/Introduction to Statistics and Econometrics/
  Final Project/Source Data/cons.csv")
# Make the regression
cons_HAT <- lm(C ~ Yd, data = cons)
# Make a plot with the fitted line
plot(x = cons$Yd, y = cons$C, xlab = "Disposable Income", ylab = "Consumption", main =
  "Keynesian Consumption Function, Actual and Fitted")
abline(cons_HAT)
#
#   Estimating Cobb-Douglas Function
#
# Load .csv
cobb.douglas <- read.csv("W:/2017 Spring-Summer/Introduction to Statistics and
  Econometrics/Final Project/Source Data/cobb-douglas.csv")
# Make the regression
Y_HAT <- lm(log(GDP) ~ log(Labor) + log(Capital), data = cobb.douglas)
#
#   Determinants of Cross Country GDP Growth Rates
#
# Load .csv
growth <- read.csv("W:/2017 Spring-Summer/Introduction to Statistics and Econometrics/
  Final Project/Source Data/growth.csv")
# Make the regression
GDPgrowth_HAT <- lm(GDPgrowth ~ initGDP + MSE + FSE + MHE + FHE + life_exp + eduGDP +
  invGDP + govGDP + pol, data = growth)
# Create a list for variables
variables <- list()
variables[[1]] <- variable.names(GDPgrowth_HAT)[2:GDPgrowth_HAT$rank]
# Number of variables will be useful to have on-hand
k <- GDPgrowth_HAT$rank - 1
# Make a blank list to store info for models with fewer variables
model_info <- list()
# This algorithm will create models omitting the variable with the highest p-value
  from the next model

```

```

for (i in 1:k) {
  # Write the model out from the given variables
  model_info$trial_model[i] <- paste("growth$GDPgrowth ~ ",
    paste("growth$", variables[[i]], sep = "", collapse = " + "), sep = "")
  # Estimate values for the model
  GDPgrowth_HAT_trial <- lm(as.formula(model_info$trial_model[i]))
  # Store the adjusted R^2
  model_info$adj_R_sq[i] <- summary(GDPgrowth_HAT_trial)$adj.r.squared
  # Omit the variable with the highest p-value from the next model
  variables[[i + 1]] <- variables[[i]][-(which.max(summary(GDPgrowth_HAT_trial)
    $coefficients[2:(k-i+2), 4]))]
}
#
#   Estimating Crime Model
#
# Load .csv
crime <- read.csv("W:/2017 Spring-Summer/Introduction to Statistics and Econometrics/
  Final Project/Source Data/crime.csv")
# Make the regression
crime_HAT <- lm(crime ~ pov + metro + popdens, data = crime)
# Regress against log(crime)
log_crime_HAT <- lm(log(crime) ~ pov + metro + popdens, data = crime)
#
#   Estimating Wage Model
#
# Load .csv
wage <- read.csv("W:/2017 Spring-Summer/Introduction to Statistics and Econometrics/
  Final Project/Source Data/wage.csv")
# Add variables to the data
wage$exper_2 <- wage$exper ^ 2
wage$tenure_2 <- wage$tenure^2
# Make the regression
log_wage_HAT <- lm(log(wage) ~ educ + exper + exper_2 + tenure + tenure_2 + married +
  black + south + urban, data = wage)
# Marginal effect of each
ME_exper <- log_wage_HAT$coefficients["exper"] + 2 * log_wage_HAT$coefficients
  ["exper_2"] * c(0:49)
ME_tenure <- log_wage_HAT$coefficients["tenure"] + 2 * log_wage_HAT$coefficients
  ["tenure_2"] * c(0:49)
#
#####
#####
#
#   Time to answer questions and write them to an output file
#
# Open the .txt
sink("Final_Project_Output.txt")
# Print responses for #1
cat("1. Estimating Keynesian Consumption Function\n\n")
# Print the model with info
model <- cons_HAT
cat("Fitted Model:\n")

```

```

cat(all.vars(model$call)[1], " = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)
        [-1])),
        collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
cat("\nGraph is attached.")
# Print responses for #2
cat("\n\nn2. Estimating Cobb-Douglas Function\n\n")
# Print the model with info
model <- Y_HAT
cat("Fitted Model:\n")
cat(all.vars(model$call)[1], " = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)
        [-1])),
        collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
cat("\n\n $\alpha$  = ", exp(Y_HAT$coefficients[1]), ",  $\alpha$  = ", Y_HAT$coefficients[2], ",
    beta = ", Y_HAT$coefficients[3])
# Print responses for #3
cat("\n\nn3. Determinants of Cross-Country GDP Growth Rates\n\n")
# Print the model with info
model <- GDPgrowth_HAT
cat("Fitted Model:\n")
cat(all.vars(model$call)[1], " = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)
        [-1])),
        collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
cat("\n\nThe following models have each have eliminated the variable from the previous
    with the highest P-value.")
cat("\n\nThe Adjusted R^2 has been given in each case for comparison, the highest value
    represents the best model.\n\n")
cat(paste(model_info$trial_model, "\nAdjusted R^2 = ", model_info$adj_R_sq, "\n"))
# Print responses for #4
cat("\n\nn4. Estimating Crime Model\n\n")
# Print the model with info
model <- crime_HAT
cat("Fitted Model 1:\n")
cat(all.vars(model$call)[1], " = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)

```

```

[-1])),
    collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
model <- log_crime_HAT
cat("\n\nFitted Model 2:\n")
cat("log(crime) = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)
        [-1])),
        collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
cat("\n\nInterpretations:")
cat("\nA 1 point ceterus paribus increase in the percentage impoverished would yeild a",
    100 * coefficients(model)[2], " percent increase in crime.")
cat("\nA 1 point ceterus paribus increase in the percentage metropolitan would yeild a",
    100 * coefficients(model)[3], " percent increase in crime.")
cat("\nA 1 person per square mile ceterus paribus increase in population density would
    yeild a ",
    100 * coefficients(model)[3], " percent increase in crime.")
# Print responses for extra credit question
cat("\n\nE.C. Estimating Wage Model\n\n")
# Print the model with info
model <- log_wage_HAT
cat("Fitted Model 1:\n")
cat("log(wage) = ", round(coefficients(model)[1], 4), " + ",
    paste(sprintf("%.4f * %s", coefficients(model)[-1], names(coefficients(model)
        [-1])),
        collapse = " + "))
cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
print(summary(model)$coefficients)
cat("R^2: ", summary(model)$r.squared, " | Adjusted R^2: ", summary(model)
    $adj.r.squared)
cat("\nNumber of observations: ", model$df.residual + model$rank)
cat("\n\nThe marginal effect of the 10th year of experience on log(wage) is ",
    ME_exper[10])
cat("\n\nThe marginal effect of the 10th year of tenure on log(wage) is ", ME_tenure
    [10])
cat("\n\nBeing black vs. non-black changes wage by ", 100 * coefficients(model)[8], "
    percent.")
cat("\n\nLiving in the South vs. elsewhere changes wage by ", 100 * coefficients(model)
    [9], " percent.")
cat("\n\nLiving in an urban area vs. a rural area changes wage by ", 100 * coefficients
    (model)[10], " percent.")

```

```
cat("\n\nWe can observe interactions of dummy variables by adding them.")
cat("\nMarried blacks can be expected to earn ", 100 * (coefficients(model)[7] *  
    coefficients(model)[8]),  
    " percent different wages than single non-blacks.")
sink()
```

1. Estimating Keynesian Consumption Function

Fitted Model:

$$C = -49.7522 + 0.9174 * Y_d$$

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | -49.7522318 | 17.876691035 | -2.783078 | 6.872126e-03 |
| Y _d | 0.9173958 | 0.005411752 | 169.519190 | 1.965090e-95 |

R²: 0.9975008 | Adjusted R²: 0.997466

Number of observations: 74

Graph is attached.

2. Estimating Cobb-Douglas Function

Fitted Model:

$$GDP = -3.3385 + 1.4988 * \log(Labor) + 0.4899 * \log(Capital)$$

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | -3.3384555 | 2.4495082 | -1.362908 | 0.1979394665 |
| log(Labor) | 1.4987669 | 0.5398026 | 2.776509 | 0.0167584828 |
| log(Capital) | 0.4898585 | 0.1020435 | 4.800487 | 0.0004331776 |

R²: 0.8890304 | Adjusted R²: 0.8705354

Number of observations: 15

$$A = 0.03549173, \alpha = 1.498767, \beta = 0.4898585$$

3. Determinants of Cross-Country GDP Growth Rates

Fitted Model:

$$GDP_{growth} = -0.0378 + -0.0184 * initGDP + 0.0010 * MSE + -0.0083 * FSE + 0.1231 * MHE + -0.1245 * FHE + 0.0483 * life_exp + 0.2716 * eduGDP + 0.0562 * invGDP + -0.1225 * govGDP + -0.0005 * pol$$

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | -0.0378375650 | 0.061778059 | -0.61247578 | 0.5425374067 |
| initGDP | -0.0184378783 | 0.004558853 | -4.04441184 | 0.0001520132 |
| MSE | 0.0010498612 | 0.010548703 | 0.09952514 | 0.9210531137 |
| FSE | -0.0083014020 | 0.010762527 | -0.77132465 | 0.4435416441 |
| MHE | 0.1231189845 | 0.049398947 | 2.49234027 | 0.0154697443 |
| FHE | -0.1244888172 | 0.057359790 | -2.17031507 | 0.0339518267 |
| life_exp | 0.0482844763 | 0.019064781 | 2.53265305 | 0.0139539716 |
| eduGDP | 0.2715559171 | 0.201546079 | 1.34736393 | 0.1829293631 |
| invGDP | 0.0562215097 | 0.030366125 | 1.85145484 | 0.0690270026 |
| govGDP | -0.1224601668 | 0.043683064 | -2.80337863 | 0.0068019612 |
| pol | -0.0005337157 | 0.016221240 | -0.03290227 | 0.9738617301 |

R²: 0.4222773 | Adjusted R²: 0.3259902

Number of observations: 71

The following models have each have eliminated the variable from the previous with the highest P-value.
The Adjusted R^2 has been given in each case for comparison, the highest value represents the best model.

```
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MSE + growth$FSE + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$eduGDP + growth$invGDP
+ growth$govGDP + growth$pol
Adjusted R^2 = 0.325990179422733
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MSE + growth$FSE + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$eduGDP +
growth$invGDP + growth$govGDP
Adjusted R^2 = 0.337027559172956
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$FSE + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$eduGDP + growth$invGDP +
growth$govGDP
Adjusted R^2 = 0.347624749898788
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$FSE + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$invGDP + growth$govGDP
Adjusted R^2 = 0.338527921273906
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$invGDP + growth$govGDP
Adjusted R^2 = 0.331538687357407
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp + growth$govGDP
Adjusted R^2 = 0.294285981714504
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MHE + growth$FHE + growth$life_exp
Adjusted R^2 = 0.239567152287585
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$initGDP + growth$MHE + growth$FHE
Adjusted R^2 = 0.140543070354936
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$MHE + growth$FHE
Adjusted R^2 = 0.132852598911581
growth$GDPgrowth ~ growth$MHE
Adjusted R^2 = 0.00558044106156197
```

4. Estimating Crime Model

Fitted Model 1:

```
crime = 1638.805 + 83.2998 * pov + 31.1747 * metro + 0.4563 * popdens
```

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | 1638.8046250 | 774.6802653 | 2.115459 | 0.0397188728 |
| pov | 83.2997846 | 35.4091183 | 2.352495 | 0.0228868083 |
| metro | 31.1746603 | 7.3552889 | 4.238401 | 0.0001043325 |
| popdens | 0.4563047 | 0.1279502 | 3.566269 | 0.0008451603 |
| R^2: | 0.562504 | | Adjusted R^2: | 0.5345787 |
| Number of observations: 51 | | | | |

Fitted Model 2:

```
log(crime) = 7.8125 + 0.0142 * pov + 0.0069 * metro + 0.0000 * popdens
```

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | 7.812496e+00 | 1.622168e-01 | 48.160845 | 1.176785e-41 |

```
pov      1.415772e-02 7.414610e-03 1.909435 6.232082e-02
metro    6.890744e-03 1.540185e-03 4.473971 4.854949e-05
popdens  4.344114e-05 2.679255e-05 1.621389 1.116247e-01
R^2: 0.443232 | Adjusted R^2: 0.4076936
Number of observations: 51
```

Interpretations:

A 1 point ceterus paribus increase in the percentage impoverished would yeild a 1.415772 percent increase in crime.
A 1 point ceterus paribus increase in the percentage metropolitan would yeild a 0.6890744 percent increase in crime.
A 1 person per square mile ceterus paribus increase in population density would yeild a 0.6890744 percent increase in crime.

E.C. Estimating Wage Model

Fitted Model 1:

```
log(wage) = 5.3587 + 0.0643 * educ + 0.0172 * exper + -0.0001 * exper_2 + 0.0249 * tenure + -0.0008 * tenure_2 + 0.1985 * married +
-0.1907 * black + -0.0912 * south + 0.1854 * urban
```

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| (Intercept) | 5.3586755928 | 0.1259142936 | 42.5581198 | 4.658568e-220 |
| educ | 0.0642760588 | 0.0063114760 | 10.1839979 | 3.691563e-23 |
| exper | 0.0172145971 | 0.0126137688 | 1.3647465 | 1.726646e-01 |
| exper_2 | -0.0001138015 | 0.0005318714 | -0.2139643 | 8.306220e-01 |
| tenure | 0.0249290622 | 0.0081296615 | 3.0664330 | 2.229260e-03 |
| tenure_2 | -0.0007964474 | 0.0004710134 | -1.6909229 | 9.118840e-02 |
| married | 0.1985469819 | 0.0391103437 | 5.0765849 | 4.645856e-07 |
| black | -0.1906636159 | 0.0377011200 | -5.0572401 | 5.128487e-07 |
| south | -0.0912153107 | 0.0262356205 | -3.4767735 | 5.311015e-04 |
| urban | 0.1854240938 | 0.0269585368 | 6.8781216 | 1.115870e-11 |

```
R^2: 0.2549576 | Adjusted R^2: 0.2477085
```

```
Number of observations: 935
```

The marginal effect of the 10th year of experience on log(wage) is 0.01516617

The marginal effect of the 10th year of tenure on log(wage) is 0.01059301

Being black vs. non-black changes wage by -19.06636 percent.

Living in the South vs. elsewhere changes wage by -9.121531 percent.

Living in an urban area vs. a rural area changes wage by 18.54241 percent.

We can observe interactions of dummy variables by adding them.

Married blacks can be expected to earn -3.785569 percent different wages than single non-blacks.

Keynesian Consumption Function, Actual and Fitted

