

Class 3: Linear Regression and GLMs

Andrew Parnell
andrew.parnell@ucd.ie



Learning outcomes

- ▶ Know how to fit and interpret a linear regression
- ▶ Know the difference between a linear regression model and a generalised linear model (GLM)
- ▶ Know what a link function is and why it is used
- ▶ Be able to interpret the output of a simple GLM


Using more of the data

- ▶ It's very rare that we are given a data set with just a single variable
- ▶ More often we're given multiple variables and asked to predict one or more of the variables from the others
- ▶ This is an example of *conditional* inference
- ▶ It might look more complicated, but this is still just fitting a probability distribution to some data

Linear regression example

Here is some data on sea level rise:

```
sl = read.csv('../data/tide_gauge.csv')  
with(sl, plot(year_AD, sea_level_m))
```



Linear regression models

- ▶ The simplest version of a linear regression model has:
 - ▶ A *response variable* (y) which is what we are trying to predict/understand
 - ▶ An *explanatory variable* or *covariate* (x) which is what we are trying to predict the response variable from
 - ▶ Some *residual uncertainty* (ϵ) which is the leftover uncertainty that is not accounted for by the explanatory variable
- ▶ Our goal is to predict the response variable from the explanatory variable, *or* to try and discover if the explanatory variable *causes* some kind of change in the response

The linear models in maths

- ▶ We write the linear model as:

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \epsilon_i$$

where α is the intercept, β the slope, and $i = 1, \dots, N$ represents each of the N observations

- ▶ Usually we make the additional assumption that $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is the residual standard deviation
- ▶ Writing this in probability distributions:

$$y_i | x_i, \alpha, \beta, \sigma \sim N(\alpha + \beta x_i, \sigma^2)$$

.

Fitting linear regression models

- ▶ We can create a likelihood as before by guessing some values of the parameters and then using the `dnorm` function to compute the likelihood value

```
alpha = 2
beta = 1.5
sigma = 0.6
y = sl$sea_level_m
x = sl$year_AD
sum(dnorm(y, mean = alpha + beta*x, sd = sigma, log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] -1539607458
```

- ▶ Not a very high value of the likelihood!

Finding the best values

- Luckily, R has the `lm` function to find the best fitting values of the parameters

```
summary(lm(y ~ x))
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y ~ x)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.0167787 -0.0051874 -0.0003646
##  0.0063022  0.0252621
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) -3.062e+00  3.937e-02
## x            1.538e-03  2.024e-05
##              t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  -77.78   <2e-16 ***
## x              75.99   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:
##  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05
##  '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.008659 on 128 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.9783, Adjusted R-squared:  0.9781
## F-statistic:  5775 on 1 and 128 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```


Checking the likelihood

```
alpha = coefficients(lm(y ~ x))[1]
beta = coefficients(lm(y ~ x))[2]
sigma = summary(lm(y ~ x))$sigma
sum(dnorm(y, mean = alpha + beta*x, sd = sigma,
          log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] 433.9335
```

A much higher value of the likelihood!

Other notes about `lm`

- ▶ Usually we would store the output from `lm` in another object to allow us to manipulate the output, e.g. `my_model = lm(y ~ x)`
- ▶ We can use the `confint` function to get confidence intervals on the parameters
- ▶ We can predict future values of sea level from the model by giving it new `x` values, e.g.

```
my_model = lm(y ~ x)
predict(my_model, newdata = data.frame(x = 2050))
```

```
##           1
## 0.09055375
```

Checking the model



- ▶ Just finding the best values of the parameters and their uncertainty is not the whole story
- ▶ We need to check the fit of the model
- ▶ We can do this by analysing whether the assumed probability distribution is correct or not
- ▶ Can look at the probability distribution we have fitted to the data, but most people just look at the leftover bits - the residuals

Residual plot

- Common to plot a histogram of the residuals, and a scatter plot of the residuals vs the fits

```
par(mfrow=c(1, 2))  
hist(my_model$residuals)  
plot(my_model$fitted.values, my_model$residuals)
```

Histogram of my_model\$residuals



Transforming the data

- ▶ Sometimes the residuals of a linear regression look a little bit mis-shapen
- ▶ We might improve the fit by adding more covariates, or by transforming the data (the response and/or the covariates)
- ▶ If your variables have very large values then you might get better results by standardising your data (subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation)
- ▶ Common transformations include the log or square root
- ▶ A common transformation in time series data is the *Box-Cox* transformation...

Box-Cox

- ▶ The Box-Cox transformation is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \frac{x^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \text{ if } \lambda \neq 0$$

or

$$f(x; \lambda) = \log(x) \text{ if } \lambda = 0$$

- ▶ The usual reason to use it is when the data are *skewed* and we want it to look more symmetrical
- ▶ You need to choose the value of λ ; usually trial and error

From LMs to GLMs

- ▶ If a normal distribution is not suitable for the residuals we need to choose another probability distribution
- ▶ Here is some data from an experiment on whitefly:


```
whitefly = read.csv('../data/whitefly.csv')  
head(whitefly, 4)
```

##	imm	week	block	trt	n	live	plantid
## 1	0	5	3	5	6	6	3
## 2	0	1	2	3	10	2	4
## 3	0	3	2	3	10	0	4
## 4	0	4	2	3	10	6	4

- ▶ The `live` and `n` columns indicate how many whitefly survived and were used in the experiment respectively
- ▶ We have a fixed total and a number of surviving whitefly out of this total. Which probability distribution might be appropriate?

Plotting the whitefly data


```
barplot(table(whitefly$live))
```



Doesn't really show the whole story as we're ignoring the total

Second go


```
hist(whitefly$live/whitefly$n, breaks = 30)
```



Better, but actually there is also a covariate in the number of immature whitefly that were included (variable `imm`)

Third go

```
plot(whitefly$imm, whitefly$live/whitefly$n)
```



Looks like the more immature whitefly there were, the more likely they were to die

Fitting a model

- ▶ Let's call y the number of live whitefly at the end of the experiment, and n the number of whitefly used in the experiment
- ▶ Let's fit a binomial distribution:

$$y \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$$

- ▶ We know the value of n so it is not really a parameter but a fixed part of the data
- ▶ We need to estimate p
- ▶ We could use method of moments or maximum likelihood. If we use method of moments we get $\hat{p} = 0.245$

Fitting a better model

- ▶ What if we wanted to include `imm` as a covariate?
- ▶ One way would be to let $p = \alpha + \beta x$ where x is the number of immature whitefly
- ▶ We could fit this using maximum likelihood to get estimates of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$
- ▶ This is now a *Generalised Linear Model* (GLM)
- ▶ The likelihood would be:

```
y = whitefly$live
n = whitefly$n
x = whitefly$imm
alpha = 0.5
beta = 0.0001
sum(dbinom(y, n, alpha + beta * x, log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] -3330.495
```

Fitting an even better model

- Suppose after using maximum likelihood we got $\hat{\alpha} = 0.2$ and $\hat{\beta} = 0.01$. Then the likelihood would be


```
alpha = 0.2  
beta = 0.01  
sum(dbinom(y, n, alpha + beta * x, log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] -1971.889
```

- Can anyone see any problems with this model? (Hint: suppose I wanted to predict what proportion would die when $x = 100$)

Plotting the fitted model

```
plot(x, y/n)  
lines(x, alpha + beta * x, col = 'red')
```



The logit function

- To stop the lines going out of the range (0, 1) people often use the *logit* transformation:

$$\log \left[\frac{p}{1-p} \right] = \alpha + \beta x \text{ or } p = \frac{e^{\alpha + \beta x}}{e^{\alpha + \beta x} + 1}$$

- The latter is known as the inverse logit function

- ▶ We now maximise the likelihood:

```
p = exp(alpha + beta * x) / (exp(alpha + beta * x) + 1)
sum(dbinom(y, n, p, log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] -3630.322
```

- ▶ These logit and inverse logit functions are in the boot package:


```
library(boot)
sum(dbinom(y, n, inv.logit(alpha + beta * x), log = TRUE))
```

```
## [1] -3630.322
```

Plotting the fit

- ▶ Suppose under this method we got maximum likelihood estimates of $\hat{\alpha} = -2$ and $\hat{\beta} = 0.05$
- ▶ A plot of the fitted values is now:

```
alpha = -2  
beta = 0.05  
plot(x, y/n)  
lines(x, inv.logit(alpha + beta * x), col = 'red')
```



Finding the maximum likelihood values

- ▶ R has a function called `glm` to find the maximum likelihood values for us
- ▶ For binomial model with a logit *link function* we would type:

```
glm(cbind(y, n) ~ x, family = binomial(link = logit))
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:  glm(formula = cbind(y, n) ~ x, family = binomial)
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

```
## (Intercept)          x
```

```
##      -1.95517      0.05391
```

```
##
```

```
## Degrees of Freedom: 639 Total (i.e. Null);  638 Residual
```

```
## Null Deviance:      2389
```

```
## Residual Deviance: 1688  AIC: 2541
```

Further details about the fit

- ▶ The interpretation of the $\hat{\beta}$ value (the coefficient of x) is in terms of *log odds*. A unit increase in x gives a $\exp(\hat{\beta}) \approx 1.06$ times increase in the probability of a whitefly survivign
- ▶ You'll see amongst the output something called the *deviance*. This is minus twice the log-likelihood
- ▶ It's a common measure used to compare models as the deviance for a linear regression model is just the mean square error
- ▶ Next to it you'll see the *Akaike Information Criterion* or AIC value, which penalises the deviance by adding on twice the number of parameters (i.e. a measure of the complexity of the model)
- ▶ Often, you would fit multiple models with different covariates and choose the one(s) with the smallest AIC

Other glms

- ▶ Another common glm is the Poisson, useful for count data
- ▶ For example, suppose we treated the number of whitefly who died as a count, and temporarily ignored the n values
- ▶ We could fit:

```
glm(y ~ x, family = poisson(link = log))
```

```
##
```

```
## Call:  glm(formula = y ~ x, family = poisson(link = log))
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

```
## (Intercept)                x
```

```
##      0.38559      0.04608
```

```
##
```

```
## Degrees of Freedom: 639 Total (i.e. Null);  638 Residual
```

```
## Null Deviance:      3055
```

```
## Residual Deviance: 2154  AIC: 3124
```

A final word on glms

- ▶ There are lots of different types of GLMs We can do Gamma, Negative Binomial, Beta, Inverse Gaussian, ...
- ▶ Each has a link function which transforms the main parameter into an unrestricted range through which we can include covariates
- ▶ It's also simple to include extra covariates or interactions:

```
glm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x1:x2, family = poisson(link = log))
```

- ▶ Residual checks are still important, and R will create them for us
- ▶ We can get at them via e.g.

```
my_model = glm(cbind(y, n) ~ x, family = binomial(link = logit))  
plot(my_model)
```

Summary

- ▶ Linear Regression and Generalised Linear Models are two common ways to extend standard probability distributions to include covariates
- ▶ We estimate the parameters via maximum likelihood using e.g. `lm` or `glm`
- ▶ We sometimes need to include a link function which transforms the parameters into an unrestricted range
- ▶ There are lots of different types of GLM for every flavour of probability distribution