# GroupPay Whitepaper

### Michael Genchev

September 2025

# 1 GroupPay Engine: Formal Specification

**Abstract** This specification defines a dual-modal expense distribution algorithm that optimizes both computational efficiency and financial equity within group payment systems.

## 2 Mathematical Framework

### 2.1 Definitions

Let

$$G = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$$

represent the participant set, where  $n \geq 2$ .

Let

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$$

denote the expense vector, where each  $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Total Expense:

$$E_t = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j$$

Payment Matrix:

$$P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

### 2.2 Algorithmic Specifications

#### Algorithm A: Equal Distribution

**Balance Computation** 

$$balance_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{ij} - \frac{E_{total}}{n}$$

Computational Complexity: O(n)

#### Algorithm B: Item-Attribution

#### Consumption Matrix

$$C \in \{0,1\}^{n \times m}, \quad C_{ij} = 1 \text{ if participant } u_i \text{ consumed item } e_j$$

#### **Individual Obligation**

$$obligation_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{ij} \cdot e_j + sharedAllocation_i$$

#### **Shared Cost Distribution**

$$sharedAllocation_i = \frac{E_{shared}}{|participants|}$$

## 3 Settlement Optimization

**Objective Function** Minimize the transaction count T subject to balance equilibrium:

$$\min T$$
 s.t.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} balance_i = 0$ 

### Debt Graph

$$D = (V, E)$$
 where  $V = G$ ,  $(u_i, u_j) \in E$  iff  $balance_i < 0$  and  $balance_j > 0$ 

#### **Optimization Algorithm**

- 1. Construct creditor set:  $C = \{i : balance_i > 0\}$
- 2. Construct debtor set:  $D = \{i : balance_i < 0\}$
- 3. Apply greedy matching:  $\max(|balance_{creditor}|, |balance_{debtor}|)$
- 4. Generate minimal transaction sequence

# 4 Algorithm Selection Criterion

#### **Price Variance Coefficient:**

$$\sigma_{price} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (e_j - \bar{e})^2}{m - 1}}$$

#### **Decision Function:**

$$\text{Algorithm} = \begin{cases} \text{Equal Distribution,} & \text{if } \frac{\sigma_{price}}{\bar{e}} < \theta \\ \text{Item Attribution,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta \in [0.3, 0.5]$  represents the variance threshold parameter.

## 4.1 Convergence Properties

**Theorem:** Both algorithms guarantee financial equilibrium:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} obligation_{i} = E_{total}$$

 $\bf Proof:$  Direct summation yields identity preservation under both computational paths.