

**mudirac** - a Dirac equation solver for muonic  
atoms  
Development guide

Simone Sturniolo

July 1, 2019

# Introduction

This document contains information and references on the algorithms and methods employed for the development of **mudirac**. It is meant as a technical document, to keep track of technique used and allow for modification or bug fixing in the future.

# Chapter 1

## Theory

### 1.1 The Dirac equation

The basic solver makes use of the radial Dirac equation written in its coupled form, here written in atomic units:

$$Q'(r) = \frac{k}{r}Q + \left( mc - \frac{E - V(r)}{c} \right) P \quad (1.1)$$

$$P'(r) = -\frac{k}{r}P + \left( mc + \frac{E - V(r)}{c} \right) Q \quad (1.2)$$

as found for example in [1, 2, 3] and others. In this form, the final wavefunction can be reconstructed by combining it with the appropriate spherical harmonics:

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \psi_{k\mu} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P_k}{r} \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}} | k\mu \rangle \\ i \frac{Q_k}{r} \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}} | -k\mu \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

where it must be kept in mind that the quantum number  $k$  depends on the total angular momentum of the electron, namely, whether its angular and spin momenta are aligned or not. It is  $k = -(l+1)$  when  $j = l - 1/2$  and  $k = l$  when  $j = l + 1/2$ , and the spin spherical harmonics are:

$$|k\mu\rangle = \sum_{s=\pm 1/2} c(l\frac{1}{2}; \mu - s, s) |l, \mu - s\rangle \Phi(s) \quad (1.4)$$

with

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{r}} | l, \mu - s \rangle = Y_{l, \mu - s}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Phi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

$$c(l\frac{1}{2}; \mu - s, s) = \frac{(-1)^{l+\mu-1/2}}{(2j+1)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} l & 1/2 & j \\ m & s & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.7)$$

with  $Y_{lm}$  being the spherical harmonics, the  $c(l\frac{1}{2}; \mu - s, s)$  being related to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [1], and  $m = \mu - s$ . The coefficients can also be conveniently expressed as

$$c(l\frac{1}{2}; \mu - s, s) = \begin{cases} -\text{sign}(k) \sqrt{\frac{k+\frac{1}{2}-\mu}{2k+1}} & s = \frac{1}{2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{k+\frac{1}{2}+\mu}{2k+1}} & s = -\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

as seen in [3].

Since this equation is one dimensional we don't need to worry about Q and P being complex-valued, as they will be always real. In general, P will be the 'large' component, and in the limit of  $c \rightarrow \infty$  we would have that  $P^2(r) = r^2 \Psi^2(r)$  where  $\Psi$  is just the normal radial component of the Schrödinger equation solution. A higher Q corresponds to a more 'relativistic' behaviour.

## 1.2 Energy corrections

While the main contribution to the potential for a muonic atom is the Coulombic force generated by the nucleus,  $-Z/r$ , there are additional contributions that we need to consider due to the short radius and high energy of muonic orbitals [4].

### 1.2.1 Nuclear finite size

Since muonic orbitals are squeezed in a much smaller space than electronic ones, the nucleus can not be considered as a point charge when computing their energy. The effective charge distribution of atomic nuclei is not obvious. In first approximation, one can treat the nucleus as a uniformly charged sphere of finite radius. In this case the radius is taken to be proportional to the atomic mass A, following the empirical formula

$$R = 1.2A^{1/3} \text{fm} = 2.267 \cdot 10^{-5} A^3 \quad (1.9)$$

, where the second version is in atomic units.

### 1.2.2 Vacuum polarizability

The next term to include is the effect of the polarizability of the vacuum. The regular Coulomb law is based on the principle of vacuum having zero polarizability, but that is not an accurate description once one takes into account quantum field theory. Vacuum can be polarized by the appearance of charged particle pairs, which constitute Feynman diagrams with added loops in the photon propagator [4]. The biggest term is the diagram in which one electron-positron pair is created, of order  $\alpha$ . Diagrams with more loops will be of order  $\alpha^2$  or even smaller, and diagrams involving pairs of more massive charged particles such as muons and antimuons also contribute much smaller contributions due to the higher energy required to form such particles, which makes them vanish exponentially. Accounting for this term gives rise to a correction to the Coulomb potential known as the Uehling potential [5]:

$$\delta V(r) = -\frac{2\alpha Z}{3\pi r} \int_0^1 du \sqrt{1-u^2} \left( \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{2}u \right) e^{-2cr/u} \quad (1.10)$$

. The form seen in Eq. 1.10 however is only valid for a point-like charge, which makes it not very useful for most muonic atoms. In presence of a charge distribution the Uehling potential becomes

$$\delta V_D(r) = -\frac{2\alpha^2}{3r} \int_0^\infty dx x \rho(x) \int_0^1 du \sqrt{1-u^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}u^2 \right) \left( e^{-2c|r-x|/u} + e^{-2c(r+x)/u} \right) \quad (1.11)$$

. For the special case of a spherical distribution of charge of radius  $R$  we have

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{Z}{R^3} & x \leq R \\ 0 & x > R \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

and thus the integral becomes

$$\delta V_S(r) = -\frac{\alpha^2 Z}{2\pi r R^3} \int_0^R dx x \int_0^1 du \sqrt{1-u^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}u^2 \right) \left( e^{-2c|r-x|/u} + e^{-2c(r+x)/u} \right) \quad (1.13)$$

The integral in  $x$  can be carried out if we consider the two distinct cases of  $x < r$  and  $x \geq r$ . For the first we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{<}(r, u|R) &= \int_0^R x \left( e^{-2c|r-x|/u} - e^{-2c(r+x)/u} \right) dx = \\ &= e^{-2cr/u} \int_0^R x \left( e^{2cx/u} - e^{-2cx/u} \right) dx = \\ &= e^{-2cr/u} \left[ e^{2cR/u} \left( \frac{Ru}{2c} - \frac{u^2}{4c^2} \right) + e^{-2cR/u} \left( \frac{Ru}{2c} + \frac{u^2}{4c^2} \right) \right] \quad (1.14) \end{aligned}$$

while for the second

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{>}(r, u|R) &= \int_0^R x \left( e^{-2c|r-x|/u} - e^{-2c(r+x)/u} \right) dx = \\
&\int_0^R x \left( e^{-2c(x-r)/u} - e^{-2c(x+r)/u} \right) dx = \\
&\left( e^{2cr/u} - e^{-2cr/u} \right) \left[ -\frac{Ru}{2c} e^{-2Rc/u} - \frac{u^2}{4c^2} (e^{-2Rc/u} - 1) \right] \quad (1.15)
\end{aligned}$$

. It then follows that the full term can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta V_S(r) &= -\frac{\alpha^2 Z}{2\pi r R^3} \int_0^1 du \sqrt{1-u^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right) \times \\
&\quad [X_{<}(r, u | \min(r, R)) + X_{>}(r, u|R) - X_{>}(r, u | \min(r, R))] \quad (1.16)
\end{aligned}$$

which is the expression used for the Uehling potential in the implementation. The integration over  $u$  can be carried out numerically.

## Chapter 2

# Numerical implementation

### 2.1 Integration of the Dirac equation system

#### 2.1.1 Grid

The radial Dirac equation as written in 1.1 constitutes a system of two coupled first order differential equations. We use the shooting method to solve this problem. This approach requires one to choose the expected eigenvalue  $E$  as a parameter, choose suitable guesses for the values at the , then integrate numerically the equation from both sides of the domain up to a meeting point. The parameter is then adjusted based on how well the solutions match until stability is reached.

There are various practical issues involved in solving the equation this way. The first thing is the grid to use. Here we choose to use a logarithmic radial grid, defined as:

$$r = r_0 e^x \quad x = \log \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \quad (2.1)$$

In this format the equations become

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = kQ + r(x) \left( mc - \frac{E - V(x)}{c} \right) P \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = -kP + r(x) \left( mc + \frac{E - V(x)}{c} \right) Q \quad (2.3)$$

The advantage is that an evenly spaced grid in  $x$  is now much denser for small  $r$ , namely, for a Coulomb-like potential, close to the nucleus, where the wavefunction will vary more quickly.

### 2.1.2 Boundary conditions

Another issue is that of the boundary conditions. For  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , one can see how  $V \rightarrow 0$  and the equations reduce to [2]

$$Q' \approx \left( mc - \frac{E}{c} \right) P \quad (2.4)$$

$$P' \approx \left( mc + \frac{E}{c} \right) Q \quad (2.5)$$

leading to the conditions (defining  $K = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 - E^2/c^2}$ ):

$$P(r) \approx e^{-Kr} \quad (2.6)$$

$$Q(r) \approx -\frac{K}{mc + E/c} P(r) \quad (2.7)$$

whereas for  $r \rightarrow 0$  we find different results depending on whether we use a ‘true’ Coulomb potential (point charge) or one where the charge is assumed to have a finite spherical size. By expanding  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $V$  in power series for a point charge we find [6]

$$P(r) \approx r^\gamma \quad (2.8)$$

$$Q(r) \approx -\frac{Z}{c(\gamma - k)} P(r) \quad (2.9)$$

with  $\gamma = \sqrt{k^2 - Z^2 \alpha^2}$  while for a finite charge for  $k < 0$  we have

$$P(r) \approx r^{-k} \quad (2.10)$$

$$Q(r) \approx \frac{3Z}{2cR(-2k + 1)} r^{-k+1} \quad (2.11)$$

whereas for  $k > 0$

$$P(r) \approx r^{k+2} \quad (2.12)$$

$$Q(r) \approx \frac{2cR(2k + 1)}{3Z} r^{k+1} \quad (2.13)$$

making use of the fact that  $V(0) = -3Z/2R$  for a uniformly charged spherical nucleus.

### 2.1.3 Potential

The electrostatic potential for the equation can be built out of multiple parts, representing the various contributions. Which ones are used depend on the desired level of accuracy.



### Coulomb potential

The fundamental potential to apply is always the basic Coulomb potential. For a point-like nuclear charge, this is simply:

$$V_N(r) = -\frac{Z}{r} \quad (2.14)$$

It should be noted that since we're dealing with negative muons, all potential terms are implicit multiplied by one negative unit of charge, which is the cause of the minus sign. In case one considers a finite sized spherical nucleus of uniform charge and radius  $R$ , one finds:

$$V_N(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Z}{R} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right), & r \leq R. \\ -\frac{Z}{r}, & r > R. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

### Background charge potential

In presence of a radial background charge distribution, one builds the potential by integrating the equation:

$$\nabla^2 V = \rho \quad (2.16)$$

which in radial coordinates becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) \quad (2.17)$$

where  $4\pi r^2 \rho(r)$  represents the charge density of a spherical shell. Since we're using a logarithmic grid, when expressing the derivatives with respect to the  $\log(r)$  we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) \quad (2.18)$$

Due to the presence of a first order derivative this equation can not be integrated using Numerov's method. Instead, a finite order derivative scheme was used making use of the following approximations:

$$\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right|_i \approx \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{11}{6} V_i - 3V_{i-1} + \frac{3}{2} V_{i-2} - \frac{1}{3} V_{i-3} \right) \quad (2.19)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right|_i \approx \frac{1}{h^2} (2V_i - 5V_{i-1} + 4V_{i-2} - V_{i-3}) \quad (2.20)$$

$$(2.21)$$

setting the first three points of  $V$  with an approximation of constant charge for  $r < r_0$  and shooting outwards for all the following ones.

When the charge is defined on a limited radial grid, one also has the problem of

what to use outside of its bounds. The convention adopted in the software is to always make it so that  $V(\infty) = 0$  and to assume the charge to be constant inside the innermost radius and zero outside the outermost one. So if the grid gives us a charge distribution  $\rho(r)$  defined between  $R_{in}$  and  $R_{out}$ , and the resulting integrated potential is  $V_{grid}(r)$ , we will then define the total potential as

$$V_{bkg}(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Q}{r} & r > R_{out} \\ V_{grid}(r) - V_{grid}(R_{out}) - \frac{Q}{R_{out}} & R_{out} \geq r > R_{in} \\ \frac{2}{3}\pi r^2 \rho(R_{in}) \left(\frac{r}{R_{in}}\right)^2 - V_{grid}(R_{out}) - \frac{Q}{R_{out}} & r \leq R_{in} \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$Q = \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) dr + \frac{4}{3}\pi R_{in}^3 \rho(R_{in}) \quad (2.23)$$

is the total charge of the background (including a term counting the assumed constant charge within the innermost radius of the grid).

### Uehling potential

Both the nucleus and the charge distribution give rise to a further term due to vacuum polarizability. The full form for this has been presented in 1.2.2.

#### 2.1.4 Integration scheme

In order to integrate numerically the equations we make use of the following second order scheme for the first derivative of a function defined on a uniform grid with step  $h$ :

$$y'_i = \frac{3y_i - 4y_{i-1} + y_{i-2}}{2h} + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \quad (2.24)$$

and its backwards converse:

$$y'_i = -\frac{3y_i - 4y_{i+1} + y_{i+2}}{2h} + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \quad (2.25)$$

With this definition, any coupled system of the form:

$$Q'_i = AA_i Q_i + AB_i P_i \quad (2.26)$$

$$P'_i = BA_i Q_i + BB_i P_i \quad (2.27)$$

can be solved by seeing how it's

$$Q_i \left( \pm \frac{3}{2h} - AA_i \right) - AB_i P_i = \pm \frac{1}{h} \left( 2Q_{i\mp 1} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{i\mp 2} \right) \quad (2.28)$$

$$P_i \left( \pm \frac{3}{2h} - BB_i \right) - BA_i Q_i = \pm \frac{1}{h} \left( 2P_{i\mp 1} - \frac{1}{2}P_{i\mp 2} \right) \quad (2.29)$$

the signs corresponding respectively to forward and backwards integration. Solving this linear system leads to the final formulas for  $Q_i$  and  $P_i$  at each step. If we define

$$AC_i = \pm \frac{1}{h} \left( 2Q_{i\mp 1} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{i\mp 2} \right) \quad (2.30)$$

$$BC_i = \pm \frac{1}{h} \left( 2P_{i\mp 1} - \frac{1}{2}P_{i\mp 2} \right) \quad (2.31)$$

$$(2.32)$$

then we have

$$Q_i = \frac{-BC_i AB_i - AC_i (\pm \frac{3}{2h} - BB_i)}{AB_i BA_i - (\pm \frac{3}{2h} - AA_i)(\pm \frac{3}{2h} - BB_i)} \quad (2.33)$$

$$P_i = \frac{-AC_i BA_i - BC_i (\pm \frac{3}{2h} - AA_i)}{AB_i BA_i - (\pm \frac{3}{2h} - AA_i)(\pm \frac{3}{2h} - BB_i)} \quad (2.34)$$

$$(2.35)$$

and this allows one to progressively integrate the equations given two initial points set with the boundary conditions.

### 2.1.5 Finding the eigenvalue

The equations are integrated forward from  $r \approx 0$  and backwards from  $r \approx \infty$  up to a meeting point. This is chosen to be the ‘turning point’, namely the first point on the grid (going outwards) for which

$$E - mc^2 = B < V(r) \quad (2.36)$$

where we call  $B$  the ‘binding energy’ of the particle, corresponding to the traditional energy eigenvalue in the Schrödinger equation. This is the effective energy the particle has at its disposal to escape the potential, besides its rest mass energy term  $mc^2$ .

Since the wavefunctions are not normalized yet, their values can all be scaled by a constant term. This means that comparing them directly is meaningless. Rather, in order to find whether the solutions ‘match’, one can impose the condition:

$$\left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_f = \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_b \quad (2.37)$$

with f and b indicating forward or backwards integration. Since this is not usually the case, one will attempt a first value of  $E$ , then try to calculate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left( \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_f - \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_b \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_f - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_b \quad (2.38)$$

to then use a steepest descent algorithm to find the correct value of  $E$ . This tends to be a very well behaved function, so optimisation is straightforward. One approach to doing this is numerical - one simply integrates the equation both at  $E$  and  $E + \delta E$ , then computes the derivative from the difference of the values found. However it's more efficient to look directly at the behaviour of  $y = Q/P$  as its own function. By dividing  $Q'$  by  $P$  and defining for convenience:

$$g_{\pm} = \left( mc \pm \frac{E - V}{c} \right) \quad (2.39)$$

we have

$$\frac{Q'}{P} = \frac{k}{r} \frac{Q}{P} + g_- \quad (2.40)$$

and since (making use of the other equation for  $P'$ )

$$y' = \frac{Q'}{P} - \frac{Q}{P^2} P' = \frac{Q'}{P} + \frac{k}{r} y - g_+ y^2 \quad (2.41)$$

we have

$$y' = 2 \frac{k}{r} y - g_+ y^2 + g_- \quad (2.42)$$

However what we are interested in is  $\zeta = \partial y / \partial E$ . We can derive and find:

$$\zeta' = 2 \left( \frac{k}{r} - g_+ y \right) \zeta - \frac{1}{c} (y^2 + 1) \quad (2.43)$$

Since we already know the values of  $Q$  and  $P$ , and thus of  $y$ , integrating numerically this equation is trivial. We then have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_f - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left. \frac{Q}{P} \right|_b = \zeta_f - \zeta_b \quad (2.44)$$

and this can be used in combination with a steepest descent algorithm to minimize  $y_f - y_b$  with respect to  $E$  and thus find the correct eigenvalue.

# Bibliography

- [1] P. Weinberger. All you need to know about the dirac equation. *Philosophical Magazine*, 88(18-20):2585–2601, 2008.
- [2] Richard R Silbar and T Goldman. Solving the radial dirac equations: a numerical odyssey. *European Journal of Physics*, 32(1):217–233, dec 2010.
- [3] Franz Gross. *Relativistic quantum mechanics and field theory*. Wiley Interscience, 1999.
- [4] E. Borie and G. A. Rinker. The energy levels of muonic atoms. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 54:67–118, Jan 1982.
- [5] W. R. Johnson. Note on uehling potential, 2001.
- [6] I P Grant. B-spline methods for radial dirac equations. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 42(5):055002, feb 2009.