

# Master Thesis

## Visualisation of IoT Business Processes

**Michael Vet**  
*r0829545*

Prof. Dr. Jochen De Weerd  
Mr. Yannis Bertrand  
Academic year 2021-2022



# 1 Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## 2 Summary

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>1 Abstract</b>                               | <b>i</b>  |
| <b>2 Summary</b>                                | <b>ii</b> |
| <b>3 Literature Review</b>                      | <b>1</b>  |
| IoT-driven Event Log Generation . . . . .       | 1         |
| Concept Drift . . . . .                         | 1         |
| Visualization of Compliance Violation . . . . . | 1         |



### 3 Literature Review

#### IoT-driven Event Log Generation

The Internet of Things enables the digitization of the physical world through interconnected devices, thereby providing access to vast amounts of data that can be used to develop digital services in several application domains including Business Process Management (BPM). The data generated by sensing devices and smart objects allows for the continuous monitoring of the IoT devices and their surroundings, providing new opportunities for analysis and optimization of the processes performed in IoT environments, e.g., through process mining approaches [1].

Meroni et al. exploit in [2] the Internet of Things (IoT) Paradigm by equipping physical objects with sensing hardware and software, turning them into smart objects. As each smart object is referring to one of the physical artifacts involved, it will keep the owner of the artifact informed about the progression of its state and how the process is evolving.

#### Concept Drift

The phenomenon in which the statistical properties of a target domain change over time is considered concept drift [3]. Processes may change due to periodic/seasonal changes or due to changing conditions. Such changes impact processes and it is vital to detect and analyze them [4].

There are three challenges when dealing with concept drift [5]:

1. Change (Point) Detection: Detect that a process has changed. If so, identify the time periods at which changes have taken place.
2. Change Localization and Characterization: Once a point of change has been identified, the next step is to characterize the nature of change, and identify the region(s) of change (localization) in a process.
3. Unravel Process Evolution: Relate the previous discoveries to unravel the evolution of a process. This should lead to the discovery of the change process.

C. Zheng et al. suggest in [6] TPCDD (Tsinghua Process Concept Drift Detection) to extract relations from each trace and transform the event log into a relation matrix. Then, for each relation we observe its variation trend and detect candidate change points. Finally all candidate change points are clustered to get a final result.

#### Visualization of Compliance Violation

BPMN-Q queries can be used to express compliance rules regarding execution ordering of activities. For each query a set of anti pattern queries is automatically derived and checked against process models as well. When a violation occurs (an anti pattern finds a match), the violating part of the process is shown to the user [7].

## References

- [1] R. Seiger, F. Zerbato, A. Burattin, L. García-Bañuelos, and B. Weber, “Towards IoT-driven process event log generation for conformance checking in smart factories,” in *2020 IEEE 24th International Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Workshop (EDOCW)*, ISSN: 2325-6605, Oct. 2020, pp. 20–26. DOI: 10.1109/EDOCW49879.2020.00016.
- [2] G. Meroni, L. Baresi, M. Montali, and P. Plebani, “Multi-party business process compliance monitoring through IoT-enabled artifacts,” *Information Systems*, vol. 73, pp. 61–78, Mar. 1, 2018, ISSN: 0306-4379. DOI: 10.1016/j.is.2017.12.009. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306437917301242> (visited on 05/29/2022).
- [3] R. B. bibinitperiod N. Desai. (Sep. 7, 2021). Issue #26: Concept drift. anomaly detection with self-supervision. NLP in legal applications. models per customer? Machine Learning Ops Roundup, [Online]. Available: <https://mlopsroundup.substack.com/p/issue-26-concept-drift-anomaly-detection> (visited on 05/23/2022).
- [4] W. van der Aalst, “Conformance checking,” in *Process Mining: Data Science in Action*, W. van der Aalst, Ed., Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2016, pp. 243–274, ISBN: 9783662498514. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-49851-4\_8. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49851-4\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49851-4_8) (visited on 05/29/2022).
- [5] R. P. J. C. Bose, W. M. P. van der Aalst, I. Žliobaitė, and M. Pechenizkiy, “Handling concept drift in process mining,” in *Advanced Information Systems Engineering*, H. Mouratidis and C. Rolland, Eds., ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2011, pp. 391–405, ISBN: 9783642216404. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-21640-4\_30.
- [6] C. Zheng, L. Wen, and J. Wang, “Detecting process concept drifts from event logs,” in *On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems. OTM 2017 Conferences*, H. Panetto, C. Debruyne, W. Gaaloul, M. Papazoglou, A. Paschke, C. A. Ardagna, and R. Meersman, Eds., ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 524–542, ISBN: 9783319694627. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-69462-7\_33.
- [7] A. Awad and M. Weske, “Visualization of compliance violation in business process models,” in *Business Process Management Workshops*, S. Rinderle-Ma, S. Sadiq, and F. Leymann, Eds., ser. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2010, pp. 182–193, ISBN: 9783642121869. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-12186-9\_17.





**Faculty of Economics & Business**

Naamsestraat 69  
3000 LEUVEN, BELGIË  
tel. + 32 16 32 67 25  
[www.kuleuven.be](http://www.kuleuven.be)

