

Homework 1

Michael Li

1. In lecture we found out that for the multinomial distribution the MLE for $\pi_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$.

$$\text{so } \pi_1 = \frac{54}{100} = \frac{27}{50}, \pi_2 = \frac{25}{100} = \frac{1}{4}, \pi_3 = \frac{9}{100} \\ \pi_4 = \frac{5}{100} = \frac{1}{20}, \pi_5 = \frac{2}{100} = \frac{1}{50}, \pi_6 = \frac{5}{100} = \frac{1}{20}$$

2. heads $\Rightarrow 1, 2$ w/ equal prob
tails $\Rightarrow 3, 4, 5, 6$ w/ equal prob
heads prob θ , tails prob $(1-\theta)$

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{2} & x=1, 2 \\ \frac{(1-\theta)}{4} & x=3, 4, 5, 6 \\ 0 & x \notin \{1, 2, \dots, 6\} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3. L(\theta|x) &= p(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{I(x_i=1,2)} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4}\right)^{I(x_i=3,4,5,6)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=1,2)} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=3,4,5,6)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{(n_1+n_2)} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4}\right)^{(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\theta|x) = (n_1+n_2) \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + (n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6) \ln\left(\frac{1-\theta}{4}\right)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(\theta|x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{(\frac{\theta}{2})} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{(\frac{1-\theta}{4})} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n_1+n_2}{\theta} - \frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{1-\theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{n_1+n_2}{\theta} = \frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{1-\theta}$$

$$(1-\theta)(n_1+n_2) = \theta(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)$$

$$n_1+n_2 - \theta(n_1+n_2) = \theta(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)$$

$$n_1+n_2 = \theta(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6 + n_1+n_2)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1+n_2}{n} \quad \text{where } n = n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6$$

$$4. g_x(x) = \begin{cases} (1-\phi)^{x-1} \phi & x \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

$$p(x|\phi) = (1-\phi)^{x-1} \phi$$

$$L(\phi|x) = p(x|\phi) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\phi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-\phi)^{x_i-1} \phi$$

$$= (1-\phi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n} \cdot \phi^n$$

$$= (1-\phi)^{S-n} \cdot \phi^n \quad \text{where } S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\ln L(\phi|x) = (S-n) \ln(1-\phi) + n \ln(\phi)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \ln L(\phi|x) = -\frac{S-n}{1-\phi} + \frac{n}{\phi} = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\phi} = \frac{S-n}{1-\phi}$$

$$n - n\phi = \phi(S-n)$$

$$n = \phi(S-n+n)$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{S}$$

since $\hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{S}$, $\frac{1}{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{S}{n}$, which is the sample mean

5. (a) For $x \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ geometric distribution

For $x=6$ whatever is left

$$h_x(x|y) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^5 (1-\psi)^{i-1} \psi = 1 - \psi - \psi \sum_{i=1}^4 (1-\psi)^i$$

$$= 1 - \psi - \psi \left(\frac{(1-\psi) - (1-\psi)^5}{1 - (1-\psi)} \right) \quad \text{Case } x=1 \text{ is different}$$

$$= 1 - \psi - \psi \left(\frac{(1-\psi) - (1-\psi)^5}{\psi} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \psi - (1-\psi) + (1-\psi)^5 = (1-\psi)^5$$

$$\text{so } h_x(x) = \begin{cases} (1-\psi)^{x-1} \psi & x \in A \\ (1-\psi)^5 & x=6 \\ 0 & \end{cases} \quad \text{where } A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$(b) p(x|\psi) = [(1-\psi)^{x-1} \psi]^{I(x \in A)} [(1-\psi)^5]^{I(x=6)}$$

$$L(\psi|x) = p(x|\psi) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\psi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-\psi)^{(x_i-1)I(x_i \in A)} \psi^{I(x_i \in A)} (1-\psi)^{5 \cdot I(x_i=6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-1)I(x_i \in A)} \psi^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in A)} (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n 5 \cdot I(x_i=6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i I(x_i \in A) - \sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in A)} \psi^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i \in A)} (1-\psi)^{5 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i I(x_i \in A) \right) - (n - n_6) + 5n_6} \psi^{(n - n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i I(x_i=6) \right] + 6n_6 - n} \psi^{(n - n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 6n_6 + 6n_6 - n} \psi^{(n - n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{S - n} \psi^{(n - n_6)}$$

$$\text{where } S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$(L) \ln L(\psi|x) = (s-n) \ln(1-\psi) + (n-n_6) \ln(\psi)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\psi} \ln L(\psi|x) = -\left(\frac{s-n}{1-\psi}\right) + \frac{n-n_6}{\psi} = 0$$

$$\frac{n-n_6}{\psi} = \frac{s-n}{1-\psi}$$

$$(1-\psi)(n-n_6) = \psi(s-n)$$

$$n-n_6 - \psi(n-n_6) = \psi(s-n)$$

$$n-n_6 = \psi(s-n) + \psi(n-n_6)$$

$$n-n_6 = \psi(s-n+n-n_6)$$

$$\frac{n-n_6}{s-n_6} = \hat{\psi} \quad \text{where } s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$6. M_{\text{unpaired}}: \hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1+n_2}{n} = \frac{54+25}{100} = \frac{79}{100}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}|x) = \left(\frac{79}{100}\right)^{79} \left(\frac{21}{100}\right)^{21} \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{(n_1+n_2)} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4}\right)^{(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)}$$

$$= 1.79687 \times 10^{-59}$$

$$\ln(1.79687 \times 10^{-59}) = -135.266$$

$$M_{\text{geom}}: \hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{s} = \frac{100}{191}$$

$$L(\hat{\phi}|x) = (1-\phi)^{s-n} \cdot \phi^n$$

$$= \left(\frac{91}{191}\right)^{91} \cdot \left(\frac{100}{191}\right)^{100}$$

$$= 3.93907 \times 10^{-58}$$

$$\ln(3.93907 \times 10^{-58}) = -132.179$$

$$M_{\text{true}}: \hat{\psi} = \frac{n-n_6}{s-n_6} = \frac{100-5}{191-5} = \frac{95}{186}$$

$$L(\hat{\psi}|x) = (1-\psi)^{s-n} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{91}{186}\right)^{91} \cdot \left(\frac{95}{186}\right)^{95}$$

$$= 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$\ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) = -128.882$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{27}{50}\right)^{54} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{25} \left(\frac{9}{100}\right)^9 \left(\frac{6}{20}\right)^5 \left(\frac{1}{50}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^5$$

$$= 4.76108 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$\ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = -127.384$$

By comparing the likelihoods, we can see the truncated model was the best out of all, the one parans, but M_{five} was best overall.

$$7. AIC_M = 2 \underset{\text{params}}{k_M} - 2 \ln \underset{\text{likelihood}}{L_M}$$

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 1.79687 \times 10^{-59}$$

$$AIC_{\text{two-part}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(1.79687 \times 10^{-59}) \\ = 272.533$$

$$M_{\text{geom}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 3.93907 \times 10^{-58}$$

$$AIC_{\text{geom}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(3.93907 \times 10^{-58}) \\ = 266.358$$

$$M_{\text{trunc}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$AIC_{\text{trunc}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) \\ = 259.765$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: \text{params} = 5, L_M = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$AIC_{\text{five}} = 2 \cdot 5 - 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) \\ = 264.769$$

The truncated geometric model has the best AIC score

8. The two models that are nested in M_{five} are $M_{\text{two-part}}$ and M_{trunc}

Since in M_{five} you basically assign the probabilities for each X_i ,

M_{five} can become $M_{\text{two-part}}$ by assigning $\pi_1, \pi_2 = \frac{10}{2}$ and $\pi_3, \pi_4, \pi_5, \pi_6 = \frac{1-10}{4}$

and M_{five} can become M_{trunc} by assigning $\begin{cases} \pi_i = (1-4)^{X-1} \cdot 4 & X \in \{1, 5\} \\ \pi_i = (1-4)^5 & X \geq 6 \end{cases}$

LRTs

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: L(\hat{\theta}|X) = 1.79687 \times 10^{-59}$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi}|X) = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56} \quad \leftarrow \text{from question 6}$$

$$D = -2 \ln \left(\frac{L(\hat{\theta}|X)}{L(\hat{\pi}|X)} \right) = -2 \ln \left(\frac{1.79687 \times 10^{-59}}{4.76108 \times 10^{-56}} \right) = 15.7644$$

$$P(\chi^2_{4} > 15.7644) = 0.003 < 0.05$$

\nwarrow 5 params - 1 param = 4 So reject null hypothesis, so M_{five} is the better model

$$M_{\text{trunc}}: L(\hat{\psi}|X) = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = 2.996$$

$$P(\chi^2_4 > 2.996) = 0.56 > 0.05$$

so fail to reject null, M_{trunc} is the better model

9. M_{TA} has $p(X_i|\alpha) = (\frac{1}{2})^{I(X_i=1)} (\frac{1}{4})^{I(X_i=2)} (\frac{1}{8})^{I(X_i=3)} (\frac{1}{16})^{I(X_i=4)} (\frac{1}{32})^{I(X_i=5,6)}$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha|V) &= p(X|\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i|\alpha) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{2})^{I(X_i=1)} (\frac{1}{4})^{I(X_i=2)} (\frac{1}{8})^{I(X_i=3)} (\frac{1}{16})^{I(X_i=4)} (\frac{1}{32})^{I(X_i=5,6)} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2})^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=1)} (\frac{1}{4})^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=2)} (\frac{1}{8})^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=3)} (\frac{1}{16})^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=4)} (\frac{1}{32})^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i=5,6)} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2})^{n_1} (\frac{1}{4})^{n_2} (\frac{1}{8})^{n_3} (\frac{1}{16})^{n_4} (\frac{1}{32})^{(n_5+n_6)} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2})^{54} (\frac{1}{4})^{25} (\frac{1}{8})^9 (\frac{1}{16})^5 (\frac{1}{32})^7 \\ &= 1.01958 \times 10^{-56} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\alpha|X) = \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) \approx -128.925$$

Since all the probabilities are set, there are 0 parameters in M_{TA} . M_{TA} is nested in M_{five} and M_{trunc} . For M_{five} you can make $\pi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \pi_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \pi_3 = \frac{1}{8}, \pi_4 = \frac{1}{16}, \pi_5 = \frac{1}{32}, \pi_6 = \frac{1}{32}$ and for M_{trunc} you can make $\hat{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}$.

LRT:

$$M_{five}: L(\hat{\pi}|X) = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = 3.08217$$

$$P(\chi_5^2 > 3.08217) = 0.69 > 0.05$$

five params - 0 params Fail to reject null

M_{TA} is the better model

$$M_{trunc}: L(\hat{\psi}|X) = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) = 0.086$$

$$P(\chi_1^2 > 0.086) = 0.77 > 0.05$$

1 param - 0 params Fail to reject null

M_{TA} is the better model

100 samples

$$10. \quad M_{\text{two-part}}: \hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n} = \frac{30 + 25}{100} = \frac{55}{100} = \frac{11}{20}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta} | x) = \left(\frac{11}{20}\right)^{55} \left(\frac{9}{20}\right)^{45}$$

$$= 2.9185 \times 10^{-74}$$

$$AIC = 2.1 - 2 \ln(2.9185 \times 10^{-74})$$

$$= 340.64$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi} | x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{30} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{25} \left(\frac{3}{25}\right)^{12} \left(\frac{13}{100}\right)^{13} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{10} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{10}$$

$$= 4.93829 \times 10^{-74}$$

$$AIC = 2.5 - 2 \ln(4.93829 \times 10^{-74})$$

$$= 347.589$$

The AIC shows that $M_{\text{two-part}}$ is the better model

1000 samples

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: \hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n} = \frac{300 + 250}{1000} = \frac{11}{20}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta} | x) = \left(\frac{11}{20}\right)^{550} \left(\frac{9}{20}\right)^{450}$$

$$= 4.4835 \times 10^{-736}$$

$$AIC = 2.1 - 2 \ln(4.4835 \times 10^{-736})$$

$$= 3388.4$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi} | x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{300} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{250} \left(\frac{3}{25}\right)^{120} \left(\frac{13}{100}\right)^{130} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{100} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{100}$$

$$= 8.6251 \times 10^{-734}$$

$$AIC = 2.5 - 2 \ln(8.6251 \times 10^{-734})$$

$$= 3385.89$$

This time the AIC shows that M_{five} is a better model.

The AIC favors different models at different sample sizes because in the case of a small sample size, although M_{five} is a better fit and has a better log likelihood, the AIC penalizes the extra parameters M_{five} has and so $M_{\text{two-part}}$ has a better AIC. In a large sample size, the log likelihood matters more and overpowers the parameter penalty, so M_{five} has the better AIC.