

Homework 1

Michael Li

1. In lecture we found out that for the multinomial distribution the MLE for $\pi_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$.

$$\text{so } \pi_1 = \frac{54}{100} = \frac{27}{50}, \quad \pi_2 = \frac{25}{100} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \pi_3 = \frac{9}{100}, \\ \pi_4 = \frac{5}{100} = \frac{1}{20}, \quad \pi_5 = \frac{2}{100} = \frac{1}{50}, \quad \pi_6 = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100}$$

2. heads $\Rightarrow 1, 2$ w/ equal prob

tails $\Rightarrow 3, 4, 5, 6$ w/ equal prob

heads prob α , tails prob $(1-\alpha)$

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{2} & x=1, 2 \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{4} & x=3, 4, 5, 6 \\ 0 & x \notin \{1, 2, \dots, 6\} \end{cases}$$

$$3. L(\theta|x) = p(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{I(x_i=1, 2)} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4}\right)^{I(x_i=3, 4, 5, 6)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=1, 2)} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=3, 4, 5, 6)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{n_1+n_2} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4}\right)^{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}$$

$$\ln L(\theta|x) = (n_1+n_2) \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + (n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6) \ln \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4}\right)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \ln L(\theta|x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{4}\right)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n_1+n_2}{\alpha} - \frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{1-\alpha} = 0$$

$$\frac{n_1+n_2}{\alpha} = \frac{n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6}{1-\alpha}$$

$$(1-\alpha)(n_1+n_2) = \alpha(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)$$

$$n_1+n_2 - \alpha(n_1+n_2) = \alpha(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)$$

$$n_1+n_2 = \alpha(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6 + n_1+n_2)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1+n_2}{n} \quad \text{where } n = n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6$$

$$4. g_x(\phi) = \begin{cases} (1-\phi)^{x-1} \phi & x \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

$$p(x|\phi) = (1-\phi)^{x-1} \phi$$

$$L(\phi|x) = p(x|\phi) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\phi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-\phi)^{x_i-1} \phi$$

$$= (1-\phi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n} \cdot \phi^n$$

$$= (1-\phi)^{s-n} \cdot \phi^n \quad \text{where } s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\ln L(\phi|x) = (s-n) \ln(1-\phi) + n \ln(\phi)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \ln L(\phi|x) = -\frac{s-n}{1-\phi} + \frac{n}{\phi} = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\phi} = \frac{s-n}{1-\phi}$$

$$n - n\phi = \phi(s-n)$$

$$n = \phi(s-n+n)$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{s}$$

since $\hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{s}$, $\frac{1}{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{s}{n}$, which is the sample mean

5. (a) For $x \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ geometric distribution

For $x=6$ whatever is left

$$h_x(x|\psi) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-\psi)^{i-1} \psi = 1 - \psi - \psi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-\psi)^i$$

$$= 1 - \psi - \psi \left(\frac{(1-\psi) - (1-\psi)^5}{1-(1-\psi)} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \psi - \psi \left(\frac{(1-\psi) - (1-\psi)^5}{\psi} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \psi - (1-\psi) + (1-\psi)^5 = (1-\psi)^5$$

Case $x=1$ is different

$$\text{so } h_x(x) = \begin{cases} (1-\psi)^{x-1} \psi & x \in A \\ (1-\psi)^5 & x=6 \end{cases} \quad \text{where } A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

$$(b) p(x|\psi) = [(1-\psi)^{x-1} \psi]^{\mathbb{I}(x \in A)} [(1-\psi)^5]^{\mathbb{I}(x=6)}$$

$$L(\psi|x) = p(x|\psi) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\psi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-\psi)^{(x_i-1)\mathbb{I}(x_i \in A)} \psi^{\mathbb{I}(x_i \in A)} (1-\psi)^5 \cdot \mathbb{I}(x_i=6)$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-1)\mathbb{I}(x_i \in A)} \psi^{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i \in A)} (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n 5 \cdot \mathbb{I}(x_i=6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}(x_i \in A) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i \in A) + 5 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i=6)} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}(x_i \in A) \right] - (n-n_6) + 5n_6} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i \in A)}_{\text{constant}} \right] + 6n_6 - n} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 6n_6 + 6n_6 - n} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$= (1-\psi)^{S-n} \psi^{(n-n_6)}$$

$$\text{where } S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$(c) \ln L(4|x) = (s-n) \ln(1-4) + (n-n_b) \ln(4)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial 4} \ln L(4|x) = -\left(\frac{s-n}{1-4}\right) + \frac{n-n_b}{4} = 0$$

$$\frac{n-n_b}{4} = \frac{s-n}{1-4}$$

$$(1-4)(n-n_b) = 4(s-n)$$

$$n-n_b - 4(n-n_b) = 4(s-n)$$

$$n-n_b = 4(s-n) + 4(n-n_b)$$

$$n-n_b = 4(s-n+n-n_b)$$

$$\frac{n-n_b}{s-n_b} = \hat{\phi} \quad \text{where } s = \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i$$

$$6. M_{\text{trapac}}: \hat{\phi} = \frac{n_1+n_2}{n} = \frac{54+25}{100} = \frac{79}{100}$$

$$L(\hat{\phi}|x) = \left(\frac{79}{100}\right)^{79} \left(\frac{21}{100}\right)^{21} \left(\frac{\hat{\phi}}{2}\right)^{(n_1+n_2)} \left(\frac{1-\hat{\phi}}{4}\right)^{(n_3+n_4+n_5+n_6)}$$

$$= 1.79687 \times 10^{-59} \quad \ln(1.79687 \times 10^{-59}) = -135.266$$

$$M_{\text{geom}}: \hat{\phi} = \frac{n}{s} = \frac{100}{191}$$

$$L(\hat{\phi}|x) = (1-\hat{\phi})^{s-n} \cdot \hat{\phi}^n$$

$$= \left(\frac{91}{191}\right)^{91} \cdot \left(\frac{100}{191}\right)^{100}$$

$$= 3.93907 \times 10^{-58} \quad \ln(3.93907 \times 10^{-58}) = -132.179$$

$$M_{\text{trunc}}: \hat{\psi} = \frac{n-n_b}{s-n_b} = \frac{100-15}{191-5} = \frac{85}{186}$$

$$L(\hat{\psi}|x) = (1-\psi)^{s-n} \psi^{(n-n_b)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{91}{186}\right)^{91} \cdot \left(\frac{85}{186}\right)^{85}$$

$$= 1.06439 \times 10^{-56} \quad \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) = -128.882$$

$$M_{\text{fire}}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{27}{50}\right)^{54} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{25} \left(\frac{9}{100}\right)^9 \left(\frac{6}{20}\right)^5 \left(\frac{1}{50}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^5$$

$$= 4.76108 \times 10^{-56} \quad \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = -127.384$$

By comparing the likelihoods, we can see the truncated model was the best out of all the ones given, but M_{fire} was best overall.

$$7. AIC_M = 2 \underset{\text{params}}{k_M} - 2 \ln \underset{\text{likelihood}}{L_M}$$

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 1.79687 \times 10^{-59}$$

$$AIC_{\text{two-part}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(1.79687 \times 10^{-59})$$

$$= 272.533$$

$$M_{\text{geom}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 3.93907 \times 10^{-58}$$

$$AIC_{\text{geom}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(3.93907 \times 10^{-58})$$

$$= 266.358$$

$$M_{\text{trunc}}: \text{params} = 1, L_M = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$AIC_{\text{trunc}} = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56})$$

$$= 259.765$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: \text{params} = 5, L_M = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$AIC_{\text{five}} = 2 \cdot 5 - 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56})$$

$$= 264.769$$

The truncated geometric model has the best AIC score

8. The two models that are nested in M_{five} are $M_{\text{two-part}}$ and M_{trunc} . Since in M_{five} you basically assign the probabilities for each X_i , M_{five} can become $M_{\text{two-part}}$ by assigning $\pi_1, \pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\pi_3, \pi_4, \pi_5, \pi_6 = \frac{1-\alpha}{4}$ and M_{five} can become M_{trunc} by assigning $\begin{cases} \pi_i = (1-\alpha)^{X_i-1} \alpha & X \in \{1, \dots, 5\} \\ \pi_i = (1-\alpha)^5 & X=6 \end{cases}$

LRTs

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: L(\hat{\theta}|x) = 1.79687 \times 10^{-59}$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56} \quad \text{from question 6}$$

$$D = -2 \ln \left(\frac{L(\hat{\theta}|x)}{L(\hat{\pi}|x)} \right) = -2 \ln \left(\frac{1.79687 \times 10^{-59}}{4.76108 \times 10^{-56}} \right) = 15.7644$$

$$P(\chi^2_{\text{df}} > 15.7644) = 0.003 < 0.05$$

$5 \text{ params} - 1 \text{ param} = 4$ So reject null hypothesis, so M_{five} is the better model

$$M_{\text{trunc}}: L(\hat{\Phi}|x) = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = 2.996$$

$$P(\chi^2_{\text{df}} > 2.996) = 0.56 > 0.05$$

so fail to reject null, M_{trunc} is the better model

$$9. M_{TA} \text{ has } p(x_i|\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{I(x_i=1)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{I(x_i=2)} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{I(x_i=3)} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{I(x_i=4)} \left(\frac{1}{32}\right)^{I(x_i=5,6)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha|x) &= p(x|\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\alpha) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{I(x_i=1)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{I(x_i=2)} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{I(x_i=3)} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{I(x_i=4)} \left(\frac{1}{32}\right)^{I(x_i=5,6)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=1)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=2)} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=3)} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=4)} \left(\frac{1}{32}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i=5,6)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{n_4} \left(\frac{1}{32}\right)^{n_5+n_6} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{54} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{25} \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^9 \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^5 \left(\frac{1}{32}\right)^7 \\ &= 1.01958 \times 10^{-56} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln L(\alpha|x) = \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) \approx -128.925$$

Since all the probabilities are set, there are 0 parameters in M_{TA} . M_{TA} is nested in M_{five} and M_{trunc} . For M_{five} you can make $\pi_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \pi_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \pi_3 = \frac{1}{8}, \pi_4 = \frac{1}{16}, \pi_5 = \frac{1}{32}, \pi_6 = \frac{1}{32}$ and for M_{trunc} you can make $\hat{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}$.

LRT_c

$$M_{five}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = 4.76108 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(4.76108 \times 10^{-56}) = 3.08217$$

$$P(\chi^2_5 > 3.08217) = 0.69 > 0.05$$

5 params - 0 params Fail to reject null

M_{TA} is the better model

$$M_{trunc}: L(\hat{\psi}|x) = 1.06439 \times 10^{-56}$$

$$D = -2 \ln(1.01958 \times 10^{-56}) + 2 \ln(1.06439 \times 10^{-56}) = 0.086$$

$$P(\chi^2_1 > 0.086) = 0.77 > 0.05$$

1 param - 0 params Fail to reject null

M_{TA} is the better model

100 samples

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: \hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n} = \frac{30+25}{100} = \frac{55}{100} = \frac{11}{20}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}|x) = \left(\frac{11}{20}\right)^{55} \left(\frac{9}{20}\right)^{45}$$

$$= 2.9185 \times 10^{-74}$$

$$AIC = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(2.9185 \times 10^{-74})$$

$$= 340.64$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{30} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{25} \left(\frac{3}{25}\right)^{12} \left(\frac{13}{100}\right)^{13} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{10} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{10}$$

$$= 4.93829 \times 10^{-74}$$

$$AIC = 2 \cdot 5 - 2 \ln(4.93829 \times 10^{-74})$$

$$= 347.589$$

The AIC shows that $M_{\text{two-part}}$ is the better model

1000 samples

$$M_{\text{two-part}}: \hat{\theta} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n} = \frac{300+250}{1000} = \frac{11}{20}$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}|x) = \left(\frac{11}{20}\right)^{550} \left(\frac{9}{20}\right)^{450}$$

$$= 4.4835 \times 10^{-736}$$

$$AIC = 2 \cdot 1 - 2 \ln(4.4835 \times 10^{-736})$$

$$= 3388.4$$

$$M_{\text{five}}: L(\hat{\pi}|x) = \prod_{i=1}^6 \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^{300} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{250} \left(\frac{3}{25}\right)^{120} \left(\frac{13}{100}\right)^{130} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{100} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{100}$$

$$= 8.6251 \times 10^{-734}$$

$$AIC = 2 \cdot 5 - 2 \ln(8.6251 \times 10^{-734})$$

$$= 3385.89$$

This time the AIC shows that M_{five} is a better model.

The AIC favors different models at different sample sizes because in the case of a small sample size, although M_{five} is a better fit and has a better log likelihood, the AIC penalizes the extra parameters M_{five} has and so $M_{\text{two-part}}$ has a better AIC. In a large sample size, the log likelihood matters more and overpowers the parameter penalty, so M_{five} has the better AIC.