

Distributed Algorithms CPSC-561

Assignment 2

Michael McCulloch

1 LL/SC

For all of the following algorithms, they are each wait-free, as they do not contain a loop.

A) CAS from SCAS

Algorithm 1 CAS from SCAS

```
1: function  $CAS_p(\text{old}, \text{new})$   
2:    $\text{old}' = \text{SCAS.scas}(\text{old}, \text{new})$   
3:   return  $\text{old}' = \text{old}$   
4: end function
```

Let the invocation of $\text{SCAS.scas}()$ be the linearization point X . *Claim:* If a process P executes X before another process Q executes X , then the invocation of P is ordered before the invocation of Q . *Proof:* By the definition of SCAS, if it returns the same value as it's first argument, it must have succeeded. If this value is different, another process Q must have finished it's invocation between the invocation of SCAS and the assignment of it's result to old' within P , a contradiction.

B) SCAS from CAS

Algorithm 2 SCAS from CAS

```
1: function  $SCAS_p$ (old,new)
2:   success = CAS.cas(old, new)
3:   if success then
4:     return old
5:   else
6:     return CAS.read()
7:   end if
8: end function
```

Let the invocation of CAS.cas() be the linearization point X. *Claim: see above. Proof:* If CAS is successful, then the old value passed in must be the old value. If CAS is unsuccessful, then a different value must have been the old value, which can only have been cause if another process executed X first. A contradiction.

C) CAS from LL/SC

Algorithm 3 CAS from LL/SC

```
1: function  $CAS_p$ (old, new)
2:   old' = LL/SC.LL()
3:   if old'  $\neq$  old then
4:     return false
5:   else
6:     return LL/SC.SC(new)
7:   end if
8: end function
```

Claim: If a process P executes lines two through six, before another process Q executes the same, then P's invocation is ordered before Q's. *Proof:* If P returns **false**, then another process must have executed either LL() or SC() successfully....?

2 Consensus

3 SRSW