



Drafting an emerging picture

Name: Michael Osachoff

Community & UN SDG(s): Responsible Home Cooks - 12. Responsible Consumption and Production, 13. Climate Action

Date: Oct. 20, 2023

Instructions:

Using your researched information fill out the flowing comparing the current state of the art with what you think new (software) innovations could bring to the community

Covering the orientations	
Compare the left-hand column of the document "Technology configuration inventory" table with the right-hand column of the document "Community characteristics & orientation" table. What do you notice about the match (or mismatch) between your dominant community orientations and the current configuration of tools?	
How well does the technology inventory cover the orientations? What themes emerged from both the community orientations and the technology configuration from your colleagues' notes	Existing technology and the application that I intend to create tends to pigeonhole itself into the content, individual participation, and possibly the access to expertise orientations. It appears to lack a form of community that has consistent interactions on the platform between users, so it doesn't have a noticeable impact on the meetings and relationship orientations.
<input type="checkbox"/> Are you almost there? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Are there big gaps?	There appears to be big gaps as the application intends to focus on the content orientation for the most part, and looking into features that would allow users to have more interactions with each other may bring more delight. This will likely be a later MVP that will be out of scope for a project in this class.
What is the range of skills? If their interests and/or skills are diverse, could it cause conflict or distraction?	There is likely a wide range of skills based on the people that could be within the community. The people involved in the community could include a wide range of cultures and ages that make have different technological skills. It could cause conflict in the desire of the interface designs.
Achieving integration	
Look at all the pieces of your configuration	
What level of integration and interoperability has been achieved?	Based on what has been defined in the scope of the project, there appears to be good levels of integration in supporting the content orientation and perhaps even the individual participation orientation as well.
Where are there big gaps	There is not much available for bringing the community together on a consistent platform to allow people to interact with each other and share insights. Most of the social-based community orientations have large gaps due to this.
Balancing the polarities (Current state)	

How is the configuration balanced with respect to each polarity?

Synchronous >>>>>>>>>>>>

...Synchronous tools?

[illegible]

...Asynchronous tools?

[illegible]

...Participation tools?

Reification

...Reification tools?

Group >>>>>>>>>>>>

...Group tools?

Individual

...Individual tools?

How well does this balance fit
your community?

I think it fits it well. In my opinion, the home cooking community is often a more individual and asynchronous community with many people learning new things on their own schedule. Perhaps it could be more useful to integrate more group tools to bring a better sense of community between the users.

Solution seeking

In the new configuration, do you want your choice of tools to affect the polarities of your community in ways that differ from the current configuration? Which way?

Synchronous >>>>>>>>>>

...New synchronous tools?

Asynchronous

...New asynchronous tools?

[illegible]

...New participation tools?

Reification

...New reification tools?

Group >>>>>>>>>>

...New group tools?

Individual

...New individual tools?

MVP notes