Data Dictionary for Quarterly Dialysis Facility Care Compare

Refresh Date: July 2024

This document provides the variable name, label, type, length, and description for each column included in the downloadable database available on the Dialysis Facility Care Compare (DFCC) website (https://data.medicare.gov/).

The measures are calculated using the methodology described in the *Guide to the Quarterly Dialysis Facility Care Compare (QDFCC) Report* available for download from the "DFCC METHODS" tab of the Dialysis Data website

(https://dialysisdata.org/sites/default/files/content/dfccmethodology).

April 2024 Page 1 of 35

Table 1: Facility Identification Variables

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
PROVNUM	CMS Certification Number (CCN)	Char	10	The Numeric Code Used To Identify The Provider
PROVNAME	CMS Provider Name	Char	200	The Name Of The Facility
STATE	State	Char	2	The Alphabetic Postal Code Used To Identify The State That Corresponds To The Facility
NETWORK	Network	Char	2	The Numeric Code For The Network In Which Facility Participates
DATE_FIVE_STAR	Five Star Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For The Quality Of Care Star Rating
FIVE_STAR	Five Star	Num	8	The Quality Of Care Star Rating For The Facility
FIVE_STAR_C	Five Star Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Quality Of Care Star Rating Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
PHYADDR1	Address Line 1	Char	60	The First Line Of The Address That Corresponds To The Facility
PHYADDR2	Address Line 2	Char	60	The Second Line Of The Address That Corresponds To The Facility
PHYCITY	City/Town	Char	30	The Name Of The City That Corresponds To The Facility
PHYZIP	Zip Code	Char	5	The Full Postal ZIP Code That Corresponds To The Facility
PHYCOUNTY	County/Parish	Char	60	The Name Of The County That Corresponds To The Facility
PHONENUM	Telephone Number	Char	14	The Telephone Number That Corresponds To The Facility
OWNTYPE	Profit or Non-Profit	Char	50	If The Dialysis Facility's Operates As A For-Profit Or Non-Profit Business

April 2024 Page 2 of 35

CHAINYN	Chain Owned	Char	3	Whether Or Not The Facility Is Owned Or Managed By A Chain Organization
CHAINNAM	Chain Organization	Char	50	The Name Of The Chain Organization If Applicable
SHIFT	Late Shift	Text	5	Whether Or Not The Facility Has A Shift Starting At 5:00 P.M. or Later
TOTSTAS	# of Dialysis Stations	Int		The Total # Of Dialysis Stations At The Dialysis Facility
HD	Offers in-center hemodialysis	Text	5	Whether The Facility Offers In-Center Hemodialysis
PD	Offers peritoneal dialysis	Text	5	Whether The Facility Offers Peritoneal Dialysis
HOMEHD	Offers home hemodialysis training.	Text	5	Whether The Facility Offers Home Hemodialysis Training
CERTDATE	Certification Date	Datetime		The Initial Or Recertification Date For The Facility. These Facilities Are Certified If They Pass Inspection. Medicare Or Medicaid Only Covers Care Provided By Certified Providers. Being Certified Is Not The Same As Being Accredited

Table 2: Survey of Patients' Experiences

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_CAHPS	ICH CAHPS date	Char	19	The Combined Data Collection Periods For The ICH CAHPS Survey
CAHPS_C	ICH CAHPS data availability code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient ICH CAHPS Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
NEPHCOMM_BOT_ F	Lower box percent of patients- nephrologists' communication and caring	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Sometimes" Or "Never"-Nephrologists' Communication And Caring (FACILITY)

NEDLICOMA MAD	M: 441 - 1 :	NI	0	The Of Detient Wil
NEPHCOMM_MID_	Middle box percent	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
F	of patients-			Reported "Usually"-
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (FACILITY)
NEPHCOMM_TOP_F	Top box percent of	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
	patients-			Reported "Always"-
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (FACILITY)
NEPHCOMM_BOT_	Lower box percent	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
		Nulli	0	
S	of patients-			Reported "Sometimes" Or
	nephrologists'			"Never"-Nephrologists"
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (STATE)
NEPHCOMM_MID_	Middle box percent	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
S	of patients-			Reported "Usually"-
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (STATE)
NEPHCOMM_TOP_S	Top box percent of	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
NET TICOMM_TOT_S	patients-	INGILI		Reported "Always"-
	1 -			1 *
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists' Communication And
	communication and			
NEDWICOLO A DOT	caring	N.T.	0	Caring (STATE)
NEPHCOMM_BOT_	Lower box percent	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
U	of patients-			Reported "Sometimes" Or
	nephrologists'			"Never"-Nephrologists"
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (US)
NEPHCOMM_MID_	Middle box percent	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
U	of patients-			Reported "Usually"-
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (US)
NEPHCOMM TOP	Top box percent of	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who
U THEOMINI_TOT_	patients-	1 VUIII	0	Reported "Always"-
	_ -			*
	nephrologists'			Nephrologists' Communication And
	communication and			
I DIE A DIESER AVERA	caring	7.7	0	Caring (US)
LINEARIZED_NEPH	Linearized score of	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of
RCOMM_F	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	caring			Caring (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_NEPH	Linearized score of	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of
RCOMM_S	nephrologists'			Nephrologists'
	communication and			Communication And
	I	1		

	caring			Caring (STATE)
LINEARIZED_NEPH RCOMM_U	Linearized score of nephrologists' communication and caring	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Nephrologists' Communication And Caring (US)
STAR_RATING_NEP HRCOMM_F	Star rating of nephrologists' communication and caring	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of Nephrologists' Communication And Caring (FACILITY)
QUALITY_BOT_F	Lower box percent of patients-quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Sometimes" Or "Never"-Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (FACILITY)
QUALITY_MID_F	Middle box percent of patients-quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Usually"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (FACILITY)
QUALITY_TOP_F	Top box percent of patients-quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Always"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (FACILITY)
QUALITY_BOT_S	Lower box percent of patients-quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Sometimes" Or "Never"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (STATE)
QUALITY_MID_S	Middle box percent of patients- quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Usually"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (STATE)
QUALITY_TOP_S	Top box percent of patients- quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Always"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (STATE)
QUALITY_BOT_U	Lower box percent of patients- quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Sometimes" Or "Never"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (US)

QUALITY_MID_U	Middle box percent of patients- quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Usually"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (US)
QUALITY_TOP_U	Top box percent of patients- quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Always"- Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (US)
LINEARIZED_QUAL ITY_F	Linearized score of quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_QUAL ITY_S	Linearized score of quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (STATE)
LINEARIZED_QUAL ITY_U	Linearized score of quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (US)
STAR_RATING_QU ALITY_F	Star rating of quality of dialysis center care and operations	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of Quality Of Dialysis Center Care And Operations (FACILITY)
INFO_BOT_F	Lower box percent of patients-providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "No"- Providing Information To Patients (FACILITY)
INFO_TOP_F	Top box percent of patients- providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Yes"- Providing Information To Patients (FACILITY)
INFO_BOT_S	Lower box percent of patients-providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "No"- Providing Information To Patients (STATE)
INFO_TOP_S	Top box percent of patients- providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Yes"- Providing Information To Patients (STATE)
INFO_BOT_U	Lower box percent of patients-providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "No"- Providing Information To Patients (US)

April 2024 Produced by The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center

INFO_TOP_U	Top box percent of patients- providing information to patients	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Reported "Yes"- Providing Information To Patients (US)
LINEARIZED_INFO _F	Linearized score of providing information to patients	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Providing Information To Patients (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_INFO _S	Linearized score of providing information to patients	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Providing Information To Patients (STATE)
LINEARIZED_INFO _U	Linearized score of providing information to patients	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Providing Information To Patients (US)
STAR_RATING_INF O_F	Star rating of providing information to patients	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of Providing Information To Patients (FACILITY).
NEPHRATE_BOT_F	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
NEPHRATE_MID_F	Middle box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
NEPHRATE_TOP_F	Top box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
NEPHRATE_BOT_S	Lower box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
NEPHRATE_MID_S	Middle box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)

April 2024 Page 7 of 35

NEPHRATE_TOP_S	Top box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
NEPHRATE_BOT_U	Lower box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
NEPHRATE_MID_U	Middle box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
NEPHRATE_TOP_U	Top box percent of patients- rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Nephrologist A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
LINEARIZED_NEPH RATE_F	Linearized score of rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Nephrologist (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_NEPH RATE_S	Linearized score of rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Nephrologist (STATE)
LINEARIZED_NEPH RATE_U	Linearized score of rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Nephrologist (US)
STAR_RATING_NEP HRATE_F	Star rating of the nephrologist	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of The Nephrologist (FACILITY)
STAFFRATE_BOT_F	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
STAFFRATE_MID_F	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)

April 2024 Page 8 of 35

STAFFRATE_TOP_F	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
STAFFRATE_BOT_S	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
STAFFRATE_MID_S	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
STAFFRATE_TOP_S	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
STAFFRATE_BOT_ U	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
STAFFRATE_MID_ U	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
STAFFRATE_TOP_U	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Center Staff A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
LINEARIZED_STAF FRATE_F	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Center Staff (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_STAF FRATE_S	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Center Staff (STATE)
LINEARIZED_STAF FRATE_U	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Center Staff (US)

STAR_RATING_STA FFRATE_F	Star rating of the dialysis center staff	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of The Dialysis Center Staff (FACILITY)
FACRATE_BOT_F	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
FACRATE_MID_F	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
FACRATE_TOP_F	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (FACILITY)
FACRATE_BOT_S	Lower box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
FACRATE_MID_S	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 7 Or 8 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
FACRATE_TOP_S	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (STATE)
FACRATE_BOT_U	Lower box percent of patients-rating of dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 6 Or Lower On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
FACRATE_MID_U	Middle box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 7 Or 8

				On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
FACRATE_TOP_U	Top box percent of patients-rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Gave Their Dialysis Facility A Rating Of 9 Or 10 On A Scale Of 0 (Lowest) To 10 (Highest) (US)
LINEARIZED_FACR ATE_F	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Facility (FACILITY)
LINEARIZED_FACR ATE_S	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Facility (STATE)
LINEARIZED_FACR ATE_U	Linearized score of rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The Linearized Score Of Rating Of The Dialysis Facility (US)
STAR_RATING_FA CRATE_F	Star rating of the dialysis facility	Num	8	The Star Ratings Of The Dialysis Facility (FACILITY)
COMPLETED_SURV EYS_F	Total number of completed interviews from the Fall and Spring Surveys	Num	8	The Total # Of Completed Surveys Across The Two Reported Survey Periods (FACILITY)
COMPLETED_SURV EYS_S	Total number of completed interviews from the Fall and Spring Surveys	Num	8	The Total # Of Completed Surveys Across The Two Reported Survey Periods (STATE)
COMPLETED_SURV EYS_U	Total number of completed interviews from the Fall and Spring Surveys	Num	8	The Total # Of Completed Surveys Across The Two Reported Survey Periods (US)
OVERALL_STAR_ RATING_F	ICH CAHPS Survey of patients' experiences star rating	Num	8	The ICH CAHPS Survey Of Patients' Experiences Star Rating (FACILITY)
RESPONSE_RATE_F	Survey response rate	Num	8	The ICH CAHPS Survey Response Rate For The Facility
RESPONSE_RATE_S	Survey response rate	Num	8	The ICH CAHPS Survey Response Rate For The State

RESPONSE_RATE_	Survey response rate	Num	8	The ICH CAHPS Survey
U				Response Rate For The
				Nation

Table 3: Standardized Transfusion Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Туре	Max. Length	Description
DATE_STrR	STrR Date	Char	19	The Time Period For Patient Transfusion Summary (STrR)
PTTRAN_C	Patient Transfusion data availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Transfusion Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCSTrRTEXT	Patient Transfusion category text	Char	20	Patient Transfusion Category (Better, Worse Or As Expected)
PATSTR_F	Number of patients included in transfusion summary	Num	8	The Number Of Patients Included In The Facility's Transfusion Summary (FACILITY)
STRR_RATE_F_NE W	Transfusion Rate (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Transfusion Rate Per 100 Patient-Years
STRR_RATE_UCI_F _NEW	Transfusion Rate: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Transfusion Rate Per 100 Patient-Years
STRR_RATE_LCI_F _NEW	Transfusion Rate: Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Transfusion Rate Per 100 Patient-Years
STRR_RATE_U_NE W	Transfusion Rate (US)	Num	8	The National Transfusion Rate Per 100 Patient-Years
PTSTRS1	Transfusions- Better than expected (STATE)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The State With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSTRS2	Transfusions- As expected (STATE)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The State With Patient Transfusions Categorized

April 2024

				"As Expected" (STATE)
PTSTRS3	Transfusions- Worse than expected (STATE)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The State With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSTRU1	Transfusions- Better than expected (US)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTSTRU2	Transfusions- As expected (US)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTSTRU3	Transfusions- Worse than expected (US)	Num	8	The Number Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

Table 4: Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR)

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SIR	SIR Date	Char	19	The Time Period For Patient Infection Summary (SIR)
SIR_C	Patient Infection data availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Infection Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCSIRTEXT	Patient Infection category text	Char	20	Patient Infection Category (Better, Worse Or As Expected)
SIR_F	Standard Infection Ratio	Num	8	The Facility's Standardized Infection Ratio (FACILITY)
SIR_UCI_F	SIR: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR)
SIR_LCI_F	SIR: Lower Confidence Limit	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For

	(2.5%)			Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR)
PTSIRS1	Infection- Better than expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Transfusions Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSIRS2	Infection- As expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Infection Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTSIRS3	Infection- Worse than expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Infection Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSIRU1	Infection- Better than expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Infection Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTSIRU2	Infection- As expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Infection Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTSIRU3	Infection- Worse than expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Infection Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

Table 5: Dialysis Adequacy

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For EQRS Based Measures
HDKTV12_C	Adult HD Kt/V data availability code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Adult Hemodialysis Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2 Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
CWHD_KTVpats_f	Number of adult HD patients with Kt/V	Num	8	The # Of Adult Hemodialysis Patients

	data			Included In Kt/V Greater
	Gutt			Than Or Equal To 1.2
				Summary, Rolling Year
				(FACILITY)
CWHD_KTVpm_f	Number of adult HD	Num	8	The # Of Adult
CWID_KI Vpiii_i	patient-months with	TVGIII		Hemodialysis Patient-
	Kt/V data			months Included In Kt/V
	IXI V data			Greater Than Or Equal To
				1.2 Summary, Rolling
				Year (FACILITY)
CWHD_KTVge12_f	Percentage of adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult
CWID_KI vge12_1	HD Patients with	INUIII	0	Hemodialysis Patients
	Kt/V >= 1.2			With Kt/V Greater Than
	K U V >−1.2			
				Or Equal To 1.2
CWHD KTVcc12 c	Darcantage of adult	Num	8	(FACILITY) The % Of Adult
CWHD_KTVge12_s	Percentage of adult	INUIII	0	Hemodialysis Patients
	HD patients with Kt/V>=1.2			With Kt/V Greater Than
	$ \mathbf{K}U \mathbf{V} > = 1.2$			
CWIID WTW - 12	D	NT	0	Or Equal To 1.2 (STATE)
CWHD_KTVge12_u	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult
	HD Patients With			Hemodialysis Patients
	Kt/V>=1.2			With Kt/V Greater Than
				Or Equal To 1.2, Rolling
DDI/TV17_C	A 1 1/ DD IZ/X/D	CI	2	Year (US)
PDKTV17_C	Adult PD Kt/V Data	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had
	Availability Code			Sufficient Adult Peritoneal
				Dialysis Kt/V Data
				Available Or The Reason
				For Why The Data Is Not
CIVIDD IZEVI 4 C	N 1 OCA 1 I	NT	0	Available
CWPD_KTVpats_f	Number Of Adult	Num	8	The # Of Adult Peritoneal
	PD Patients With			Dialysis Patients Included
	Kt/V Data			In Kt/V Greater Than Or
				Equal To 1.7 Summary
CIMPD IZER	N 1 OCA 1 1	NT.		(FACILITY)
CWPD_KTVpm_f	Number Of Adult	Num	8	The # Of Adult Peritoneal
	PD Patient-Months			Dialysis Patient-months
	With Kt/V Data			Included In Kt/V Greater
				Than Or Equal To 1.7
CHIPD HELL 15 °	B	27		Summary (FACILITY)
CWPD_KTVge17_f	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Peritoneal
	PD Patients With			Dialysis Patients With
	Kt/V>=1.7			Kt/V Greater Than Or
CHIPD HELL 15	D 00111			Equal To 1.7 (FACILITY)
CWPD_KTVge17_s	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Peritoneal
	PD Patients With			Dialysis Patients With
	Kt/V>=1.7			Kt/V Greater Than Or

				Equal To 1.7 (STATE)
CWPD_KTVge17_u	Percentage Of Adult PD Patients With Kt/V>=1.7	Num	8	The % Of Adult Peritoneal Dialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.7 (US)
PHDKTV12_C	Pediatric HD Kt/V Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Pediatric Hemodialysis Kt/V Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
p_CWHD_KTVpats_f	Number Of Pediatric HD Patients With Kt/V Data	Num	8	The # Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients Included In Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2 Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
p_CWHD_KTVpm_f	Number Of Pediatric HD Patient-Months With Kt/V Data	Num	8	The # Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patient- months Included In Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2 Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
p_CWHD_KTVge12 _f	Percentage Of Pediatric HD Patients With Kt/V>=1.2	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
p_CWHD_KTVge12 _s	Percentage Of Pediatric HD Patients With Kt/V>=1.2	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2 (STATE)
p_CWHD_KTVge12 _u	Percentage Of Pediatric HD Patients With Kt/V>=1.2	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.2, Rolling Year (US)
PPDKTV18_C	Pediatric PD Kt/V Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Kt/V Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
p_CWPD_KTVpats_ f	Number Of Pediatric PD Patients With Kt/V Data	Num	8	The # Of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Patients Included In Kt/V

p_CWPD_KTVpm_f	Number Of Pediatric PD Patient-months With Kt/V Data	Num	8	Greater Than Or Equal To 1.8 Summary (FACILITY) The # Of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Patient-months Included
				In Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.8 Summary (FACILITY)
p_CWPD_KTVge18 _f	Percentage Of Pediatric PD Patients With Kt/V>=1.8	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.8 (FACILITY)
p_CWPD_KTVge18 _s	Percentage Of Pediatric PD Patients With Kt/V>=1.8	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.8 (STATE)
p_CWPD_KTVge18 _u	Percentage Of Pediatric PD Patients With Kt/V>=1.8	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Patients With Kt/V Greater Than Or Equal To 1.8 (US)

Table 6: nPCR

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For EQRS Based Measures
P_NPCR_PAT_F	Number Of Patients In nPCR Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's nPCR Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
P_NPCR_PM_F	Number Of Patient- Months In nPCR Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patient-months Included In The Facility's nPCR Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
PNPCR_C	nPCR Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient nPCR Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
P_NPCR_NUM_F	Percentage Of Pediatric HD	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients

	Patients With nPCR			With nPCR, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
P_NPCR_NUM_S	Percentage Of Pediatric HD Patients With nPCR In Use	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients With nPCR, Rolling Year (STATE)
P_NPCR_NUM_U	Percentage Of Pediatric HD Patients With nPCR	Num	8	The % Of Pediatric Hemodialysis Patients With nPCR, Rolling Year (US)

Table 7: Vascular Access: Standardized Fistula Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For Patient Fistula Rate Summary
PTFIST_C	Fistula Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Patient Fistula Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCSFRTEXT	Fistula Category Text	Char	20	Patient Fistula Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
SFRPATS_F	Number Of Patients Included In Fistula Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's Fistula Summary
SFR_F	Fistula Rate (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Fistula Rate As A % Of Patient-months
SFRUCL_F	Fistula Rate: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Fistula Rate As A Percentage Of Patient-months.
SFRLCL_F	Fistula Rate: Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Fistula Rate As A Percentage Of Patient-months
SFR_U	Fistula Rate (US)	Num	8	The National Fistula Rate Per 100 Patient-months
PTSFRS1	Fistula Rate - Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Fistula In Use Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSFRS2	Fistula Rate - As	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The

	Expected (STATE)			State With Fistula In Use Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTSFRS3	Fistula Rate - Worse Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Fistula In Use Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSFRU1	Fistula Rate - Better Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Fistula In Use Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTSFRU2	Fistula Rate - As Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Fistula In Use Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTSFRU3	Fistula Rate - Worse Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Fistula In Use Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

Table 8: Vascular Access: Long Term Catheter Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For EQRS Based Measures
LTCPATS_F	Number Of Patients In Long Term Catheter Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's Long Term Catheter Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
LTCPM_F	Number Of Patient- Months In Long Term Catheter Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patient-months Included In The Facility's Long Term Catheter Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
LTC_C	Long Term Catheter Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Long Term Catheter Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
LTC_F	Percentage Of Adult Patients With Long Term Catheter In Use	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients With Long Term Catheter In Use, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
LTC_S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients

	Patients With Long			With Long Term Catheter
	Term Catheter In			In Use, Rolling Year
	Use			(STATE)
LTC_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Long			With Long Term Catheter
	Term Catheter In			In Use, Rolling Year (US)
	Use			

Table 9: Mineral and Bone Disorder

Variable Name	Variable Label	Туре	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For EQRS Based Measures
HYPERCALPATS_F	Number Of Patients In Hypercalcemia Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's Hypercalcemia Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
HYPERCALPM_F	Number Of Patient- months In Hypercalcemia Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patient-months Included In The Facility's Hypercalcemia Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
HYPERCAL_C	Hypercalcemia Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Hypercalcemia Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
HYPERCAL_F	Percentage Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 Mg/dL)	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 mg/dL), Rolling Year (FACILITY)
HYPERCAL_S	Percentage Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 Mg/dL)	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 mg/dL), Rolling Year (STATE)
HYPERCAL_U	Percentage Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 Mg/dL)	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients With Hypercalcemia (Serum Calcium Greater Than 10.2 mg/dL), Rolling Year (US).
SERUMPHOSPATS	Number Of Patients	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included

_F	In Serum			In The Facility's Serum
_	Phosphorus			Phosphorus Summary
	Summary			(FACILITY)
SERUMPHOSPM_F	Number Of Patient-	Num	8	The # Of Patient-months
	months In Serum			Included In The Facility's
	Phosphorus			Serum Phosphorus
	Summary			Summary, Rolling Year
				(FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS_C	Serum Phosphorus	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had
	Data Availability			Sufficient Serum
	Code			Phosphorus Data Available
				Or The Reason For Why
				The Data Is Not Available
SERUMPHOS1_F	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Less			Less Than 3.5 mg/dL,
	Than 3.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS2_F	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 3.5-4.5 mg/dL,
	3.5-4.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS3_F	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 4.6-5.5 mg/dL,
GEDIN (DILOGA E	4.6-5.5 Mg/dL	3. 7	0	Rolling Year (FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS4_F	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 5.6-7.0 mg/dL,
CEDIMPHOC5 E	5.6-7.0 Mg/dL	NI	0	Rolling Year (FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS5_F	Percentage Of Adult Patients With Serum	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
				With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Greater Than 7.0 Mg/dL			Greater Than 7.0 mg/dL, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
SERUMPHOS1_S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
SEKUMPHOSI_S	Patients With Serum	Nulli	0	With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Less			Less Than 3.5 mg/dL,
	Than 3.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (STATE)
SERUMPHOS2 S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
SEROMI HOS2_S	Patients With Serum	TAUIII	0	With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 3.5-4.5 mg/dL,
	3.5-4.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (STATE)
SERUMPHOS3_S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum	,		With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 4.6-5.5 mg/dL,
	4.6-5.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (STATE)
SERUMPHOS4_S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
L		1	1	

	Phosphorus Between			Between 5.6-7.0 mg/dL,
	5.6-7.0 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (STATE)
SERUMPHOS5_S	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Greater			Greater Than 7.0 mg/dL,
	Than 7.0 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (STATE)
SERUMPHOS1_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Less			Less Than 3.5 mg/dL,
	Than 3.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (US)
SERUMPHOS2_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 3.5-4.5 mg/dL,
	3.5-4.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (US)
SERUMPHOS3_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 4.6-5.5 mg/dL,
	4.6-5.5 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (US)
SERUMPHOS4_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Between			Between 5.6-7.0 mg/dL,
	5.6-7.0 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (US)
SERUMPHOS5_U	Percentage Of Adult	Num	8	The % Of Adult Patients
	Patients With Serum			With Serum Phosphorus
	Phosphorus Greater			Greater Than 7.0 mg/dL,
	Than 7.0 Mg/dL			Rolling Year (US)

Table 10: Standardized Hospitalization Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SHR	SHR Date	Char	19	The Time Period For Patient Hospitalization Summary
PTHOSP_C	Patient Hospitalization Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Hospitalization Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCHOSPTEXT	Patient Hospitalization Category Text	Char	20	Patient Hospitalization Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
RDSHY4_F	Number Of Patients Included In Hospitalization	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's Hospitalization Summary

			1	1
	Summary			
SHR_RATE_F	Hospitalization Rate (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Hospitalization Rate Per 100 Patient-years
SHR_RATE_UCI_F	Hospitalization Rate: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Hospitalization Rate Per 100 Patient-years
SHR_RATE_LCI_F	Hospitalization Rate: Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Hospitalization Rate Per 100 Patient-years
OBHTRY4_U	Hospitalization Rate (US)	Num	8	The National Hospitalization Rate Per 100 Patient-years
PTHOSPS1	Hospitalizations- Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTHOSPS2	Hospitalizations- As Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTHOSPS3	Hospitalizations- Worse Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTHOSPU1	Hospitalizations- Better Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTHOSPU2	Hospitalizations- As Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTHOSPU3	Hospitalizations- Worse Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospitalizations Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

April 2024
Produced by The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center

Table 11: Standardized Hospital Readmission Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SRR	SRR Date	Char	19	The Time Period For Patient Readmission Summary
PTREAD_C	Patient Hospital Readmission Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Readmission Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCSRRTEXT	Patient Hospital Readmission Category Text	Char	20	Patient Readmission Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
INDEXY4_f	Number Of Hospitalizations Included In Hospital Readmission Summary	Num	8	The # Of Index Discharges Included In The Facility's Readmission Summary
SRR_RATE_F	Readmission Rate (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Readmission Rate As A % Of Hospital Discharges
SRR_RATE_UCI_F	Readmission Rate: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Readmission Rate As A % Of Hospital Discharges
SRR_RATE_LCI_F	Readmission Rate: Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Readmission Rate As A % Of Hospital Discharges
SRR_US_RATE	Readmission Rate (US)	Num	8	The National Readmission Rate As A % Of Hospital Discharges
PTSRRS1	Hospital Readmission - Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSRRS2	Hospital Readmission - As Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTSRRS3	Hospital Readmission - Worse Than	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized

April 2024
Produced by The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center

	Expected (STATE)			As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSRRU1	Hospital Readmission - Better Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTSRRU2	Hospital Readmission - As Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTSRRU3	Hospital Readmission - Worse Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Patient Hospital Readmission Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

Table 12: Standardized Mortality Rate

Variable Name	Variable Label	Туре	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SMR	SMR Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period
				For Patient Survival
PTSURV_C	Patient Survival Data Availability Code	Char	3	Summary Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Patient Survival Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
DFCMORTTEXT	Patient Survival Category Text	Char	20	Patient Survival Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
RDSMZ_F_MED	Number Of Patients Included In Survival Summary	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Facility's Survival Summary
SMR_RATE_F_ME D	Mortality Rate (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Mortality Rate Per 100 Patient-years
SMR_RATE_UCI_F _MED	Mortality Rate: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Mortality Rate Per 100 Patient-years
SMR_RATE_LCI_F	Mortality Rate:	Num	8	The Lower Confidence
_MED	Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)			Limit (2.5%) For Mortality Rate Per 100 Patient-years
OBDRZ_U_MED	Mortality Rate (US)	Num	8	The National Mortality

				Rate Per 100 Patient-years
PTSURVS1	Survival- Better	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Than Expected			State With Patient Deaths
	(STATE)			Categorized As "Better
				Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSURVS2	Survival- As	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Expected (STATE)			State With Patient Deaths
				Categorized As "As
				Expected" (STATE)
PTSURVS3	Survival- Worse	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Than Expected			State With Patient Deaths
	(STATE)			Categorized As "Worse
				Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSURVU1	Survival- Better	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Than Expected (US)			Nation With Patient Deaths
				Categorized As "Better
				Than Expected" (US)
PTSURVU2	Survival- As	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Expected (US)			Nation With Patient Deaths
				Categorized As "As
				Expected" (US)
PTSURVU3	Survival- Worse	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The
	Than Expected (US)			Nation With Patient Deaths
				Categorized As "Worse
				Than Expected" (US)

Table 13: Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio for Incident Dialysis Patients

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SWR	SWR DATE	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For Patient Transplant Waitlist Summary
DFCSWRTEXT	SWR Category Text	Char	20	Patient Transplant Waitlist Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
PTSWR_C	Patient Transplant Waitlist Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Patient Transplant Waitlist Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
SWR_CHIZ_F	95% C.I. (Upper	Num	8	The Upper Confidence

	Limit) For SWR			Limit (97.5%) For Transplant Waitlist Ratio
SWR_CLOZ_F	95% C.I. (Lower Limit) For SWR	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Transplant Waitlist Ratio
SWR_PTZ_F	Number Of Patients In This Facility For SWR	Num	8	The Number Of Patients In This Facility For Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio
SWRZ_F	Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio	Num	8	Facility Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio
SWRZ_U	Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio (US)	Num	8	National Standardized First Kidney Transplant Waitlist Ratio
PTSWRS1	Incident Patients Transplant Waitlisting- Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Incident Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSWRS2	Incident Patients Transplant Waitlisting - As Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Incident Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTSWRS3	Incident Patients Transplant Waitlisting - Worse Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Incident Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSWRU1	Incident Patients Transplant Waitlisting - Better Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Incident Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTSWRU2	Incident Patients Transplant Waitlisting - As Expected (US)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The Nation With Incident Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTSWRU3	Incident Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In

Transplant	The Nation With
Waitlisting -	Incident Patient
Worse Than	Waitlisting Categorized
Expected (US)	As "Worse Than
	Expected" (US)

Table 14: Percentage of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_EQRS	EQRS Date	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For EQRS Based Measures.
DFCPPPWTEXT	PPPW Category Text	Char	20	Prevalent Patient Transplant Waitlist Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
PTPPPW_C	Patient Prevalent Transplant Waitlist Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Prevalent Patient Transplant Waitlist Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
PPPW_CHI_F	95% C.I. (Upper Limit) For PPPW	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) For Prevalent Transplant Waitlist Percentage
PPPW_CLO_F	95% C.I. (Lower Limit) For PPPW	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) For Prevalent Transplant Waitlist Percentage
PPPW_PT_F	Number Of Patients For PPPW	Num	8	The # Of Patients For PPPW
PPPW_F	Percentage Of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted	Num	8	% Of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (FACILITY)
PPPW_U	Percentage Of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (US)	Num	8	% Of Prevalent Patients Waitlisted (US)
PTPPPWS1	Prevalent Patients Transplant Waitlisting- Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In The State With Prevalent Patient Waitlisting Categorized As "Better Than

April 2024 Page 28 of 35

				Expected" (STATE)
PTPPPWS2	Prevalent Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In
	Transplant			The State With
	Waitlisting - As			Prevalent Patient
	Expected			Waitlisting Categorized
	(STATE)			As "As Expected"
				(STATE)
PTPPPWS3	Prevalent Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In
	Transplant			The State With
	Waitlisting -			Prevalent Patient
	Worse Than			Waitlisting Categorized
	Expected			As "Worse Than
	(STATE)			Expected" (STATE)
PTPPPWU1	Prevalent Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In
	Transplant			The Nation With
	Waitlisting -			Prevalent Patient
	Better Than			Waitlisting Categorized
	Expected (US)			As "Better Than
				Expected" (US)
PTPPPWU2	Prevalent Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In
	Transplant			The Nation With
	Waitlisting - As			Prevalent Patient
	Expected (US)			Waitlisting Categorized
				As "As Expected" (US)
PTPPPWU3	Prevalent Patients	Num	8	The # Of Facilities In
	Transplant			The Nation With
	Waitlisting -			Prevalent Patient
	Worse Than			Waitlisting Categorized
	Expected (US)			As "Worse Than
				Expected" (US)

Table 15: Standardized Emergency Department Encounter Ratio (SEDR)

Variable Name	Variable Label	Туре	Max. Length	Description
DATE_SEDR	SEDR Date	Char	19	The Time Period for SEDR Summary
PTSEDR_C	SEDR Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether the Facility Had Sufficient ED Data Available or the Reason for Why the Data is Not Available
DFCSEDRTEXT	SEDR Category Text	Char	20	SEDR Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
RDSEY4_F	Number Of Patients	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included

	Included In SEDR			In The Facility's SEDR
	Summary			Summary
SEDRY4_F	Standardized ED	Num	8	The Facility's Standardized
	Ratio (FACILITY)			Emergency Dept. Ratio
CHICHEDY4_F	SEDR: Upper	Num	8	The Upper Confidence
	Confidence Limit			Limit (97.5%) for the
	(97.5%)			Standardized Emergency
				Dept. Ratio
CLOCHEDY4_F	SEDR: Lower	Num	8	The Lower Confidence
	Confidence Limit			Limit (2.5%) for the
	(2.5%)			Standardized Emergency
				Dept. Ratio
SEDRY4_U	Standardized ED	Num	8	The National Standardized
	Ratio (US)			Emergency Dept. Ratio
PTSEDRS1	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the
	Ratio - Better Than			State with SEDR
	Expected (STATE)			Categorized as "Better
				Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSEDRS2	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the
	Ratio - As Expected			State with SEDR
	(STATE)			Categorized as "As
	(21112)			Expected" (STATE)
PTSEDRS3	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the
	Ratio - Worse Than			State with SEDR
	Expected (STATE)			Categorized as "Worse
				Than Expected" (STATE)
PTSEDRU1	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The
	Ratio - Better Than			Nation with SEDR
	Expected (US)			Categorized as "Better
				Than Expected" (US)
PTSEDRU2	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The
	Ratio - As Expected			Nation with SEDR
	(US)			Categorized as "As
				Expected" (US)
PTSEDRU3	Standardized ED	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The
	Ratio - Worse Than			Nation with SEDR
	Expected (US)			Categorized as "Worse
	• ` ` ′			Than Expected" (US)

Table 16: Standardized Emergency Department Encounter Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge (ED30)

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max.	Description
			Length	

DATE_ED	ED30 Date	Char	19	The Time Period For ED30 Summary
PTED_C	ED30 Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether the Facility had Sufficient ED Data Available or the Reason for Why the Data is Not Available
DFCEDTEXT	ED30 Category Text	Char	20	ED30 Category (Better, Worse, Or As Expected)
ED30INDEXY4_f	Number of Hospitalization Discharges Included in ED30 Summary	Num	8	The # of Index Discharges Included in the Facility's ED30 Summary
ED30Y4_F	Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge (FACILITY)	Num	8	The Facility's Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge
ED30UCLY4_F	ED30: Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	Num	8	The Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%) for Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge
ED30LCLY4_F	ED30: Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	Num	8	The Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%) for Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge
ED30Y4_U	Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge (US)	Num	8	The National Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge
PTEDS1	ED30 - Better Than Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the State with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (STATE)
PTEDS2	ED30 - As Expected (STATE)	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the State with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "As Expected" (STATE)
PTEDS3	ED30 - Worse Than	Num	8	The # of Facilities in the

	Expected (STATE)			State with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (STATE)
PTEDU1	ED30 - Better Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The Nation with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "Better Than Expected" (US)
PTEDU2	ED30 - As Expected (US)	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The Nation with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "As Expected" (US)
PTEDU3	ED30 - Worse Than Expected (US)	Num	8	The # of Facilities in The Nation with Standardized ED Ratio Occurring within 30 Days of Hospital Discharge Categorized As "Worse Than Expected" (US)

Table 17: Healthcare Personnel COVID-19 Vaccination

Variable Name	Variable Label	Type	Max. Length	Description
DATE_VAX	HCP Vaccination Data Collection Dates	Char	19	The Data Collection Period For COVID-19 Vaccination Adherence Measure
VAX_C	HCP Vaccination Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient HCP Vaccination Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
VAX_F	Healthcare worker COVID-19 vaccination adherence percentage	Num	8	The % Of Healthcare Personnel Adherent With COVID-19 Vaccination (FACILITY)
VAX_S	Healthcare worker COVID-19	Num	8	The % Of Healthcare Personnel Adherent With

	vaccination adherence percentage			COVID-19 Vaccination (STATE)
VAX_U	Healthcare worker COVID-19 vaccination adherence percentage	Num	8	The % Of Healthcare Personnel Adherent With COVID-19 Vaccination (US)

Table 18: Hemoglobin

HGBRD_F	Claims Date	Char	19	
_ I				The Data Collection Period For Claims-Based Summaries
	Number Of Dialysis Patients With Hgb Data	Num	8	The # Of Patients Included In The Hemoglobin (Hgb) Greater Than 12.0 g/dL Summary, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
_	HGB<10 Data Availability Code	Char	3	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Hemoglobin (Hgb) Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available
	Percentage Of Medicare Patients With Hgb<10 g/dL	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Less Than 10.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
_ I	Percentage Of Patients With Hgb<10 g/dL	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Less Than 10.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (STATE)
I	Percentage Of Patients With Hgb<10 g/dL	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Less Than 10.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (US)
	Hgb > 12 Data Availability Code Percentage of	Char	8	Whether The Facility Had Sufficient Hemoglobin (Hgb) Data Available Or The Reason For Why The Data Is Not Available The % Of Patients Who

April 2024 Page 33 of 35

	Medicare patients with Hgb>12 g/dL			Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Greater Than 12.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (FACILITY)
HGBG12_S	Percentage of patients with Hgb>12 g/dL	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Greater Than 12.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (STATE)
HGBG12_U	Percentage of patients with Hgb>12 g/dL	Num	8	The % Of Patients Who Had Average Hemoglobin (Hgb) Greater Than 12.0 g/dL, Rolling Year (US)

Table 19: Data Availability Codes

Code "001" indicates data is available and therefore there is not a footnote associated with this data availability code.

	Data Availability Code	Footnote Number	Footnote Text	Measure
Data Available	"001"	n/a	n/a	All Measures
Data Not Available	"101"	1	Too few completed survey responses to report.	ICH CAHPS Measures
Data Not Available	"102"	2	Survey data not available for this reporting period.	ICH CAHPS Measures
Data Not Available	"103"	3	The survey was not administered because the facility did not serve enough surveyeligible patients.	ICH CAHPS Measures
Data Not Available	"199"	4	Not enough patients to report on this measure. Call the dialysis center to discuss this measure.	All Measures
Data Not Available	"201"	5	Data not reported. Call the dialysis center to discuss this quality measure.	All Measures
Data Not Available	"255"	6	Medicare determined that the percentage reported was not accurate.	All Measures
Data Not Available	"256"	7	The dialysis center does not provide hemodialysis during the reporting period.	Vascular Access Measures/

April 2024 Page 34 of 35

				Adult HD Kt/V
Data Not Available	"257"	8	The dialysis center does not provide peritoneal dialysis during the reporting period.	Adult PD Kt/V
Data Not Available	"258"	9	The dialysis center was not open long enough to supply sufficient measure data.	All Measures
Data Not Available	"259"	10	The dialysis center does not provide hemodialysis and/or peritoneal dialysis to pediatric patients during the reporting period.	All Pediatric Measures
Data Not Available	"260"	11	Not enough quality measure data to calculate a star rating.	Star Rating
Data Not Available	"261"	12	Medicare determined that at least one measure included in the star rating calculation was not accurate for this dialysis center.	Star Rating
Data Not Available	"270"	13	Data suppressed by Medicare. Dialysis center was affected by a natural disaster during the partial or entire reporting period.	All Measures and Star Rating

April 2024