Haskell palindrome(L):- rev(L, L). len [] acc = 0 len (x:xs) acc = len xs (+ acc 1) delete(X, L, Result) :- concat(L1, [X|L2], L), concat(L1, L2, Result). concat [] I = I concat (x:xs) I = (x:(concat xs I)) $member(X, [X|_]).$ member(X, [_|Tail]) :- member(X, rev [] = [] rev(x:xs) = (rev xs) ++ [x]nonmember(X, []). nonmember(X, [_|Tail]) :member $x \mid = if empty(1)$ then false nonmember(X, Tail). else if x == head(I) then true else member x tail(I) sublist(B, ABC) :member x [] = False concat(AB, C, ABC), member x (y:_) = True concat(A, B, AB). member x (y:ys) = member x ys// order constraints on colour // generate list of ints from 1 to n immediate after selecting colours ints 0 = [] to improve efficiency as follows ints 1 = [1]map(A, B, C, D) :colour(A), colour(B), A \= B, int $n \mid n > 1 = (ints (n-1)) ++ [n]$ colour(C), A \= C, B \= C, colour(D), D \= C, D \= B filter $f \Pi = \Pi$ filter f(x:xs) | (fx) = x : (filter fxs)// backtracks sooner (once any filter' $f I = [x \mid x \le I, f x]$ difs are violated) mapBetter(A, B, C, D) :dif(A, B), dif(A, C), dif(B, C),sums [] [] = [] sums (x:xs)(y:ys) = (x + y): dif(D, B), dif(D, C),(sums xs ys) color(A), color(B), color(C), color(D). sieve $(x:xs) = x : (filter (\y -> y)$ 'mod' $x \neq 0$ xs) insert(X,[Y|T],[Y|NT]):sieve $(x:xs) = x : (sieve (filter (\y -$ X > Y $> v \mod x = 0 xs$ insert(X,T,NT). insert(X,[Y|T],[X,Y|T]) :-// call as sieve [2..] X = < Y**PROLOG** insert(X,[],[X]).X is 2 + 2: is means equals Can use greater than, less than is_sorted([]). is_sorted([_]). Multiplication is * + equals not equal + (3 = 10) is_sorted([X,Y|T]):- $\overline{X} = < Y$ returns true 5+4 =:= 4+5 checks for same is_sorted([Y|T]). answer 5+4 =\= checks for inequality quicksort([], []). or operator is the semicolon; quicksort([Head|Tail], Sorted) :-// is int division partition(Head, Tail, L1, L2), quicksort(L1, Sorted1), quicksort(L2, Sorted2), concat([], L, L). concat([X|Xs], L2, [X|L3]) :concat(Sorted1, [Head] concat(Xs, L2, L3). Sorted21). prefix(L1, L3):- concat(L, L, L3). partition(Head, [], [], []). suffix(S, L):- concat(P, S, L). partition(Head, [X|Tail], [X|L], G) :-X = < Head.thrice(L, L3):- concat(L, L, L2), partition(Head, Tail, L, G). partition(Head, [X|Tail], L, [X|G]) :concat(L, L2, L3). X > Head. partition(Head, Tail, L, G). rev([X|L], R) :- rev(L, R2),concat(R2, [X], R). alldif([]).

alldif([_]).

```
% father/mother/ancestor/
parent(X,Y) is true if X is a father/
mother/ancestor/parent of Y
parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y).
parent(X, Y):- mother(X, Y).
ancestor(X,Z):-parent(X,Y),
parent(Y,Z).
ancestor(X,Z):-parent(X,Y),
ancestor(Y,Z).
son(X,Y):- parent(Y,X), male(X).
daughter(X,Y) :- parent(Y,X),
female(X).
sibling(X,Y) :- parent(Z,X),
parent(Z,Y), X = Y.
cousin(X,Y):- parent(U,X),
parent(V,Y), sibling(U,V).
<u>CSP</u>
No shared memory
Synchronous, unbuffered,
unidirectional (holds only 1 item),
1:1 channels
send: c!v (sends v through c)
receive: c?v (receive v through c)
<-c (receive on c in Go)
c<- (send through c in Go)
Channels are first class (can send
channels over channels)
Uni/Multidirectional, un/buffered,
many: many channels
c := make(chan int, buffer_size)
i := <- c // receive into i from
channel c
c <- i // send i into channel c
Go compiler gc built in C released
in 2009 then rewritten in Go in
2015 for Go v1.5. Bootstrapping
improved security (no longer
relied on insecure C pointers)
Original compiler only targeted 4
architectures. GccGo (front end
for GCC) in 2011 allowed 45
architectures.
General Concepts
Lazy evaluation (call by name):
expressions only evaluated when
absolutely necessary, allows
infinite data structures
Non strict evaluation order: left to
right, like in lambda calculus.
Strict is normal BEDMAS.
```

alldif([X1, X2 | Xs]):-

dif(X1, X2),

alldif([X2|Xs]),

alldif([X1|Xs]).

```
Higher order function: takes a
function as parameter(s) and/or
returns a function as result
```

Activation records (stack frames): implement recursion, manage local variables Tail recursion allows the compiler to use jmp instructions rather than expensive stack frames

Static typing: type determined at compile time. Noncompliant programs are rejected. Dynamic typing: types determined at run time. Can potentially cause runtime errors.

Static binding (lexical scoping): free variables are bound to outer blocks (static chaining looks at enclosing blocks until variable can be resolved).

Dynamic binding: free variables are bound to most recently used versions in control flow. Dynamic chaining refers to caller. Ex: p() { var i; q(); } q() { i // same i as i in p }

Recursion implementation: static points at original call. dynamic points are record immediately before.

Compiler architecture

Lexical analysis: Initial part of reading and analyzing program text: text is read and divided into tokens, each of which corresponds to symbol in the programming language Syntax analysis: takes list of tokens and arranges into a tree structure (syntax tree) - often called parsing Type checking: analyze the syntax tree to determine if the program violates certain consistency requirements (e.g. variable used but not declared) Intermediate code generation: program translated to a simple machine-independent intermediate language Register allocation: symbolic variable names used in the intermediate code are translated to numbers, each of which corresponds to a register in the target machine code Machine code generation: intermediate language is translated to assembly language

(textual representation of machine code) for a specific machine architecture Assembly and linking: assemblylanguage code is translated into binary representation and addresses of variables, functions, etc., are determined First 3 phases = front end of compiler, last 3 phases = backend

Variable lifetime:

static: variable lifetime == program lifetime dynamic: allocated and freed by programmer at any time. stored in heap. automatic: push/pop stack memory: [stack -> <- heap | global (static)].

Type equivalence:

name equivalence: types are same if they have same name (i.e. two struct types a and b both made of same composition are not equal) structural equivalence: types are same if they are composed of same base types (i.e. two differently named structs can be equal)

Type coercion: implicitly

Ex: 5 + 4.0 -> 5.0 + 4.0 Type conversion: same but explicit. Ex: $(float)5 + 4.0 \rightarrow 5.0 + 4.0$

substitute an object of one type

for another with same value.

Non-converting type cast: change type, but keep bit pattern (reinterprets value)

Polymorphism: only one implementation of function required for inputs of different types (type determined at runtime decides interpreter behaviour). Fundamentally unification.

Concurrency issues: shared memory - race conditions (solution: lock critical section to ensure mutual exclusion), spin lock (busy waiting), semaphores, mutexes, message based communicating processes (channels)

Synchronous: you know for sure when data will arrive, but must wait for blocking tasks.

Asynchronous: don't have to wait for blocking processes to complete, but can't rely on data arrival.

Type inference Go vs. Haskell: Go is always right to left (and only available in using :=). Haskell uses unification to infer

FSMs: boxes represent state, arrows represent transitions (events occurring). Remember to clearly indicate starting and terminating states.

Message passing: Erlang, Go First class functions: JavaScript, Haskell

Simula

First OO language

Fortran

1950's IBM team by John Backus "Made up language as they went along" - seriously flawed Quicker and more reliable development, less machine dependence since register and machine instructions are abstracted away Became standard language in science and engineering and is only now being replaced by other languages

Cobol and PL/I

Cobol language developed on the 1950's for business data processing Designed by a committee of US Department of Defense reps, computer manufacturers and commercial organizations such as insurance companies Supposed to be a short-range solution until a better design could be created-instead became the most widespread language in its field -> good for simple calculations on vast numbers of complex data records (why it was good for business) IBM later created the language PL/I: had all features of Fortran, Cobol and Algol and has now replaced Fortran and Cobol on many IBM computers

Originally designed by an international team for general and scientific applications

First published 1958 - revised version=Algol 60 was extensively used in computer science research and implemented on many computers, 3rd version wasn't very popular First to do BNF Two languages derived from Algol are Jovial (used by US Air Force for real-time systems) and Simula (one of first simulation languages)--- most famous descendant is Pascal (wanted to create a language that could be used to demonstrate ideas about type declarations and type checking)

Pascal

Advantage: original Pascal compiler was written in Pascal and thus could easily be ported to any computer Disadvantage: Pascal language is too small- the standard language has no facilities whatsoever for dividing a program into modules on separate files, and thus cannot be used for programs larger than several thousand lines Wirth recognized that modules were an essential part of any practical language and developed the Modula language

Developed by Dennis Ritchie of Bell Laboratories in early 1970's as an implementation language for the UNIX operating system Operating systems were written in assembly language because thought that high level languages were too complex Designed to be close to assembly language (extremely flexible) Easy to write programs with bugs because unsafe constructs are not checked by the compiler as they would be in Pascal. Standardized in 1989 by ANSI

<u>C++</u>

Created by Bjarne Stroustrup from Bell Laboratories in 1980's: used C as basis of C++ Extended C to include support for object-oriented programming similar to that provided by the Simula language

<u>Ada</u>

Based on Pascal 1977- US DoD standardized it

Different because Ada subject to intense review and criticism before standardization (instead of being standardized after) Designed to support writing portable programs Supports error handling and concurrent programming which are traditionally left to (nonstandard) OS functions Difficult language because it supports many aspects of programming that other languages leave to the operating system

Ada 95

Published in 1983 Support for true object-oriented programming including inheritance

Data-oriented Languages:

Lisp

Basic data structure is linked list Much work on AI was carried out in Lisp

Common Lisp language later developed to enable programs to be ported from one computer to another - a popular dialect of Lisp is CLOS which supports objectoriented programming 3 elementary operations of Lisp

car(L) and cdr(L) which extract the head and tail of a list L. respectively

cons(E, L) which creates a new list from an element E and an existing list L

APL

Basic data structures are vectors and matrices – operations work directly on such structures without loops Requires a special terminal

Difficult to experiment with APL w/ o investing in costly hardware

Snobol, Icon

Basic data structure is the string In Snobol, the basic operation is matching a pattern to a string and as a side effect of the match, the string can be decomposed into substrings In Icon, the basic operation is expression evaluation where expressions include complex string operations - also has backtracking

SETL

Basic data structure is the set Programs created resemble logic programs Notation used is that of set theory: $\{x \mid p(x)\}$

Call by name: same as normal order reduction (always reduces the leftmost outer most beta redex first) except that no redex in a lambda expression that lies within an abstraction (within a function body) is reduced. An actual parameter is passed as an unevaluated expression that is evaluated in the body of the function being executed each time the corresponding formal parameter is referenced. Normal order is ensured by choosing the leftmost redex, which will always be an outermost one with an unevaluated operand Call by value: same as applicative order reduction (always reduces the left most inner most beta redex first) except no redex in a lambda expression that lies within an abstraction is reduced. This restriction corresponds to the principle that the body of a function is not evaluated until the function is called (in a betareduction). Applicative order means the argument to a function is evaluated before the function is applied.

Explicit polymorphism:

parametrization is obtained by explicit type parameters in procedure headings, and corresponding explicit applications of type arguments when procedures are called (having parameters of type type) Implicit polymorphism: type parameters and type applications are not admitted, but types can contain type variables which are unknown, yet to be determined, types

modus ponens: If a knowledge base contains a rule head :- body. and Prolog knows that body follows from the information in the knowledge base, then Prolog can infer head.

