Here we will learn about trees, bagged trees and random forests. You can use the YARF package if it works, otherwise, use the randomForest package (the standard). Let's take a look at the simulated sine curve data from practice lecture 12. Below is the code for the data generating process: rm(list = ls())n = 500sigma = 0.3x min = 0x max = 10 $f x = function(x) \{sin(x)\}$ $y_x = function(x, sigma) \{f_x(x) + rnorm(n, 0, sigma)\}$ x_train = runif(n, x_min, x_max) y_train = y_x(x_train, sigma) Plot an example dataset of size 500: pacman::p load(ggplot2) ggplot(data.frame(x = x train, y = y train)) +geom point(aes(x = x, y = y)) -1 -2.5 7.5 10.0 0.0 5.0 Χ Create a test set of size 500 as well x test = runif(n, x min, x max) $y_{test} = y_{x}(x_{test}, sigma)$ Locate the optimal node size hyperparameter for the regression tree model. I believe you can use randomForest here by setting ntree = 1, replace = FALSE, sampsize = n (mtry is already set to be 1 because there is only one feature) and then you can set nodesize. Plot nodesize by out of sample se. pacman::p_load(randomForest) node_sizes = 1:n se_by_node_sizes = array(NA, length(node_sizes)) for (i in 1:length(node_sizes)) { $rf_{mod} = randomForest(x = data.frame(x = x_train), y = y_train, ntree = 1, replace = FALSE, sampsize = n, nodes$ ize = node_sizes[i]) y_hat_test = predict(rf_mod, data.frame(x = x_test)) se_by_node_sizes[i] = sd(y_test - y_hat_test) ggplot(data.frame(x = node_sizes, y = se_by_node_sizes)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y)) +$ scale_x_reverse() 0.6 -> 0.50.4 -0.3 -500 400 300 200 100 Χ which.min(se_by_node_sizes) ## [1] 33 Plot the regression tree model with the optimal node size. $rf_{mod} = randomForest(x = data.frame(x = x_train), y = y_train, ntree = 1, replace = FALSE, sampsize = n, nodesiz$ e = node_sizes[which.min(se_by_node_sizes)]) resolution = 0.01 $x_{grid} = seq(from = x_{min}, to = x_{max}, by = resolution)$ g x = predict(rf mod, data.frame(x = x grid)) $ggplot(data.frame(x = x_grid, y = g_x)) +$ aes(x = x, y = y) +geom_point(data = data.frame(x = x_train, y = y_train)) + geom_point(col = "blue") Provide the bias-variance decomposition of this DGP fit with this model. It is a lot of code, but it is in the practice lectures. If your three numbers don't add up within two significant digits, increase your resolution. #TO-DO rm(list = ls())Take a sample of n = 2000 observations from the diamonds data. pacman::p_load(dplyr) diamond_samp = diamonds %>% sample_n(2000) find the oob s_e for a RF model using 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000 trees. If you are using the randomForest package, you can calculate oob residuals via e_oob = y_train - rf_mod\$predicted . Plot it. $num_trees = c(1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000)$ oob_se_by_num_trees = array(NA, length(num_trees)) for(i in 1:length(num_trees)) { rf_mod = randomForest(price ~ ., data = diamond_samp, ntree = num_trees[i]) oob_se_by_num_trees[i] = sd(diamond_samp\$price - rf_mod\$predicted) ggplot(data.frame(x = num_trees, y = oob_se_by_num_trees)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y))$ ## Warning: Removed 4 row(s) containing missing values (geom path). 875 **-**850 **-**>825 **-**800 -775 **-**500 250 750 1000 Χ Using the diamonds data, find the oob s_e for a bagged-tree model using 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000 trees. If you are using the randomForest package, you can create the bagged tree model via setting an argument within the RF constructor function. $num_trees = c(1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000)$ oob_se_by_num_trees_bag = array(NA, length(num_trees)) for(i in 1:length(num_trees)) { rf mod = randomForest(price ~ ., data = diamond samp, ntree = num trees[i], mtry = ncol(diamond samp) - 1) oob se by num trees bag[i] = sd(diamond samp\$price - rf mod\$predicted) ggplot(data.frame(x = num_trees, y = oob_se_by_num_trees_bag)) + geom line(aes(x = x, y = y)) ## Warning: Removed 4 row(s) containing missing values (geom_path). 760 **-**750 **-**> 740 -730 **-**720 **-**250 500 750 1000 Χ What is the percentage gain / loss in performance of the RF model vs bagged trees model? (oob_se_by_num_trees - oob_se_by_num_trees_bag) / oob_se_by_num_trees_bag * 100 ## [1] NA NANA NA 15.990819 10.579788 12.067772 ## [8] 8.525663 9.941660 10.341749 8.602136 9.850390 9.505604 9.125902 Plot bootstrap s_e by number of trees for both RF and bagged trees. ggplot(rbind(data.frame(num_trees = num_trees, value = oob_se_by_num_trees, model = "RF"), data.frame(num_trees = num_trees, value = oob_se_by_num_trees_bag, model = "BAG"))) + geom_line(aes(x = num_trees, y = value, color = model)) ## Warning: Removed 8 row(s) containing missing values (geom_path). 850 model value - 008 — BAG - RF 750 **-**750 1000 250 500 0 num_trees Build RF models for 500 trees using different mtry values: 1, 2, ... the maximum. That maximum will be the number of features assuming that we do not binarize categorical features if you are using randomForest or the number of features assuming binarization of the categorical features if you are using YARF. Calculate bootstrap s_e for all mtry values. mtrys = 1 : (ncol(diamond_samp) - 1) oob_se_by_mtrys = array(NA, length(mtrys)) for(i in 1:length(mtrys)) { rf_mod = randomForest(price ~ ., data = diamond_samp, mtry = mtrys[i]) oob_se_by_mtrys[i] = sd(diamond_samp\$price - rf_mod\$predicted) Plot bootstrap s_e by mtry. ggplot(data.frame(x = mtrys, y = oob_se_by_mtrys)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y))$ 1000 -900 ->800 -700 -7.5 2.5 5.0 Χ rm(list = ls())Take a sample of n = 2000 observations from the adult data. pacman::p_load_gh("coatless/ucidata") data(adult) adult = na.omit(adult) adult_samp = adult %>% sample_n(2000) Using the adult data, find the oob misclassification error for an RF model using 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000 trees. $num_trees = c(1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000)$ oob_me_by_num_trees = array(NA, length(num_trees)) for(i in 1:length(num_trees)) { rf_mod = randomForest(income ~ ., data = adult_samp, ntree = num_trees[i]) oob_me_by_num_trees[i] = mean(adult_samp\$income != rf_mod\$predicted) ggplot(data.frame(x = num_trees, y = oob_me_by_num_trees)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y))$ ## Warning: Removed 4 row(s) containing missing values (geom_path). 0.165 -0.160 -0.155 **-**0.150 -250 750 500 1000 Χ Using the adult data, find the oob misclassification error for a bagged-tree model using 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 1000 trees. oob me by num trees bag = array(NA, length(num trees)) for(i in 1:length(num trees)) { rf_mod = randomForest(income ~ ., data = adult_samp, ntree = num_trees[i], mtry = ncol(adult_samp) - 1) oob_me_by_num_trees_bag[i] = mean(adult_samp\$income != rf_mod\$predicted) ggplot(data.frame(x = num_trees, y = oob_me_by_num_trees_bag)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y))$ ## Warning: Removed 4 row(s) containing missing values (geom_path). 0.165 **-**0.160 ->0.155 **-**0.150 -250 750 500 1000 Χ What is the percentage gain / loss in performance of the RF model vs bagged trees model? (oob_me_by_num_trees - oob_me_by_num_trees_bag) / oob_me_by_num_trees_bag * 100 NA 1.8072289 1.5822785 ## [7] 5.0314465 2.8571429 -0.3205128 -0.3300330 1.3513514 -0.3333333 ## [13] -0.6514658 0.6622517 Plot bootstrap misclassification error by number of trees for both RF and bagged trees. ggplot(rbind(data.frame(num_trees = num_trees, value = oob_me_by_num_trees, model = "RF"), data.frame(num_trees = num_trees, value = oob_me_by_num_trees_bag, model = "BAG"))) + geom_line(aes(x = num_trees, y = value, color = model)) ## Warning: Removed 8 row(s) containing missing values (geom path). 0.170 -0.165 -0.160 model value — BAG - RF 0.155 **-**0.150 -500 750 250 1000 num_trees Build RF models for 500 trees using different mtry values: 1, 2, ... the maximum (see above as maximum is defined by the specific RF algorithm implementation). mtrys = 1 : (ncol(adult_samp) - 1) oob_me_by_mtrys = array(NA, length(mtrys)) for(i in 1:length(mtrys)) { rf_mod = randomForest(income ~ ., data = adult_samp, mtry = mtrys[i]) oob_me_by_mtrys[i] = mean(adult_samp\$income != rf_mod\$predicted) Plot bootstrap misclassification error by mtry. ggplot(data.frame(x = mtrys, y = oob_me_by_mtrys)) + $geom_line(aes(x = x, y = y))$ 0.159 -0.156 ->0.153 **-**0.150 -10 Χ rm(list = ls())Write a function random_bagged_ols which takes as its arguments x and y with further arguments num_ols_models defaulted to 100 and mtry defaulted to NULL which then gets set within the function to be 50% of available features. This argument builds an OLS on a bootstrap sample of the data and uses only mtry < p of the available features. The function then returns all the lm models as a list with size num_ols_models. random_bagged_ols = function(X, y, num_ols_models = 100, mtry = NULL) { bagged_list = list() col_names = colnames(X) for (i in 1 : num_ols_models) { mtry = sample(col_names, floor((ncol(X) - 1) / 2)) $mod = lm(y \sim ., data = X[,mtry])$ bagged_list[[i]] = mod bagged_list Load up the Boston Housing Data and separate into x and y. pacman :: p_load(MASS) data(Boston) X = Bostony = Boston\$medv X\$medv = NULLSimilar to lab 1, write a function that takes a matrix and punches holes (i.e. sets entries equal to NA) randomly with an argument prob_missing. random_holes = function(X, prob_missing) { n = nrow(X)p = ncol(X)M = matrix(rbinom(n * p, 1, prob_missing), nrow = n, ncol = p) X[M == 1] = NAΧ Create a matrix xmiss which is x but has missingness with probability of 10%. Xmiss = random_holes(X, .10) Use a random forest modeling procedure to iteratively fill in the NA's by predicting each feature of X using every other feature of X. You need to start by filling in the holes to use RF. So fill them in with the average of the feature. #unsure

Lab 9

Michael Velez

11:59PM May 10, 2021