```
between the two columns.
 norm_vec = function(v){
   sqrt(sum(v^2))
 X <- matrix(1:1, nrow=2,ncol=2)</pre>
 X[,2] = rnorm(2)
 cos\_theta = t(X[,1])%*%X[,2]/(norm\_vec(X[,1])*norm\_vec(X[,2]))
 cos_theta
               [,1]
 ## [1,] 0.4941345
 abs(90 - acos(cos_theta)*180/pi)
              [,1]
 ## [1,] 29.61269
Repeat this exercise Nsim = 1e5 times and report the average absolute angle.
 Nsim = 1e5
 angles = array(NA, Nsim)
 for(i in 1:Nsim){
  X \leftarrow matrix(1:1, nrow=2, ncol=2)
 X[,2] = rnorm(2)
 cos\_theta = t(X[,1])%*%X[,2]/(norm\_vec(X[,1])*norm\_vec(X[,2]))
 angles[i] = abs(90 - acos(cos_theta)*180/pi)
 mean(angles)
 ## [1] 44.97959
Create a 2xn matrix with the first column 1's and the next column iid normals. Find the absolute value of the angle (in degrees, not radians)
between the two columns. For n = 10, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, report the average absolute angle over Nsim = 1e5 simulations.
 N_s = c(2,5,10, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000)
 angles = matrix(NA, nrow = Nsim, ncol = length(N s))
 for(j in 1:length(N_s)){
     for(i in 1:Nsim){
       X = matrix(1, nrow = N_s[j], ncol = 2)
       X[,2] = rnorm(N_s[j])
       cos\_theta = t(X[,1]%*%X[,2]) / (norm\_vec(X[,1])*norm\_vec(X[,2]))
       angles[i,j] = abs(90 - acos(cos theta)*180/pi)
 colMeans(angles)
 ## [1] 44.957232 23.113967 15.367268 6.525971 4.597959 3.245295 2.043184
 ## [8] 1.443274
What is this absolute angle converging to? Why does this make sense?
The absolute angle difference from ninety is converging to zero. This makes sense because in a high dimensional space random direction in
orthogonal.
Create a vector y by simulating n = 100 standard iid normals. Create a matrix of size 100 x 2 and populate the first column by all ones (for the
intercept) and the second column by 100 standard iid normals. Find the R^2 of an OLS regression of y ~ x . Use matrix algebra.
 n = 100
 X = cbind(1, rnorm(n))
 y = rnorm(n)
 H = X % % solve((t(X) % % X)) % % t(X)
 y_hat = H %*% y
 y_bar = mean(y)
 SSR = sum((y_hat - y_bar)^2)
 SST = sum((y - y_bar)^2)
 Rsq = (SSR/SST)
 Rsq
 ## [1] 0.000431855
Write a for loop to each time bind a new column of 100 standard iid normals to the matrix X and find the R^2 each time until the number of
columns is 100. Create a vector to save all R^2's. What happened??
 Rsq_s = array(NA, dim = n - 2)
 for(j in 1:(n - 2)){
   X = cbind(X, rnorm(n))
   H = X %*% solve((t(X) %*% X)) %*% t(X)
   y_hat = H %*% y
   y_bar = mean(y)
   SSR = sum((y hat - y bar)^2)
   SST = sum((y - y bar)^2)
   Rsq s[j] = (SSR/SST)
 Rsq_s
 ## [1] 0.0004347337 0.0029237298 0.0058626515 0.0130846760 0.0130853346
 ## [6] 0.0266588492 0.0311477124 0.0552436791 0.0586390520 0.0615044809
 ## [11] 0.0686989649 0.0735338596 0.0922935812 0.0929764172 0.0936537820
 ## [16] 0.1141710898 0.1212660011 0.1353584338 0.1560685671 0.1574913946
 ## [21] 0.1590822194 0.1710931717 0.1823915369 0.1824415913 0.2266852570
 ## [26] 0.2571608490 0.2581187982 0.2703900484 0.2704162993 0.3378322488
 ## [31] 0.3433772101 0.3591004369 0.3895708278 0.3907232758 0.4114215793
 ## [36] 0.4123002468 0.4123379658 0.4167781664 0.4387809550 0.4410169433
 ## [41] 0.4504562973 0.4506417423 0.4646085230 0.4792990770 0.4808248144
 ## [46] 0.4817450455 0.4817502271 0.4821147169 0.4967110113 0.5130946180
 ## [51] 0.5151335693 0.5152596716 0.5258790597 0.5645019351 0.5696397347
 ## [56] 0.5705202786 0.6035764759 0.6035772176 0.6047296784 0.6050309482
 ## [61] 0.6228765119 0.6259680707 0.6295479994 0.6295638194 0.6402395901
 ## [66] 0.6430971546 0.6440734725 0.7036797394 0.7059577478 0.7111893116
 ## [71] 0.7131069328 0.7398989285 0.7400484182 0.7494696988 0.7498582566
 ## [76] 0.7583498854 0.7642084027 0.7685933471 0.7755744112 0.7879842551
 ## [81] 0.7880736806 0.7918751784 0.7943271743 0.8222191824 0.8231186147
 ## [86] 0.8835676281 0.8984641173 0.9044518486 0.9108668703 0.9111020703
 ## [91] 0.9384007893 0.9416678628 0.9472497137 0.9505149556 0.9514789511
 ## [96] 0.9651336126 0.9652830672 1.0000000000
 diff(Rsq_s)
 ## [1] 2.488996e-03 2.938922e-03 7.222025e-03 6.586341e-07 1.357351e-02
 ## [6] 4.488863e-03 2.409597e-02 3.395373e-03 2.865429e-03 7.194484e-03
 ## [11] 4.834895e-03 1.875972e-02 6.828360e-04 6.773648e-04 2.051731e-02
 ## [16] 7.094911e-03 1.409243e-02 2.071013e-02 1.422827e-03 1.590825e-03
 ## [21] 1.201095e-02 1.129837e-02 5.005443e-05 4.424367e-02 3.047559e-02
 ## [26] 9.579492e-04 1.227125e-02 2.625088e-05 6.741595e-02 5.544961e-03
 ## [31] 1.572323e-02 3.047039e-02 1.152448e-03 2.069830e-02 8.786675e-04
 ## [36] 3.771902e-05 4.440201e-03 2.200279e-02 2.235988e-03 9.439354e-03
 ## [41] 1.854450e-04 1.396678e-02 1.469055e-02 1.525737e-03 9.202311e-04
 ## [46] 5.181623e-06 3.644898e-04 1.459629e-02 1.638361e-02 2.038951e-03
 ## [51] 1.261023e-04 1.061939e-02 3.862288e-02 5.137800e-03 8.805439e-04
 ## [56] 3.305620e-02 7.417223e-07 1.152461e-03 3.012697e-04 1.784556e-02
 ## [61] 3.091559e-03 3.579929e-03 1.581999e-05 1.067577e-02 2.857565e-03
 ## [66] 9.763179e-04 5.960627e-02 2.278008e-03 5.231564e-03 1.917621e-03
 ## [71] 2.679200e-02 1.494897e-04 9.421281e-03 3.885578e-04 8.491629e-03
  ## [76] 5.858517e-03 4.384944e-03 6.981064e-03 1.240984e-02 8.942551e-05
 ## [81] 3.801498e-03 2.451996e-03 2.789201e-02 8.994323e-04 6.044901e-02
 ## [86] 1.489649e-02 5.987731e-03 6.415022e-03 2.352000e-04 2.729872e-02
 ## [91] 3.267074e-03 5.581851e-03 3.265242e-03 9.639955e-04 1.365466e-02
 ## [96] 1.494545e-04 3.471693e-02
Test that the projection matrix onto this X is the same as I_n. You may have to vectorize the matrices in the expect equal function for the test
to work.
 pacman::p load(testthat)
 dim(X)
 ## [1] 100 100
 H = X % % solve((t(X) % % X)) % % t(X)
 H[1:10, 1:10]
                    [,1]
                                  [,2]
                                                 [,3]
                                                                [,4]
 ## [1,] 1.000000e+00 -3.531897e-14 -1.870726e-14 -3.022756e-15 -1.411891e-14
 ## [2,] 5.495604e-15 1.000000e+00 6.217249e-15 -7.230327e-15 -3.880229e-14
 ## [3,] 1.605660e-14 -7.771561e-16 1.000000e+00 -1.519097e-14 -1.203551e-14
 ## [4,] -2.886580e-15 7.438494e-15 -3.996803e-14 1.000000e+00 3.413936e-15
 ## [5,] 8.659740e-15 -3.093359e-14 -2.087219e-14 1.409116e-14 1.000000e+00
 ## [6,] 5.273559e-15 8.604228e-15 1.043610e-14 1.526557e-16 3.472223e-14
 ## [7,] 1.892930e-14 9.520162e-15 8.104628e-15 -2.643372e-14 -2.085138e-14
 ## [8,] 1.158795e-14 -4.393708e-14 -1.568190e-14 2.430261e-14 3.736594e-15
 ## [9,] -5.481726e-15 -1.276756e-14 -1.182388e-14 -5.665607e-15 -1.839501e-14
 ## [10,] -1.570966e-14 2.109424e-14 9.325873e-15 -5.689893e-16 8.645862e-15
                                  [,7]
                    [,6]
                                                 [,8]
                                                                [,9]
 ## [1,] 7.577272e-15 2.029626e-14 -1.033201e-14 2.923356e-14 -7.922482e-15
 ## [2,] 1.373901e-14 1.498801e-14 -3.053113e-15 2.425837e-14 1.054712e-15
 ## [3,] -2.026157e-15 -1.743050e-14 -1.081080e-14 7.230327e-15 -5.426215e-15
 ## [4,] -2.048361e-14 1.548761e-14 2.692291e-14 1.526557e-14 1.960238e-14
 ## [5,] -1.023487e-14 5.523360e-15 -1.591782e-14 -9.617307e-15 -9.631185e-15
 ## [6,] 1.000000e+00 -9.992007e-15 -2.792211e-14 2.275957e-15 1.777398e-14
 ## [7,] -3.955170e-15 1.000000e+00 5.273559e-15 -1.154632e-14 7.230327e-15
 ## [8,] -5.436623e-15 2.040035e-14 1.000000e+00 -2.261386e-14 3.469447e-14
 ## [9,] 1.390554e-14 -1.124101e-14 5.079270e-15 1.000000e+00 1.002670e-15
 ## [10,] 2.903233e-14 3.619327e-14 9.103829e-15 -5.884182e-15 1.000000e+00
 I = diag(n)
 expect equal(H,I)
Add one final column to X to bring the number of columns to 101. Then try to compute R^2. What happens?
Why does this make sense?
This makes sense because you cannot invert a rank deficcent matrix.
Write a function spec'd as follows:
 #' Orthogonal Projection
 #' Projects vector a onto v.
 #' @param a the vector to project
  #' @param v the vector projected onto
 #' @returns a list of two vectors, the orthogonal projection parallel to v named a_parallel,
                and the orthogonal error orthogonal to v called a perpendicular
 orthogonal_projection = function(a, v){
   H = v %*% t(v) / norm_vec(v)^2
   a parallel = H %*% a
   a_perpendicular = a - a_parallel
   list(a_parallel = a_parallel, a_perpendicular = a_perpendicular)
Provide predictions for each of these computations and then run them to make sure you're correct.
 orthogonal_projection(c(1,2,3,4), c(1,2,3,4))
 ## $a parallel
 ## [,1]
 ## [1,] 1
 ## [2,] 2
 ## [3,] 3
 ## [4,]
 ## $a perpendicular
      [,1]
 ## [1,] 0
 ## [2,] 0
 ## [3,]
 ## [4,]
 #prediction:
 orthogonal_projection(c(1, 2, 3, 4), c(0, 2, 0, -1))
 ## $a parallel
      [,1]
 ## [1,] 0
 ## [2,]
 ## [3,]
 ## [4,]
 ## $a perpendicular
         [,1]
 ## [1,] 1
 ## [2,] 2
 ## [3,] 3
 ## [4,] 4
 #prediction:
  result = orthogonal_projection(c(2, 6, 7, 3), c(1, 3, 5, 7))
 t(result$a parallel) %*% result$a perpendicular
                   [,1]
 ## [1,] -3.552714e-15
 #prediction:
 result$a parallel + result$a perpendicular
       [,1]
 ## [1,] 2
 ## [2,] 6
 ## [3,] 7
 ## [4,]
 #prediction:
 resulta_parallel / c(1, 3, 5, 7)
               [,1]
 ## [1,] 0.9047619
 ## [2,] 0.9047619
 ## [3,] 0.9047619
 ## [4,] 0.9047619
 #prediction:
Let's use the Boston Housing Data for the following exercises
 y = MASS::Boston$medv
 X = model.matrix(medv ~ ., MASS::Boston)
 p_plus_one = ncol(X)
 n = nrow(X)
 head(X)
      (Intercept)
                      crim zn indus chas nox rm age
                                                               dis rad tax ptratio
 ## 1
                 1 0.00632 18 2.31
                                        0 0.538 6.575 65.2 4.0900 1 296
                                                                               15.3
  ## 2
                 1 0.02731 0 7.07
                                      0 0.469 6.421 78.9 4.9671 2 242
                                                                               17.8
  ## 3
                 1 0.02729 0 7.07 0 0.469 7.185 61.1 4.9671 2 242
                                                                              17.8
  ## 4
                 1 0.03237 0 2.18 0 0.458 6.998 45.8 6.0622 3 222
                                                                               18.7
 ## 5
                 1 0.06905 0 2.18 0 0.458 7.147 54.2 6.0622 3 222
                                                                              18.7
 ## 6
                 1 0.02985 0 2.18 0 0.458 6.430 58.7 6.0622 3 222
                                                                              18.7
       black 1stat
 ## 1 396.90 4.98
 ## 2 396.90 9.14
 ## 3 392.83 4.03
 ## 4 394.63 2.94
 ## 5 396.90 5.33
 ## 6 394.12 5.21
Using your function orthogonal_projection orthogonally project onto the column space of X by projecting y on each vector of X individually
and adding up the projections and call the sum yhat naive.
 yhat_naive = rep(0,n)
   for(j in 1:p_plus_one){
     yhat_naive = yhat_naive + orthogonal_projection(y,X[,j])$a_parallel
How much double counting occurred? Measure the magnitude relative to the true LS orthogonal projection.
 yhat = X %*% solve((t(X) %*% X)) %*% t(X) %*% y
 sqrt(sum(yhat_naive^2)) / sqrt(sum(yhat^2))
 ## [1] 8.997118
Is this ratio expected? Why or why not?
This is expected to be different than one
Convert X into V where V has the same column space as X but has orthogonal columns. You can use the function orthogonal projection.
This is the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm.
 V = matrix(NA, nrow = n, ncol = p_plus_one)
 V[ , 1] = X[ , 1]
 for(j in 2:p_plus_one){
   V[,j] = X[,j]\# - orthogonal\_projection(X[,j], V[,j-1])$a\_parallel
   for(k in 1:(j-1)){
     V[,j] = V[,j] - - orthogonal_projection(X[,j], V[,k])$a_parallel
   }
 V[,7] %*% V[,9]
              [,1]
 ## [1,] 475337.2
Convert V into Q whose columns are the same except normalized
 Q = matrix(NA, nrow = n, ncol = p_plus_one)
 for(j in 1:p plus one){
   Q[,j] = V[,j] / norm_vec(V[,j])
Verify Q^T Q is I_{p+1} i.e. Q is an orthonormal matrix.
Is your Q the same as what results from R's built-in QR-decomposition function?
Is this expected? Why did this happen?
Yes, this is expected since there are many orthonormal basis of column space.
Project y onto colsp[Q] and verify it is the same as the OLS fit. You may have to use the function unname to compare the vectors since they the
entries will likely have different names.
Project y onto colsp[Q] one by one and verify it sums to be the projection onto the whole space.
Split the Boston Housing Data into a training set and a test set where the training set is 80% of the observations. Do so at random.
 K = 5
 n \text{ test} = \text{round}(n * 1 / K)
 n train = n - n test
 test_indices = sample(1 : n, n_test)
  train indices = setdiff(1 : n, test indices)
 X_train = X[train_indices,]
 y_train = y[train_indices]
 X_test = X[test_indices,]
 y_test = y[test_indices]
Fit an OLS model. Find the s_e in sample and out of sample. Which one is greater? Note: we are now using s_e and not RMSE since RMSE has
the n-(p + 1) in the denominator not n-1 which attempts to de-bias the error estimate by inflating the estimate when overfitting in high p. Again,
we're just using sd(e), the sample standard deviation of the residuals.
 ols_mod = lm(y_train ~ ., data.frame(X_train))
 s_e = sd(ols_mod$residuals)
 s_e
 ## [1] 4.508883
 y oos = predict(ols mod, data.frame(X test))
  ## Warning in predict.lm(ols_mod, data.frame(X_test)): prediction from a rank-
 ## deficient fit may be misleading
 oos_e = sd(y_test - y_oos)
 oos_e
 ## [1] 5.454877
Do these two exercises Nsim = 1000 times and find the average difference between s_e and ooss_e.
 Nsim = 1000
 sum = 0
 K = 5
 for (i in 1:Nsim) {
   test_indices = sample(1 : n, 1/K * n)
   train_indices = setdiff(1 : n, test_indices)
   X_train = X[train_indices,]
   y_train = y[train_indices]
   X test = X[test indices,]
   y_test = y[test_indices]
   ols_mod = lm(y_train ~ .+0, data.frame(X_train))
   s_e = sd(ols_mod$residuals)
   y oos = predict(ols mod, data.frame(X test))
   residuals = y_test - y_oos
   ooss_e = sd(residuals)
   sum = sum + abs(s_e - ooss_e)
 avg_diff = sum / Nsim
 avg_diff
 ## [1] 0.610098
We'll now add random junk to the data so that p_plus_one = n_train and create a new data matrix X_with_junk.
 X_with_junk = cbind(X, matrix(rnorm(n * (n_train - p_plus_one)), nrow = n))
 dim(X)
 ## [1] 506 14
 dim(X_with_junk)
 ## [1] 506 405
Repeat the exercise above measuring the average s_e and ooss_e but this time record these metrics by number of features used. That is, do it for
the first column of X with junk (the intercept column), then do it for the first and second columns, then the first three columns, etc until you do
it for all columns of X_with_junk . Save these in s_e_by_p and ooss_e_by_p.
 K = 5
 n_{test} = round(n * 1 / K)
 n_train = n - n_test
 ooss_e_by_p = array(NA, dim = ncol(X_with_junk))
 s_e_by_p = array(NA, dim = ncol(X_with_junk))
 Nsim = 10
 for(j in 1:ncol(X_with_junk)){
   oosSSE array = array(NA, dim = Nsim)
   s_e_array = array(NA, dim = Nsim)
   for(n_sim in 1:Nsim){
     test indices = sample(1 : n, 1 / K * n)
     train_indices = setdiff(1 : n, test_indices)
     X_train = X_with_junk[train_indices, 1:j, drop = FALSE]
     y_train = y[train_indices]
     X_test = X_with_junk[test_indices, 1:j, drop = FALSE]
     y_test = y[test_indices]
     mod = lm(y_train ~ .+0, data.frame(X_train))
     y_hat_test = predict(mod, data.frame(X_test))
     oosSSE_array[n_sim] = sd(y_test - y_hat_test)
     s_e_array[n_sim] = sd(mod$residuals)
   ooss_e_by_p[j] = mean(oosSSE_array)
   s_e_by_p[j] = mean(s_e_array)
You can graph them here:
 pacman::p_load(ggplot2)
 ggplot(
   rbind(
     data.frame(s_e = s_e_by_p, p = 1 : n_train, series = "in-sample"),
     data.frame(s_e = ooss_e_by_p, p = 1 : n_train, series = "out-of-sample")
   )) +
   geom\_line(aes(x = p, y = s_e, col = series))
   1500 -
                                                                           series
   1000 -
                                                                               in-sample
                                                                               out-of-sample
    500 -
      0 -
                       100
                                                    300
                                      200
                                                                   400
Is this shape expected? Explain.
This shape is expected since the in-sample error is decreasing. However, at the same time, since these features are "junk" they are adding out of
sample error. This creates the dilemma of overfitting.
```

Lab 5

Michael Velez

11:59PM March 18, 2021

Create a 2x2 matrix with the first column 1's and the next column iid normals. Find the absolute value of the angle (in degrees, not radians)