Sprint Progress Report

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date | October 13, 2020 |
| Group name | BC Code Review Team |
| Group members | Christina Nguyen, Michael Bloomquist, Sarah Ramazani, Travis Lamb |
| Sprint # | 1 |

1. List the tasks done by each member

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Member name | Tasks worked on during sprint |
| Christina Nguyen | * Conducted preliminary research for project (understanding of Git, GitHub, GitLab, Server APIs Web application etc.) * Completed a part of the PR FAQ. More specifically, added a few questions to the FAQ section and added a "user" quote. |
| Michael Bloomquist | * Wrote the first few paragraphs of the initial PR FAQ draft, which have been modified mostly with Sarah Ramazani’s (great) feedback * Researched Git and Git-based software, familiarized with GitHub’s workflow and created the GitHub repo to be used by the team for the project’s codebase |
| Travis Lamb | * Refreshed my knowledge of Git and Github from previous classwork. Joined the public group repository, and investigated the features available to the group. Spent time researching what Code Review tools actually do, and what version control software actually is, and what it actually does. Starting investigating the Git source. Took a look at web development tools and cases for HTML, JS, and now React. Spent time examining the features the most popular code review programs such as Github, Collaborator, and Gerrit to name the three I most investigated. * Added quotes and questions to the PR FAQ, edited numerous times in an attempt to be more specific. |
| Sarah Ramazani | * Researched Git, GitHub, GitLab and more (frontend vs. backend vs. full stack web application) for a better comprehension of the project * Made the final review of the PR FAQ draft and added/deleted some features based on research and the understanding of the project, while collaborating with the team. |

1. What went well during this sprint?

Instant real-time communication through Microsoft Teams was an incredible asset as we all started our preliminary research. It seems like once we established a focus for the group, we all responded immediately to fulfill our goals, and we had plenty of drive and time to get the work needed, done.

1. What did not go well and could have been done better?

In some ways, it felt like the group had a lack of focus for the first couple of days, but we were quickly able to address this as a team and get to work!

1. What are the next action items (for the next sprint)?

Next action items include collating the research we have done (a process we have already started!), as well as expanding the research phase into site development prospects and tools.