

Penetration Testing Methodologies

Penetration tests can have a wide variety of objectives and targets within scope. Because of this, no penetration test is the same, and there are no .one-case fits all as to how a penetration tester should approach it

The steps a penetration tester takes during an engagement is known as the methodology. A practical methodology is a smart one, where the steps taken are relevant to the situation at hand. For example, having a methodology that you would use to test the security of a web application .is not practical when you have to test the security of a network

Before discussing some different industry-standard methodologies, we :should note that all of them have a general theme of the following stages

Stage	Description
Information Gathering	This stage involves collecting as much publically accessible information about a target/organisation as possible, for .example, <u>OSINT</u> and research .Note: This does not involve scanning any systems
Enumeration/ Scanning	This stage involves discovering applications and services running on the systems. For example, finding a web server .that may be potentially vulnerable
Exploitation	This stage involves leveraging vulnerabilities discovered on a system or application. This stage can involve the use of .public exploits or exploiting application logic
Privilege Escalation	Once you have successfully exploited a system or application (known as a foothold), this stage is the attempt to expand your access to a system. You can escalate horizontally and vertically, where horizontally is accessing another account of the same permission group (i.e. another user), whereas vertically is that of another permission group .(i.e. an administrator)
Post-exploitation	:This stage involves a few sub-stages What other hosts can be targeted (pivoting) .1 What additional information can we gather from the host .2 now that we are a privileged user

	Covering your tracks .3
	Reporting .4

OSSTMM



The [Open Source Security Testing Methodology Manual](#) provides a detailed framework of testing strategies for systems, software, applications, communications and the human aspect of cybersecurity

The methodology focuses primarily on how these systems, applications communicate, so it includes a methodology for

Telecommunications (phones, VoIP, etc.) .1

Wired Networks .2

Wireless communications .3

Advantages	Disadvantages
.Covers various testing strategies in-depth	The framework is difficult to understand, very detailed, and tends to use unique definitions
Includes testing strategies for specific targets (I.e. telecommunications and networking)	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>
The framework is flexible depending upon the organisation's needs	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>
The framework is meant to set a standard for systems and applications, meaning that a universal methodology can be used in a penetration testing scenario	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>

OWASP



The "[Open Web Application Security Project](#)" framework is a community-driven and frequently updated framework used solely to test the security of web applications and services

The foundation regularly [writes reports](#) stating the top ten security vulnerabilities a web application may have, the testing approach, and remediation

Advantages	Disadvantages
.Easy to pick up and understand	It may not be clear what type of vulnerability a web application has (they can often overlap)
Actively maintained and is frequently updated	<u>OWASP</u> does not make suggestions to any specific software development life cycles
It covers all stages of an engagement: from testing to reporting and remediation	The framework doesn't hold any accreditation such as CHECK
Specialises in web applications and services	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>

NIST Cybersecurity Framework 1.1



The [NIST Cybersecurity Framework](#) is a popular framework used to improve an organisations cybersecurity standards and manage the risk of cyber threats. This framework is a bit of an honourable mention because of its popularity and detail

The framework provides guidelines on security controls & benchmarks for success for organisations from critical infrastructure (power plants, etc.) all through to commercial. There is a limited section on a standard guideline for the methodology a penetration tester should take

Advantages	Disadvantages
The <u>NIST</u> Framework is estimated to be used by 50% of American organisations by 2020	<u>NIST</u> has many iterations of frameworks, so it may be difficult to decide which one applies to your organisation
The framework is extremely detailed in setting standards to help organisations mitigate the threat posed by cyber threats	The <u>NIST</u> framework has weak auditing policies, making it difficult to determine how a breach occurred
The framework is very frequently updated	The framework does not consider cloud computing, which is quickly becoming increasingly popular for organisations
NIST provides accreditation for organisations that use this framework	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>
The <u>NIST</u> framework is designed to be implemented alongside other frameworks	<i>.Intentionally left blank</i>

NCSC CAF



National Cyber Security Centre

a part of GCHQ

The [Cyber Assessment Framework](#) (CAF) is an extensive framework of fourteen principles used to assess the risk of various cyber threats and an organisation's defences against these

The framework applies to organisations considered to perform "vitally important services and activities" such as critical infrastructure, banking, and the likes. The framework mainly focuses on and assesses the following topics

- Data security
- System security
- Identity and access control
- Resiliency
- Monitoring
- Response and recovery planning

Advantages	Disadvantages
This framework is backed by a government cybersecurity agency	The framework is still new in the industry, meaning that organisations haven't had much time to make the necessary changes to be suitable for it
This framework provides accreditation	The framework is based on principles and ideas and isn't as direct as having rules like some other frameworks
This framework covers fourteen principles which range from security to response	.Intentionally left blank

