Entailment: A entail B means that in every model A is true, B is also true.

$$T \models T$$
,  $T \not\models F$ ,  $F \models everything$ 

If 
$$(A \land B \Rightarrow C) = F$$
,  $(A \land B \Rightarrow C) \vDash everything$ 

If 
$$(A \land B \Rightarrow C) = T$$

If 
$$A \wedge B = F$$
,  $(A \Rightarrow C) = T$   $OR$   $(B \Rightarrow C) = T$ ,  $(A \wedge B \Rightarrow C) \models (A \Rightarrow C) \lor (B \Rightarrow C)$   
If  $A \wedge B = T$ ,  $(A \Rightarrow C) = T$   $AND$   $(B \Rightarrow C) = T$ ,  $(A \wedge B \Rightarrow C) \models (A \Rightarrow C) \lor (B \Rightarrow C)$ 

$$\mathbf{g}.\ (C \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)) \equiv ((A \ \Rightarrow \ C) \wedge (B \ \Rightarrow \ C)).$$

True

$$C \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B) \qquad \equiv (\neg A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee C)$$
$$\equiv (A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C)$$

$$\mathbf{h}.\ (A\vee B)\wedge (\neg C\vee \neg D\vee E)\models (A\vee B).$$

$$LHS = T \ iff \ (A \lor B) = T$$
, thus if  $LHS = T$  then  $RHS = T$ ,  $T \models T$ 

i. 
$$(A \lor B) \land (\neg C \lor \neg D \lor E) \models (A \lor B) \land (\neg D \lor E)$$
.

**False** 

If 
$$A = T$$
,  $B = T$ ,  $C = F$ ,  $D = T$ ,  $E = F$  then LHS = T and RHS = F, but  $T \not\models F$ 

**j**.  $(A \lor B) \land \neg (A \Rightarrow B)$  is satisfiable.

True, when A = T and B = F

**k**.  $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \land (\neg A \lor B)$  is satisfiable.

True, when (A = B = T) or (A = B = F)

**1.**  $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow C$  has the same number of models as  $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$  for any fixed set of proposition symbols that includes A, B, C.

**False** 

Α	В	С	Α	В
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	F	F
Т	F	F		
F	Т	F		

 $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow C \text{ has 4 models}$ 

 $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$  has 2 models

 $4 \neq 2$ 

**7.5** Prove each of the following assertions:

$$A \Leftrightarrow B \equiv (A \Rightarrow B) \land (A \Leftarrow B)$$

**a**.  $\alpha$  is valid if and only if  $True \models \alpha$ .

 $\alpha$  is valid, hence  $\alpha = T$  is all models

$$\alpha = T \ \Rightarrow T \vDash \alpha$$

 $T \vDash \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha = T$ 

Hence  $\alpha$  is valid

**b**. For any  $\alpha$ ,  $False \models \alpha$ .

True by the definition of entailment. False entails everything

**c.**  $\alpha \models \beta$  if and only if the sentence  $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$  is valid.

$$(\alpha \vDash \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$$

If 
$$(\alpha \models \beta) = F$$
 then  $(\alpha \models \beta) \Rightarrow$  everything

If 
$$(\alpha \models \beta) = T$$
 then  $(\alpha = F)$  or  $(\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T)$ 

If 
$$\alpha = F$$
 then  $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta = T)$  and  $(\alpha \models \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ 

If 
$$(\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T) \text{ then } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta = T) \text{ and } (\alpha \models \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$$

$$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \vDash \beta)$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = F \text{ then } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \text{everything}$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = T \text{ then } (\alpha = F) \text{ or } (\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T)$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = F \text{ then } (\alpha \vDash \beta = T) \text{ and } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \vDash \beta)$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T) \text{ then } (\alpha \vDash \beta = T) \text{ and } (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \vDash \beta)$$

Hence,  $(\alpha \models \beta) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ 

**d.**  $\alpha \equiv \beta$  if and only if the sentence  $(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)$  is valid.

$$\alpha \equiv \beta \ means \left( (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha) \right)$$

$$((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$\text{If } ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) = F, then ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \Rightarrow everything$$

$$\text{If } ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) = T, then (\alpha = B = T) OR (\alpha = B = F)$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T), then (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta = T) \text{ and } ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha = F \text{ and } B = F), then (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta = T) \text{ and } ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha))$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) = F, then (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow everything$$

$$\text{If } (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) = T, then (\alpha = \beta = T) OR (\alpha = B = F)$$

If 
$$(\alpha = T \text{ and } B = T)$$
, then  $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha) = T)$  and  $(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow$   $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha))$   
If  $(\alpha = F \text{ and } B = F)$ , then  $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha) = T)$  and  $(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow$ 

If 
$$(\alpha = F \text{ and } B = F)$$
, then  $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha) = T)$  and  $(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha))$ 

Hence  $(\alpha \equiv \beta) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta)$ 

**e**.  $\alpha \models \beta$  if and only if the sentence  $(\alpha \land \neg \beta)$  is unsatisfiable.

 $(\alpha \land \neg \beta)$  cannot be True (unsatisfiable) means  $(\neg(\alpha \land \neg \beta) = (\neg \alpha \land \beta) = (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta))$  must be True. Reduces to proving  $(\alpha \models \beta) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ , same as c)

- **7.6** Prove, or find a counterexample to, each of the following assertions:
- **a.** If  $\alpha \models \gamma$  or  $\beta \models \gamma$  (or both) then  $(\alpha \land \beta) \models \gamma$

True

If 
$$(\alpha \land \beta) = F$$
,  $(\alpha \land \beta) \models \gamma$   
If  $(\alpha \land \beta) = T$  then  $(\alpha = \beta = T)$  and  $(\alpha \models \gamma)$  or  $(\beta \models \gamma)$  ensures  $\gamma = T$ 

**b.** If  $\alpha \models (\beta \land \gamma)$  then  $\alpha \models \beta$  and  $\alpha \models \gamma$ .

True

If 
$$\alpha = F$$
, then  $\alpha \models anything$ 

If 
$$\alpha = T$$
, then  $(\beta \land \gamma) = T$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = T$ .  $\alpha \models \beta$  and  $\alpha \models \gamma$ 

**c.** If  $\alpha \models (\beta \lor \gamma)$  then  $\alpha \models \beta$  or  $\alpha \models \gamma$  (or both).

True

If 
$$\alpha = F$$
, then  $\alpha \models anything$ 

If 
$$\alpha = T$$
, then  $(\beta \lor \gamma) = T$ ,  $\beta = T \lor \gamma = T$ ,  $\alpha \vDash \beta \lor \alpha \vDash \gamma$ 

**7.10** Decide whether each of the following sentences is valid, unsatisfiable, or neither. Verify your decisions using truth tables or the equivalence rules of Figure 7.11 (page 249).

Valid: Always True (always satisfiable)

Unsatisfiable: Always False (never satisfiable)

Neither: Sometimes True and sometimes False

**a.**  $Smoke \Rightarrow Smoke$ 

## Valid

S	S	
Т	F	Satisfied
F	F	Satisfied

**b.**  $Smoke \Rightarrow Fire$ 

## Neither

S	F	
Т	Т	Satisfied
Т	F	Not satisfied
F	Т	Satisfied
F	F	Sarisfied

**c.** 
$$(Smoke \Rightarrow Fire) \Rightarrow (\neg Smoke \Rightarrow \neg Fire)$$

## Neither

$$(LHS = (S \Rightarrow F)) \Rightarrow (RHS = (\neg S \Rightarrow \neg F))$$

LHS	S	F	RHS	Not S	Not F	
T	Т	Т	T	F	F	Satisfied
F	Т	F	Т	F	Т	Satisfied
Т	F	Т	F	Т	F	Not satisfied
Т	F	F	T	Т	Т	Satisfied

**d.**  $Smoke \lor Fire \lor \neg Fire$ 

## Valid

$$S \vee F \vee \neg F = S \vee T = T$$

**e**. 
$$((Smoke \land Heat) \Rightarrow Fire) \Leftrightarrow ((Smoke \Rightarrow Fire) \lor (Heat \Rightarrow Fire))$$

Valid

LHS: 
$$((S \land H) \Rightarrow F) \equiv \neg (S \land H) \lor F) \equiv \neg S \lor \neg H \lor F$$

RHS: 
$$((S \Rightarrow F) \lor (H \Rightarrow F)) \equiv (\neg S \lor F) \lor (\neg H \lor F) \equiv \neg S \lor \neg H \lor F$$

$$((S \land H) \Rightarrow F) \equiv ((S \Rightarrow F) \lor (H \Rightarrow F))$$

**f**. 
$$(Smoke \Rightarrow Fire) \Rightarrow ((Smoke \land Heat) \Rightarrow Fire)$$

Valid

When 
$$H = T$$
,  $(S \Rightarrow F) \Rightarrow (S \Rightarrow F)$ 

When 
$$H = F$$
,  $((S \land H) \Rightarrow F) = T$ ,  $(S \Rightarrow F) \Rightarrow T$ 

**g**. 
$$Big \lor Dumb \lor (Big \Rightarrow Dumb)$$

Valid

$$(B \lor D \lor (B \Rightarrow D) \equiv (B \lor D \lor (\neg B \lor D) \equiv D \lor T \equiv T$$

- **7.14** According to some political pundits, a person who is radical (R) is electable (E) if he/she is conservative (C), but otherwise is not electable.
- a. Which of the following are correct representations of this assertion?
  - (i)  $(R \wedge E) \iff C$
  - (ii)  $R \Rightarrow (E \iff C)$
  - (iii)  $R \Rightarrow ((C \Rightarrow E) \lor \neg E)$

English: If they're radical, they're electable if they're conservative but otherwise not electable.

R	E	С
Т	Т	T
Т	F	F
F	*	*

i: If they're conservative, they must be both radical and electable. False, does not match

R	E	С
Т	Т	T
Т	F	F
F	*	F

ii: If they're radical, they're electable if they're conservative but otherwise not electable. True, matches

R	E	С
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	*	*

iii: If they're radical they might be not electable, but they also might be. False, does not match

R	E	С
Т	Т	*
Т	F	*
F	*	*