

AI Project Proposal 3D printing defect (spaghetti) detection

Full version

Michal Raczkowski

10-01-2024

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Domain Understanding	1
2.1	Objective	1
2.2	Background	1
2.3	Exploratory Research	1
3	Data Sourcing	1
3.1	Data Relevance	1
3.2	Data Characteristics	1
3.3	Data Collection Methods	1
3.4	Challenges & Solutions	2
3.4.1	Homemade pictures	2
3.4.2	Online sourced pictures	2
4	Analytic Approach	2
4.1	Target Variable	2
4.2	Problem Nature	3
4.3	Indicators	3
5	Data Preparation	3
5.1	Sources	3
5.2	Characteristics	3
5.3	Preprocessing Needs	3
5.4	Tools & Techniques	4
6	Modeling	4
6.1	Proposed Models	4
6.2	Evaluation Metrics	4
7	Conclusion	4

1 Introduction

Since 3D printing appeared for personal consumers, one of the main problems with this technology was its tendency to fail, especially with inexperienced users and early versions of 3D printers. Although through the years the technology has improved significantly, it is still unpredictable and requires assistance due to material waste and safety concerns.

2 Domain Understanding

2.1 Objective

Goal of the project is to detect certain kind of defect in 3D print (FFF) model based on image recognition

2.2 Background

Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) is a popular 3D printing method where a thermoplastic filament is heated to its melting point and then extruded, layer by layer, to build a three-dimensional object. This process begins with a digital 3D model, which is sliced into thin layers by specialized software. The 3D printer then precisely deposits the melted filament according to these layers, typically using a moving print head. As each layer solidifies, the print bed or the print head moves to allow the next layer to be added directly on top. FFF is favored for its versatility in using a wide range of materials, ease of use, and affordability, making it ideal for both hobbyists and professionals for creating prototypes, functional parts, and artistic objects.

2.3 Exploratory Research

The problem with Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) 3D printing technology is that the machine sometimes malfunctions, causing the deposition of plastic in incorrect places, which leads to various defects. Additionally, if the model is not properly adjusted for 3D printing, it can also cause defects. These various types of defects can lead to excessive material waste or safety concerns.

3 Data Sourcing

3.1 Data Relevance

Relevant data to achieve the objective of this project would include a series of images displaying certain defects on various types of 3D printed models.

3.2 Data Characteristics

All data would be pictures in preferred formats like .jpeg or .png, and in proffered size

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Data would be collected from homemade pictures displaying failing prints with certain defect and from online open sources

3.4 Challenges & Solutions

The challenges faced in this area include

3.4.1 Homemade pictures

- Challenges
 1. Time consuming process of creating prints with defects
 2. Interfering lighting
 3. Interfering background
- Solutions
 1. Use smaller models, and decreased quality of prints to speed up process of printing
 2. Use of artificial source of light
 3. Use of constant homemade background e.g. cardboard

3.4.2 Online sourced pictures

- Challenges
 1. Copyright laws
 2. Display different types of defects then preferred one
 3. Low quality pictures
 4. Wrong format of pictures
- Solutions
 1. Use pictures which are free to use, or are on creative commons and public domain laws
 2. Choose pictures which displays proffered defect
 3. Chose pictures which fits minimal requirement of resolution and do not contain interfering lighting or background
 4. Choose pictures in preferred format like .jpeg or .png

4 Analytic Approach

4.1 Target Variable

The goal of this project is to detect a specific defect where a print fails, resulting in a mess of extruded filament that resembles spaghetti, as shown in Figure 1. This occurs when the print doesn't adhere properly to the build plate or when a part of the print moves or detaches during the printing process. As the printer continues to extrude filament, it deposits the material in a disorganized manner, creating a tangle of filament. The "Defect Status" is the target variable in this study, with 0 indicating the absence of a defect and 1 indicating its presence.




Figure 1: Extruded filament that resembles spaghetti

4.2 Problem Nature

Nature of this problem is classification because we have to classify if spaghetti defect appeared on picture

4.3 Indicators

The picture shows an unordered structure of plastic filament, which resembles spaghetti, instead of a correctly structured model.

5 Data Preparation

5.1 Sources

Sources of images should be free online datasets or homemade pictures

5.2 Characteristics

Images should display process of 3d printing where "spaghetti" defect appeared

5.3 Preprocessing Needs

To create proper dataset we need to

- Standardize size of images
- Standardize format of images
- Create annotations

- Create label files with annotations

5.4 Tools & Techniques

- Cropping images
- Converting images to chosen format
- Use annotation tools such as LabelImg or VOTT for annotation

6 Modeling

6.1 Proposed Models

The main model planned for use is YOLO (You Only Look Once), and the aim is to explore its different variants such as YOLOv3, YOLOv4, etc.

6.2 Evaluation Metrics

- **Intersection over Union (IoU):** IoU is a measure that quantifies the overlap between a predicted bounding box and a ground truth bounding box. It plays a fundamental role in evaluating the accuracy of object localization.
- **Average Precision (AP):** AP computes the area under the precision-recall curve, providing a single value that encapsulates the model's precision and recall performance.
- **Mean Average Precision (mAP):** mAP extends the concept of AP by calculating the average AP values across multiple object classes. This is useful in multi-class object detection scenarios to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance.
- **Precision and Recall:** Precision quantifies the proportion of true positives among all positive predictions, assessing the model's capability to avoid false positives. On the other hand, Recall calculates the proportion of true positives among all actual positives, measuring the model's ability to detect all instances of a class.
- **F1 Score:** The F1 Score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced assessment of a model's performance while considering both false positives and false negatives.

7 Conclusion

Final result of this project can help to prevent creating defected models and waste of material. It also can increase safety of whole process of 3D printing