

# Abstract interpretation with bounded numeric intervals

Second assignment of the Software Verification  
course, A.Y. 2022/2023

Christian Micheletti  
January 20, 2024



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI PADOVA

- 1** The Language
  - Arithmetic Expressions
  - Boolean Expressions
  
- 2** Implementation
  - The Bounded Intervals Domain
  - Abstract States
  - Abstract Semantics
  
- 3** Performing Analysis

The language is a variation of the While language seen in class. It differs on:

- it admits some syntactic sugar (it's not minimal);
- its semantic functions model divergence and state changes in both arithmetic and boolean expressions.

$$\begin{aligned} AExp ::= & n \mid x \mid -e \mid (e) \mid [e_1, e_2] \\ & \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 - e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid e_1 / e_2 \\ & \mid x++ \mid ++x \mid x-- \mid --x \end{aligned}$$

The syntax allows arithmetic expressions that change the state, such as  $x++$  and  $x--$ .

The operator  $(\cdot/\cdot) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  returns the quotient of the two arguments. It's undefined when the second argument is 0.

$$\mathcal{A} : AExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times State$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[n]]\varphi = (n_{\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[x]]\varphi = (\varphi(x), \varphi)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[e]]\varphi = \mathcal{A}[[e]]\varphi$$

$$\mathcal{A}[-e]\varphi = \begin{cases} (-a, \varphi') & \mathcal{A}[[e]]\varphi = (a, \varphi') \\ \uparrow & (\mathcal{A}[[e]]\varphi) \uparrow \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[e_1, e_2]]\varphi = (a, \varphi'')$$

$$\text{where } (a_1, \varphi') = \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi$$

$$(a_2, \varphi'') = \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi'$$

$a$  is a random integer between  $a_1$  and  $a_2$

$\mathcal{A} : AExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times State$

$$\mathcal{A}[[e_1/e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 \div a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ & \wedge a_2 \neq 0 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathcal{A}[[e_1 \text{ op } e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 \text{ op } a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{A} : AExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times State$

$$\mathcal{A}[[x++]]\varphi = (\varphi(x), \varphi[x \mapsto x + 1])$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[++x]]\varphi = \text{let } \varphi' = \varphi[x \mapsto x + 1] \\ \text{in } (\varphi'(x), \varphi')$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[x--]]\varphi = (\varphi(x), \varphi[x \mapsto x - 1])$$

$$\mathcal{A}[[--x]]\varphi = \text{let } \varphi' = \varphi[x \mapsto x - 1] \\ \text{in } (\varphi'(x), \varphi')$$

$$\begin{aligned} BExp ::= & \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid (b) \mid b_1 \text{ and } b_2 \mid b_1 \text{ or } b_2 \\ & \mid e_1 = e_2 \mid e_1 \neq e_2 \mid e_1 < e_2 \mid e_1 \geq e_2 \\ & \mid e_1 > e_2 \mid e_1 \leq e_2 \end{aligned}$$

The operator  $(\neg \cdot) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is defined as syntactic sugar later on.



Conjunction and disjunction short-circuit evaluation:

$$\mathcal{B} : BExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} \times State$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\text{true}]\varphi = (\text{tt}, \varphi)$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\text{false}]\varphi = (\text{ff}, \varphi)$$

$$\mathcal{B}[(b)]\varphi = \mathcal{B}[b]\varphi$$

$$\mathcal{B}[b_1 \text{ and } b_2]\varphi = \begin{cases} (\text{ff}, \varphi') & \mathcal{B}[b_1]\varphi = (\text{ff}, \varphi') \\ \mathcal{B}[b_2]\varphi' & \mathcal{B}[b_1]\varphi = (\text{tt}, \varphi') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[b_1 \text{ or } b_2]\varphi = \begin{cases} (\text{tt}, \varphi') & \mathcal{B}[b_1]\varphi = (\text{tt}, \varphi') \\ \mathcal{B}[b_2]\varphi' & \mathcal{B}[b_1]\varphi = (\text{ff}, \varphi') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Comparison operators propagate the state transition(s):

$$\mathcal{B} : BExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} \times State$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\![e_1 = e_2]\!] \varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 = a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[\![e_1]\!] \varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[\![e_2]\!] \varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\![e_1 \neq e_2]\!] \varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 \neq a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[\![e_1]\!] \varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[\![e_2]\!] \varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{B} : BExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} \times State$

$$\mathcal{B}[[e_1 < e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 < a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[[e_1 \geq e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 \geq a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{B} : BExp \rightarrow State \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} \times State$

$$\mathcal{B}[[e_1 > e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 > a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[[e_1 \leq e_2]]\varphi = \begin{cases} (a_1 \leq a_2, \varphi'') & \mathcal{A}[[e_1]]\varphi = (a_1, \varphi') \\ & \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e_2]]\varphi' = (a_2, \varphi'') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Rule

Since boolean expressions induce state transitions, the evaluation order and quantity must be preserved in the desugared code.

This is the reason why we couldn't model the operators  $(\cdot > \cdot)$ ,  $(\cdot \leq \cdot) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  as syntactic sugar. There is no way to encode those operators only with  $(\cdot < \cdot)$ ,  $(\cdot \geq \cdot)$ ,  $(\cdot = \cdot)$  and  $(\cdot \neq \cdot)$  respecting this rule.

$$\text{not true} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{false}$$

$$\text{not false} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{true}$$

$$\text{not } (b_1 \text{ and } b_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{not } b_1 \text{ or not } b_2$$

$$\text{not } (b_1 \text{ or } b_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{not } b_1 \text{ and not } b_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 = e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 \neq e_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 \neq e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 = e_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 < e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 \geq e_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 \geq e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 < e_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 > e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 \leq e_2$$

$$\text{not } e_1 \leq e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_1 > e_2$$

**While** ::=  $x := e$  | skip |  $\{S\}$  |  $S_1 ; S_2$   
| if  $b$  then  $S_1$  else  $S_2$  | while  $b$  do  $S$

$\mathcal{S}_{ds} : \mathbf{While} \rightarrow \mathit{State} \hookrightarrow \mathit{State}$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds}[\![x := e]\!] \varphi = \begin{cases} \varphi'[x \mapsto a] & \mathcal{A}[\![e]\!] \varphi = (a, \varphi') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds}[\![\mathbf{skip}]\!] \varphi = \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds}[\![\{S\}]\!] \varphi = \mathcal{S}_{ds}[\![S]\!] \varphi$$



$\mathcal{S}_{ds} : \mathbf{While} \rightarrow \mathit{State} \hookrightarrow \mathit{State}$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S_1 ; S_2 \rrbracket \varphi = (\mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket) \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } S_1 \mathbf{ else } S_2 \rrbracket \varphi = \mathit{cond}(\mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket, \mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket, \mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } S \rrbracket \varphi = \mathit{FIX}(\lambda g. \mathit{cond}(\mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket, g \circ \mathcal{S}_{ds} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \mathit{id}))$$

Where

$$\mathit{cond}(\mathit{pred}, g_1, g_2) = \begin{cases} g_1(\varphi') & \mathit{pred}(\varphi) = (\mathbf{tt}, \varphi') \\ g_2(\varphi') & \mathit{pred}(\varphi) = (\mathbf{ff}, \varphi') \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{m,n} \subset \wp(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup -\infty, \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m,n} = & \{\mathbb{Z}, \emptyset\} \cup \{\{z\} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ & \cup \{\{x \mid w \leq x \leq z\} \mid x, w, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } m \leq w \leq z \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{\{x \mid x \leq z\} \mid x, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } m \leq z \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{\{x \mid x \geq z\} \mid x, z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } m \leq z \leq n\} \end{aligned}$$

$I_{m,n}$  is partially ordered

$$i_1 \leq i_2 \iff i_1 \subseteq i_2$$

$I_{m,n}$  is a complete lattice

$$\perp_{I_{m,n}} = \emptyset$$

$$\top_{I_{m,n}} = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\vee_{I_{m,n}} = \cup$$

$$\wedge_{I_{m,n}} = \cap$$

- $I_{m,n}$  has no infinite ascending chains when  $m \neq -\infty \wedge n \neq \infty$ :
  - when  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  the fixed-point iteration sequence induced by  $\forall s \in \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}, S_1 \in \mathbf{While}.\mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket s$  converges in finite time;
  - otherwise, we must make use of the widening operator  $\nabla : \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$  in order to enforce convergence.
- $I_{m,n}$  has no infinite descending chains:
  - any descending greatest fixed-point search converges in finite time;
  - there is no need for a narrowing operator  $\Delta : \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$

We define for any abstract domain  $A$ , which is a complete lattice as well, the abstract state type  $\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} = \text{Map}(\text{Var}, A)$ .

## Assumption

We assume that all the variables referenced in the program have been initialized. Therefore, the value of a non initialized variable is assumed to be “unknown” ( $\top_{I_{m,n}}$ ).

$\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$  is partially ordered

$$s_1 \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} s_2 \iff \forall x \in Var. s_1(x) \sqsubseteq_{I_{m,n}} s_2(x)$$

$\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$  is a complete lattice

$$\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} = \{(x, \perp_{I_{m,n}}) \mid x \in Var\}$$

$$\top_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} = \emptyset$$

$$s_1 \vee_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} s_2 = \{(var, a_1 \vee_{I_{m,n}} a_2) \mid (var, a_1) \in s_1, (var, a_2) \in s_2\}$$

$$s_1 \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} s_2 = \{(var, a_1 \wedge_{I_{m,n}} a_2) \mid (var, a_1) \in s_1, (var, a_2) \in s_2\} \\ \cup \{e \mid e \in s_1, e \notin s_2\} \cup \{e \mid e \notin s_1, e \in s_2\}$$

$\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}$  represents an abnormal termination or sure divergence  $\uparrow$ .

Hence, such a state is propagated through the remaining execution and no recover is possible:

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} a & (x, a) \in s \\ \top_{I_{m,n}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$s[x \mapsto a] = \begin{cases} \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} & s = \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} \\ \{(k, v) \mid (k, v) \in s, k \neq x\} & a \neq \top_{I_{m,n}}, s \neq \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} \\ \{(k, v) \mid (k, v) \in s, k \neq x\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The abstract semantic functions are:

- $\mathcal{A}^\# : AExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow A \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$ 
  - the first element of the tuple approximates the possible results of the arithmetic expression;
  - the second element approximates the possible states after the transition induced by the expression;
- $\mathcal{B}^\# : BExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$ 
  - the first element of the tuple approximates the states where the boolean expression can evaluate to **tt**;
  - the second element approximates the states where the boolean expression can evaluate **ff**.

This function returns two states, instead of one, in order to preserve the short circuit behavior of boolean operators along with a compositional definition.

- $\mathcal{D}^\# : While \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$ .



$$\mathcal{A}^\# : AExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{l_{m,n}} \rightarrow l_{m,n} \times \mathbb{S}_{l_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket n \rrbracket s^\# = (\{n\mathbb{Z}\}, s^\#)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket x \rrbracket s^\# = (s^\#(x), s^\#)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket (e) \rrbracket s^\# = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket -e \rrbracket s^\# = (-a^\#, s_1^\#)$$

$$\text{where } (a^\#, s_1^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket [e_1, e_2] \rrbracket s^\# = (\{a_1^\#, \dots, a_2^\#\}, s_2^\#)$$

$$\text{where } (a_1^\#, s_1^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$(a_2^\#, s_2^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket s_1^\#$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\# : AExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow I_{m,n} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \mathbf{op} e_2 \rrbracket s^\# &= (a_1^\# \mathit{op}_{I_{m,n}} a_2^\#, s_2^\#) \\ \text{where } (a_1^\#, s_1^\#) &= \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket s^\# \\ (a_2^\#, s_2^\#) &= \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket s_1^\#\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\sharp : AExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{l_{m,n}} \rightarrow l_{m,n} \times \mathbb{S}_{l_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket x++ \rrbracket s^\sharp = (s^\sharp(x), s^\sharp[x \mapsto x + l_{m,n} \ 1])$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket ++x \rrbracket s^\sharp = (s_1^\sharp(x), s_1^\sharp)$$

$$\text{where } s^\sharp[x \mapsto x + l_{m,n} \ 1] = s_1^\sharp$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket x-- \rrbracket s^\sharp = (s^\sharp(x), s^\sharp[x \mapsto x - l_{m,n} \ 1])$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket --x \rrbracket s^\sharp = (s_1^\sharp(x), s_1^\sharp)$$

$$\text{where } s^\sharp[x \mapsto x - l_{m,n} \ 1] = s_1^\sharp$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# : BExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket \text{true} \rrbracket s^\# = (s^\#, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}})$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket \text{false} \rrbracket s^\# = (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, s^\#)$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket (b) \rrbracket s^\# = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_1 \text{ and } b_2 \rrbracket s^\# = (s_2^{\#(then)}, s_1^{\#(else)} \vee_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} s_2^{\#(else)})$$

$$\text{where } (s_1^{\#(then)}, s_1^{\#(else)}) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_1 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$(s_2^{\#(then)}, s_2^{\#(else)}) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_2 \rrbracket s_1^{\#(then)}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_1 \text{ or } b_2 \rrbracket s^\# = (s_1^{\#(then)} \vee_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} s_2^{\#(then)}, s_2^{\#(else)})$$

$$\text{where } (s_1^{\#(then)}, s_1^{\#(else)}) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_1 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$(s_2^{\#(then)}, s_2^{\#(else)}) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket b_2 \rrbracket s_1^{\#(else)}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp : BExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp \llbracket e_1 = e_2 \rrbracket s^\sharp = \begin{cases} (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \vee a_2 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \\ (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, s_2^\sharp) & a_1 \wedge_{I_{m,n}} a_2 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \\ (s_2^\sharp, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 = a_2 \wedge |a_1| = |a_2| = 1 \\ (trans(s^\sharp(=)), trans(s)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } (a_1, s_1^\sharp) = \mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket s^\sharp$$

$$(a_2, s_2^\sharp) = \mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket s_1^\sharp$$

$$trans = \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \circ \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\sharp \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp \llbracket e_1 \neq e_2 \rrbracket s^\sharp = (s_2^\sharp, s_1^\sharp)$$

$$\text{where } (s_1^\sharp, s_2^\sharp) = \mathcal{B}^\sharp \llbracket e_1 = e_2 \rrbracket s^\sharp$$

## Variable refinements

When one or both arithmetic expressions are variable, then we can be more precise:

$$s^{\sharp(=)} = \text{GFP}_{s^{\sharp}} f$$

$$\text{where } f(s) = s[x \mapsto a_1 \wedge_{I_{m,n}} a_2]$$

$$\forall (\text{Var } x) \in \{e_1, e_2\}, (a_1, s_1) = \mathcal{A}^{\sharp}[\![e_1]\!]s, (a_2, -) = \mathcal{A}^{\sharp}[\![e_2]\!]s_1$$

Since  $f$  is descending monotone (it changes the abstract values with the  $\wedge_{I_{m,n}}$  operator of up to two variables) and  $I_{m,n}$  has no infinite descending chains, the GFP of  $f$  converges in finite time.

$$\mathcal{B}^\# : BExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 < e_2 \rrbracket s^\# = \begin{cases} (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \vee a_2 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \\ (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, s_2^\#) & a_1 <_{I_{m,n}} a_2 \\ (s_2^\#, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 \geq_{I_{m,n}} a_2 \\ (trans(s^\#(<)), trans(s^\#(\geq))) & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } (a_1, s_1^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$(a_2, s_2^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket s_1^\#$$

$$trans = \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \circ \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 \geq e_2 \rrbracket s^\# = (s_2^\#, s_1^\#)$$

$$\text{where } (s_1^\#, s_2^\#) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 < e_2 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# : BExp \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \times \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 > e_2 \rrbracket s^\# = \begin{cases} (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \vee a_2 = \perp_{I_{m,n}} \\ (\perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}, s_2^\#) & a_1 >_{I_{m,n}} a_2 \\ (s_2^\#, \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}}) & a_1 \leq_{I_{m,n}} a_2 \\ (trans(s^\#(>)), trans(s^\#(\leq))) & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } (a_1, s_1^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket s^\#$$

$$(a_2, s_2^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket s_1^\#$$

$$trans = \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \circ \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket$$

$$\mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 \leq e_2 \rrbracket s^\# = (s_2^\#, s_1^\#)$$

$$\text{where } (s_1^\#, s_2^\#) = \mathcal{B}^\# \llbracket e_1 > e_2 \rrbracket s^\#$$



$\mathcal{D}^\# : \mathbf{While} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$

$$\mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket x := e \rrbracket s^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} s'^\# [x \mapsto a] & (a, s'^\#) = \mathcal{A}^\# \llbracket e \rrbracket s^\# \\ & \wedge a \neq \perp_{I_{m,n}} \\ \perp_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket s^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket S_1 ; S_2 \rrbracket s^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{D}^\# \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket) s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\# : \mathbf{While} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\#[\text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2]s^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{B}^\#[S_1]s_{\text{tt}}^\#) \vee_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} (\mathcal{B}^\#[S_2]s_{\text{ff}}^\#)$$

$$\text{where } (s_{\text{tt}}^\#, s_{\text{ff}}^\#) = \mathcal{B}^\#[b]s^\#$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\#[\text{while } b \text{ do } S]s^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_2(\mathcal{B}^\#[b](\text{GFP}_{\text{FIX}} F(\lambda s. s \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} F s)))$$

$$\text{where } F : \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}$$

$$F s = s^\# \vee_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} (\mathcal{D}^\#[S] \circ \pi_1 \circ \mathcal{B}^\#[b]s)$$

Where  $\text{FIX } F$  refers to the fixed point of the function  $F$  and  $\text{GFP}_s f$  is the greatest fixed point of  $f$  found starting from  $s$ .

## Widened invariant refinition

Since  $I_{m,n}$  has infinitely ascending chains,  $\text{FIX } F$  might diverge. Therefore, in the implementation, we make use of a widened iteration sequence.

The **widened invariant** resulting from (possibly) widened  $\text{FIX } F$  is later refined with the GFP of  $\lambda s.s \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} F s$ .

This is sound:

- the widened invariant  $s^{*\sharp}$  is a sound over-approximation of the smallest loop invariant  $s^*$ ;
- $s^* = F s^*$ , so  $s^* = s^* \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} F s^*$ : therefore  $\lambda s.s \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{I_{m,n}}} F s$  (descending monotone) is a sound filtering of those states not in  $s^*$ .

Therefore, GFP  $F$  starting from  $s^{*\sharp}$  is the most precise refinement of the widened invariant.

The program runs with the command

```
$ cabal run ai -- path/to/file.whl
```

This command will read the file given as input and:

- it will output the invariant after the last program point;
- it will rewrite the input into a file called just as the input plus `.inv`, with the invariants as comments at any program point.

The program points are located along with the statements:

- the terminals  $x:=e$  and `skip` are followed by one program point;
- the `then` and `else` sub-statements in the branch statement are preceded by one program point each;
- while statements are preceded by a program point, whose invariant is the loop invariant of that loop;
- the `do` sub-statement in the loop statement is preceded by one program point;
- while statements are followed by one program point, which is the invariant after the loop exit.

## Input

```
x := 0;  
while x < 10 do {  
    x := x + 2  
}
```

## Output

```
x := 0; // {"x": [0, 0]}  
skip; // {"x": [0, 11]}  
while x < 10 do {  
    skip; // {"x": [0, 9]}  
    x := (x + 2); // {"x": [2, 11]}  
};  
skip; // {"x": [10, 11]}
```

# Examples (2)



## Input

```
x := 10;  
while x > 0 do x := x + 1;  
y := 0
```

## Output

```
x := 10; // {"x": [10, 10]}  
skip; // {"x": [10, Inf]}  
while x > 0 do {  
  skip; // {"x": [10, Inf]}  
  x := (x + 1); // {"x": [11, Inf]}  
};  
skip; // BOTTOM STATE  
y := 0; // BOTTOM STATE
```

## Input

```
x := [-10, 10];  
if x / 2 = x then y := x else y := 0
```

## Output

```
x := [(-10), 10]; // {"x": [-10, 10]}  
if (x / 2) = x then {  
  skip; // {"x": [-1, 0]}  
  y := x; // {"y": [-1, 0], "x": [-1, 0]}  
} else {  
  skip; // {"x": [-10, 10]}  
  y := 0; // {"y": [0, 0], "x": [-10, 10]}  
};  
skip; // {"y": [-1, 0], "x": [-10, 10]}
```