

Esercizio 2 p. 24

Definire la funzione *append*, che concatena due liste, in modo tale che:

- $append(x, y) \in List(A) [x \in List(A), y \in List(A)];$
- $append(x, nil) = x \in List(A) [x \in List(A)];$
- $append(x, cons(y, a)) = cons(append(x, y), a) \in List(A) [x \in List(A), y \in List(A)].$

Definizione:

$$append(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} El_{List}(y, x, (y', a, acc).cons(acc, a))$$

Esempi:

$$\begin{aligned} append(cons(cons(nil, 1), 2), nil) &= \\ &= El_{List}(nil, cons(cons(nil, 1), 2), (y', a, acc).cons(acc, a)) = \\ &= cons(cons(nil, 1), 2) \end{aligned}$$

Usiamo la notazione $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$ per le liste per facilitare la lettura.

$$\begin{aligned} append(\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}) &= \\ &= El_{List}(\{3, 4\}, \{1, 2\}, (y', a, acc).cons(acc, a)) = \\ &= cons(El_{List}(\{3\}, \{1, 2\}, (y', a, acc).cons(acc, a)), 4) = \\ &= cons(cons(El_{List}(nil, \{1, 2\}, (y', a, acc).cons(acc, a)), 3), 4) = \\ &= cons(cons(\{1, 2\}, 3), 4) \equiv \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \end{aligned}$$

Esercizio 3 p. 24

Specificare il tipo e definire le seguenti operazioni:

- *back*, che restituisce la lista meno l'ultimo elemento;
- *front*, che restituisce la lista meno il primo elemento;
- *last*, che restituisce l'ultimo elemento della lista;
- *first*, che restituisce il primo elemento della lista.

Siccome si tratta di funzioni che restituiscono un risultato solo se la lista è non vuota, allora definiamo un tipo opzionale $Opt(O) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} O + N_1$, con cui modellare l'assenza o la presenza di un risultato:

- $inl(o) \in O + N_1 [a \in O]$ modella la presenza di un risultato (o);
- $inr(*) \in O + N_1 []$ modella l'assenza di un risultato.

Funzione *back*:

- $back(x) \in List(A) + N_1 [x \in List(A)];$

- $back(nil) = inr(*) \in List(A) + N_1 \quad []$;
- $back(cons(s, a)) = s \in List(A) + N_1 \quad [s \in List(A), a \in A]$.

Definizione:

$$back(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} El_{List}(s, inr(*), (s, a, c).inl(El_{List}(s, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a'))))$$

Esempi:

$$\begin{aligned} back(cons(nil, 2)) &= \\ &= El_{List}(cons(nil, 2), inr(*), (s, a, c).inl(El_{List}(s, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a')))) \\ &= inl(El_{List}(nil, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a'))) = \\ &= inl(nil) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} back(\{1, 2\}) &= \\ &= El_{List}(\{1, 2\}, inr(*), (s, a, c).inl(El_{List}(s, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a')))) \\ &= inl(El_{List}(\{1\}, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a'))) = \\ &= inl(cons(El_{List}(nil, nil, (s', a', acc).cons(acc, a')), 1)) = \\ &= inl(cons(nil, 1)) = inl(\{1\}) \end{aligned}$$

Funzione *front*:

- $front(x) \in List(A) + N_1 \quad [x \in List(A)]$;
- $front(nil) = inr(*) \in List(A) + N_1 \quad []$;
- $front(cons(s, a)) = inl(El_+(front(s), (s').cons(front(s'), a), (u).nil)) \in List(A) + N_1 \quad [s \in List(A), a \in A]$

Definizione:

$$\begin{aligned} front(x) &= El_{List}(x, inr(*), (s, a, c).inl(El_{List}(cons(s, a), nil, e))) \\ \text{dove } e(s', a', acc) &= El_{List}(s', nil, (x, y, z).cons(acc, a')) \end{aligned}$$

Esempi:

$$\begin{aligned} front(cons(nil, 2)) &= \\ &= El_{List}(cons(nil, 2), inr(*), (s, a, c).inl(El_{List}(cons(s, a), nil, e))) \\ &= inl(El_{List}(cons(nil, 2), nil, e)) = \\ &= inl(e(nil, 2, El_{List}(nil, nil, e))) = \\ &= inl(e(nil, 2, nil)) = \\ &= inl(El_{List}(nil, nil, (x, y, z).cons(nil, 2))) = inl(nil) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
front(\{1, 2\}) &= \\
&= \text{El}_{List}(\{1, 2\}, \text{inr}(*), (s, a, c). \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(\text{cons}(s, a), \text{nil}, e))) \\
&= \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(\{1, 2\}, \text{nil}, e)) = \\
&= \text{inl}(e(\{1\}, 2, \text{El}_{List}(\{1\}, \text{nil}, e))) = \\
&= \text{inl}(e(\{1\}, 2, e(\text{nil}, 1, \text{El}_{List}(\text{nil}, \text{nil}, e)))) = \\
&= \text{inl}(e(\{1\}, 2, e(\text{nil}, 1, \text{nil}))) = \\
&= \text{inl}(e(\{1\}, 2, \text{El}_{List}(\text{nil}, \text{nil}, (x, y, z). \text{cons}(\text{nil}, 1)))) = \\
&= \text{inl}(e(\{1\}, 2, \text{nil})) = \\
&= \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(\{1\}, \text{nil}, (x, y, z). \text{cons}(\text{nil}, 2))) = \\
&= \text{inl}(\text{cons}(\text{nil}, 2)) = \text{inl}(\{2\})
\end{aligned}$$

Funzione *last*:

- $\text{last}(x) \in A + N_1$ [$x \in List(A)$];
- $\text{last}(\text{nil}) = \text{inr}(*) \in A + N_1$ [];
- $\text{last}(\text{cons}(s, a)) = \text{inl}(a) \in A + N_1$ [$s \in List(A), a \in A$].

Definizione:

$$\text{last}(x) = \text{El}_{List}(x, \text{inr}(*), (s', a', \text{acc}). \text{inl}(a))$$

Funzione *first*:

- $\text{first}(x) \in A + N_1$ [$x \in List(A)$];
- $\text{first}(\text{nil}) = \text{inr}(*) \in A + N_1$ [];
- $\text{first}(\text{cons}(s, a)) = \text{inl}(\text{El}_+(\text{first}(s), (a').a', (u).a)) \in A + N_1$ [$s \in List(A), a \in A$]

Definizione:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{first}(x) &= \text{El}_{List}(x, \text{inr}(*), (s, a, \text{acc}). \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(s, a, e))) \\
\text{dove } e(s', a', \text{acc}) &= \text{El}_{List}(s', a', (x, y, z). \text{acc})
\end{aligned}$$

Esempi:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{first}(\{1\}) &= \\
&= \text{El}_{List}(\{1\}, \text{inr}(*), (s, a, \text{acc}). \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(s, a, e))) \\
&= \text{inl}(\text{El}_{List}(\text{nil}, 1, e)) = \text{inl}(1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
first(\{1, 2, 3\}) &= \\
&= El_{List}(\{1, 2, 3\}, inr(*), (s, a, acc).inl(El_{List}(s, a, e))) \\
&= inl(El_{List}(\{1, 2\}, 3, e)) = \\
&= inl(e(\{1\}, 2, El_{List}(\{1\}, 3, e))) = \\
&= inl(e(\{1\}, 2, e(nil, 1, El_{List}(nil, 3, e)))) = \\
&= inl(e(\{1\}, 2, e(nil, 1, 3))) = \\
&= inl(e(\{1\}, 2, El_{List}(nil, 1, (x, y, z).3))) = \\
&= inl(e(\{1\}, 2, 1)) = \\
&= inl(El_{List}(\{1\}, 2, (x, y, z).1)) = inl(1)
\end{aligned}$$

Esercizio 1 p. 27 Scrivere le regole del tipo *Bool* che rappresenta valori booleani e provare che il *Bool* è rappresentabile da $N_1 + N_1$.

Formazione:

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{\text{Bool type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-Bool}$$

Sia $\text{Bool} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$. Allora la regola F-Bool è derivabile assumendo $\Gamma \text{ cont}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S}}{N_1 + N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-+}$$

Introduzione (*false*):

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{0 \in \text{Bool } [\Gamma]} \text{ I-0-Bool}$$

Sia $\text{Bool} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$ e $0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} inl(*)$. Allora la regola I-0-Bool è derivabile assumendo $\Gamma \text{ cont}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{* \in N_1 [\Gamma]} \text{ I-S} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S}}{inl(*) \in N_1 + N_1 [\Gamma]} \text{ I-1-+}$$

Introduzione (*true*):

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{1 \in \text{Bool } [\Gamma]} \text{ I-1-Bool}$$

Sia $\text{Bool} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$ e $1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} inr(*)$. Allora la regola I-1-Bool è derivabile assumendo $\Gamma \text{ cont}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{* \in N_1 [\Gamma]} \text{ I-S} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{N_1 \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-S}}{inr(*) \in N_1 + N_1 [\Gamma]} \text{ I-2-+}$$

Eliminazione:

$$\frac{M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool] \quad b \in Bool [\Gamma] \quad m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma] \quad m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]}{El_{Bool}(b, m_0, m_1) \in M(b) [\Gamma]} \text{ E-Bool}$$

Sia $Bool \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$ e $El_{Bool}(b, m_0, m_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} El_+(b, e_0, e_1)$, con $e_0(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_0$, $e_1(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_1$. Allora la regola E-Bool è derivabile:

- da $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool]$ assumiamo $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1]$
- da $b \in Bool [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $b \in N_1 + N_1 [\Gamma]$
- da $m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) [\Gamma]$
- da $m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) [\Gamma]$

$$\frac{M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1] \quad b \in N_1 + N_1 [\Gamma] \quad e_0(x_0) \in M(inl(x_0)) [\Gamma, x_0 \in N_1] \quad e_1(x_1) \in M(inl(x_1)) [\Gamma, x_1 \in N_1]}{El_+(b, e_0, e_1) \in M(b) [\Gamma]} \text{ E-+}$$

Che coincide con le premesse, siccome $x \in N_1 \implies x = *$.

Conversione (false):

$$\frac{M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool] \quad m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma] \quad m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]}{El_{Bool}(0, m_0, m_1) = m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma]} \text{ C}_1\text{-Bool}$$

Sia $Bool \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$ e $El_{Bool}(b, m_0, m_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} El_+(b, e_0, e_1)$, con $e_0(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_0$, $e_1(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_1$. Allora la regola C-0-Bool è derivabile:

- da $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool]$ assumiamo $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1]$
- da $m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) [\Gamma]$
- da $m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) [\Gamma]$

$$\frac{M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1] \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{* \in N_1 [\Gamma]} \text{ I-S} \quad e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) [\Gamma] \quad e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) [\Gamma]}{El_+(inl(*), e_0, e_1) = e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) [\Gamma]} \text{ C}_1\text{-+}$$

Conversione (true):

$$\frac{M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool] \quad m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma] \quad m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]}{El_{Bool}(1, m_0, m_1) = m_1 \in M(1) [\Gamma]} \text{ C}_2\text{-Bool}$$

Sia $Bool \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$ e $El_{Bool}(b, m_0, m_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} El_+(b, e_0, e_1)$, con $e_0(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_0$, $e_1(*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_1$. Allora la regola C-1-Bool è derivabile:

- da $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in Bool]$ assumiamo $M(z)type [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1]$
- da $m_0 \in M(0) [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) [\Gamma]$

- da $m_1 \in M(1) \ [\Gamma]$ assumiamo $e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) \ [\Gamma]$

$$\frac{M(z)type \ [\Gamma, z \in N_1 + N_1] \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{* \in N_1 \ [\Gamma]} \text{ I-S} \quad e_0(*) \in M(inl(*)) \ [\Gamma] \quad e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) \ [\Gamma]}{El_+(inr(*), e_0, e_1) = e_1(*) \in M(inr(*)) \ [\Gamma]} \text{ C}_2+$$

Esercizio 4 p. 53

Supponendo il tipo $Bool \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_1 + N_1$, con $0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} inl(*)$ e $1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} inr(*)$ si vuole mostrare che esiste un proof-term q tale che:

$$q \in \forall_{x \in Bool} ((x = 0) \vee (x = 1))$$

Sia $\varphi = \forall_{x \in Bool} ((x = 0) \vee (x = 1))$. Allora $q \in \varphi$ sse esiste un t tale che $t \in (\varphi)^I$ sia derivabile nella teoria dei tipi.

Il tipo è:

$$(\varphi)^I = \Pi_{x \in Bool} (\text{Id}(Bool, x, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, x, 1))$$

Il termine è $\lambda x^{Bool}. El_{Bool}(x, inl(id(0)), inr(id(1)))$ (usiamo come regola di derivazione di El_{Bool} quella dell'esercizio 1 di p. 27).

$$\frac{\text{Id}(Bool, z, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, z, 1) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool] \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } \boxed{}} \text{F-Bool}}{x \in Bool [x \in Bool]} \text{var} \quad \text{inl}(id(0)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) [x \in Bool] \quad \text{inr}(id(1)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) [x \in Bool]}{\frac{\text{El}_{Bool}(x, \text{inl}(id(0)), \text{inr}(id(1))) \in \text{Id}(Bool, x, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, x, 1) [x \in Bool]}{\lambda x^{Bool}. \text{El}_{Bool}(x, \text{inl}(id(0)), \text{inr}(id(1))) \in \Pi_{x \in Bool} (\text{Id}(Bool, x, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, x, 1)) \boxed{}} \text{I-}\Pi} \text{E-Bool}$$

Per semplicità di lettura la derivazione dell'albero segue spezzata.

D'ora in poi la derivazione del giudizio $Bool \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]$ e dei giudizi derivati nel seguente albero verranno omessi:

$$\sim \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } \boxed{}} \text{F-Bool}}{x \in Bool \text{ cont}} \text{F-c}}{z \in Bool, x \in Bool \text{ cont}} \text{F-Bool}}{Bool \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{F-Bool}$$

Il giudizio $\text{Id}(Bool, z, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, z, 1) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]$ è derivabile:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } [x \in Bool]} [\dots]}{z \in Bool [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{var} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{z \in Bool, x \in Bool \text{ cont}} [\dots]}{0 \in Bool [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } [x \in Bool]} [\dots]}{z \in Bool [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{var} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{z \in Bool, x \in Bool \text{ cont}} [\dots]}{1 \in Bool [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool}}{\frac{\frac{\text{Id}(Bool, z, 0) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]}{\text{Id}(Bool, z, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, z, 1) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \quad \frac{\text{Id}(Bool, z, 1) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]}{\text{Id}(Bool, z, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, z, 1) \text{ type } [z \in Bool, x \in Bool]} \text{F-Id}} \text{F-+}$$

Il giudizio $\text{inl}(id(0)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) [x \in Bool]$ è derivabile:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{0 \in Bool \boxed{}} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } \boxed{}} \text{F-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{0 \in Bool \boxed{}} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{0 \in Bool \boxed{}} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type } \boxed{}} \text{F-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{0 \in Bool \boxed{}} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{1 \in Bool \boxed{}} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool}}{\frac{\text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) \text{ type } \boxed{}}{\text{inl}(id(0)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) \boxed{}} \quad \frac{\text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) \text{ type } \boxed{}}{\text{inl}(id(0)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) \boxed{}} \text{I}_1\text{-+} \quad \frac{\frac{}{} \boxed{\text{cont}}}{x \in Bool \text{ cont}} [\dots]}{\text{inl}(id(0)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 0, 1) [x \in Bool]} \text{weak-te}$$

Il giudizio $inr(id(1)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) [x \in Bool]$ è derivabile:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{1 \in Bool} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool}}{id(1) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) \boxed{}} \text{I-Id} \quad \frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type}} \text{F-Bool} \quad \frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{1 \in Bool} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{0 \in Bool} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool}}{\text{Id}(Bool, 1, 0) \text{ type} \boxed{}} \quad \frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Bool \text{ type}} \text{F-Bool} \quad \frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{1 \in Bool} \text{I}_1\text{-Bool} \quad \frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{1 \in Bool} \text{I}_2\text{-Bool}}{\text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) \text{ type} \boxed{}} \text{I}_1\text{-+} \\
\hline
\frac{inr(id(1)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) \boxed{}}{inr(id(1)) \in \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, 1, 1) [x \in Bool]} \quad \frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{x \in Bool \text{ cont}} \frac{[...]}{\text{weak-te}}
\end{array}$$

Pertanto, siccome il giudizio $\lambda x^{Bool}. \text{El}_{Bool}(x, inl(id(0)), inr(id(1))) \in \prod_{x \in Bool} (\text{Id}(Bool, x, 0) + \text{Id}(Bool, x, 1)) \boxed{}$ è derivabile, e dunque il tipo è abitato, esiste un proof term $p \in \forall_{x \in Bool} ((x = 0) \vee (x = 1))$.

Esercizio 2 p. 53

1. Dimostrare che $N_1 \rightarrow N_0$ e N_0 sono isomorfi.
2. Fornire la traduzione in logica proposizionale *as-sets* secondo Curry-Howard-Martin-Löf.

1. $N_1 \rightarrow N_0$ e N_0 sono isomorfi sse esistono due termini $f(x)$ e $h(y)$ tali che:

- $f(x) \in N_0 \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]$
- $h(y) \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \ [y \in N_0]$

siano derivabili nella teoria dei tipi; inoltre tali termini devono essere tali per cui esistano \mathbf{pf}_1 e \mathbf{pf}_2 per le proposizioni:

- $\mathbf{pf}_1 \in x = h(f(x)) \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]$;
- $\mathbf{pf}_2 \in y = f(h(y)) \ [y \in N_0]$.

I termini sono:

$$f(x) = \text{Ap}(x, *)$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \text{ type } \boxed{\text{cont}}} \text{F} \rightarrow}{x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \text{ cont}} \text{F-c}}{* \in N_1 \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]} \text{I-S} \quad \frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \text{ type } \boxed{\text{cont}}} \text{F} \rightarrow}{x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]} \text{var}}{\text{Ap}(x, *) \in N_0 \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]} \text{E-P}$$

$$h(y) = \lambda s^{N_1}.y$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{N_1 \text{ type } \boxed{\text{cont}}} \text{F-S}}{s \in N_1 \text{ cont}} \text{F-c}}{y \in N_0 \ [y \in N_0 \ s \in N_1]} \text{var}}{\lambda s^{N_1}.y \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0 \ [y \in N_0]} \text{I} \rightarrow$$

Pertanto siccome

- $h(f(x)) = s^{N_1}.\text{Ap}(x, *)$;
- $f(h(y)) = \text{Ap}(\lambda s^{N_1}.y, *)$.

la traduzione in teoria dei tipi delle proposizioni è:

- $x = h(f(x)) \text{ prop } [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0] = \text{Id}(N_1 \rightarrow N_0, x, \lambda s^{N_1}.\text{Ap}(x, *)) \ [x \in N_1 \rightarrow N_0]$;
- $y = f(h(y)) \text{ prop } [y \in N_0] = \text{Id}(N_0, y, \text{Ap}(\lambda s^{N_1}.y, *)) \ [y \in N_0]$.

$$\mathbf{pf}_1 = \text{El}_{N_0}(Ap(x, *))$$

$$\mathbf{pf}_2 = \text{El}_{N_0}(y)$$

[illegible]

2. Siccome il tipo $N_1 \rightarrow N_0$ corrisponde a $\Pi_{x \in N_1} N_0$, allora possiamo dare le seguenti traduzioni, dato il contesto Γ :

- $tt \rightarrow \perp \in Form(\Gamma)$;
- $\neg tt \in Form(\Gamma)$;
- $(\forall_{x \in N_1} \perp) \in Form(\Gamma)$.

Notare che tutte queste formule equivalgono a $\perp \in Form(\Gamma)$

Esercizio 5 p. 59

Sia $Bool = N_1 + N_1$ e siano $a, b \in Un_0$. Codificare il tipo $T(a) \times T(b)$ utilizzando solamente Π , $Bool$ e l'universo Un_0 .

Utilizziamo di seguito le regole di $Bool$ come presentate in precedenza, nello svolgimento dell'esercizio 1 di pagina 27.

Definiamo:

- il tipo $T(a) \times T(b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b))$;
- il costruttore $\langle x, y \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda p. \text{El}_{Bool}(p, x, y)$;
- l'eliminatore $\pi_1(d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ap(d, 0)$;
- l'eliminatore $\pi_2(d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ap(d, 1)$.

Viene mostrato di seguito come le regole coincidano con quelle di $T(a) \times T(b)$.

Formazione:

$$\frac{a \in Un_0 [\Gamma] \quad b \in Un_0 [\Gamma]}{T(a) \times T(b) \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-}\times$$

La regola $\text{F-}\times$ è derivabile assumendo $a \in Un_0 [\Gamma]$, $b \in Un_0 [\Gamma]$ e $\Gamma \text{ cont}$:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{Un_0 \text{ type } [\Gamma, q \in Bool, z \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{q \in Bool [\Gamma, q \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad a \in Un_0 [\Gamma, q \in Bool] \quad b \in Un_0 [\Gamma, q \in Bool]}{\frac{\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b) \in Un_0 [\Gamma, q \in Bool]}{T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b)) \text{ type } [\Gamma, q \in Bool]} \text{ E-}Un_0} \text{ E-Bool}}{\frac{\Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b)) \text{ type } [\Gamma]}{T(a) \times T(b) \text{ type } [\Gamma]} \text{ F-}\Pi}$$

Introduzione:

Definiamo $\langle x, y \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda p. \text{El}_{Bool}(p, x, y)$.

$$\frac{x \in T(a) [\Gamma] \quad y \in T(b) [\Gamma]}{\langle x, y \rangle \in T(a) \times T(b) [\Gamma]} \text{ I-}\times$$

La regola $\text{I-}\times$ è derivabile assumendo $x \in T(a) [\Gamma]$, $y \in T(b) [\Gamma]$ e $\Gamma \text{ cont}$ (segue nella pagina successiva):

L'albero è spezzato in rami per facilitare la lettura:

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{T(\text{El}_{Bool}(p, a, b)) \text{ type } [\Gamma, p \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{p \in Bool [\Gamma, p \in Bool]} [\dots] \quad x \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool] \quad y \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}{\frac{\text{El}_{Bool}(p, x, y) \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(p, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}{\lambda p. \text{El}_{Bool}(p, x, y) \in \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b)) [\Gamma]} \text{I-II}} \text{E-Bool}$$

Ramo $x \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Un_0 \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0, z \in Bool]} [\dots]}{a = \text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b) \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{eq-E-}Un_0}{T(a) = T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{conv}}{\frac{x \in T(a) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}{x \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}} \text{C}_2\text{-Bool}$$

Ramo $y \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Un_0 \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0, z \in Bool]} [\dots]}{b = \text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b) \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{eq-E-}Un_0}{T(b) = T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{conv}}{\frac{y \in T(b) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}{y \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) [\Gamma, p \in Bool]}} \text{C}_1\text{-Bool}$$

Eliminazione:

Definiamo $\pi_1(d) = Ap(d, 0)$ e $\pi_2(d) = Ap(d, 1)$.

$$\frac{d \in T(a) \times T(b)}{\pi_1(d) \in T(a)} \text{ E}_1-\times$$

$$\frac{d \in T(a) \times T(b)}{\pi_2(d) \in T(b)} \text{ E}_2-\times$$

Le regole sono derivabili assumendo $d \in \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b))$ $[\Gamma]$ e $\Gamma \text{ cont}$ (le dimostrazioni sono nella pagina seguente):

$$\pi_1(d) = Ap(d, 0)$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{0 \in Bool} [\dots] \quad d \in \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b)) [\Gamma]}{Ap(d, 0) \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) [\Gamma]} \text{ E-II} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Un_0 \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0, z \in Bool]} [\dots]}{a \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} [\dots]}{b \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} [\dots]}{a = \text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b) \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{ eq-E-}Un_0}{T(a) = T(\text{El}_{Bool}(0, a, b)) \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{ conv} \quad \text{C}_2\text{-Bool}$$

$$Ap(d, 0) \in T(a) [\Gamma]$$

$$\pi_2(d) = Ap(d, 1):$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \text{ cont}}{1 \in Bool} [\dots] \quad d \in \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(\text{El}_{Bool}(q, a, b)) [\Gamma]}{Ap(d, 1) \in T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) [\Gamma]} \text{ E-II} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\boxed{\text{cont}}}{Un_0 \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0, z \in Bool]} [\dots]}{a \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} [\dots]}{b \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} [\dots]}{b = \text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b) \in Un_0 [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{ eq-E-}Un_0}{T(b) = T(\text{El}_{Bool}(1, a, b)) \text{ type } [a \in Un_0, b \in Un_0]} \text{ conv} \quad \text{C}_1\text{-Bool}$$

$$Ap(d, 1) \in T(b) [\Gamma]$$

Conversione:

$$\frac{x \in T(a) \ [\Gamma] \quad y \in T(b) \ [\Gamma]}{\pi_1(\langle x, y \rangle) = x \in T(a) \ [\Gamma]} \beta_1-\times$$

$$\frac{x \in T(a) \ [\Gamma] \quad y \in T(b) \ [\Gamma]}{\pi_2(\langle x, y \rangle) = y \in T(b) \ [\Gamma]} \beta_2-\times$$

Le regole sono derivabili assumendo:

- $x \in T(a) \ [\Gamma]$;
- $a \in Un_0 \ [\Gamma]$;
- $y \in T(b) \ [\Gamma]$;
- $b \in Un_0 \ [\Gamma]$;
- $\Gamma \text{ cont.}$

$d \in \Pi_{q \in Bool} T(El_{Bool}(q, a, b)) \ [\Gamma]$ e $\Gamma \text{ cont}$ (le dimostrazioni sono nella pagina seguente):

$$\pi_1(\langle x, y \rangle) = x \in T(a) \quad [\Gamma]:$$

$$\pi_2(\langle x, y \rangle) = y \in T(b) \text{ } [\Gamma]:$$

Entrambi gli alberi presentano il seguente ramo:

$$\frac{\frac{a \in Un_0 \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool, \ q \in Bool] \quad b \in Un_0 \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool, \ q \in Bool]}{T(El_{Bool}(q, a, b)) \ type \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool, \ q \in Bool]} \text{ [dimostrato in precedenza]} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \ cont}{p \in Bool \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]} \text{ [...] } \quad \frac{\frac{x \in T(a) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool] \quad \frac{\Gamma \ cont}{T(a) = T(El_{Bool}(0, a, b)) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]} \text{ [...] } \quad \frac{\text{conv}}{y \in T(b) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \ cont}{T(b) = T(El_{Bool}(1, a, b)) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]} \text{ [...] } \quad \text{conv}}{y \in T(El_{Bool}(1, a, b)) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]}}{El_{Bool}(p, x, y) \in T(El_{Bool}(p, a, b)) \ [\Gamma, \ p \in Bool]}$$