

Round Off of e

Michel Gonzalez

October 17, 2021

In this assignment we were instructed to test a limit approximation of the value $e \approx 2.72$. The value e was given by $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$. where $n = 10^k$ for $k = 1, \dots, 10$. The code used to compute this is given below,

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <math.h>

float main()
{
    float e; //initialize e

    int i, n, k;

    printf("Enter a number
    from 1 to 10: ");

    // takes input value
    scanf("%d", &k);

    // uses exponent to get n
    // this will limit the value of n

    n = pow(10.0, (float) k);

    float j;

    // the division will cause error
    // when n gets large

    j = 1 + ((float) 1 / (float) n);

    e = pow( j, (float) n);

    printf("when n is %d, e is equal
    to %f \n", n, e);

    return 0;
}
```

The following table shows the results for $n = 10^1, \dots, 10^{10}$.

```
when n is 10, e is
equal to 2.593743
```

```
when n is 100, e is
equal to 2.704811
```

```
when n is 1000, e is
equal to 2.717051
```

```
when n is 10000, e is
equal to 2.718597
```

<pre>when n is 100000, e is equal to 2.721962 when n is 1000000, e is equal to 2.595227 when n is 10000000, e is equal to 3.293968</pre>	<pre>when n is 100000000, e is equal to 1.000000 when n is 1000000000, e is equal to 1.000000 when n is -2147483648, e is equal to 0.000000</pre>
--	---

As n gets significantly large the computation begins to have trouble. This is due to the amount of storage a single precise floating point number can store. In single precise, it can store up to 32-bits of information where 8-bits are given to the exponent, 23 to the Mantissa, and 1 to the sign of the number with a bias of 127. It begins to have trouble if n is larger than 10^5 . Past this, the values of e begin to be affected by the bias between $n = 10^6$ and $n = 10^8$.

Once n gets larger then the value of $\frac{1}{n}$ gets too small to store since the decimal is over 7 digits long. Thus, the computer rounds $\frac{1}{n} \approx 0$, so function is computed to equal 1.000000. The last notable error is when $n = 10^{10}$ which causes n to become $\approx -\infty$. This indicates that the power calculation must have exceeded the upper limit of the single precise floating point number causing it to give the special value of $-\infty$.

I was able to get a better computation when I changed the calculation of e to be $e = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i!}$. The code used to compute e is below

<pre>#include <stdlib.h> #include <stdio.h> #include <math.h> int factorial(int n) { if (n == 0) { return 1; } return n * factorial(n - 1); } float main() { int i, k, n;</pre>	<pre>float e; printf("Enter a number between 1 to 10: "); scanf("%d", &n); float j; for(i = 0; i <= n; i++){ j = factorial(i); e += (1.0 / (float) j); } printf("When n is %d, e is equal to %f\n", n, e); return 0; }</pre>
---	--

The following table shows the results for $n = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10$. Anything above $n = 9$ computes but does not change the single precise value of e .

When n is 1, e is equal to 2.000000	When n is 7, e is equal to 2.718254
When n is 3, e is equal to 2.666667	When n is 9, e is equal to 2.718282
When n is 5, e is equal to 2.716667	When n is 10, e is equal to 2.718282

Testing the values above $n = 9$ will compute until $\frac{1}{n!}$ becomes too small to register causing the program to set e equal to ∞ . Regardless, testing above $n = 9$ resulted in the same values for e thus, this method of approximating e is better since it does not reacquire any large number calculations.

In actual computing, e is computed using the $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i!}$ method, however double precision is used instead of single precision.