

Proof Techniques

Lecture 2

October 10, 2021

Definitions, Theorems, and other Mathematical Creatures

- A **definition** describes the objects and notions that we talk about.
 - Definitions should be precise; as precise as, for example, Java class definitions.
- A **theorem** is a specially interesting statement proven true.
- A **lemma** is a statement that is special only because proving it assists in proving another, more significant statement.
- A **corollary** is a statement whose proof follows from the proof of a theorem.

Proofs

- A proof is a logical argument that a statement is true.
- It is a sequence of statements; each statement follows(?) from previous statements, definitions, or already-proven results.
 - As you will see, writing a proof is pretty much like writing a program.
 - You first have to spend some time thinking about how to approach the problem, and then you have to write your program/proof using precise language.
 - Just like a program, a proof is often divided into sub-proofs.

Direct Proofs

- $P \rightarrow Q$: Assume P and (recursively) prove Q .
- $P \wedge Q$: Prove P and prove Q .
- $P \leftrightarrow Q$: Recall that this is just $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$.
- $\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$: Prove that $P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ for an arbitrary x .
 - In particular, consider an arbitrary x such that $P(x)$.

Example

Theorem *For any two sets A and B , $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$.*

Proof. (Write your own proof here and then see the text p. 20)

Example (cont'd)

Proof.

Let A and B be two sets. We need to prove

1. $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ and
2. $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$

We show the first. Let $x \in \overline{A \cup B}$. By definition of complementation, $x \notin A \cup B$. Hence, by definition of union, $x \notin A$ and $x \notin B$. By definition of complementation again, $x \in \overline{A}$ and $x \in \overline{B}$. Thus, by definition of intersection, $x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$. Since x is an arbitrary member of $\overline{A \cup B}$, it follows that $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$.

Proof Techniques

- Some of the commonly used proof techniques in the theory of computation:
 1. Proof by construction
 2. Proof by contradiction
 3. Proof by induction

1. Proof by Construction

- Used to prove that a particular type of object exists.
- The proof demonstrates how the object is to be constructed.
- Example
 - **Definition** *A graph is **k -regular** if every node in the graph has degree k .*
 - **Theorem** *For each even integer n greater than 2, there exists a 3-regular graph with n nodes.*
 - **Proof.** (Write your proof here and then see the text p. 21.)

Proof by Construction (cont'd)

Proof. Let n be an even integer greater than 2. Consider the graph $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$, where

- $V_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and
- E_n is the smallest set satisfying the following conditions.
 1. $\{i, i + 1\} \in E_n$, for $1 \leq i < n$;
 2. $\{1, n\} \in E_n$; and
 3. $\{i, i + n/2\} \in E_n$, for $1 \leq i \leq n/2$

Proof by Construction (cont'd)

We claim that G_n is 3-regular. To prove this claim, let $u \in V_n$. We consider four exhaustive and mutually exclusive cases.

1. $u = 1$. In this case, u is in exactly three edges: $\{1, 2\}$ (by the first condition), $\{1, n\}$ (by the second condition), and $\{1, 1 + n/2\}$ (by the third condition).
2. $u = n$. In this case too, u is in exactly three edges: $\{n - 1, n\}$ (by the first condition), $\{1, n\}$ (by the second condition), and $\{n/2, n\}$ (by the third condition).
3. $2 \leq u \leq n/2$. Again, u is in exactly three edges: $\{u, u + 1\}$ and $\{u - 1, u\}$ (by the first condition) and $\{u, u + n/2\}$ (by the third condition).
4. $n/2 + 1 \leq u \leq n - 1$. Again, u is in exactly three edges: $\{u, u + 1\}$ and $\{u - 1, u\}$ (by the first condition) and $\{u - n/2, u\}$ (by the third condition).

2. Proof by Contradiction

- We would like to prove a statement P .
- Assume $\neg P$.
- Find some statement Q , and prove both Q and $\neg Q$.
- By the rule of conjunction, we can derive $Q \wedge \neg Q$.
- Since, we have proved that $\neg P \rightarrow (Q \wedge \neg Q)$, and since $Q \wedge \neg Q$ is false, it must be that $\neg P$ is false.
- Hence P .

Proof by Contradiction Example

Theorem $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof.

- Assume that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational.
- Thus, there are integers a and b such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$.
- In particular, let $\frac{a}{b}$ be the simplest fractional representation of $\sqrt{2}$.
 - That is, the only common factor of a and b is 1.
- Hence, $2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$.
- It follows that $a^2 = 2b^2$, which means that a^2 is even.
- But, then, a is also even.

Proof by Contradiction Example (cont'd)

- Hence, there is an integer m with $a = 2m$.
- Now, since $a^2 = 2b^2$, it follows that $(2m)^2 = 2b^2$.
- Thus, $b^2 = 2m^2$.
- It follows that b is even.
- Consequently, there is an integer n such that $b = 2n$.
- But then a and b have a common factor other than 1, namely 2; which is a **contradiction**.
- Thus, our only assumption, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational, must be false.

3. Proof by Induction

- Used to prove that all elements of some infinite set have a certain property.
- For now, consider only the infinite set, \mathbb{N} , of natural numbers.
- Strategy: If \mathcal{P} is the property under consideration, then
 - Prove that $\mathcal{P}(1)$ is true. This is called the **basis**.
 - Prove that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P}(k)$ implies $\mathcal{P}(k + 1)$. This is called the **induction step**.
 - * The assumption that $\mathcal{P}(k)$ is true is called the **induction hypothesis**.
- Why does it work?
 - The domino effect.

Example

Theorem *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.*

Example

Theorem *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.*

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by using induction on n .

Example

Theorem For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by using induction on n .

Basis: For $n = 1$, the L.H.S. is 1 and the R.H.S is

$\frac{1(1+1)}{2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$. Since the L.H.S. = R.H.S., then the statement is true for $n = 1$.

Example

Theorem For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by using induction on n .

Basis: For $n = 1$, the L.H.S. is 1 and the R.H.S is

$\frac{1(1+1)}{2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$. Since the L.H.S. = R.H.S., then the statement is true for $n = 1$.

Induction Hypothesis: Assume the statement is true for some

$k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, assume that $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$.

Example (Cont'd)

Induction Step: We need to show that

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k + (k + 1) = \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2}.$$

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k + (k + 1)$$

$$= \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + (k + 1) \text{ (By the induction hypothesis.)}$$

$$= \frac{k(k + 1) + 2(k + 1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2} \text{ (By factoring out } (k + 1)\text{.)}$$

$$\text{Thus, for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}.$$

Points to take home

- Definitions, theorems, lemmas, and corollaries.
- Proofs.
- Proof by construction.
- Proof by contradiction.
- Proof by induction.

Next time

- Formal languages.