Labs **Machine Learning Course**Fall 2019

EPFL

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Martin Jaggi & Rüdiger Urbanke

www.epfl.ch/labs/mlo/machine-learning-cs-433

Problem Set 7, Oct 31, 2019 (Theory Questions Part)

2. Support Vector Machines using Coordinate Descent

1. The dual objective function that we have to optimize is the following :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\pmb{\alpha}}{\text{maximize}} & f(\pmb{\alpha}) = \pmb{\alpha}^{\top} \pmb{1} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \pmb{\alpha}^{\top} \pmb{Q} \pmb{\alpha} \\ \text{subject to} & \pmb{\alpha} \in [0,1]^N \end{array}$$

where $Q := \text{diag}(y) X X^{\top} \text{diag}(y)$. For computing coordinate update for one coordinate n, consider the following one variable sub-problem:

$$\label{eq:problem} \begin{split} & \underset{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}}{\text{maximize}} & & f(\pmb{\alpha} + \gamma \pmb{e}_n) \\ & \text{subject to} & & 0 \leq \alpha_n + \gamma \leq 1 \end{split}$$

where $e_n = [0, \cdots, 1, \cdots, 0]^{\top}$ (all zero vector except at the n^{th} position). Note that, $\nabla f(\alpha) = \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2\lambda}(Q + Q^{\top})\alpha = \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}Q\alpha$ (Q is symmetric) and thus $\nabla_n f(\alpha) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}e_n^{\top}Q\alpha$. Simplifying $f(\alpha + \gamma e_n)$, we get

$$f(\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma \boldsymbol{e}_n) = (\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma \boldsymbol{e}_n)^{\top} \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma \boldsymbol{e}_n)^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma \boldsymbol{e}_n)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2\lambda} (\gamma^2 \boldsymbol{e}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{e}_n + \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{e}_n + \gamma \boldsymbol{e}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

$$= f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2\lambda} \boldsymbol{Q}_{nn} + \gamma (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{e}_n)$$

Differentiating with respect to γ and equating to 0, we get :

$$-\frac{\gamma^{\star}}{\lambda} \mathbf{Q}_{nn} + (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}) = 0$$
$$\gamma^{\star} = \frac{\lambda}{\mathbf{Q}_{nn}} (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{e}_{n})$$

Note that $Q_{nn} = \boldsymbol{x}_n^{\intercal} \boldsymbol{x}_n y_n^2 = \boldsymbol{x}_n^{\intercal} \boldsymbol{x}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\intercal} Q \boldsymbol{e}_n = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \boldsymbol{Q}_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^{\intercal} \boldsymbol{x}_n y_n$. Using $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \boldsymbol{x}_i$, we get $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\intercal} Q \boldsymbol{e}_n = \lambda y_n \boldsymbol{w}^{\intercal} \boldsymbol{x}_n$ and thus

$$\gamma^{\star} = \frac{\lambda}{\boldsymbol{x}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n} (1 - y_n \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n)$$

We conclude

$$\begin{split} \alpha_n^{\mathsf{new}} &= \alpha_n^{\mathsf{old}} + \gamma^\star \\ &= \alpha_n^{\mathsf{old}} + \frac{\lambda}{\boldsymbol{x}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n} (1 - y_n \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n) \end{split}$$

Since we have a constraint $\alpha \in [0,1]^N$ and we know that function f is quadratic with respect to α_n , the optimal α_n is the projection of α_n^{new} onto the set $[0,1]^N$:

$$\alpha_n^{\mathsf{new}} := \min \Big\{ \max \Big\{ \alpha_n^{\mathsf{old}} + \frac{\lambda}{\boldsymbol{x}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n} (1 - y_n \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_n), 0 \Big\}, 1 \Big\}$$

3. Kernels

• First we will prove that the sum or two valid kernels k_1 and k_2 $k = k_1 + k_2$ is a valid kernel. We need to construct a feature vector $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ such that $k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \phi(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top} \phi(\boldsymbol{x}')$, then by definition k would be a valid kernel

Because kernels k_1 and k_2 are valid kernels

$$k_1(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top} \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}'), \qquad k_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top} \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x}'),$$

for some feature vectors $\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Lets take $\phi(m{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(m{x}) \\ \phi_2(m{x}) \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}\phi(\boldsymbol{x}') = \left(\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}, \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}') \\ \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x}') \end{pmatrix} = \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}') + \phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}\phi_2(\boldsymbol{x}')$$
$$= k_1(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') + k_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$$

Therefore $k = k_1 + k_2$ is a valid kernel.

• Second, we will prove that a product $k=k_1\cdot k_2$ of two valid kernels is a valid kernel. Let's denote n_1 and n_2 dimensions of a feature vectors $\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})$ (i.e. $\phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $\phi_2(\boldsymbol{x})\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$).

$$k_1(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}'), \qquad k_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}'),$$

Then the kernel $k = k_1 \cdot k_2$ is

$$k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \left(\phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \left(\phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}') \phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right)$$

Lets introduce a feature vector $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1n_2}$, such that $\phi_{in_2+j}(x) = \phi_{1,i}(x)\phi_{2,j}(x)$ for $i \in [0,\ldots,n_1-1], j \in [0,\ldots,n_2-1]$. Note that for such i and j the index of the feature vector ϕ ic correct: $in_2+j \in [0,\ldots,n_1n_2-1]$. Then,

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x})^{\top}\phi(\boldsymbol{x}') = \sum_{l=0}^{n_1 n_2 - 1} \phi_l(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_l(\boldsymbol{x}') = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2 - 1} \phi_{in_2 + j}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{in_2 + j}(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_2 - 1} (\phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x})) (\phi_{1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}')\phi_{2,j}(\boldsymbol{x}')) = k_1(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') \cdot k_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}').$$

Therefore $k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = k_1(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') \cdot k_2(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$ is a valid kernel.

- Note that every term in the resulting polynomial is a product of kernels and that all these terms have positive coefficients. Hence the result follows by the two statements proved above: that the positive sum of valid kernels is a valid kernel and that the product of valid kernels is a valid kernel.
- 2. We have $\exp(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{x^i}{i!}$. We can hence apply the previous result concerning polynomials with positive coefficients and apply the limit.

2