

ST5215 Advanced Statistical Theory, Lecture 18

HUANG Dongming

National University of Singapore

20 Oct 2020

Overview

Last time

- Weak Law of Large Number (WLLN)
- Weak Convergence of Measures
- Central Limit Theorem

Today

- Consistency
- Asymptotic bias, variance, mean squared error

Needs for the Asymptotic Approach

- In many applications of statistics, the distribution of given statistic $T_n(X)$ is needed, but the exact distributions of $T_n(X)$ is not available or too complicated to deal with
- The limiting distribution is used as an approximation to the distribution of $T_n(X)$ in the situation with a large but actually finite n
 - ▶ by using CLT, SLLN, WLLN, δ -method, etc.
 - ▶ We treat a sample $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ as a member of a sequence corresponding to $n = 1, 2, \dots$
 - ▶ Similarly, a statistic $T(X)$, often denoted by T_n to emphasize its dependence on the sample size n , is viewed as a member of a sequence T_1, T_2, \dots
- In addition, the asymptotic approach requires less stringent mathematical assumptions than does the exact approach

Consistency

Intuitively, a good estimator shall be close to the *estimand* (the target parameter) when n is large in some sense

Definition (Consistency of point estimators)

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a sample from $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $T_n(X)$ be an estimator of θ (a parameter related to P) for every n .

- 1 $T_n(X)$ is called *consistent* for θ if and only if $T_n(X) \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ w.r.t. any $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- 2 Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive constants diverging to ∞ . $T_n(X)$ is called *a_n -consistent* for θ if and only if $a_n\{T_n(X) - \theta\} = O_P(1)$ w.r.t. any $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- 3 $T_n(X)$ is called *strongly consistent* for θ if and only if $T_n(X) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta$ w.r.t. any $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- 4 $T_n(X)$ is called *L_r -consistent* for θ if and only if $T_n(X) \xrightarrow{L^r} \theta$ w.r.t. any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ for some fixed $r > 0$.

Remarks

- Consistency is a concept relating to a sequence of estimators, $\{T_n : n = n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$, but we usually just say “consistency of T_n ” for simplicity
- Each of the four types of consistency describes the convergence of T_n to ϑ in some sense, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Consistency in (1) is the weakest one among the four
 - ▶ it is implied by any of the other three types of consistency
 - ▶ but it is the most common one in statistics
 - ▶ also the most basic requirement for an estimator
- L_2 -consistency is also called consistency in mse, which is the most useful type of L_r -consistency.

Example

Assume the population mean μ is finite

- The sample mean \bar{X}_n is strongly consistent for μ by SLLN
- Consider estimators of the form $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} X_i$, where $\{c_{ni}\}$ is a double array of constants
 - ▶ If $c_{ni} = c_i/n$ satisfying that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \rightarrow 1$ and $\sup_i |c_i| < \infty$, then T_n is strongly consistent
 - ▶ If P has a finite variance, then T_n is consistent in mse iff $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} \rightarrow 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni}^2 \rightarrow 0$

Methods of proving consistency

- Combinations of *the LLN, the CLT, Slutsky's theorem, and the continuous mapping theorem* are typically applied
- In particular, if T_n is (strongly) consistent for θ and g is continuous at θ , then $g(T_n)$ is (strongly) consistent for $g(\theta)$

Example: \bar{X}_n^2 is \sqrt{n} -consistent for μ^2 under the assumption that P has a finite variance:

- $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n^2 - \mu^2) = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)(\bar{X}_n + \mu)$
- \bar{X}_n is \sqrt{n} -consistent for μ by CLT
- $\bar{X}_n + \mu = O_P(1)$
- If $Y_n = O_P(b_n)$ and $Z_n = O_P(c_n)$, then $Y_n Z_n = O_P(b_n c_n)$

Exercise: Prove the \sqrt{n} -consistency of \bar{X}_n^2 using CLT and δ -method.

Example: Estimating the Right End of the Support

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from an unknown P with a continuous c.d.f. F .
- Suppose that there is an unknown $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$ s.t. $F(\theta) = 1$ and $F(x) < 1$ for any $x < \theta$.

Consider the largest order statistic $X_{(n)}$ as an estimator of θ

- For any $\epsilon > 0$, $F(\theta - \epsilon) < 1$
- Note that

$$P(|X_{(n)} - \theta| \geq \epsilon) = P(X_{(n)} \leq \theta - \epsilon) = [F(\theta - \epsilon)]^n \quad (1)$$

- Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_{(n)} - \theta| \geq \epsilon) < \infty$, for any $\epsilon > 0$
- By the first Borel-Cantelli lemma, $X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$, i.e., $X_{(n)}$ is strongly consistent for θ

Example (Cont.)

- Note that the CDF of $X_{(n)}$ is $F_n(x) = [F(x)]^n$, which is also continuous
- Suppose F is left-differentiable and $F'(\theta-) \neq 0$, we can show that

$$P(nF'(\theta-)(\theta - X_{(n)}) \geq t) \rightarrow \exp(-t), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

which means that $X_{(n)}$ is n -consistent
(left for exercise; use the fact that $F_n(X_{(n)}) \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ and use Taylor expansion of $F_n(x)$ around θ),

- ▶ More generally, if $F^{(i)}(\theta-) = 0$ for $i < m$ and $F^{(m)}(\theta-) \neq 0$, then $X_{(n)}$ is $n^{\frac{1}{m}}$ -consistent.

Example: Importance of consistent estimators

Suppose that an estimator T_n of θ satisfies

$$c_n [T_n(X) - \theta] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \sigma Y,$$

where Y is a random variable with a known distribution, $\sigma > 0$ is an unknown parameter, and $\{c_n\}$ is a sequence of constants

- Ignorance about σ makes the asymptotic distribution above useless
- If a consistent estimator $\hat{\sigma}_n$ of σ can be found, then, by Slutsky's theorem,

$$c_n [T_n(X) - \theta] / \hat{\sigma}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$$

- We may approximate the distribution of $c_n [T_n(X) - \theta] / \hat{\sigma}_n$ by the known distribution of Y

Remarks on Consistency

- There can be many consistent estimators
- Consistency is an essential requirement in the sense that any inconsistent estimators should not be used, but a consistent estimator is not necessarily good
- Consistency should be used together with one or a few more criteria
 - 1 Asymptotic unbiasedness
 - 2 Asymptotic efficiency

Approximate Unbiasedness

- Unbiasedness is a good property, but in many cases it is impossible to have an unbiased estimator
- A slight bias might reduce variability
- Nevertheless, asymptotically, the bias shall be small

Definition (Approximate unbiasedness)

An estimator $T_n(X)$ for θ is called *approximately unbiased* if $b_{T_n}(\theta) \equiv E_\theta T_n(X) - \theta \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- If T_n is a consistent estimator, and $\{T_n\}$ is uniformly integrable, then T_n is approximate unbiased (Exercise 1 in Tutorial 17)
- Note that there are many estimators whose expectations are not well defined
 - ▶ Consider i.i.d. X_1, \dots, X_n from a normal distribution $N(\mu, 1)$, $\mu \neq 0$
 - ▶ Let $\vartheta = 1/\mu$ be the parameter of interest
 - ▶ Then $T_n = 1/\bar{X}$ is consistent but does not have a finite mean

Asymptotic Unbiasedness

Definition (Asymptotic Expectation)

Let ξ, ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be random variables and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $a_n \rightarrow a > 0$.

If $a_n \xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi$ and $E|\xi| < \infty$, then $E\xi/a_n$ is called an *asymptotic expectation* of ξ_n .

Definition (Asymptotic Bias)

Suppose ν is a parameter related to P . Suppose T_n is a point estimator of ν for every n .

- The asymptotic expectation of $T_n - \nu$, if exists, is called an *asymptotic bias* of T_n , denoted by $\tilde{b}_{T_n}(P)$ (or by $\tilde{b}_{T_n}(\theta)$ if P is in a parametric family indexed by θ).
- If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{b}_{T_n}(P) = 0$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then T_n is said to be *asymptotically unbiased*.

Remarks

- Like the consistency, the asymptotic bias is a concept relating to sequences $\{T_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{b}_{T_n}(P)\}$
- When both the exact bias $b_{T_n}(P)$ and the asymptotic bias $\tilde{b}_{T_n}(P)$ exist, they are not necessarily the same
- If T_n is a consistent estimator of ϑ , then $T_n = \vartheta + o_p(1)$, and thus T_n is asymptotically unbiased
 - ▶ T_n may not be approximately unbiased
 - ▶ $g(T_n)$ is asymptotically unbiased for $g(\vartheta)$ for any continuous function g
 - ▶ In the example of estimating $1/\mu$ by $T_n = 1/\bar{X}$, $T_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1/\mu$ by the SLLN and the continuous mapping. Hence T_n is asymptotically unbiased, although ET_n is not defined.

High Order Bias

- Sometimes we are interested in finding a more precise order of the asymptotic bias for asymptotic unbiased estimators
- Suppose $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ is a sequence of random variables such that

$$a_n \eta_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y, \text{ where } EY = 0$$

and

$$a_n^2 (T_n - \vartheta - \eta_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} W,$$

then we may define a_n^{-2} to be *the order of $\tilde{b}_{T_n}(P)$* or define EW/a_n^2 to be *the a_n^{-2} order asymptotic bias of T_n*

Example

Consider i.i.d. X_1, \dots, X_n with a finite mean $\mu \neq 0$ and finite variance σ^2 . Suppose $\vartheta = 1/\mu$ is the parameter of interest.

- Let $T_n = 1/\bar{X}$. It is asymptotic unbiased.
- Note that $\frac{\mu - \bar{X}}{\mu^2} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ and

$$n \left(T_n - \vartheta - \frac{\mu - \bar{X}}{\mu^2} \right) = n \frac{(\mu - \bar{X})^2}{\bar{X} \mu^2}. \quad (2)$$

By the CLT and Slutsky's theorem,

$$n \frac{(\mu - \bar{X})^2}{\bar{X} \mu^2} \xrightarrow{D} \mu^{-3} \sigma^2 \chi_1^2,$$

where the mean of the RHS is σ^2/μ^3 .

- Therefore, $\sigma^2/(n\mu^3)$ is the $1/n$ order asymptotic bias of T_n .

Asymptotic Mean Squared Error (amse)

Like the bias, the variance and MSE of an estimator is not well defined if its second moment does not exist

Definition

Let T_n be an estimator of ϑ for every n and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ or $a_n \rightarrow a > 0$ such that

$$a_n (T_n - \vartheta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$$

with $0 < EY^2 < \infty$.

The *asymptotic mean squared error* of T_n , denoted by $\text{amse}_{T_n}(P)$ (or $\text{amse}_{T_n}(\theta)$ if P is in a parametric family indexed by θ) is defined to be the asymptotic expectation of $(T_n - \vartheta)^2$. In other words,

$$\text{amse}_{T_n}(P) = EY^2/a_n^2.$$

The asymptotic variance of T_n is defined to be $\sigma_{T_n}^2(P) = \text{Var}(Y)/a_n^2$

Remarks

- In the definition, the amse and asymptotic variance are the same if and only if $EY = 0$
- In the definition, one can show that

$$EY^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[a_n^2 (T_n - \vartheta)^2 \right]$$

- ▶ Proof is left for exercise: use Skorohod's theorem and Fatou's lemma
- ▶ The equality holds if and only if $\left\{ a_n^2 (T_n - \vartheta)^2 \right\}$ is uniformly integrable (use Exercise 1 in Tutorial 17).
- ▶ In other words, the amse is no greater than the exact mse and they are equal under a certain condition.

Asymptotic Relative Efficiency

Let T_n and T'_n be two estimators of ϑ

- The *asymptotic relative efficiency* of T'_n w.t.r. T_n is defined to be

$$e_{T'_n, T_n}(P) = \text{amse}_{T_n}(P) / \text{amse}_{T'_n}(P)$$

- T_n is said to be *asymptotically more efficient than* T'_n if and only if

$$\limsup_n e_{T'_n, T_n}(P) \leq 1$$

for any P and < 1 for some P

- Historically, the “efficiency” of an estimator T of θ refers to $1/[I(\theta)\text{MSE}_T(\theta)]$, where $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher information of θ . So the definition above should be understood as

$$e_{T'_n, T_n}(P) = \frac{\text{efficiency of } T'_n}{\text{efficiency of } T_n}$$

A corollary of δ -method

Theorem

Let U_n be a statistic satisfying $a_n (U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ for a random variable Y with $0 < EY^2 < \infty$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ satisfying $a_n \rightarrow \infty$

Let g be a function on \mathcal{R} that is differentiable at $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$ and $T_n = g(U_n)$ be an estimator of $\vartheta = g(\theta)$.

Then, the amse of T_n is $E \{[g'(\theta)Y]^2\} / a_n^2$;
the asymptotic variance of T_n is $[g'(\theta)^2 \text{Var}(Y)] / a_n^2$

See Theorem 2.6 in the textbook for the multivariate version.

Example

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from a Poisson distribution $\text{Poi}(\theta)$ with $\theta > 0$. Consider the estimation of $\tau = P(X_i = 0) = e^{-\theta}$.

Let $T_{1n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n I_{\{X_j=0\}}$

- T_{1n} is unbiased and has $\text{mse}_{T_{1n}}(\theta) = e^{-\theta} (1 - e^{-\theta}) / n$
- By CLT, $\sqrt{n} (T_{1n} - \tau) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, e^{-\theta} (1 - e^{-\theta}))$
- So $\text{amse}_{T_{1n}}(\theta) = \text{mse}_{T_{1n}}(\theta)$

Example (Cont.)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from a Poisson distribution $\text{Poi}(\theta)$ with $\theta > 0$. Consider the estimation of $\tau = P(X_i = 0) = e^{-\theta}$.

Next, consider $T_{2n} = e^{-\bar{X}}$

- By CLT, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \theta)$
- By δ -method, we have $\sqrt{n}(T_{2n} - \tau) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, e^{-2\theta}\theta)$
- So T_{2n} is asymptotic unbiased and $\text{amse}_{T_{2n}}(\theta) = e^{-2\theta}\theta/n$
- Note that $ET_{2n} = e^{n\theta(e^{-1/n}-1)}$ and $nb_{T_{2n}}(\theta) \rightarrow \theta e^{-\theta}/2$. The exact bias of T_{2n} is not $o(1/n)$

Example (Cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{amse}_{T_{1n}}(\theta) &= e^{-\theta} (1 - e^{-\theta}) / n \\ \text{amse}_{T_{2n}}(\theta) &= e^{-2\theta} \theta / n\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic relative efficiency of T_{1n} w.r.t. T_{2n} is

$$e_{T_{1n}, T_{2n}}(\theta) = \theta / (e^{\theta} - 1) < 1, \quad \forall \theta > 0$$

This shows that T_{2n} is asymptotically more efficient than T_{1n}

Tutorial

- ① Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables with Lebesgue p.d.f.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2c} \frac{1}{x^2 \log x} I_{|x|>3},$$

where $c = \int_{x=3}^{\infty} 1/(x^2 \log x) \, dx$.

Show that $E|X_1| = \infty$ but $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow_p 0$

- ② Suppose that X_n is a random variable having the binomial distribution with size n and probability $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Define $Y_n = \log(X_n/n)$ when $X_n \geq 1$ and $Y_n = 1$ when $X_n = 0$.

Show that $\lim_n Y_n = \log \theta$ a.s. and $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \log \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \frac{1-\theta}{\theta})$

- ③ Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables such that X_j has the uniform distribution on $[-j, j]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Show that

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}{n^{3/2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1/3) \quad (3)$$

Exercise 1

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables with Lebesgue p.d.f.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2c} \frac{1}{x^2 \log x} I_{|x| > 3},$$

where $c = \int_{x=3}^{\infty} 1/(x^2 \log x) dx$.

Show that $E|X_1| = \infty$ but $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow_p 0$

Proof:

- $E|X_1| = c^{-1} \int_3^{\infty} \frac{1}{x \log x} dx = \infty$
- For any positive integer n , $E[X_1 I_{(-n,n)}(X_1)] = 0$
- For any $x > 3$, by WLLN and the following

$$\begin{aligned} xP(|X| > x) &= c^{-1} x \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2 \log t} dt \\ &\leq \frac{c^{-1} x}{\log(x)} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{c^{-1} x}{\log(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2

Suppose that X_n is a random variable having the binomial distribution with size n and probability $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Define $Y_n = \log(X_n/n)$ when $X_n \geq 1$ and $Y_n = 1$ when $X_n = 0$.

Show that $\lim_n Y_n = \log \theta$ a.s. and $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \log \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1-\theta}{\theta})$

Proof: SLLN does not apply here because the joint distribution of X_n 's is unknown

- Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be i.i.d. $\text{Bern}(\theta)$. Then the distribution of X_n is the same as that of $\sum_{j=1}^n Z_j$
- For any $\epsilon > 0$, by Markov inequality ($f(x) = x^4$),

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\frac{X_n}{n} - \theta\right| \geq \epsilon\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} E\left|\frac{X_n}{n} - \theta\right|^4 \\ &= \frac{\theta^4(1-\theta) + (1-\theta)^4\theta}{\epsilon^4 n^3} + \frac{\theta^2(1-\theta)^2(n-1)}{\epsilon^4 n^3} \end{aligned}$$

- Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\frac{X_n}{n} - \theta\right| \geq \epsilon\right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

- By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, $\lim_n X_n/n = \theta$ a.s.

- By the continuity of the log function, $\lim_n Y_n = \log \theta$ a.s.
- Since X_n has the same distribution as $\sum_{j=1}^n Z_j$ for each n , by the CLT, $\sqrt{n}(X_n/n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \theta(1 - \theta))$
- Define $W_n = X_n/n + eI_{\{X_n=0\}}$. Then $Y_n = \log(W_n)$
- $\lim_n X_n/n = \theta$ a.s. implies that $\lim_n \sqrt{n}I_{\{X_n=0\}} = 0$ a.s.
- By Slutsky's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(W_n - \theta) &= \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{X_n}{n} - \theta\right) + e\sqrt{n}I_{\{X_n=0\}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \theta(1 - \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

- Then, by the δ -method with $g(t) = \log t$ and $g'(t) = t^{-1}$,
 $\sqrt{n}(\log W_n - \log \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N\left(0, \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right)$, i.e., $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \log \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N\left(0, \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right)$

Exercise 3

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables such that X_j has the uniform distribution on $[-j, j], j = 1, 2, \dots$. Show that

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}{n^{3/2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1/3)$$

Proof:

- Note that $EX_j = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_j) = \frac{1}{2j} \int_{-j}^j x^2 dx = j^2/3$ for all j
- Hence

$$\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j\right) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^n j^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{3 \times 6} \sim \frac{1}{9} n^3$$

- For any $\epsilon > 0$, $n < \epsilon \sigma_n$ for sufficiently large n and $|X_j| \leq j \leq n$, in which case

$$\sum_{j=1}^n E\left(X_j^2 I_{\{|X_j| > \epsilon \sigma_n\}}\right) = 0$$

Thus, Lindeberg's condition holds and by CLT, $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$