

Step 1: Declare your lawful standing and keep the proof.

Removing the presumption of your consent is accomplished by swearing your allegiance to the Committee of the Barons (which is To the Common Law Constitution and not the Barons themselves).

You may then serve a 'Notice of lawful objection' to the local police chief inspector, the courts, or any crown agent, such as an M.P. (report treason to a justice of the peace), with your evidence.

Premade oath sets are available. All proceeds go toward our campaign, administration, and platform fees. See our campaign store www.commonlawassent.com/shop. See the templates section of the same website for our full list of templates.

Step 2: "Conditionally accept" any demands placed on you.

While you are putting the individual on notice that Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 is still in effect today, and they must provide evidence in substance (meaning evidence written down in document form) that it is no longer in effect, the crown (courts, police, tax offices, councils, etc.) have no authority to make demands against you, so they obviously cannot provide evidence to the contrary.

Step 3: Serve a 'Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure.'

Suppose somebody ignored the first conditional acceptance Notice or your question(s). We do this to maintain honour, which is a crucial element of the process (you can serve a second Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure if you prefer -optional) before performing the third notice:



Step 4: Serve a "Notice of Default." You are claiming that they are now in default and dishonour and that they have acknowledged, either by implicit assent (ignoring you) or through a lack of substance (offering no documented evidence of their power to make claims on you), that they have no legal/lawful claim against you.

Step 5: Serve a Notice of Treason on a persistent agent (holding them personally accountable for their activities under penalty of perjury). By evidencing acts of treason that have been and are being committed today, the agent would have to decide whether then or not to commit high treason to pursue you further. Suppose they are in the know, such as so-called judges and upper echelons of the police force. In that case, you will very unlikely hear from them again, and the summons or other demand for payment will invariably not be pursued.

Step 6: If persons ignore the Misprision of Treason notification, a 'Notice to Stop Harassment' is served.

Also, for bailiffs or collections agencies, there is a **Notice of Removal of Implied Right of Access** form that you may fill out and post on your door or window, as well as mail a copy to the agency.

Finally (if required, which will likely bring another process being started against the police if they refuse to act). Report any harassment, coercion, or extortion attempt to the police. Demand that an investigation be launched and remind them of their oath of duty. To make it stick or record it discreetly, you'll need three witnesses to accompany you to the police station. The police, according to their warrant card and oath,



MUST investigate ALL allegations of crime. They have a 'duty of care' to do so. Be assertive with them but not aggressive.

Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 stipulates that anyone can freely swear, who wishes to, and no one shall be prohibited from pledging their allegiance to the committee of the barons and that anyone unwilling to stand with them (and us at the moment) must be compelled to do so. Thus, we all have a duty to peacefully dissent against the crown and recruit others to transfer allegiance to the barons' committee (Article 61), including, of course, the police. Most people don't realise that they have a duty by 'royal command' to dissent against a regime that does not abide by the people's law (constitution). To understand this concept, which is a contradiction in terms, it helps to realise that Magna Carta 1215 was a treaty and contract which contained equal consideration like all other contracts. We are 'commanded' to bring the crown to order, to see sense as it were, to protect our country from a covert takeover.

As a result, we all have a constitutional responsibility to oppose the crown peacefully, as well as to urge others, including the police, to declare their allegiance to the constitution via the baron's committee also, including, of course, the police.

You can also serve extra Notices on anyone who ignores the Treason Notice with a NOTICE TO STOP...this notice can be as threatening as you like....keeping it clean (no swear words), but use threatening language and inform them that the people are now waking up in droves, and that soon we will collectively reassert the common law within real courts of law, and that you will pursue them with the evidence that you have gathered against them to bring them to justice. Most individuals



are unaware that they have a 'royal command' obligation to stand against a rule that violates the law of the people (constitution).

To understand this concept, which is a contradiction for some folk, we each have a lawful duty by 'royal command' to distress the crown, commanded by the crown!

First, the Magna Carta 1215 was a peace treaty and was contracted with equal consideration, like all other treaties and agreements. We are duty-bound to report treason to the police, of course. Every one of us is commanded (by law) to bring the crown and its agents to order, to see sense as it were, and to protect a 'constitutional' monarch from a covert takeover by the globalists/being a part of it, but also not to harm the monarch or its heirs or successors physically whilst doing so. By doing this, we, the people, are protecting our rights and sovereignty, which the monarch is supposed to be able to watch.

Knowledge is power, but unity is the key. Our ancient ancestors understood that which is why standing under Article 61 is compulsory for us all today, for unity is required to bring down an institutionalised despotic regime. We are all imbued with the power (by law) of our sovereign status since the hereditary peers invoked the security clause in 2001.

Knowledge is powerful, but togetherness is essential. Our forefathers recognised this, which is why standing under Article 61 is obligatory; as treason affects everyone, it is our duty to rise against it; everybody must transfer allegiance (remove your faithfulness presumed or otherwise from the crown actively). This peace pact (MC 1215) effectively ended the 'divine prerogative of kings,' which allowed the king to do whatever



he wanted without being beholden to common law. King John and his mercenaries were accused of plundering land, cutting off noses and ears, removing people's eyes, and so on. Indeed, he was under duress to seal the Magna Carta or risk losing the kingdom in a revolt. The people had spoken via the barons. This pact, like all treaties, was signed under duress since he didn't want to give up his psychopathic tendencies. Indeed, the following year, he attempted to give Pope Innocent III the right to declare the treaty null and void (1216), which was treasonous because the pope had no power over these shores and was not permitted since King Johns peers (the barons) overruled him.

Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 has been invoked on several occasions throughout history, most notably in 1688, when the so-called "glorious revolution" occurred; this elevated William and Mary to the throne, ushering in the traitorous Bill of Rights and Coronation Oath' Act.' The Coronation Oath Act of 1688 obligated the reigning monarch to maintain and uphold the laws of God and the customs of the people of Britain. However, it should not have been created as an Act of Parliament because the Coronation Oath was initially an agreement between the people and the monarch; it precedes parliament, and parliament had no right or jurisdiction to put it into statute form.

By deception and misdirection, we have gradually lost our fair justice system; trials that were ALWAYS held in public so that justice could be seen to be done under common law no longer operate in our land regarding children. We have gradually accepted these corporate hearings to the point that most people believe they are following the law and have the ability to make such demands on us. Another spoon-fed subliminal belief is that we are powerless within the system. We should live in a service system governed by the realm's common laws. The



constitution is currently NOT being obeyed by ANY of the judicial services.

According to the law, we must peacefully reject the crown's authority by swearing allegiance to the committee of barons who invoked Article 61 of the Magna Carta on March 23, 2001, as published in the media (Daily Telegraph and Mail); hence, no one can deny it. The invocation of Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 was in accordance with British/English constitutional law, which suggests that anyone who opposes it is committing sedition, if not treason, by refusing to accept the constitution.

Does the death penalty for high treason still apply today?

Tony Blair did not lawfully repeal The 1795 Treasonable and Seditious Practices Act within chapter 36 of the 1988 Crime and Disorder Act. To attempt to do so was an act of high treason at common law. He also committed two counts of treason by removing the word 'Sovereignty' from the police constables' Oath of office and signing the 'Nice' treaty. Thatcher signed the Single European Act in1986, John Major had Douglas Herd and Frances Maud sign the Maastricht Treaty in 1992, Gordon Brown signed the Lisbon Treaty in 2008, and the list continues.

If you know the truth and wield it honourably and with good intent, and if we stand together in unity with a shared understanding, you are a strong individual in today's society. Under a constitutional monarch, Britain and the Commonwealth have 'National Sovereignty' (in ordinary times). National Sovereignty implies that we are a sovereign nation (kings and Queens in our lands). Article 61 authorises you/me to act as a representative for others. Nobody who wishes to disagree with that truth (police) has any power to do so while assisting and abetting a



traitorous dictatorship. It is not difficult to be assertive with the fact. The truth is always evidential, or it is just hearsay. No gossip is ever required. While the law forbids us from inflicting needless pain or loss on ourselves or others, it is prudent to choose our conflicts intelligently. If you need a car for work or whatever reason, pay it 'under duress,' which you would be doing anyhow by standing under Article 61, so you don't even need to declare that you are under duress. If I had to pay something under pressure, I would put a V.C. before my signature.

(V.C. stands for Vi Coactus, which means "under duress" in Latin.) That means you haven't accepted liability for your payment or punishment. If you have completed the process we use against these people until forced to pay up due to duress of circumstance, you would have a claim against them in the future because there is nowhere to have the hearing right now.

As previously stated, there are no authorised courts of law; all are illegitimate business enterprises. Our "goal," so to speak, is to "demand the reinstatement of common law" and "due process of law" so that people may bring the issue of treason before open courts and the people rather than corporations.

I would only take on the electric or gas companies if you were off the grid and had backup energy sources (David Robinson wrote this a while ago; lately, many people have been pushing back against rising living costs anyway). However, you can prevent them from accessing your house and disconnecting you. I know one woman who utilised the available process for electricity and gas and has successfully kept them at bay. When dealing with these crooks, you must have more secure locks that prevent a locksmith from breaking through your doors! We are



all pioneers in this necessary pushback and must choose our battles wisely and as suits.

Electricity was originally a public service (National Grid), but Thatcher took it in 1990. Water is another stolen public utility and fair game; it cannot be switched off legally because it is a basic need for life.

Thatcher sold this PUBLIC SERVICE in 1989, mainly to the unaware people who already owned it. We should pay for the upkeep of this and other services, but no more. Paying for water and sewage at this time is aiding and abetting theft. They can only lower your water pressure, not shut you off, so go for it.

Council tax is likewise fair game because neither the monarch nor the council has jurisdiction. Simple. But it may be a struggle, so be prepared to write lots of Notices if you take it on. They will not readily enable anyone to avoid paying C.T. because they would lose control. After all, it is the most despised tax. Be advised that if you use the process, you may have to pay under duress or face governmental force.

Lots of people have ceased paying their council tax, I have done mine, and it was easier than the water! The notice process allows you to have a voice and see how the land lies ahead; it buys you time and can help if someone is harassing you for the money you cannot afford. - Danielle

HMRC has already conceded defeat on using Article 61; therefore, it's a fair game, especially given their proximity to the deposed crown. The successful process we utilised can be seen later in this article. This method invalidates voting, registration, T.V. licences, and parking fines.



Starting the process by rebutting simple demands may give you more confidence in using it against more difficult demands later on. You can stop any summons (invitation), and if the police are made aware of your intentions, it will make it much more difficult for them to act against you because you will be able to hold someone accountable if they do.

The best thing we can do for ourselves is to form small groups of likeminded people to help defend each other (not that we have had any occasion to do so until recently) and to support fellow dissenters by supplying and obtaining witness signatures for documents, etc., as well as for general education. For example, it is considerably more difficult for one person to seize a public building than for a group to lobby the council, police, and others calmly. A notice of lawful objection to the higher echelons of the local police force is always an excellent way to go. Your goal cannot be claimed to be simply seeking to avoid paying for things.

You can even have fun with Article 61 and its constitutional authority. Seizing a town hall, for example, is a fascinating endeavour if done quietly and with documented facts of your arguments in hand. You must be assertive with the police and demand that they stand by their oath of office, as they must stand by common law (constitutional law) and accept Article 61's invocation or help and abet treason in full awareness of the facts. The police left us alone at Glastonbury Town Hall, but we only grabbed it to demonstrate to the councillors that we could, that we had to make a stance after they refused to speak on where they each stood on Article 61's invocation. They gave us an excellent illustration of the use of 'lawful excuse'. Before the seizure, we served notice to the police and councillors, and it is always advisable to lay the groundwork ahead.



The so-called "law" concerning motor vehicles is not legal because the constitution was seized in 1911/1688/9 by the passage of the Parliament Act and the Bill of Rights. However, we must achieve a balance. We need rules to prevent some people from driving too quickly near schools or places where many people walk. We all have a responsibility to use an automobile responsibly, as we can easily cause significant harm or loss to others if we do not. Someone should obtain A driving test and certificate of competence before taking to the road. We are not anarchists. Law can be for the benefit of everybody, but only through due process and not under a treasonous regime. Of course, drinking while driving is a dangerous act that can kill innocent people, and a rule should be in place to deter it (in my opinion). What I think is irrelevant; what matters is what the collective people want once we reclaim our country, which will only happen if we can get the police back on our side. We must all 'Lobby the Bobby' (as we put it). It is one of the movement's key goals.

When utilising this technique, create a file and keep it adequately organised with all the papers you serve and get. Building a case file will make it very difficult for magistrates, county, or royal courts to try to abduct you into their domain (I've resisted at least six summonses, and they did persist but have yet to act on any of them when the crunch came). You can go after any individual within the system to stand under Article 61 if you want an easy task; at the very least, we are teaching others by doing so. Some will only listen once they realise the evidence seriously threatens their liberty or possessions.

Please share information with others and assist individuals who may be using this method in any manner you can. For example, one or two



spokespersons and a large number of silent witnesses within any group planning to enforce the law by seizing crown/public properties, recording the events silently and intimidatingly (for the criminals) is a good strategy; see how they squirm or act more like human beings when being filmed.

See the extended layman's and more online (for now) at www.commonlawassent.com

www.patreon.com/commonlawassent