

Econ-5253

Problem Set 8

Mieon Seong

Due by Apr. 2th, 2024

Question 5 : Using the matrices you just generated, compute $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$, which is the OLS estimate of β using the closed-form solution. How does your estimate compare with the true value of β in (1)?

	V1
1	1.5010518
2	-1.0008296
3	-0.2516480
4	0.7490406
5	3.5005531
6	-2.0008185
7	0.4987148
8	1.0028269
9	1.2465102
10	2.0010012

It is almost the same as the actual the value of β .

Question 6 : Compute $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ using gradient descent (as we went over in class). Make sure you appropriately code the gradient vector! Set the "learning rate" (step size) to equal 0.0000003.

```

      [, 1]
[ 1, ]  1.5010518
[ 2, ] -1.0008296
[ 3, ] -0.2516480
[ 4, ]  0.7490406
[ 5, ]  3.5005531
[ 6, ] -2.0008185
[ 7, ]  0.4987148
[ 8, ]  1.0028269
[ 9, ]  1.2465102
[10, ]  2.0010012

```

Question 7 : Compute $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ using nloptr's L-BFGS algorithm. Do it again using the Nelder-Mead algorithm. Do your answers differ?

	L-BFGS	Nelder-Mead
1	1.4375000	1.29909653
2	-1.0312500	-1.11422148
3	-0.1718750	-0.13531271
4	0.8515625	-0.12435493
5	3.5249023	3.58933602
6	-2.0322266	-2.49532367
7	0.5234375	0.34502814
8	0.8945312	0.55734366
9	1.3437500	0.04687693
10	2.0781250	1.22608191

Yes. It is a little different.

Question 8 : Now Compute $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ using nloptr's L-BFGS algorithm.

```
1  -3.350324e-07
2   1.781591e-07
3   1.637747e-07
4  -8.340968e-08
5  -6.032341e-07
6   4.866040e-07
7  -6.535479e-08
8  -6.289788e-08
9  -2.755593e-07
10 -2.658273e-07
```

Question 9-1 : Now compute $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ the easy way:using lm() and directly calling the matrices Y and X (no need to create a data frame). Make sure you tell lm() not to include the constant! This is done by typing lm(Y ~ X -1)

```
X1  1.5011
X2 -1.0008
X3 -0.2516
X4  0.7490
X5  3.5006
X6 -2.0008
X7  0.4987
X8  1.0028
X9  1.2465
X10 2.0010
```

Question 9-2 : Use modelsummary to export the regression output to a .tex file.
In your .tex file, tell me about how similar your estimates of $\hat{\beta}$ are to the "ground truth" β that you used to create the data in (1).

X1	1.501
X2	-1.001
X3	-0.252
X4	0.749
X5	3.501
X6	-2.001
X7	0.499
X8	1.003
X9	1.247
X10	2.001

My estimates of $\hat{\beta}$ is almost same as the "ground truth" β .