**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 2)

Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)

Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)

Records identified from\*:

Databases (n = 4)

Google Scholar (n = 211)

ACM Digital Library (n = 32)

B-on (n =188)

IEE Xplore ( n = 0)

Registers (n = 431)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n =429)

Records excluded\*\*

(n =384)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n =45)

Reports not retrieved

(n =0)

**Screening**

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 45)

Reports excluded:

Not connected to the theme (n =18 )

Couldn’t Retrieve PDF (n = 3)

Studies included in review

(n = 24)

Reports of included studies

(n = 24)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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