Problem Set 5 Key

1 Problem 1

The weights of 15 randomly selected girls at birth from a single hospital in New York recorded an average of 3.09 kg and standard deviation of 0.29 kg.

a. Which distribution should we use for the reliability coefficient of the confidence interval, the standard normal distribution or the t-distribution? [1pt.]

Note

The t-distribution should be used to calculate the reliability coefficient of the confidence interval because the population variance is unknown.

b. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the mean birth weight of girls in the population represented by this sample, assuming that the population is Gaussian/normally distributed. [2pts.]

The confidence interval can be calculated using $\bar{x} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} s / \sqrt{n}$.

```
xbar <- 3.09
stdev <- 0.29
sampsize <- 15
df <- sampsize-1
alpha <- 1-0.95
relcoeff <- qt(p=1-alpha/2,df=df)

lower <- xbar - relcoeff*stdev/sqrt(sampsize)
lower</pre>
```

[1] 2.929403

```
upper <- xbar + relcoeff*stdev/sqrt(sampsize)
upper</pre>
```

[1] 3.250597

The 95% confidence interval for the mean birth weight of girls in the population represented by this sample is (2.93 kg, 3.25 kg)

c. Is 3 kg a plausible value for the population mean? [1pt.]

Note

Because 3 kg can be found in the interval, it is a plausible value for the population mean.

d. Is this result generalizable to all girls born in the United States? Why or why not? [2 pts.]

Note

No, the sample was from a single hospital in New York city, which might not be representative of all girls born in the entire country

2 Problem 2

The Wechsler's Adult Intelligence Scale was designed such that the population standard deviation of all IQ scores was maintained at 15.

a. A random sample of 30 sophomores from a community college recorded an average Wechsler IQ score of 109.5. Construct a 90% confidence interval for the average Wechsler IQ score for the corresponding population. [2 pts.]

```
xbar <- 109.5
stdev <- 15
sampsize <- 30
alpha <- 1-0.9
relcoeff <- qnorm(p=1-alpha/2,mean=0,sd=1)

lower <- xbar - relcoeff*stdev/sqrt(sampsize)
lower</pre>
```

[1] 104.9954

```
upper <- xbar + relcoeff*stdev/sqrt(sampsize)
upper</pre>
```

[1] 114.0046

The 95% confidence interval for the mean Wechsler IQ score for the sophomores in the community college is (105, 114)

b. Suppose you want to extend your study to calculate the average IQ of all the students from the community college such that the resulting 95% confidence interval has a margin of error of 2 points. How many students do you need to sample from the community college? [2 pts.]

```
E <- 2
alpha <- 1-0.95
sigma <- 15
z <- qnorm(p=1-alpha/2)

sampsize <- z^2*sigma^2/E^2
sampsize
```

[1] 216.0821

We need to sample 217 students from the community college to achieve a margin of error of 2 points in our 95% confidence interval for the average IQ of all the students from the community college

3 Problem 3

The file HINTS subset.csv contains a subset of the Health information National Trends Survey 6 (HINTS 6). The households were asked if they used health or wellness apps on their tablet or smartphone (column UsedHealthWellnessApps2) and the type of community they lived in (column PR_RUCA_2010).

a. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the long run proportion of individuals who use health or wellness apps on their portable devices based on the whole sample. [2 pts.]

library(tidyverse)

```
Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 4.5.1
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
        1.1.4
                   v readr
v dplyr
                                2.1.5
v forcats 1.0.0
                     v stringr
                                1.5.1
v ggplot2 4.0.0 v tibble 3.2.1
                   v tidyr
v lubridate 1.9.4
                                1.3.1
          1.0.4
v purrr
-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<a href="http://conflicted.r-lib.org/">http://conflicted.r-lib.org/</a>) to force all conflicts to become
hints <- read.csv("datasets/HINTS subset.csv")</pre>
glimpse(hints)
Rows: 500
Columns: 4
$ X
                         <int> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14,~
$ HHID
                         <int> 23004900, 23004313, 21011500, 23022289, 210041~
$ UsedHealthWellnessApps2 <chr> "Yes", "Yes", "Yes", "Yes", "No", "No", "No", ~
```

<chr> "Metropolitan", "Metropolitan", "Metropolitan"~

We need the proportion of those who reported using health or wellness apps on their portable devices.

library(summarytools)

\$ PR_RUCA_2010

view

```
Warning: package 'summarytools' was built under R version 4.5.1

Attaching package: 'summarytools'

The following object is masked from 'package:tibble':
```

freq(hints\$UsedHealthWellnessApps2)

Frequencies

 $\verb|hints$UsedHealthWellnessApps2|$

Type: Character

	Freq	% Valid	% Valid Cum.	% Total	% Total Cum.
No	186	37.20	37.20	37.20	37.20
Yes	314	62.80	100.00	62.80	100.00
<na></na>	0			0.00	100.00
Total	500	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

```
sampsize <- 500
phat <- 314/sampsize

alpha <- 1-0.95
relcoeff <- qnorm(p=1-alpha/2)
se <- sqrt((phat*(1-phat))/sampsize)

lower <- phat - relcoeff*se
lower</pre>
```

[1] 0.5856343

```
upper <- phat + relcoeff*se
upper</pre>
```

[1] 0.6703657

The 95% confidence interval for the long-run proportion of individuals who use health or wellness apps on their portable devices is (0.59, 0.67).

b. Construct a 95% confidence interval with continuity correction to compare the long run proportion of individuals who use health or wellness apps on their portable devices in the metropolitan and micropolitan areas. (Hint: Use count(dataset, PR_RUCA_2010, UsedHealthWellnessApps2) to get conditional counts for each area.) [3pts.]

count(hints, PR_RUCA_2010, UsedHealthWellnessApps2)

```
PR RUCA 2010 UsedHealthWellnessApps2
1 Metropolitan
                                       No 153
2 Metropolitan
                                      Yes 289
3 Micropolitan
                                      No
                                           20
4 Micropolitan
                                      Yes
                                           13
5
         Rural
                                      No
                                            2
6
                                      Yes
                                            6
         Rural
7
    Small town
                                       No
                                           11
8
    Small town
                                            6
                                      Yes
```

In the metropolitan areas, 289 out of 442 used the apps, while in the micropolitan areas, 13 out of 33 used the apps.

⚠ Warning

The prop.test() can be used to implement the continuity correction. While the prop.test() can calculate the confidence intervals, it uses the pooled variance method in confidence intervals. It also uses a different distribution to calculate the reliability coefficient.

For this problem, I will use prop.test() to implement the continuity correction.

```
ptest <- prop.test(x=c(289,13), n=c(289+153,33),conf.level=0.95, correct=TRUE) ptest
```

2-sample test for equality of proportions with continuity correction

```
data: c(289, 13) out of c(289 + 153, 33)
X-squared = 7.8708, df = 1, p-value = 0.005024
alternative hypothesis: two.sided
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.0711144 0.4486991
sample estimates:
    prop 1    prop 2
0.6538462 0.3939394
```

The resulting 95% confidence interval for the long-run difference in proportion of individuals who use health or wellness apps on their portable devices between the metropolitan and micropolitan areas with the continuity correction is (0.0711, 0.4487).

4 Problem 4

Rows: 375

The data set in hflashes.csv contains data from a 14-year cohort study by Freeman et al (2001) that investigated the occurrence of hot flashes in 375 participants. Construct a 95% confidence interval that estimates the difference in average baseline follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH; column: fsh) measurements between those who did and did not experience hotflashes (column: hotflash). Assume unequal variances. [3pts.]

```
hflashes <- read.csv("datasets/hflashes.csv")
glimpse(hflashes)</pre>
```

```
Columns: 14
           <int> 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24~
$ pt
           <int> 2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2~
$ ageg
           <int> 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0~
$ aagrp
           <int> 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1~
$ edu
$ d1
           <int> 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0~
           <int> 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1~
$ f1a
           <dbl> 56.80537, 59.18338, 57.73952, 55.83575, 55.89324, NA, 55.5009~
$ pcs12
$ hotflash <int> 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0~
$ bmi30
           <int> 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0~
           <dbl> 106.710, 31.250, 13.410, 10.640, 24.060, 37.305, 26.320, 24.1~
$ estra
$ fsh
           <dbl> 3.005, 11.195, 14.545, 5.530, 9.780, 10.290, 7.960, 4.775, 7.~
$ 1h
           <dbl> 2.980, 5.760, 5.595, 2.260, 2.600, 3.395, 3.570, 2.095, 3.660~
$ testo
           <dbl> 7.680, 11.930, 24.375, 8.280, 4.050, 8.275, 15.995, 12.340, 1~
           <dbl> 61.225, 104.920, 117.450, 36.850, 11.165, 100.360, 76.780, 83~
$ dheas
ttest <-t.test(hflashes$fsh~hflashes$hotflash,
       data=hflashes,
       var.equal=F)
ttest
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: hflashes$fsh by hflashes$hotflash t = -3.1377, df = 151.77, p-value = 0.002046 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 95 percent confidence interval: -2.9014940 -0.6593356
```

sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
 7.477043 9.257458

The 95% confidence interval for the difference in average baseline FSH measurements between those who did and did not experience hot flashes is (-2.9015, -0.6593)