Blockchain 101

2# Secure Distributed Systems

In the last episode...



- We learn the fundamental security properties:
 - Integrity, Confidentiality and Authenticity

- These can be guaranteed by cryptographic techniques:
 - ciphers, hash functions, digital signatures

Blockchain 101: contents


- 1. Security Fundamental Concepts
- 2. <u>Secure Distributed Systems</u>
- Blockchain in a Nutshell
- 4. Assembling the pieces: Blockchain prototype

Distributed Systems


A distributed system can be defined as a (variable sized) group of computers that communicate/work together in a coherent way.


Typically, these machines have a shared state and operate concurrently.


• Consider a database server that responds to a few client requests




• Consider a database server that responds to a few client requests










This is called horizontal scalling.
virtually infinite
Can you see any problems?



Distributed Systems

- A distributed system is a way to improve performance (increase throughput and drecrease latency) and add fault-tolerance to the distributed service
- But there are no free lunches:
 - Harder to manage
 - Harder to secure
 - Inter-node latencies
 - Guarantee properties like ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation and Durability)
 - Harder to syncronize
 - ... different typs of distributed system offer different challenges

Types of distributed systems


- A distributed system is a way to improve performance (increase throughput and drecrease latency) and add fault-tolerance to the distributed service
- But there are no free lunches:
 - Harder to manage
 - Harder to secure
 - Inter-node latencies
 - Guarantee properties like ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation and Durability)
 - Harder to syncronize
 - ... different typs of distributed system offer different challenges

P2P networks

- A distributed system is a way to improve performance (increase throughput and drecrease latency) and add fault-tolerance to the distributed service
- But there are no free lunches:
 - Harder to manage
 - Harder to secure
 - Inter-node latencies
 - Guarantee properties like ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation and Durability)
 - Harder to syncronize
 - ... different typs of distributed system offer different challenges

Signtures guarantee integrity and authentication. Can we guarantee integrity with a simple solution?





Cryptographic primitive Security Goal	Hash	MAC	Digital Signature
Integrity	Yes	Yes	Yes
Authentication	No	Yes	Yes
Non-repudiation	No	No	Yes
Type of key	none	Symmetric	Asymmetric





winner
paper
rock
scissors






How can we implement this game in a distributed system?














Game time: Rock paper scissors




















Hash(













Game time: Rock paper scissors





Game time: Rock paper scissors









Here we need to define a protocol:

1) Each play sends the Hash
2) when they receive the other's Hash, he/she can send the random secret




$$Random() = 5$$

$$Random() = 9$$








Random() =
$$5$$







Random() = 5

Hash(+5) = \$FPO3

It supports weak* confidentiality... but does it guarantee authenticity?


*It is hard to make secure randoms

Random() = 9





Man-in-the-middle attack







Long story short:

We could HMAC, they guarantee authenticity, but they do not provide non-repudiation, moreover HMAC don't allow more than 2 player 'cause it uses symmetric keys.

So how can we guaratee authentication?





Random() = 5

Random() = 3


Man-i

Alice

Hash(
$$490 + 3$$
) = FG&/3

Game time: Rock paper scissors





Random() = 5

$$S($\%$#"#$, KAp) = &/()$#$$

$$S(5, KAp) = %$"#$$

$$Random() = 9$$

$$E(K, LOFas#) = $\%$#"#$$$


$$S(9, KBp) = {OLS#$}$$

Coding time



- Implement Rock, paper, scissors game
 - Socket communication
 - Encryption
 - Digital Signatures





- Cryptographic hash functions are one-way functions
 - This means it (should be) is impossible to find the input based on the output
- They are also deterministic
 - This means that for the same input the output is always the same
- The hash function output has a fixed size output, the input can have any size
- It guarantees integrity, it can be used with caution to encrypt data



Discussion slide

Can you think of a use for hash functions in digital signatures?