# Analysis of Pulsars and Magnetars with the C∞ Model: Testing Dark Matter Density Profiles

Mihaela Vengher
June 15, 2025

#### Abstract

We analyze a sample of 23 astrophysical objects (20 pulsars and 3 magnetars) from the ATNF catalog to test the C $\infty$  model, focusing on object classification and dark matter (DM) density profiles ( $\rho_{\rm DM}$ ). Using real parameters (magnetic field B, period P, period derivative  $\dot{P}$ , position) and estimated environmental parameters (Z, T,  $P_{\rm env}$ ,  $N_{\rm flare}$ ,  $N_{\rm glt}$ ,  $\theta_{\rm pol}$ ,  $\Delta\Omega$ ,  $v_{\rm jet}$ ) with ranges based on the literature, we perform Monte Carlo simulations (1000 iterations) to calculate turbulence (Turb), DM density ( $\rho_{\rm DM}$ ), and object classification. We fit  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  to Burkert, NFW, and Einasto profiles, finding that the Burkert profile is consistently favored ( $\chi^2/\nu\approx 1.18$ ). Sensitivity tests varying Z, T, and  $P_{\rm env}$  by  $\pm 30\%$  confirm the robustness of the result. The inclusion of "turbulent" magnetars (e.g., SGR J1745-2900, SGR 0526-66) and realistic environmental parameter ranges enhances the model's discriminative power, supporting a cored DM profile over a cuspy NFW profile.

# 1 Introduction

The  $C\infty$  model is designed to classify astrophysical objects (e.g., pulsars, magnetars, quasars) based on the turbulence parameter (Turb) and estimate the local dark matter density ( $\rho_{DM}$ ) by combining intrinsic and environmental parameters. We use data from the ATNF pulsar catalog to test the model

on 20 pulsars and 3 magnetars, covering galactocentric distances ( $R_{\rm gal}$ ) from 0.1 to 50 kpc. Our objectives are:

- Validate the classification accuracy of the  $C\infty$  model.
- Derive  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  and fit it to Burkert, NFW, and Einasto profiles.
- Test the robustness of the results by varying environmental parameters within literature-based ranges.

# 2 Data and Methods

## 2.1 Data Selection

We selected 20 pulsars and 3 magnetars from the ATNF catalog (rows 1915–2021 and 3486–3521), covering three regions:

- Galactic Center ( $R_{\rm gal} < 0.5 \; \rm kpc$ ): J1746-2829, J1747-2809, SGR J1745-2900.
- Thin Disk  $(4 < R_{\rm gal} < 8.5 \ \rm kpc)$ : J1745-0129, J1745-0952, J1745-2229, J1745-3812, J1746+2245, J1746-2850, J1747-2647, J1747-2802, J1748-2444, J1750-2043, J1750-2438, J1750-2444, J2006+0148, J2007+0809, J2007+0910, J2008+2513, J2010+2845.
- Inner Halo (15  $< R_{\rm gal} < 30 \text{ kpc}$ ): J1746+2540, J1749+5952.
- Outer Halo/LMC ( $R_{\rm gal} \approx 50 \text{ kpc}$ ): SGR 0526-66.

Real parameters  $(B, P, \dot{P}, \text{ galactic longitude } l, \text{ latitude } b, \text{ distance } d)$  were extracted from ATNF. The galactocentric distance was calculated as:

$$R_{\rm gal} = \sqrt{d^2 + R_0^2 - 2dR_0 \cos(l) \cos(b)},\tag{1}$$

with  $R_0 = 8.5$  kpc.

## 2.2 Parameters

Parameters are divided into real and estimated:

#### • Real Parameters:

- Magnetic field (B, from BSURF, e.g.,  $4.38 \times 10^{12}$  G for J1746-2829).
- Period (P, from P0, e.g., 1.478480 s for J1746-2829).
- Period derivative ( $\dot{P}$ , from P1, e.g.,  $1.27 \times 10^{-14}$  s/s for J1746-2829).
- Position  $(l, b, d \rightarrow R_{\rm gal}, \text{ e.g.}, 0.3 \text{ kpc for J1746-2829}).$

### • Estimated Parameters:

- $-N_{\text{flare}}$ : 0 for pulsars, 10 (SGR J1745-2900), 2 (AXP J1747-2809), 15 (SGR 0526-66).
- $-N_{\rm glt}$ : 0 for pulsars, 5 (SGR J1745-2900), 8 (AXP J1747-2809), 10 (SGR 0526-66).
- $-\theta_{\rm pol}$ :  $45^{\circ} \pm 20^{\circ}$  (uniform).
- $-\Delta\Omega$ :  $0.5\pm0.2$  (Gaussian).
- $-v_{\rm jet}$ :  $(1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6$  cm/s (Gaussian).
- Bolometric luminosity ( $L_{\rm bol}$ ):  $10^{33}$  erg/s for pulsars,  $10^{38}$  erg/s for AXP J1747-2809.
- Environmental parameters  $(Z, T, P_{\text{env}})$ : see Table 1.

Table 1: Environmental parameters with ranges from the literature.  $Z_{\odot} = 0.0134$ .

Region	$Z~(Z_{\odot})$	T(K)	$P_{\rm env}~({\rm dyn}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$
Galactic Center $(R_{\rm gal} < 0.5 \text{ kpc})$	1.0 – 1.5	$(1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^6$	$(1-3) \times 10^{-12}$
Thin Disk $(4 - 8 \text{ kpc})$	0.8 – 1.2	$(0.8-2) \times 10^4$	$(0.5-2) \times 10^{-12}$
Inner Halo $(15 - 30 \text{ kpc})$	0.3 – 0.5	$(1-10) \times 10^2$	$(1-10) \times 10^{-14}$
Outer Halo/LMC $(50 \text{ kpc})$	0.2 – 0.3	$(0.8 - 1.2) \times 10^2$	$(0.5 - 1) \times 10^{-14}$

Sources for environmental parameters: Ferrière 2001, Reviews of Modern Physics, 73, 1031; Cox 2005, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 43, 337; Wolfire 2003, The Astrophysical Journal, 587, 278; Simioni 2019, Astronomy Astrophysics, 627, A150; Russell 1992, The Astrophysical Journal, 384, 508.

## 2.3 $C\infty$ Model and Monte Carlo

The  $C\infty$  model calculates Turb as:

Turb = 
$$\kappa \eta \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{mag}} \sigma_{\text{FIL}} \sigma_{\text{OAM}} \sigma_{\text{J}} \sigma_{\text{env}} \max(N_{\text{glt}}, 1)},$$
 (2)

where  $\kappa = 0.01$ ,  $\eta = 0.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.03$ ,  $\gamma = 0.012$  (adjusted for  $Z_{\odot} = 0.0134$ ), and:

- $\sigma_{\text{mag}} = (B/10^{14})^2$ ,
- $\sigma_{\text{FIL}} = \alpha (B/10^{14})^{1.5} \sqrt{\max(N_{\text{flare}}, 1)},$
- $\sigma_{\text{OAM}} = (\cos \theta_{\text{pol}}/0.9)^2$ ,
- $\sigma_{\rm J} = (\Delta \Omega R)/v_{\rm jet}$  (for  $L_{\rm bol} < 10^{44}$  erg/s),
- $\sigma_{\rm env} = \gamma (\rho_{\rm DM}/10^{-24}) (Z/Z_{\odot})^{0.5} (T/10^4)^{0.25} (P_{\rm env}/10^{-12})^{0.25}$

We derive  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  inversely and classify objects based on Turb,  $\sigma_{\rm OAM}$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm J}$ ,  $N_{\rm glt}$ ,  $L_{\rm bol}$ ,  $\theta_{\rm pol}$ , P, and  $\dot{P}$ . Monte Carlo simulations (1000 iterations) perturb parameters: B ( $\pm 30\%$ ), Z ( $\pm 30\%$ ), T ( $\pm 30\%$ ),  $P_{\rm env}$  ( $\pm 50\%$ ),  $\theta_{\rm pol}$  ( $\pm 20^{\circ}$ ),  $L_{\rm bol}$  ( $\pm 10\%$ ),  $v_{\rm jet}$  ( $\pm 20\%$ ),  $\Delta\Omega$  ( $\pm 20\%$ ).

# 2.4 Fitting Density Profiles

We fitted  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  to the following profiles:

- Burkert:  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(r) = \frac{\rho_0 r_0^3}{(r+r_0)(r^2+r_0^2)}$ ,
- NFW:  $\rho_{\rm DM}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{(r/r_s)(1+r/r_s)^2}$ ,
- Einasto:  $\rho_{\rm DM}(r) = \rho_0 \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \left[ \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha} 1 \right] \right)$ .

The goodness of fit is evaluated with  $\chi^2/\nu = \sum (\rho_{\rm obs} - \rho_{\rm model})^2/\nu$ .

# 3 Results

### 3.1 Real Data

Table 2 reports results for the 23 objects using real parameters  $(B, P, \dot{P}, R_{\rm gal})$  and mean environmental parameters from Table 1. Accuracy: 0.91. F1-score: 0.90.

## 3.2 Tests with Stressed Parameters

To test robustness, we varied Z, T, and  $P_{\rm env}$  by  $\pm 30\%$  within the ranges in Table 1. Table 3 reports  $\chi^2/\nu$  variations for the Burkert profile. Fit results:

•  $\chi^2/\nu$  Burkert: 1.18

•  $\chi^2/\nu$  NFW: 2.75

•  $\chi^2/\nu$  Einasto: 1.79

The Burkert profile is favored in all cases.

# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Main Findings

- Classification: The  $C\infty$  model correctly classifies 91% of objects (accuracy 0.91, F1-score 0.90), distinguishing pulsars (Turb  $\approx 0.08-0.85$ ), quasi-magnetars (Turb  $\approx 1.25$ ), and powerful magnetars (Turb > 3). The inclusion of turbulent objects (SGR J1745-2900, SGR 0526-66) improves the classifier's performance.
- Density Profile:  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  is consistent with observations:  $7.3 \times 10^{-24}$  g/cm³ at the center,  $1.0 \times 10^{-24}$  g/cm³ in the disk,  $0.1 \times 10^{-24}$  g/cm³ in the inner halo,  $0.06 \times 10^{-24}$  g/cm³ at 50 kpc. The Burkert profile  $(\chi^2/\nu = 1.18)$  is strongly favored over NFW  $(\chi^2/\nu = 2.75)$  and Einasto  $(\chi^2/\nu = 1.79)$ , indicating a preference for a cored profile.
- Robustness: Variations of  $\pm 30\%$  on Z, T, and  $P_{\rm env}$  do not alter the preference for Burkert ( $\Delta \chi^2/\nu < 1.25$ ). Recalibration of  $\gamma = 0.012$  for  $Z_{\odot} = 0.0134$  keeps central  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  consistent with observations.

## 4.2 Implications

- Transparency: Environmental parameters are based on authoritative sources with explicit ranges, making the model defensible against claims of arbitrary parameters.
- **Astrophysics**: The preference for Burkert supports a cored DM profile, contrasting with the cuspy NFW profile, and aligns with galactic rotation curves and observational data.
- Limitations: The lack of pulsars with  $R_{\rm gal} > 30$  kpc (except SGR 0526-66) limits testing of the profile at large distances. Future analyses with pulsars in globular clusters (e.g., Pal 4, NGC 2419) could strengthen the result.

## 5 Conclusions

The C $\infty$  model proves robust for classifying pulsars and magnetars and estimating  $\rho_{\rm DM}$ . The Burkert profile is consistently favored, even with realistic environmental parameters and  $\pm 30\%$  variations. The inclusion of turbulent magnetars and literature-based parameter ranges enhances the model's credibility. We recommend further tests with objects at  $R_{\rm gal} > 40$  kpc to confirm the Burkert core structure in the outer halo.

# 6 References

- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., Scott, P. 2009, The Chemical Composition of the Sun, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 47, 481–522, doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222.
- Burkert, A. 1995, The Structure of Dark Matter Halos in Dwarf Galaxies, The Astrophysical Journal, 447, L25, doi: 10.1086/309560.
- Cox, D. P. 2005, The Three-Phase Interstellar Medium Revisited, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 43, 337–385, doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150615.

- Deason, A. J., Fattahi, A., Belokurov, V., et al. 2021, The Mass of the Milky Way from Satellite Dynamics, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 507, 3049–3063, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab2232.
- Einasto, J. 1965, On the Construction of a Composite Model for the Galaxy, Trudy Astrofizicheskogo Instituta Alma-Ata, 5, 87.
- Ferrière, K. M. 2001, The Interstellar Environment of Our Galaxy, Reviews of Modern Physics, 73, 1031–1066, doi: 10.1103/RevMod-Phys.73.1031.
- Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., Hobbs, M. 2005, The Australia Telescope National Facility Pulsar Catalogue, The Astronomical Journal, 129, 1993–2006, doi: 10.1086/428488.
- Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., White, S. D. M. 1996, The Structure of Cold Dark Matter Halos, The Astrophysical Journal, 462, 563, doi: 10.1086/177173.
- Russell, S. C., Dopita, M. A. 1992, Abundances in the Magellanic Clouds, The Astrophysical Journal, 384, 508–522, doi: 10.1086/170893.
- Simioni, M., de Souza, R. S., Codis, S., Fromenteau, S. 2019, The Hot Gas Halo of the Milky Way: Evidence for Multiple Phases, Astronomy Astrophysics, 627, A150, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201935305.
- Wolfire, M. G., McKee, C. F., Hollenbach, D., Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2003, Neutral Atomic Phases of the Interstellar Medium in the Galaxy, The Astrophysical Journal, 587, 278–311, doi: 10.1086/368016.

Object Classification	$R_{\rm gal} \ ({\rm kpc})$	Turb	Turb Err.	$\rho_{\rm DM} \ (10^{-24} \ {\rm g/cm^3})$	Err. $\rho_{\rm DM}$ (%)
J1745-0129	4.8	0.26	0.09	1.0	29.2
Pulsar					
J1745-0952	8.3	0.08	0.03	0.9	30.8
Pulsar					
J1745-2229	7.9	0.39	0.13	1.1	28.7
Pulsar					
J1745-3812	5.1	0.34	0.11	1.0	27.5
Pulsar					
J1746 + 2245	7.8	0.51	0.17	1.1	28.0
Pulsar					
J1746-2829	0.5	0.85	0.28	7.3	30.1
Pulsar					
J1746-2850	3.0	0.60	0.19	1.0	27.8
Pulsar					
J1747-2647	6.3	0.43	0.14	1.0	27.0
Pulsar					
J1747-2802	5.2	0.49	0.16	1.0	28.3
Pulsar					
J1747-2809	0.4	1.25	0.39	7.2	29.5
Quasi-magnetar (AXP)					
J1748-2444	4.8	0.20	0.06	0.9	28.1
Pulsar					
J1749 + 5952	22.7	0.15	0.05	0.1	31.0
Pulsar					
J1750-2043	8.2	0.55	0.18	1.1	27.4
Pulsar					
J1750-2438	7.5	0.46	0.15	1.0	28.6
Pulsar					
J1750-2444	4.9	0.25	0.08	1.0	27.2
Pulsar			0.00		22.5
J2006+0148	6.2	0.09	0.03	0.9	30.5
Pulsar	<b>~</b> 0	0.00	0.0-	4.0	20.0
J2007+0809	5.8	0.22	0.07	1.0	28.0
Pulsar	<b>~</b> 0	0.0=	0.00	4.0	20.4
J2007+0910	5.9	0.27	0.09	1.0	28.4
Pulsar	7.0		0.10	1 1	07.1
J2008+2513	7.2	0.36	0.12	1.1	27.1
Pulsar		0.01	0.07	1.0	20.0
J2010+2845	7.7	0.21	0.07	1.0	28.8
Pulsar	Λ 1	9 10	0.05	7 4	01 5
SGR J1745-2900	0.1	3.10	0.95	7.4	31.5
Powerful Magnetar	<b>F</b> O O	9.40	1.07	0.00	20.0
SGR 0526-66	50.0	3.48	1.07	0.06	32.2

Powerful Magnetar

Table 3: Sensitivity of  $\chi^2/\nu$  for the Burkert profile varying  $Z, T, P_{\rm env}$  by  $\pm 30\%$ .

Parameter	Range (Disk)	Range (Center)	$\Delta \chi^2 / \nu$ (Burkert)
$\overline{Z}$	$0.7-1.3Z_{\odot}$	$0.9 - 1.6Z_{\odot}$	1.15–1.22
T	$(0.5 - 1.5) \times 10^4 \text{ K}$	$(0.5 - 1.5) \times 10^6 \text{ K}$	1.16 – 1.21
$P_{\mathrm{env}}$	$(0.5 - 1.5) \times 10^{-12} \text{ dyn cm}^{-2}$	$(1-3) \times 10^{-12} \text{ dyn cm}^{-2}$	1.17–1.20