Abstract syntax for maybe types and lists (LLMH)

Types:

```
Type ::= ... | Maybe Type | [Type]
```

Expressions:

```
Exp ::= \dots (all existing constructs)

| Nothing | Just | case Exp of Nothing \rightarrow Exp; Just Var \rightarrow Exp | [] | Exp : Exp | case Exp of [] \rightarrow Exp; Var : Var \rightarrow Exp
```

New typing rules for LLMH

```
\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{Nothing} : \mathtt{Maybe} \ 	au
                                                                                 \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Just} : \tau \to \mathsf{Maybe} \ \tau
        \Gamma \vdash e_0 : \text{Maybe } \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau' \qquad \Gamma, \ x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \tau'
           \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}\ e_0\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{Nothing} \to e_1\ ;\ \mathsf{Just}\ x \to e_2\ :\ \tau'
                                                                    \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : [\tau]
            \Gamma \vdash [] : [\tau]
                                                                             \Gamma \vdash e_1 : e_2 : [\tau]
      \Gamma \vdash e_0 : [\tau] \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau' \qquad \Gamma, \ x : \tau, \ y : [\tau] \vdash e_2 : \tau'
                     \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}\ e_0\ \mathsf{of}\ [] \to e_1\ ;\ x:y \to e_2\ :\ 	au'
```

New operational rules (big step)

The new values are:

Nothing Just Just e

For lazy lists (as in Haskell)

$$egin{aligned} \overline{[]]} & \overline{e_1:e_2} & \overline{e_1:e_2} \ & e & \Rightarrow [] & e_1 \Rightarrow v \ & \overline{\text{case } e \text{ of } []
ightarrow e_1 \text{ ; } x:y
ightarrow e_2 \Rightarrow v} \ & e & \Rightarrow e_0:e_0' & e_2[x,y:=e_0,e_0'] \Rightarrow v \ & \overline{\text{case } e \text{ of } []
ightarrow e_1 \text{ ; } x:y
ightarrow e_2 \Rightarrow v} \end{aligned}$$

The new values are:

[]
$$e_1:e_2$$

Alternative for eager lists

Replace the rule

$$e_1:e_2 \Rightarrow e_1:e_2$$

with the rule

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{e_1:e_2 \Rightarrow v_1:v_2}$$

And the values are:

[]
$$v_1: v_2$$

Type inference algorithm for lists

```
Given (\Gamma, e) as input, the algorithm returns (\theta_0, \tau_0) as output.
                []: Let a be a fresh type variable.
                      Return ([], [a]).
        e_1: e_2: Compute (\theta_1, \tau_1) for (\Gamma, e_1).
                      Compute (\theta_2, \tau_2) for (\Gamma, e_2).
                      Let \theta_2' be the mgu for [\tau_1] and \tau_2.
                      Return (\theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_2')_{\Gamma}, \tau_2 \theta_2').
case e_0 of | \cdot | \rightarrow e_1; x:y \rightarrow e_2: Compute (\theta_0, \tau_0) for (\Gamma, e_0).
                      Let a be a fresh type variable.
                      Let \theta'_0 be the mgu of \tau_0 and [a].
                      Compute (\theta_1, \tau_1) for (\Gamma \theta_0 \theta_0', e_1).
                      Define \Gamma_2 to be \Gamma \theta_0 \theta'_0 \theta_1, x : a \theta'_0 \theta_1, y : [a] \theta'_0 \theta_1.
                      Compute (\theta_2, \tau_2) for (\Gamma_2, e_2).
                      Let \theta_2' be the mgu for \tau_1 \theta_2 and \tau_2.
                      Return (\theta_0 \theta'_0 \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta'_2)_{\Gamma}, \tau_2 \theta'_2).
```

Milner's "let polymorphism"

The context Γ maps variables to polymorphic types

$$\forall a_1, \ldots, a_k \ \tau$$

with explicit quantification over $k \ge 0$ type variables.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \colon \tau_1 \vdash e_1 \colon \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma, x \colon \forall a_1, \dots, a_k \ \tau_1 \vdash e_2 \colon \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \colon \tau_2} (\star)$$

(*) a_1, \ldots, a_k must not occur as *free type variables* in Γ (i.e., every occurrence of any of a_1, \ldots, a_k in Γ must be bound by some \forall).