

MATH1061  
Discrete Mathematics I

Problem Set 2  
Michael Kasumagic, sID#: 44302669

Due: 5pm, 6<sup>th</sup> of September, 2024

**Question 1: (10 marks)**

Prove the following statements:

- (a) The sum of every five consecutive integers is always divisible by 5.
- (b) Suppose  $n$  is an odd integer. The sum of every  $n$  consecutive integers is always divisible by  $n$ .

**Solution:** (a)

*Proof.* We can express five consecutive integers as follows:

$$i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4,$$

where  $i_0, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i_a = i_0 + a$ .

Then, the sum of five consecutive integers can be expressed

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 &= i_0 + i_0 + 1 + i_0 + 2 + i_0 + 3 + i_0 + 4 \\ &= 5i_0 + 10 \\ &= 5(i_0 + 2) \\ \therefore i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 &= 5k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \implies 5 &\mid i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 \end{aligned}$$

So, the sum of any 5 consecutive integers is always divisible by 5. □

**Solution:** (b)

*Proof.* We can express  $n$  consecutive integers, where  $n$  is an odd integer, as follows:

$$i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-2}, i_{n-1}, i_n,$$

where  $i_0, a, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i_a = i_0 + a$ , and  $n = 2j + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-2} + i_{n-1} + i_n &= i_0 + i_0 + 1 + i_0 + 2 + \dots + i_0 + n - 2 + i_0 + n - 1 + i_0 + n \\ &= ni_0 + (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n - 2 + n - 1 + n) \\ &= ni_0 + \sum_{a=1}^n a \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Gauß's formula for the sum of consecutive natural numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} &= ni_0 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ &= n \left( i_0 + \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-2} + i_{n-1} + i_n &= nk, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \implies n &\mid i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-2} + i_{n-1} + i_n \end{aligned}$$

So, the sum of any  $n$  consecutive integers, where  $n$  is odd, is always divisible by 5. □

**Question 2: (5 marks)**

(a) Compute the following quantities

$$\lfloor 3.6 \rfloor, \quad \lceil \pi \rceil, \quad \lceil e \rceil, \quad \lceil e + 0.5 \rceil$$

(b) Prove or disprove the following statements: for all numbers  $x$ :

$$\lceil x + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil + 1$$

**Solution:** (a)

$$\lfloor 3.6 \rfloor = 3$$

$$\lceil \pi \rceil = \lceil 3.1415\dots \rceil = 4$$

$$\lceil e \rceil = \lceil 2.7182\dots \rceil = 3$$

$$\lceil e + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil 2.7182\dots + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil 3.2182\dots \rceil = 4$$

**Solution:** (b)*Proof.* Take  $x = 0.1$ .

$$\lceil 0.1 + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil 0.6 \rceil = 1 \neq 2 = 1 + 1 = \lceil 0.1 \rceil + 1$$

Therefore, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lceil x + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil + 1$  is not true. □

*Proof.* In general, let's think of the number  $x$  as being equal to  $n+r$ , where  $n$  is an integer component, and  $r$  is the real, decimal component. For example,  $\pi = n + r$ , where  $n = 3$  and  $r = 0.1415\dots$ . By definition,  $0 \leq r < 1$ . With all this in mind, we can consider

$$\lceil x + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil n + r + 0.5 \rceil$$

This allows us to consider two cases,

Case 1:  $0 \leq r \leq 0.5$ 

$$n < n + r + 0.5 \leq n + 1$$

$$\therefore \lceil n + r + 0.5 \rceil = n + 1$$

$$n \leq n + r \leq n + 1$$

$$\therefore \lceil n + r \rceil + 1 = n + 1 + 1 \tag{!!}$$

Case 2:  $0.5 < r < 1$ 

$$n + 1 < n + r + 0.5 < n + 1.5$$

$$\therefore \lceil n + r + 0.5 \rceil = n + 2$$

$$n < n + r < n + 1$$

$$\therefore \lceil n + r \rceil + 1 = n + 1 + 1$$

Therefore, for  $x \in \mathbb{R} : x = n + r$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $0.5 < r < 1$  then  $\lceil x + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil + 1$ . If  $0 \leq r \leq 0.5$  then  $\lceil x + 0.5 \rceil \neq \lceil x \rceil + 1$ .

Which means the given statement,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lceil x + 0.5 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil + 1$  is false. □

**Question 3: (10 marks)**

- (a) Use the definition, prove or disprove

$$3 \equiv -4 \pmod{7}$$

- (b) Use the definition, prove or disprove: for all integers
- $x$
- ,

$$2x \equiv -14x \pmod{8}$$

- (c) Prove or disprove the following statement: Suppose
- $a, b, c, d$
- are positive integers,
- $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$
- , then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

- (d) Prove or disprove the following statement: Suppose
- $a, b, c, d$
- are positive integers,
- $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$
- and
- $\gcd(c, d) = 1$
- , then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

(Hint: you may use a fact we mentioned in Lecture 12.)

**Solution:** (a)*Proof.* We'll use the definition,

$$3 \equiv -4 \pmod{7} \implies 7 \mid (3 - (-4)) \implies 7 \mid 7 \equiv \text{True}.$$

Therefore,  $3 \equiv -4 \pmod{7}$  □**Solution:** (b)*Proof.* Using the definition, suppose  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$2x \equiv -14x \pmod{8} \implies 8 \mid (2x - (-14x)) \implies 8 \mid 16x \equiv 8 \mid 8(2x) \equiv \text{True}.$$

Therefore,  $2x \equiv -14x \pmod{8}, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$  □**Solution:** (c)*Proof.* Suppose  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$ ,

$$\implies d \mid (ac - bc) \implies d \mid c(a - b) \implies d \mid (a - b) \implies a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

Therefore, given  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$  □**Solution:** (d)*Proof.* Suppose  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$  and  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$   
 $\implies c$  and  $d$  are co-prime, and share no common factors.

$$\implies d \mid (ac - bc) \implies d \mid c(a - b) \implies d \mid (a - b) \implies a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

Therefore, given  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{d}$  and  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$  □

**Question 4: (5 marks)**

Use the Euclidean algorithm to compute

$$\gcd(101, 24)$$

**Solution:**

$$\gcd(101, 24) \implies 101 = 24q + r \implies q = \left\lfloor \frac{101}{24} \right\rfloor = 4, \quad r = 101 - 24 \cdot 4 = 5$$

$$\gcd(24, 5) \implies 24 = 5q + r \implies q = \left\lfloor \frac{24}{5} \right\rfloor = 4, \quad r = 24 - 5 \cdot 4 = 4$$

$$\gcd(5, 4) \implies 5 = 4q + r \implies q = \left\lfloor \frac{5}{4} \right\rfloor = 1, \quad r = 5 - 4 \cdot 1 = 1$$

$$\gcd(4, 1) \implies 4 = 1q + r \implies q = \left\lfloor \frac{4}{1} \right\rfloor = 4, \quad r = 4 - 1 \cdot 4 = 0$$

$$\gcd(1, 0) = 1$$

$$\therefore \gcd(101, 24) = 1$$

**Question 5: (10 marks)**

The least common multiple of the integers  $a, b$ , denoted as  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ , is defined as the smallest positive integer which is divisible by both  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let  $a = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1$  and  $b = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1$ .

- Compute  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ .
- Compute  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ .
- Verify that  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$ .
- Can you prove the statement  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$  for arbitrary positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ ? (Hint: use the prime factorisation.)

**Solution:** (a)

The greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is the largest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \mid a$  and  $n \mid b$ . In other words, there exists  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = kn, \quad b = ln$$

Rearranging we can see that  $n = a/k = b/l$ . If we apply the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic,  $a = 2^{x_1} \cdot 3^{x_2} \cdot 5^{x_3} \dots$ ,  $b = 2^{y_1} \cdot 3^{y_2} \cdot 5^{y_3} \dots$ , and consider that  $k$  and  $l$  must cancel these factors of  $a$  and  $b$ , such that the results of those divisions is equal, we can see that

$$n = 2^{\min\{x_1, y_1\}} \cdot 3^{\min\{x_2, y_2\}} \cdot 5^{\min\{x_3, y_3\}} \cdot \dots$$

We've seen this simply by considering the definition of  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ . In this specific example,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gcd}(2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1, b = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1) &= n \\ n &= \frac{2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1}{2^{k_1} \cdot 3^{k_2} \cdot 5^{k_3} \cdot 7^{k_4}} = \frac{2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1}{2^{l_1} \cdot 3^{l_2} \cdot 5^{l_3} \cdot 7^{l_4}} \\ k_1 &= 7 - 3 \quad l_1 = 0 \\ k_2 &= 0 \quad l_2 = 3 - 2 \\ k_3 &= 1 \quad l_3 = 0 \\ k_4 &= 0 \quad l_4 = 1 \\ n &= \frac{2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1}{2^4 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^0} = \frac{2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1}{2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1} \\ \therefore n &= 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^0 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given the prime factorisation of  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 = 72$

**Solution:** (b)

The least common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$  is, effectively, the smallest number we can construct using all the prime factors of  $a$  and  $b$ . In other words,  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = n$ , where  $n$  is the smallest natural number such that  $n \mid a$  and  $n \mid b$ . From this we can conclude that there exist  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$n = ka, \quad n = lb,$$

and  $n$  is as minimised. We can see that  $ka = lb$ . If we apply the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic,  $a = 2^{x_1} \cdot 3^{x_2} \cdot 5^{x_3} \dots$ ,  $b = 2^{y_1} \cdot 3^{y_2} \cdot 5^{y_3} \dots$ , and consider that  $k$  and  $l$  must equalise the equation, we can see that

$$n = 2^{\max\{x_1, y_1\}} \cdot 3^{\max\{x_2, y_2\}} \cdot 5^{\max\{x_3, y_3\}} \cdot \dots$$

In this specific example,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{lcm}(2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1, b = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1) = n \\
n &= 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1 \left( 2^{k_1} \cdot 3^{k_2} \cdot 5^{k_3} \cdot 7^{k_4} \right) = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1 \left( 2^{l_1} \cdot 3^{l_2} \cdot 5^{l_3} \cdot 7^{l_4} \right) \\
& \quad k_1 = 0 \quad l_1 = 7 - 3 \\
& \quad k_2 = 3 - 2 \quad l_2 = 0 \\
& \quad k_3 = 0 \quad l_3 = 1 - 0 \\
& \quad k_4 = 1 - 0 \quad l_4 = 0 \\
n &= 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1 (2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^0 \cdot 7^1) = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1 (2^4 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^0) \\
\therefore n &= 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1 = 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given the prime factorisation of  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1 = 120\,960$ .

**Solution:** (c)

We've established that

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1, & b &= 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1 \\
\text{gcd}(a, b) &= 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \\
\text{lcm}(a, b) &= 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1
\end{aligned}$$

We seek to show that  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = a \cdot b$ .

Let's compute the LHS

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) &= 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1 \\
&= 2^{3+7} \cdot 3^{2+3} \cdot 5^{0+1} \cdot 7^{0+1} \\
&= 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1
\end{aligned}$$

Now let's compute the RHS.

$$\begin{aligned}
2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1 &= 2^{7+3} \cdot 3^{2+3} \cdot 5^{1+0} \cdot 7^{0+1} \\
&= 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 7^1
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, LHS = RHS. Therefore, for  $a = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1$  and  $b = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^1$ ,  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = a \cdot b$ .

**Solution:** (d)

*Proof.* As previously discussed, we can apply the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic to two natural numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a = p_1^{x_1} \cdot p_2^{x_2} \cdot p_3^{x_3} \dots$ ,  $b = p_1^{y_1} \cdot p_2^{y_2} \cdot p_3^{y_3} \dots$ , where  $p_i$  is the  $i$ -th prime number. The greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is

$$\text{gcd}(a, b) = p_1^{\min\{x_1, y_1\}} \cdot p_2^{\min\{x_2, y_2\}} \cdot p_3^{\min\{x_3, y_3\}} \dots = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{\min\{x_i, y_i\}}$$

The least common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$  is

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max\{x_1, y_1\}} \cdot p_2^{\max\{x_2, y_2\}} \cdot p_3^{\max\{x_3, y_3\}} \dots = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{\max\{x_i, y_i\}}$$

We seek to prove that  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$ .

Let's compute the LHS,  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{\min\{x_i, y_i\}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{\max\{x_i, y_i\}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( p_i^{\min\{x_i, y_i\}} \cdot p_i^{\max\{x_i, y_i\}} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{\min\{x_i, y_i\} + \max\{x_i, y_i\}}$$

Let's consider  $\min \{x_i, y_i\} + \max \{x_i, y_i\}$

$\forall i$ , there are 3 cases:  $x_i < y_i$ ,  $x_i = y_i$  and  $x_i > y_i$ .

Case 1,  $x_i < y_i$ :

$$\min \{x_i, y_i\} + \max \{x_i, y_i\} = x_i + y_i$$

Case 2,  $x_i > y_i$ :

$$\min \{x_i, y_i\} + \max \{x_i, y_i\} = y_i + x_i = x_i + y_i$$

Case 3,  $x_i = y_i$ :

$$\min \{x_i, y_i\} + \max \{x_i, y_i\} = y_i + x_i = x_i + x_i = y_i + y_i = x_i + y_i$$

All three cases are equal, so we can conclude that  $\forall i, \min \{x_i, y_i\} + \max \{x_i, y_i\} = x_i + y_i$ .

$$\therefore LHS = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{x_i + y_i}$$

Let's now compute the RHS,  $ab$ ,

$$p_1^{x_1} \cdot p_2^{x_2} \cdot p_3^{x_3} \cdots p_1^{y_1} \cdot p_2^{y_2} \cdot p_3^{y_3} \cdots = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{x_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{y_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (p_i^{x_i} \cdot p_i^{y_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{x_i + y_i}$$

Therefore,  $LHS = RHS$

Given two arbitrary integers,  $a$  and  $b$ , we can apply the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic to them, and use their prime factorisations and the definitions of  $\gcd(a, b)$  and  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  to conclude that  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$   $\square$



**Question 6: (10 marks)**

A sequence is defined recursively as:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1, & a_1 &= 2, \\ a_n &= 4a_{n-1} - 3a_{n-2}, & n &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Prove the formula

$$a_n = \frac{3^n + 1}{2}$$

**Solution:**

*Proof.* We will use the principle of strong mathematical induction to prove the formula. Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate " $a_n = (3^n + 1)/2$ ." Let's consider the first 5 terms of the sequence

|                     |                         |                         |                         |                          |                          |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $n$                 | 0                       | 1                       | 2                       | 3                        | 4                        |
| $a_n$               | 1                       | 2                       | $4(2) - 3(1) = 5$       | $4(5) - 3(2) = 14$       | $4(14) - 3(5) = 41$      |
| $\frac{3^n + 1}{2}$ | $\frac{3^0 + 1}{2} = 1$ | $\frac{3^1 + 1}{2} = 2$ | $\frac{3^2 + 1}{2} = 5$ | $\frac{3^3 + 1}{2} = 14$ | $\frac{3^4 + 1}{2} = 41$ |

Basis Step:  $P(0), P(1), P(2), P(3), P(4)$  are True. We've proved this in the above table.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose that, for some integer  $k \geq 4$ ,  $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$  are true.

$$a_{n+1} = 4a_n - 3a_{n-1}$$

From the inductive step,  $P(n)$  and  $P(n-1)$  are true.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore a_{n+1} &= 4 \frac{3^n + 1}{2} - 3 \frac{3^{n-1} + 1}{2} \\ &= 4 \frac{3^n + 1}{2} - \frac{3^n + 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{3^n + 1}{2} (4 - 1) \\ &= \frac{3^n + 1}{2} (3) \\ &= \frac{3^{n+1} + 1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$P(n+1)$  is true

Therefore, by the principle of strong mathematical induction, it follows that  $P(n)$  is true for all integers,  $n \geq 0$ . □