Abstract class

The abstract modifier indicates that the thing being modified has a missing or incomplete implementation. The abstract modifier can be used with classes, methods, properties, indexers, and events. Use the abstract modifier in a class declaration to indicate that a class is intended only to be a base class of other classes. Members marked as abstract, or included in an abstract class, must be implemented by classes that derive from the abstract class.

```
abstract class ShapesClass
{
   abstract public int Area();
}
class Square : ShapesClass
{
   int side = 0;
   public Square(int n)
   {
      side = n;
   }

// Area method is required to avoid

// a compile-time error.
   public override int Area()
   {
      return side * side;
   }

static void Main()
   {
      Square sq = new Square(12);
      Console.WriteLine("Area of the square = {0}", sq.Area());
   }

// Output: Area of the square = 144
```

Abstract classes have the following features:

- An abstract class cannot be instantiated.
- An abstract class may contain abstract methods and accessors.
- It is not possible to modify an abstract class with the sealed modifier because the two modifiers have opposite meanings. The sealed modifier prevents a class from being inherited and the abstract modifier requires a class to be inherited.
- A non-abstract class derived from an abstract class must include actual implementations of all inherited abstract methods and accessors.

Use the abstract modifier in a method or property declaration to indicate that the method or property does not contain implementation.

Abstract methods have the following features:

- An abstract method is implicitly a virtual method.
- Abstract method declarations are only permitted in abstract classes.
- Because an abstract method declaration provides no actual implementation, there is no method body; the method declaration simply ends with a semicolon and there are no curly braces ({ }) following the signature. For example:

```
public abstract void MyMethod();
```

The implementation is provided by an overriding methodoverride (C# Reference), which is a member of a non-abstract class.

It is an error to use the static or virtual modifiers in an abstract method declaration.

Abstract properties behave like abstract methods, except for the differences in declaration and invocation syntax.

- It is an error to use the abstract modifier on a static property.
- An abstract inherited property can be overridden in a derived class by including a property declaration that uses the override modifier.

An abstract class must provide implementation for all interface members.

An abstract class that implements an interface might map the interface methods onto abstract methods. For example:

In this example, the class DerivedClass is derived from an abstract class BaseClass. The abstract class contains an abstract method, AbstractMethod, and two abstract properties, X and Y.

```
class DerivedClass: BaseClass
  public override void AbstractMethod()
    X++;
    _y++;
  public override int X // overriding property
        get
        {
            return x + 10;
    }
    public override int Y // overriding property
        get
            return _y + 10;
    }
    static void Main()
        DerivedClass o = new DerivedClass();
        o.AbstractMethod();
        Console. WriteLine("x = \{0\}, y = \{1\}", o.X, o.Y);
// Output: x = 111, y = 161
```

In the preceding example, if you attempt to instantiate the abstract class by using a statement like this:

```
BaseClass bc = new BaseClass(); // Error
```

you will get an error saying that the compiler cannot create an instance of the abstract class 'BaseClass'.

When an abstract class inherits a virtual method from a base class, the abstract class can override the virtual method with an abstract method. For example:

```
// compile with: /target:library
public class D
{
    public virtual void DoWork(int i)
    {
        // Original implementation.
    }
}
```

```
public abstract class E : D
{
    public abstract override void DoWork(int i);
}

public class F : E
{
    public override void DoWork(int i)
    {
        // New implementation.
    }
}
```

A class inheriting an abstract method cannot access the original implementation of the method—in the previous example, DoWork on class F cannot call DoWork on class D. In this way, an abstract class can force derived classes to provide new method implementations for virtual methods.

Sealed Classes and Methods

The sealed modifier is used to prevent derivation from a class. An error occurs if a sealed class is specified as the base class of another class. A sealed class cannot also be an abstract class.

The sealed modifier is primarily used to prevent unintended derivation, but it also enables certain run-time optimizations. In particular, because a sealed class is known to never have any derived classes, it is possible to transform virtual function member invocations on sealed class instances into non-virtual invocations.

In C# structs are implicitly sealed; therefore, they cannot be inherited.

```
using System;

sealed class MyClass
{

public int x;

public int y;
}

class MainClass
{

public static void Main()
{

MyClass mC = new MyClass();

mC.x = 110;

mC.y = 150;

Console.WriteLine("x = {0}, y = {1}", mC.x, mC.y);
}
}
```

In the preceding example, if you attempt to inherit from the sealed class by using a statement like this:

```
class MyDerivedC: MyClass {} // Error
```

You will get the error message:

'MyDerivedC' cannot inherit from sealed class 'MyBaseC'.

In C# a method can't be declared as sealed. However when we override a method in a derived class, we can declare the overrided method as sealed as shown below. By declaring it as sealed, we can avoid further overriding of this method.

```
using System;
class MyClass1
public int x;
public int y;
public virtual void Method()
Console.WriteLine("virtual method");
class MyClass: MyClass1
public override sealed void Method()
Console.WriteLine("sealed method");
class MainClass
public static void Main()
MyClass1 mC = new MyClass();
mC.x = 110;
mC.y = 150;
Console.WriteLine("x = \{0\}, y = \{1\}", mC.x, mC.y);
mC.Method();
}
```

Interfaces

If a class implements two interfaces that contain a member with the same signature, then implementing that member on the class will cause both interfaces to use that member as their implementation.

```
interface IControl
{
    void Paint();
}
interface ISurface
{
    void Paint();
}
class SampleClass : IControl, ISurface
{
    // Both ISurface.Paint and IControl.Paint call this method.
    public void Paint()
    {
        Console.WriteLine("Paint method in SampleClass");
    }
}
```

class Test

```
{
    static void Main()
    {
        SampleClass sc = new SampleClass();
        IControl ctrl = (IControl)sc;
        ISurface srfc = (ISurface)sc;

        // all the calls to Paint invoke the same method.
        sc.Paint();
        ctrl.Paint();
        srfc.Paint();
    }
}
// Output:
// Paint method in SampleClass
// Paint method in SampleClass
// Paint method in SampleClass
```

It is possible to implement an interface member explicitly—creating a class member that is only called through the interface, and is specific to that interface. This is accomplished by naming the class member with the name of the interface and a period. For example:

```
public class SampleClass : IControl, ISurface
{
    void IControl.Paint()
    {
        System.Console.WriteLine("IControl.Paint");
    }
}
```

```
void ISurface.Paint()
{
        System.Console.WriteLine("ISurface.Paint");
}
```

The class member IControl.Paint is only available through the IControl interface, and ISurface.Paint is only available through ISurface. Both method implementations are separate, and neither is available directly on the class. For example:

// Call the Paint methods from Main.

```
SampleClass obj = new SampleClass();
//obj.Paint(); // Compiler error.

IControl c = (IControl)obj;
c.Paint(); // Calls IControl.Paint on SampleClass.

ISurface s = (ISurface)obj;
s.Paint(); // Calls ISurface.Paint on SampleClass.

// Output:
// IControl.Paint
// ISurface.Paint
```

Explicit implementation is also used to resolve cases where two interfaces each declare different members of the same name such as a property and a method:

interface ILeft

```
{
    int P { get;}
}
interface IRight
{
    int P();
}
```

To implement both interfaces, a class has to use explicit implementation either for the property P, or the method P, or both, to avoid a compiler error. For example:

```
class Middle: ILeft, IRight
{
    public int P() { return 0; }
    int ILeft.P { get { return 0; } }
}
```

The following example declares an interface, IDimensions, and a class, Box, which explicitly implements the interface members getLength and getWidth. The members are accessed through the interface instance dimensions.

```
interface IDimensions
    float getLength();
    float getWidth();
class Box : IDimensions
    float lengthInches;
    float widthInches;
    Box(float length, float width)
        lengthInches = length;
        widthInches = width;
    // Explicit interface member implementation:
    float IDimensions.getLength()
        return lengthInches;
    // Explicit interface member implementation:
    float IDimensions.getWidth()
        return widthInches;
    static void Main()
        // Declare a class instance box1:
        Box box1 = new Box(30.0f, 20.0f);
        // Declare an interface instance dimensions:
        IDimensions dimensions = (IDimensions)box1;
        // The following commented lines would produce compilation
        // errors because they try to access an explicitly implemented
        // interface member from a class instance:
        //System.Console.WriteLine("Length: {0}", box1.getLength());
        //System.Console.WriteLine("Width: {0}", box1.getWidth());
        // Print out the dimensions of the box by calling the methods
        // from an instance of the interface:
        System.Console.WriteLine("Length: {0}", dimensions.getLength());
System.Console.WriteLine("Width: {0}", dimensions.getWidth());
/* Output:
    Length: 30
    Width: 20
```

Polymorphism

Polymorphism is often referred to as the third pillar of object-oriented programming, after encapsulation and inheritance.

```
public class Shape
    // A few example members
    public int X { get; private set; }
    public int Y { get; private set; }
   public int Height { get; set; }
   public int Width { get; set; }
    // Virtual method
    public virtual void Draw()
        Console.WriteLine("Performing base class drawing tasks");
}
class Circle : Shape
   public override void Draw()
        // Code to draw a circle...
        Console.WriteLine("Drawing a circle");
        base.Draw();
}
class Rectangle : Shape
   public override void Draw()
        // Code to draw a rectangle...
        Console.WriteLine("Drawing a rectangle");
        base.Draw();
}
class Triangle : Shape
    public override void Draw()
        // Code to draw a triangle...
        Console.WriteLine("Drawing a triangle");
        base.Draw();
class Program
    static void Main(string[] args)
        // Polymorphism at work #1: a Rectangle, Triangle and Circle
        // can all be used whereever a Shape is expected. No cast is
        // required because an implicit conversion exists from a derived
        // class to its base class.
```

```
System.Collections.Generic.List<Shape> shapes = new
        System.Collections.Generic.List<Shape>();
        shapes.Add(new Rectangle());
        shapes.Add(new Triangle());
        shapes.Add(new Circle());
        // Polymorphism at work #2: the virtual method Draw is
        // invoked on each of the derived classes, not the base class.
        foreach (Shape s in shapes)
            s.Draw();
        // Keep the console open in debug mode.
        Console.WriteLine("Press any key to exit.");
        Console.ReadKey();
}
/* Output:
   Drawing a rectangle
    Performing base class drawing tasks
   Drawing a triangle
   Performing base class drawing tasks
   Drawing a circle
   Performing base class drawing tasks
```

Null Types

The null keyword is a literal that represents a null reference, one that does not refer to any object. null is the default value of reference-type variables. Ordinary value types cannot be null.

```
class Program
{
    class MyClass
    {
        public void MyMethod() { }
    }

    static void Main(string[] args)
    {
        // Set a breakpoint here to see that mc = null.
        // However, the compiler considers it "unassigned."
        // and generates a compiler error if you try to
        // use the variable.
        MyClass mc;

        // Now the variable can be used, but...
        mc = null;

        // ... a method call on a null object raises
        // a run-time NullReferenceException.
        // Uncomment the following line to see for yourself.
```

```
// mc.MyMethod();
        // Now mc has a value.
       mc = new MyClass();
        // You can call its method.
       mc.MyMethod();
        // Set mc to null again. The object it referenced
        // is no longer accessible and can now be garbage-collected.
       mc = null;
        // A null string is not the same as an empty string.
        string s = null;
        string t = String.Empty; // Logically the same as ""
        // A value type cannot be null
        // int i = null; // Compiler error!
        // Use a nullable value type instead:
        int? i = null;
        // Keep the console window open in debug mode.
        System.Console.WriteLine("Press any key to exit.");
       System.Console.ReadKey();
}
```

As we all know, a value type variable cannot be null. That's why they are called Value Type. Value type has a lot of advantages, however, there are some scenarios where we require value type to hold null also.

Consider the following scenario:

Scenario 1: You are retrieving nullable integer column data from database table, and the value in database is null, there is no way you can assign this value to an C# int.

Scenario 2: Suppose you are binding the properties from UI but the corresponding UI don't have data. (for example model binding in Asp.Net MVC or WPF). Storing the default value in model for value type is not a viable option.

Scenario 3: In Java, java.Util.Date is a reference type, and therefore, the variable of this type can be set to null. However, in CLR, System.DateTime is a value type and a DateTime variable cannot be null. If an application written in Java wants to communicate a date/time to a Web service running on the CLR, there is a problem if the Java application sends null because the CLR has no way to represent this and operate on it.

Scenario 4: When passing value type parameter to a function, if the value of parameter is not know and if you don't want to pass it, you go with default value. But default value is not always a good option because default value can also a passed parameter value, so, should not be treated explicitly.

Scenario 5: When deserializing the data from xml or json, it becomes difficult to deal with the situation if the value type property expects a value and it is not present in the source.

To get rid of these situations, Microsoft added the concept of Nullable types to the CLR.

C# also supports simple syntax to use Nullable types. It also supports implicit conversion and casts on Nullable instances. The following example shows this:

```
// Implicit conversion from System.Int32 to Nullable<Int32>
int? i = 5;

// Implicit conversion from 'null' to Nullable<Int32>
int? j = null;

// Explicit conversion from Nullable<Int32> to non-nullable Int32
int k = (int)i;

// Casting between nullable primitive types
Double? x = 5; // Implicit conversion from int to Double? (x is 5.0 as a double)
Double? y = j; // Implicit conversion from int? to Double? (y is null)
```

You can use operators on Nullable types the same way you use it for the containing types.

- Unary operators (++, --, -, etc) returns null if the Nullable types value is set to null.
- Binary Operator (+, -, *, /, %, ^, etc) returns null if any of the operands is null.
- For Equality Operator, if both operands are null, expression is evaluated to true. If either operand is null, it is evaluated to false. If both are not null, it compares as usual.
- For Relational Operator (>, <, >=, <=), if either operand is null, the result is false and if none of the operands is null, compares the value.

See the example below:

```
int? i = 5;
int? j = null;

// Unary operators (+ ++ ---! ~)
i++; // i = 6
j = -j; // j = null

// Binary operators (+ - * / % & | ^ << >>)
i = i + 3; // i = 9
j = j * 3; // j = null;

// Equality operators (== !=)
if (i == null) { /* no */} else { /* yes */}
```

```
if (j == null) \{ /* yes */ \} else \{ /* no */ \}
if (i != j) \{ /* yes */ \} else \{ /* no */ \}
// Comparison operators (<><=>=)
if (i > j) \{ /* no */ \} else \{ /* yes */ \}
```

Upcasting and downcasting

la1 = (LotteryAccount)ba1;

Upcasting converts an object of a specialized type to a more general type Downcasting converts an object from a general type to a more specialized type



A specialization hierarchy of bank accounts

```
BankAccount ba1, ba2 = new BankAccount("John", 250.0M, 0.01);

LotteryAccount la1, la2 = new LotteryAccount("Bent", 100.0M);

ba1 = la2;  // upcasting - OK

// la1 = ba2;  // downcasting - Illegal - discovered at compile time

// la1 = (LotteryAccount)ba2;  // downcasting - Illegal - discovered at run time
```

In some reference type conversions, the compiler cannot determine whether a cast will be valid. It is possible for a cast operation that compiles correctly to fail at run time. As shown in the following example, a type cast that fails at run time will cause an InvalidCastException to be thrown.

// downcasting - OK - bal already refers to a LotteryAccount

```
class Animal
{
    public void Eat() { Console.WriteLine("Eating."); }
    public override string ToString()
    {
        return "I am an animal.";
    }
}
class Reptile : Animal { }
class Mammal : Animal { }

class UnSafeCast
{
    static void Main()
```

```
{
    Test(new Mammal());

    // Keep the console window open in debug mode.
    System.Console.WriteLine("Press any key to exit.");
    System.Console.ReadKey();
}

static void Test(Animal a)
{
    // Cause InvalidCastException at run time
    // because Mammal is not convertible to Reptile.
    Reptile r = (Reptile)a;
    //solution
    // if (a is Reptile)
    // Reptile r = (Reptile)a;
}
```

C# provides the is and as operators to enable you to test for compatibility before actually performing a cast.

As operator

You can use the as operator to perform certain types of conversions between compatible reference types or nullable types. The following code shows an example.

```
}
```

The as operator is like a cast operation. However, if the conversion isn't possible, as returns null instead of raising an exception. Consider the following example:

```
expression as type
```

The code is equivalent to the following expression except that the expression variable is evaluated only one time.

```
expression is type ? (type) expression : (type) null
```

Note that the as operator performs only reference conversions, nullable conversions, and boxing conversions. The as operator can't perform other conversions, such as user-defined conversions, which should instead be performed by using cast expressions.

Is Operator

Checks if an object is compatible with a given type. For example, the following code can determine if an object is an instance of the MyObject type, or a type that derives from MyObject:

```
if (obj is MyObject)
{
}
```

An is expression evaluates to true if the provided expression is non-null, and the provided object can be cast to the provided type without causing an exception to be thrown.

The is keyword causes a compile-time warning if the expression is known to always be true or to always be false, but typically evaluates type compatibility at run time.

The is operator cannot be overloaded.

Note that the is operator only considers reference conversions, boxing conversions, and unboxing conversions.

Example

```
class Class1 {}
class Class2 {}
class Class3 : Class2 { }

class IsTest
{
    static void Test(object o)
    {
        Class1 a;
        Class2 b;
```

```
if (o is Class1)
            Console.WriteLine("o is Class1");
            a = (Class1)o;
            // Do something with "a."
        else if (o is Class2)
            Console.WriteLine("o is Class2");
            b = (Class2)o;
            // Do something with "b."
        else
            Console.WriteLine("o is neither Class1 nor Class2.");
    }
     static void Main()
        Class1 c1 = new Class1();
        Class2 c2 = new Class2();
        Class3 c3 = new Class3();
        Test(c1);
        Test(c2);
        Test(c3);
        Test("a string");
}
/*
Output:
o is Class1
o is Class2
o is Class2
o is neither Class1 nor Class2.
```

Nesting classes

A class can be created inside of another class. A class created inside of another is referred to as nested.

Here is an example of a class called Inside that is nested in a class called Outside:

```
public class Outside
{
    public class Inside
    {
      }
}
```

The name of a nested class is not "visible" outside of the nesting class. To access a nested class outside of the nesting class, you must qualify the name of the nested class anywhere you want to

use it. For example, if you want to declare an Inside variable somewhere in the program but outside of Outside, you must qualify its name. Here is an example:

```
using System;
public class Outside
{
    public class Inside
    {
        public Inside()
        {
             Console.WriteLine(" -= Inside =-");
        }
    }
    public Outside()
    {
        Console.WriteLine(" =- Outside -=");
    }
}

public class Exercise
{
    static int Main()
    {
        Outside recto = new Outside();
        Outside.Inside ins = new Outside.Inside();
        return 0;
    }
}
```

This would produce:

```
=- Outside -=
-= Inside =-
```

Because there is no programmatically privileged relationship between a nested class and its "container" class, if you want to access the nested class in the nesting class, you can use its static members. In other words, if you want, you can declare static all members of the nested class that you want to access in the nesting class. Here is an example:

```
Console.WriteLine("Show me the wonderful world of C# Programming");
            }
        }
        public Outside()
            Console.WriteLine(" =- The Parent -=");
        }
        public void Display()
            Console.WriteLine(Inside.inMessage);
            Inside.Show();
    }
class Exercise
    static void Main(string[] args)
            Outside recto = new Outside();
            Outside.Inside ins = new Outside.Inside();
            recto.Display();
           // return 0;
        }
This would produce:
=- The Parent -=
 -= Insider =-
Sitting inside while it's raining
```

In the same way, if you want to access the nesting class in the nested class, you can go through the static members of the nesting class. To do this, you can declare static all members of the nesting class that you want to access in the nested class. Here is an example:

Show me the wonderful world of C# Programming

```
public static void Show()
               Console.WriteLine("Show me the wonderful world of C#
Programming");
               public void FieldFromOutside()
                       Console.WriteLine(Outside.OutMessage);
       private static string OutMessage;
       public Outside()
               Console.WriteLine(" =- The Parent -=");
               OutMessage = "Standing outside! It's cold and raining!!";
        }
       public void Display()
               Console.WriteLine(Inside.InMessage);
               Inside.Show();
        }
}
public class Exercise
    static int Main()
       Outside recto = new Outside();
       Outside.Inside Ins = new Outside.Inside();
       Recto.Display();
       Console.WriteLine();
       Ins.FieldFromOutside();
       return 0;
}
This would produce:
=- The Parent -=
-= Insider =-
Sitting inside while it's raining
Show me the wonderful world of C# Programming
Standing outside! It's cold and raining!!
```

Instead of static members, if you want to access members of a nested class in the nesting class, you can first declare a variable of the nested class in the nesting class. In the same way, if you want to access members of a nesting class in the nested class, you can first declare a variable of the nesting class in the nested class. Here is an example:

```
using System;
```

```
public class Outside
       // A member of the nesting class
       private string OutMessage;
       // The nested class
       public class Inside
               // A field in the nested class
               public string InMessage;
               \ensuremath{//} A constructor of the nested class
               public Inside()
                       Console.WriteLine(" -= Insider =-");
                       this.InMessage = "Sitting inside while it's raining";
               }
               // A method of the nested class
               public void Show()
                       // Declare a variable to access the nesting class
                       Outside outsider = new Outside();
                       Console.WriteLine(outsider.OutMessage);
        } // End of the nested class
       // A constructor of the nesting class
       public Outside()
        {
               this.OutMessage = "Standing outside! It's cold and raining!!";
               Console.WriteLine(" =- The Parent -=");
        }
       // A method of the nesting class
       public void Display()
               Console.WriteLine(insider.InMessage);
       // Declare a variable to access the nested class
       Inside insider = new Inside();
}
public class Exercise
    static int Main()
       Outside recto = new Outside();
       Outside. Inside Ins = new Outside. Inside();
       Ins.Show();
       Recto.Display();
       return 0;
    }
}
```

This would produce:

```
-= Insider =-
=- The Parent -=
-= Insider =-
-= Insider =-
=- The Parent -=
Standing outside! It's cold and raining!!
Sitting inside while it's raining
```

Extension methods

Extension methods are used to "extend" functionality of an existing class. Extension method is defined separately from the class it is extending. Here are the main rules that define Extension Methods:

Extension methods can be defined on classes, structures and interfaces

The method is defined separately from the class it is extending. It should be defined as static and be in non-generic static class that is not nested.

Extension method should have an "instance parameter" defined. This is the first parameter in Extension method and that is preceded by this modifier. The instance parameter cannot be a pointer type and cannot have parameter modifiers (ref, out, etc..)

There is no restriction on the name of extension method. This means that you may have several extension method with the same name and even with the same name as of some instance method. Method to call is selected in the following order:

- 1. Instance method
- 2. Extension method within the same namespace
- 3. Extension method outside the current namespace

Example

Lets assume you have a class Cat:

```
using System;

namespace ExtensionMethodsSamples

{
    public class Cat
    {
        public void Eat(object food)
        {
            //TODO: eat implementation
        }
```

```
public void Jump()
{
    //TODO: jump implementation
}
```

After some time you want to extend it with **Dance** method. To create is as extension method you declare it in a separate class as a static method in a following way:

Note **this** keyword in parameter declaration. Also note that method is static and that it is defined in namespace **CatExtension**(name doesn't matter, just note that it is different from the one where **Cat** is defined).

Now you should import namespace where extension method is defined and cat gains ability to "dance". You can call now **Dance** method of the **Cat** class just like the usual instance method.

```
using System;
using CatExtension;

namespace ExtensionMethodsSamples
{
    class Program
    {
       static void Main(string[] args)}
```

```
{
    Cat c = new Cat();
    c.Dance();
}
}
```

So, when and how to use Extension Methods?

The possible right uses of extension methods:

• To provide extension to a library that cannot be extended in other way and on which you do not have access over.

To use with Interfaces. Interfaces cannot include behavior by default. But now you can add it with Extension.

Oveloading operators

This example shows how you can use operator overloading to create a complex number class Complex that defines complex addition. The program displays the imaginary and the real parts of the numbers and the addition result using an override of the ToString method.

Example

```
public struct Complex
{
    public int real;
    public int imaginary;

    // Constructor.
    public Complex(int real, int imaginary)
    {
        this.real = real;
        this.imaginary = imaginary;
    }

    // Specify which operator to overload (+),
    // the types that can be added (two Complex objects),
    // and the return type (Complex).
    public static Complex operator + (Complex c1, Complex c2)
}
```

```
return new Complex(c1.real + c2.real, c1.imaginary + c2.imaginary);
    }
    // Override the ToString() method to display a complex number
    // in the traditional format:
    public override string ToString()
        return (System.String.Format("{0} + {1}i", real, imaginary));
}
class TestComplex
    static void Main()
        Complex num1 = new Complex(2, 3);
        Complex num2 = new Complex(3, 4);
        // Add two Complex objects by using the overloaded + operator.
        Complex sum = num1 + num2;
        // Print the numbers and the sum by using the overridden
        // ToString method.
        System.Console.WriteLine("First complex number: {0}", num1);
        System.Console.WriteLine("Second complex number: {0}", num2);
        System.Console.WriteLine("The sum of the two numbers: {0}", sum);
        // Keep the console window open in debug mode.
        System.Console.WriteLine("Press any key to exit.");
        System.Console.ReadKey();
/* Output:
    First complex number: 2 + 3i
    Second complex number: 3 + 4i
    The sum of the two numbers: 5 + 7i
```

Class versus struct

Syntactical Comparison:

Now, semantics aside, there are a lot of things that are similar between **struct** and **class** in C#, but there are also a fair number of surprising differences. Let's look at a table that sums them up:

Feature	Struct	Class	Notes
Is a reference type?	No	Yes*	
Is a value type?	Yes	No	
Can have nested Types (enum, class, struct)?	Yes	Yes	
Can have constants?	Yes	Yes	

Q 1 0 11 0	T. 71.	T 7	, ·
Can have fields?	Yes*	Yes	Struct instance fields cannot be initialized, will automatically initialize to default value.
Can have properties?	Yes	Yes	
Can have indexers	Yes	Yes	
Can have methods?	Yes	Yes	
Can have events?	Yes*	Yes	Structs, like classes, can have events, but care must be taken that you don't subscribe to a copy of a struct instead of the struct you intended.
Can have static members	Yes	Yes	
(constructors, fields, methods,			
properties, etc.)?			
Can inherit?	No*	Yes*	Classes can inherit from other classes (or object by default). Structs always inherit from System. Value Type and are sealed implicitly
Can implement interfaces?	Yes	Yes	
Can overload constructor?	Yes*	Yes	Struct overload of constructor does not hide default constructor.
Can define default constructor?	No	Yes	The struct default constructor initializes all instance fields to default values and cannot be changed.
Can overload operators?	Yes	Yes	
Can be generic?	Yes	Yes	
Can be partial?	Yes	Yes	
Can be sealed?	Always*	Yes	Structs are always sealed and can

			never be inherited from.
Can be referenced in instance members using <i>this</i> keyword?	Yes*	Yes	In structs, this is a value variable, in
			classes, it is a readonly reference.
Needs <i>new</i> operator to create instance?	No*	Yes	C# classes must be instantiated using new. However, structs do not require this. While new can be used on a struct to call a constructor, you can elect not to use new and init the fields yourself, but you must init all fields and the fields must be public!

Many of the items in this table should be fairly self explanatory. As you can see there the majority of behavior is identical for both **class** and **struct** types, however, there are several differences which can become potential pitfalls if not respected and understood!

Value vs. Reference Type

The fact that a **struct** is a *value* type, while **class** is a *reference* type. This has several ramifications:

With value types:

- Value type assignments copy all members the whole value this copies all members of one value to another making two complete instances.
- Value types passed by parameter or returned from methods/properties copy whole value this behavior is the same as value assignment.
- Value types assigned to an *object* are *boxed* that is, they are surrounded by an object and then passed by reference.
- Value types are destroyed when they pass out of scope local variables and parameters are typically cleaned up when scope is exited, members of an enclosing type are cleaned up when the enclosing type is cleaned up.
- Value types may be created on the stack or heap as appropriate typically parameters and locals are created on the stack, members of an enclosing class are typically on the heap.
- Value types cannot be null default value of members that are primitive is zero, default value of members that are a struct is an instance with all struct members defaulted.

In contrast, with reference types:

- Reference type assignment only copies the reference this decrements/increments reference counts as appropriate.
- Reference types passed by parameter or returned from methods/properties pass a reference the reference is copied, but both references refer to the same original object.
- Reference types are only destroyed when garbage collected after all references to the object are determined to be unreachable.
- Reference types are generally on the heap it's always possible compiler may optimize, but in general you should always think of them as heap objects
- **Reference types can be null** default value of members that are reference types members is null.

```
public class PointClass
    public int X { get; set; }
   public int Y { get; set; }
}
public struct PointStruct
   public int X { get; set; }
  public int Y { get; set; }
public static class Program
   public static void Main()
  { // assignment from one reference to another simply copies reference and increments
    //reference count.
    // In this case both references refer to one single object
     var pointClass = new PointClass { X = 5, Y = 10 };
     var copyOfPointClass = pointClass;
     // modifying one reference modifies all references referring to the same object
     copyOfPointClass.X = 0;
     // this will output [0, 10] even though it's our original reference because they are both
     //referring to the same object
     Console.WriteLine("Original pointClass is [{0},{1}]", pointClass.X, pointClass.Y);
     // ...
     // assignment from one struct to another makes a complete copy, so there are two separate
     //PointStruct each with their own X and Y
     var pointStruct = new PointStruct \{X = 5, Y = 10\};
     var copyOfPointStruct = pointStruct;
```

```
// modifying one reference modifies all references referring to the same object
copyOfPointStruct.X = 0;

// the output will be [5,10] because the original pointStruct is unaffected by changes to the
//copyOfPOintStruct
Console.WriteLine("Original pointStruct is [{0},{1}]", pointStruct.X, pointStruct.Y);
}
```

Namespace

Namespaces are C# program elements designed to help you organize your programs. They also provide assistance in avoiding name clashes between two sets of code. Implementing Namespaces in your own code is a good habit because it is likely to save you from problems later when you want to reuse some of your code. For example, if you created a class named Console, you would need to put it in your own namespace to ensure that there wasn't any confusion about when the System. Console class should be used or when your class should be used. Generally, it would be a bad idea to create a class named Console, but in many cases your classes will be named the same as classes in either the .NET Framework Class Library or a third party library and namespaces help you avoid the problems that identical class names would cause.

```
namespace SampleNamespace
{
    class SampleClass { }
    interface SampleInterface { }
    struct SampleStruct { }
    enum SampleEnum { a, b }
    delegate void SampleDelegate(int i);
    namespace SampleNamespace.Nested
    {
        class SampleClass2 { }
    }
}
```

The following example shows how to call a static method in a nested namespace.

```
namespace SomeNameSpace
{
    public class MyClass
    {
```

```
static void Main()
               Nested.NestedNameSpaceClass.SayHello();
     }
     // a nested namespace
     namespace Nested
          public class NestedNameSpaceClass
               public static void SayHello()
                    Console.WriteLine("Hello");
// Output: Hello
Using Directive
// Namespace Declaration
using System;
using csharp_station.tutorial;
// Program start class
class Using Directive
  // Main begins program execution.
  public static void Main()
    // Call namespace member
    myExample.myPrint();
// C# Station Tutorial Namespace
namespace csharp_station.tutorial
  class my Example
    public static void myPrint()
      Console.WriteLine("Example of using a using directive.");
 }
```