## Mergesort

In lecture 7, we saw three algorithms for sorting a list of n items. We saw that, in the worst case, all of these algorithm required  $O(n^2)$  operations. Such algorithms will be unacceptably slow if n is large.

To make this claim more concrete, consider that if  $n=2^{20}\approx 10^6$  i.e one million, then  $n^2\approx 10^{12}$ . How long would it take a program to run that many instructions? Typical processors run at about  $10^9$  basic operations per second (i.e. GHz). So a problem that takes in the order of  $10^{12}$  operations would require thousands of seconds of processing time. (Having a multicore machine with say 4 processors only can speed things up by a factor of  $4-\max$  which doesn't change the argument here.)

Today we consider an alternative sorting algorithm that is much faster that these earlier  $O(n^2)$  algorithms. This algorithm is called *mergesort*. Here is the idea. If the list has just one number (n = 1), then do nothing. Otherwise, partition the list of n elements into two lists of size about n/2 elements each, sort the two individual lists (recursively, using mergesort), and then merge the two sorted lists.

For example, suppose we have a list

$$< 8, 10, 3, 11, 6, 1, 9, 7, 13, 2, 5, 4, 12 >$$
.

We partition it into two lists

$$< 8, 10, 3, 11, 6, 1 > < 9, 7, 13, 2, 5, 4, 12 > .$$

and sort these (by applying mergesort recursively):

$$<1,3,6,8,10,11>$$
  $<2,4,5,7,9,12,13>$ .

Then, we merge these two lists to get

Here is pseudocode for the algorithm. Note that it uses a helper method merge which in fact does most of the work.

```
mergesort(list){
  if list.length == 1
    return list
  else{
    mid = (list.size - 1) / 2
    list1 = list.getElements(0,mid)
    list2 = list.getElements(mid+1, list.size-1)
    list1 = mergesort(list1)
    list2 = mergesort(list2)
    return merge( list1, list2 )
}
```

Below is the merge algorithm. Note that it has two phases. The first phase initializes a new list (empty), steps through the two lists, (list1) and (list2), compares the front element of each list and removes the smaller of the two, and this removed element to to the back of the merged list. See the detailed example in the slides for an illustration.

The second phase of the algorithm starts after one of list1 or list2 becomes empty. In this case, the remaining elements from the non-empty list are moved to list. This second phase uses two while loops in the above pseudocode, and note that only one of these two loops will be used since we only reach phase two when one of list1 or list2 is already empty.

```
merge( list1, list2){
   new empty list
   while (list1 is not empty) & (list2 is not empty){
        if (list1.first < list2.first)
            list.addlast( list1.removeFirst() )
        else
            list.addlast( list2.removeFirst() )
}
while list1 is not empty
        list.addlast( list1.removeFirst() )
while list2 is not empty
        list.addlast( list2.removeFirst() )
return list
}</pre>
```

I have written the mergesort and merge algorithms using abstract list operations only, rather than specifying how exactly it is implemented (array list versus linked list). Staying at an abstract level has the advantage of getting us quickly to the main ideas of the algorithm: what is being computed and in which sequence? However, be aware that there are disadvantages of hiding the implementation details, i.e. the data structures. As we have seen, sometimes the choice of data structure can be important for performance.

## **mergesort** is $O(n \log n)$

There are  $\log n$  levels of the recursion, namely the number of levels is the number of times that you can divide the list size n by 2 until you reach 1 element per list. The number of instructions that must be executed at each level of the recursion is proportional to the number n of items in the list. This is most easily visualized using the example given in the slides, when I went through how all of the partitions and merges worked. There were  $2\log_2 n$  columns, half corresponding to the partitioning in to smaller lists and half corresponding to merges. The total number of elements in each columns is n. Making the columns in the merge steps require time roughly proportional to  $n\log_2 n$  since there are  $\log_2 n$  columns to be built. I will discuss this again a few lectures from now, when we study recurrences.

To appreciate the difference between the number of operations for the earlier  $O(n^2)$  sorting algorithms versus  $O(n \log n)$  for mergesort, consider the following table.

n	$\log n$	$n \log n$	$n^2$
$10^3 \approx 2^{10}$	10	$10^{4}$	$10^{6}$
$10^6 \approx 2^{20}$	20	$20 \times 10^{6}$	$10^{12}$
$10^9 \approx 2^{30}$	30	$30 \times 10^{9}$	$10^{18}$

Thus, the time it takes to run mergesort is significantly less than the time it takes to run bubble/selection/insertion sort, when n becomes large. Very roughly speaking, on a computer that runs  $10^9$  operations per second running mergesort on a list of size  $n = 10^9$  would take in the order of minutes, whereas running insertion sort would take centuries.

## Quicksort

Another well-known recursive algorithm for sorting a list is *quicksort*. This algorithm is quite similar to mergesort but there are important differences that I will highlight.

At each call of quicksort, one sorts a list as follows. An element known as pivot is removed from the current list. For example, the pivot might be the first element. The remaining elements in the list are then partitioned into two lists: list1 which contains those smaller than the pivot, and list2 which contains those elements that are greater than or equal to the pivot. The two lists list1 and list2 are recursively sorted. Then the two sorted lists and the pivot are concatenated into a sorted list containing the original elements (specifically, list1 followed by pivot followed by list2).

```
quicksort(list){
  if list.length <= 1
    return list
  else{
    pivot = list.removeFirst() // or some other element
    list1 = list.getElementsLessThan(pivot)
    list2 = list.getElementsNotLessThan(pivot) // i.e. the rest
    list1 = quicksort(list1)
    list2 = quicksort(list2)
    return concatenate( list1, pivot, list2 )
}</pre>
```

Unlike mergesort, most of the work in quicksort is done prior to the recursive calls. Given a pivot element that has been removed from the list, the algorithm goes through the rest of the list and compares each element to e. This takes time proportional to the size of list in that recursive call, since one needs to examine each of the elements in the list and decide whether to put it into either list1 or list2. The concatenation that is done after sorting list1 or list2 might also involve some work, depending on the data structure used.

In the lecture, I briefly discussed how the performance of quicksort can be bad in the worst case. I will fill in that discussion a few lectures from now when we discuss "recurrences".

There is lots more I could say about quicksort. For example, one common and simple implementation of quicksort uses a single array. That is, one can implement quicksort with no extra space required for copying the elements (unlike in mergesort, where it is very natural to use an extra array for the merge step). Sorting without using any extra space is called *in place* sorting.<sup>1</sup> If you would like to see how quicksort can be done in-place with an array, see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort. I will not examine you on that, since I didn't present it in the lecture, but those of you who are Majoring in CS might want to give it 20-30 minutes so you get the basic idea.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Bubble sort, selection sort, and insertion sort were also "in place" algorithms.