## Solving typing constraints

So we can use something like Caussian elimination. The algorithm is called unification

We write  $\sigma$  for a substitution [T/x] where  $\tau$  is a type (perhaps containing type variables) and  $\alpha$  is a type variable. We write  $\sigma$  for the effect of carrying out  $\sigma$ . If  $\tau$ ,  $\tau$  are type expressions &  $\sigma$  is a substitution on all the type variables - so  $\sigma$  could look

like  $[\tau_1/\kappa_1, \tau_2/\gamma_2, \dots]$  - such that  $[\sigma]\tau_1 = [\sigma]\tau_2$ , where the equality sign now means identity, we say that  $\tau_1 \wr \tau_2$  are unifiable  $\iota$   $\sigma$  is the unifier.

How do we solve constraints? We transform a set of constraints using the following rules:  $\{C_1, C_2, \cdots C_n, \text{ int} = \text{int}\} \Rightarrow \{C_1, \cdots C_n\}$   $\{C_1, C_2, \cdots C_n, \text{ bool} = \text{bool}\} \Rightarrow \{C_1, \cdots, C_n\}$   $\{C_1, C_2, \cdots C_n, \text{ bool} = \text{bool}\} \Rightarrow \{C_1, \cdots, C_n\}$   $\{C_1, \cdots C_n, \alpha = \tau\} \Rightarrow \{[\tau/\kappa]C_1, [\tau/\kappa]C_2, \cdots, [\tau/\kappa]C_n\}$   $\{C_1, \cdots C_n, \tau = \alpha\} \Rightarrow \{[\tau/\kappa]C_1, [\tau/\alpha]C_2, \cdots, [\tau/\alpha]C_n\}$ 

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\mathcal{C}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ \tau_{i} - list = \tau_{z} - list \} \Rightarrow \\ \{\mathcal{C}_{i}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ \tau_{i} = \tau_{z} \} \\ \\ \{\mathcal{C}_{i}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ (\tau_{i} \rightarrow \tau_{z}) = (\tau_{i}' \rightarrow \tau_{z}') \} \Rightarrow \\ \{\mathcal{C}_{i}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ \tau_{i} = \tau_{i}', \ \tau_{z} = \tau_{z}' \} \\ \{\mathcal{C}_{i}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ (\tau_{i} * \tau_{z}) = (\tau_{i}' * \tau_{z}') \} \Rightarrow \{\mathcal{C}_{i}, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_{n}, \ \tau_{i} = \tau_{i}', \ \tau_{z} = \tau_{z}' \} \\ \text{One important cavest: we will not allow } \\ \text{constraints as } \alpha = \tau \text{ where } \alpha \in FV(\tau). For \\ \text{example we will not allow} \\ \mathcal{N} = \text{ int } \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \end{aligned}$ 

This would lead to  $R = \text{uit} \rightarrow (\text{uit} \rightarrow \cdots)$ a never rending expression. (There is a way of making sense of these expressions but it is outside the scope of this class.)

Before we introduce a constraint of the form  $\alpha = \tau$  we will check if  $\alpha$  occurs in  $\tau$ : this is poetically called an "occurs-check."

For example:  $\{\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 = \text{int} \rightarrow \beta, \beta = \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \}$   $\Rightarrow \{\alpha_1 = \text{int}, \beta = \alpha_2, \beta = \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \}$   $\Rightarrow \{\alpha_2/\beta \mid \alpha_1 = [\alpha_2/\beta] \text{int}, [\alpha_2/\beta] \mid \beta = [\alpha_2/\beta](\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2) \}$   $\Rightarrow \{\alpha_1 = \text{int}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \} \text{ occurs-check FAILS.}$  $\Rightarrow \text{NOT UNIFIABLE}$ 

We can also fail if expressions do not moth so  $\tau$ -list =  $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  will immediately fail for example. So will  $(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) = (\tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4)$ .

The unification algorithm continues until the set of constraints is empty or none of the rules can be applied.