

Honeypots

CPS and IoT Security

Alessandro Brighente

Master Degree in Cybersecurity



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA



SPRITZ
SECURITY & PRIVACY
RESEARCH GROUP



- Stuxnet was the first example of a cyberattack against an ICSs, but not the only one
- In 2017 Triton malware was able to disable safety instrumented systems in a Saudi Arabian petrochemical plant
- In 2017, WannaCry ransomware took down a car manufacturing factory in Japan



- To effectively protect ICSs it is necessary to develop new methods for attack detection and mitigation
- Firewalls and anti-virus solutions are reactive and require updates in order to detect/prevent new forms of malicious traffic
- Zero-day exploits can consequently penetrate networks and infect systems while being undetected
- Bring your own device makes it hard to define clear security perimeters

- A solution aiming at mitigating novel (potentially unknown) attacks is via *honeypots*
- Honeypots are systems with no inherent purpose rather than capturing attacks either on the internet or within a network
- Generally, they do not receive any legitimate traffic
- Many different types of honeypots, ranging from emulating specific services (e.g., SSH) to fully fledged systems with multiple running services





- Proactive approach to security: adversaries are encouraged to attack these systems to reveal valuable threat intelligence
- This gives indication on new vulnerabilities and associated exploits
- Broader view of offensive tactics and techniques
- In 2020, honeypots helped in [identifying four zero-day vulnerabilities](#) in ICS, proving their effectiveness



- Entrapment: defense to criminal charges when it is established that the agent or official originated the idea of the crime and induced the accused to engage in it
- Other experts consider honeypots not only unethical, but a disadvantage to the computer world since they are “building the better hacker”
- However, pretty useful, nah?



- Entrapment: defense to criminal charges when it is established that the agent or official originated the idea of the crime and induced the accused to engage in it
- Other experts consider honeypots not only unethical, but a disadvantage to the computer world since they are “building the better hacker”
- However, pretty useful, nah?



- Honeypots can be either virtual or physical and are designed to be exploitable
- Research honeypots: facing the internet and deployed to gather information for research purposes
- Production honeypots: usually not directly accessible and deployed inside an organizational network
- Need to be configured with care to avoid the entrapment problem
- When compromised, they can generate alerts, deceive the attacker by diverting exploitation efforts away from the system



- A honeynet can be defined as two or more honeypots implemented on a system
- More specifically, it is a high interaction honeypot system of generation I, II, and III
- Usually, although using multiple honeypots in a system, the literature talks about honeypots



- First appeared in 1999 with the goal of capturing actions from the black-hat community
- It consists of a firewall supported by an IDS at front and a honeypot in the back
- They can capture in-depth information and capture unknown attacks
- However, they can easily be detected by attackers



- Generation II as developed in 2002 and had an honeynet sensor that serves the purpose of the IDS and of the firewall in Generation I
- The sensor works like a bridge, so it is more difficult for attackers to detect it
- Generation III was developed in 2004 and had the same architecture as Generation II
- However, it has improved deployment and management capabilities

Two Types



Low-interaction	High interaction
<p>Solution emulates operating systems and services</p>	<p>No emulation, real operating systems and services are provided</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Easy to install and deploy.• Usually requires simply installing and configuring software on a computer.• Minimal risk, as the emulated services control what attackers can and cannot do.• Captures limited amounts of information mainly transactional data and some limited interaction	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Can capture far more information including new tools, communications, or attacker keystrokes.• Can be complex to install or deploy (commercial versions tend to be much simpler).• Increased risk, as attackers are provided real operating systems to interact with



- The first honeynet for SCADA ICS was proposed by Cisco Systems' SCADA HoneyNet Project in 2004
- It is based on an open-source honeypot framework Honeyd
- It is a low interaction honeynet that supports the simulation of Modbus/TCP, FTP, Telnet, and HTTP services running on a PLC
- We need to simulate various entry points so that when the attacker encounters a perimeter device will be presented the same network as a SCADA network
- Router connected to Internet, Direct serial device, HMIs,...



- The second honeynet for ICSs was proposed by Digital Bond in 2006 under the name of SCADA HoneyNet
- Two virtual machines
 - one simulates a PLC with Modbus/TCP, FTP, Telnet, HTTP, and SNMP services
 - One is a Generation III Honeywall, i.e., a honeynet that monitors and controls the honeypot traffic and attacker interactions



- From the attacker's perspective, the target is simply an internet facing machine
- The attacker can launch an nmap and discover open ports and services
- Furthermore, the attacker can type an URL (e.g., <http://bld-control.iac.iastate.edu>) to find the homepage for Schneider Electric Modicon Modbus/TCP and get for instance diagnostic information



- From the administrator's perspective, the attacker only reaches a second NIC on the physical machine hosting both the Honeywall and the Target VMs
- The honeywall bridge bridges the adversary with the target VM logging activities as it does so
- The administrator can manage the honeynet locally or remotely via a properly configured NIC



- If the honeypot is too easy to attack, the attacker might get suspicious and detect that operations are actually happening inside a honeypot
- Services in the target VM are partially implemented to give the impression of a real system without providing the attacker too many opportunities for successful attacks
- For instance, Telnet will return banners that resemble a PLC but will not actually allow any login



```
[root@kosh]# ftp 129.186.215.1
Connected to 129.186.215.1.
220 VxWorks FTP servers (VxWorks 5.3.1) ready.
534 Only TLS is supported.
534 Only TLS is supported.
KERBEROS_V4 rejected as an authentication type
Name (129.186.215.1:root): root
331 Need password for user root
Password:
431 Username and password do not match
Login failed.
ftp>
```

- Conpot is one of the most famous ICS honeypots that have been used by researchers
- Open-source low-interaction honeypot developed under the HoneyNet Project
- It supports various industrial protocols including IEC 60870-5, Building Automation and Control Network, Ethernet/IP, Modbus, S7Comm and others such as HTTP, FTP, SNMP and TFTP
- It comes with templates for Siemens S7 PLCs, Guardian AST tank monitoring systems, and Kamstrup 382 smart meters



- Many Conpot-based honeypots have been developed
 - Additional functions and subfunctions support for S7comm
 - Dynamic HMI for the evaluation of threats to ICS
 - High-fidelity ICS protocol simulations, data capture, and analysis
 - Implementation on real-life resource constrained devices (e.g., Arduino or RaspberryPi)



- There have also been realizations of realistic Honeypots, such as the one by Trend Micro
- The goal was to develop a honeypot that appeared so real not even a well-trained control systems engineer would be able to tell it is a fake
- Decide services and ports to expose, keeping them to a minimum number to prevent honeypot to be identified as such
- Made up company history: employee names, working phone numbers, email addresses


Layout Plan



SPRITZ
SECURITY & PRIVACY
RESEARCH GROUP



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA





- Shodan is a search engine that lets its users search for various types of servers (webcams, routers, PLCs,..) connected to the internet using a variety of filters
- Mostly collects data on web servers (HTTP/HTTPS 80, 8080, 443, 8443), FTP (port 21), SSH (port 22), SNMP (port 161), ..
- Shodan can help us identify ICS connected to the internet
- [However](#)

- We want attackers to be able to use Shodan-based -like system to flag our honeypot as such
- To this aim, we can use a real ICS hardware and a mixture of physical hosts and hardened VMs

 **166.**


mobile-166-

Industrial Control System

Country	United States
Organization	
ISP	
Last Update	2019-10-30T17:04:53.662114
Hostnames	mobile-166-
ASN	AS




- For PLCs from Siemens s7, Allen-Bradly MicroLogix, Omron CP1L
- Chosen for their popularity in the control system markets from around the world
- Each brand uses different protocols, thus providing more info on possible attacks
- Each PLC is loaded with logic and performed specific tasks together running the facility
- Use of incremental functions through logic to vary the feedback of values





- Three VMs and one physical machine
- The three VMs include an HMI to control the factory, a robotic workstation to control a palletizer, and an engineering workstation to program the PLC
- The physical machine is a file server for the factory
- To mimic a realistic manufacturing environment, create an HMI to quickly identify the states of virtual actors
- Expose the HMI through Virtual Network Computing without access control





- Industrial robots are key components of smart manufacturing
- To build a realistic system, we need to include them and their corresponding engineering workstation
- Include robotics workstations that would be used by engineers to graphically write the automation logic
- Install the programming environment on a VM
- The rendered 3D digital twin of the robot is visible by VNC scans



- One of the main goal of an honeypot is to be attacked
- Start open specific ports
- No password required for NVC
- A month later, misconfigure VNC to allow remote inputs
- Act like a victim infected by malware and upload items to online antivirus aggregation service including networks diagrams
- Posts on Pastebin



- To avoid being detected, an honeypot should be able to reflect changes in the physical process of the ICS
- For instance, the honeypot should send different response messages for the same request at different times
- Neural networks can be used to simulate this process and generate responses that match a particular ICS scenario



- Three types of entities:
 - Industrial agent: transmits physical process parameters from ICSs to the server via storage media
 - Server: undertakes honeypot configuration, data storage, and data visualization task. Furthermore, forecasts the physical process data
 - Honeypot node: opens the default port 502 of the Modbus protocol
- The chosen protocol should not be encrypted so that we can easily determine from the protocol specification the definition of each field, data conversion rules, and physical parameter storage locations



- The server receives the physical process parameters (sensors or actuators measurements) generated within a certain period from the industrial agent
- Loads them as input data into the time series forecast model
- These values are converted and stored in the honeypot configuration file together with a timestamp
- Attackers can trigger the transition of the honeypot state by accessing these storage blocks



- The honeypot can reply to all request messages using the Modbus protocol
- However, only when the attacker attempts to read or write the storage block will the honeypot response message contain payloads
- For each request message, the honeypot node first locates the timestamp in the storage block configuration file
- The timestamp is given by the difference between the current time and the first arrival time



- The server is in charge of detecting malicious traffic
- First, the server completes the pretraining of the malicious traffic detection model using the attack data obtained by the honeypot
- Every hour, the Tshark on the honeypot node saves the captured malicious traffic as a Pcap file and sends it to the server
- The server will preprocess the traffic data, then feed it into the pretrained model M2 and display the detection result


Sequence Forecast Model



SPRITZ
SECURITY & PRIVACY
RESEARCH GROUP



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA



Seq2seq-based
model to turn one
sequence into
another
sequence