# **COMPUTABILITY:**

## ANSWERS TO SOME EXERCISES IN CHAPTER 1

## 1.3.3, P. 21.

- 1. (a) (If x = 0, stop. Else, set  $r_1 = 0$ . Add 1 to  $r_1$ .)
  - $I_1 \quad J(1,2,4)$
  - $I_2$  Z(1)
  - $I_3$  S(1)
  - (b) (Set  $r_1 = 0$ . Add 1 to  $r_1$  five times.)
    - $I_1$  Z(1)
    - $I_2$  S(1)
    - $I_3$  S(1)
    - $I_4$  S(1)
    - $I_5$  S(1)
    - $I_6 S(1)$
  - (c) (If x = y, go to  $I_5$  for setting  $r_1 = 0$ . Else, turn  $r_1$  into 1 and stop.
    - $I_1 \quad J(1,2,5)$
    - $I_2$  Z(1)
    - $I_3$  S(1)
    - $I_4 \quad J(1,1,6)$
    - $I_5$  Z(1)
  - (d) The typical configuration in the machine is x y k k 0 0
    - $I_1$  J(1,3,6) (if x = k, go to  $I_6$  for  $r_1 = 0$  and stop)
    - $I_2$  J(2,4,8) (if y=k, go to  $I_8$  followed by  $I_9$  for  $r_1=1$ )
    - $I_3$  S(3)  $(r_3 := r_3 + 1)$
    - $I_4 \quad S(4) \quad (r_4 := r_4 + 1)$
    - $I_5$  J(1,1,1) (return to  $I_1$ )
    - $I_6 Z(1) (set r_1 = 0)$
    - $I_7 \quad J(1, 1, 10) \quad (\text{stop})$
    - $I_8 Z(1) (\text{set } r_1 = 0)$
    - $I_9 \quad S(1) \quad (\text{turn } r_1 = 0 \text{ to } r_1 = 1)$

(e) The typical configuration of the machine is x + 3k + k = 0

```
I_1 J(1,2,7) (if x = 3k, go to I_7)
I_2 S(3) (r_3 := r_3 + 1)
I_3 S(2)
I_4 S(2)
I_5 S(2) (add 1 to r_2 three times)
I_6 J(1,1,1) (return to the beginning)
I_6 T(3,1) (set r_1 = k)
```

(f) First, we write a subroutine  $P_1$  for computing  $f_1(x) = 2x$ . The typical configuration of the machine following this subroutine is x + k x k 0  $\cdots$ 

```
I_1 T(1,2) (print r_2 = x)

I_2 J(2,3,6) (if x = k, stop)

I_3 S(1) (r_1 := r_1 + 1)

I_4 S(3) (r_3 := r_3 + 1)

I_5 J(1,1,2) (return to I_2 and repeat)
```

Next we design a subroutine  $P_2$  for computing  $f_2(x) = [x/3]$ . The concatenation (see the definition on P. 27) of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  will be a program for computing f(x) = [2x/3]. The general configuration of the machine following the subroutine  $P_2$  is  $x + 3k + 3k + 1 + 3k + 2 + 0 + \cdots$ 

```
I_1 J(1,3,15) (if x=3k, go to I_{15})
I_2 J(1,4,15) (if x = 3k + 1, go to I_{15})
I_3 J(1,5,15) (if x = 3k + 2, go to I_{15})
I_4 S(2) (r_2 := r_2 + 1)
I_5 S(3)
I_6 S(3)
I_7 S(3)
          (add 1 to r_3, three times)
I_8 S(4)
I_9 S(4)
I_{10} S(4)
            (add 1 to r_4, three times)
I_{11} \quad S(5)
I_{12} S(5)
I_{13} S(5) (add 1 to r_5, three times)
    J(1,1,1) (go back and repeat)
I_{15} T(2,1)
```

2. Following the program P described by Example 2.1 to compute  $f_P^{(2)}(x,y)$ , the general configuration of the machine is x + y + k + k = 0 It is not hard to see that the answer is

$$f_P^{(2)}(x,y) = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \ge y; \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 3. Suppose that P is a program without any jump instruction. We have to show that there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that either  $f_P^{(1)}(x) = m$  for all x, or  $f_P^{(1)}(x) = x + m$ . Denote by s(P) the number of instructions in P. First note that, since P has no jump instruction, any computation following program P will stop after s(P) steps; (the kth step is performed under the instruction  $I_k$ ). Now  $f_P^{(1)}(x)$  is the content of the first register  $R_1$  in the final step of computation. We are going to prove a more general statement:
  - (\*) the content of any register  $R_j$  at any step (say kth step) is either of the form m or x + m, where  $m = m_{j,k}$  may depend on j and k, but not on x.

We prove this general statement by induction on k, the number of steps executed. When k = 0, the machine is in the initial configuration. We have x in  $R_1$  and 0 in  $R_j$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Thus (\*) holds for k = 0 with  $m_{j,0} = 0$ . Now suppose (\*) holds for k = r - 1 where  $r \leq s(P)$ . The configuration of the machine right after the rth step is obtained by executing the instruction  $I_r$  to the previous configuration, say  $C_{r-1}$ , in which the contents of registers are of the form  $m_{j,r-1}$  or  $x + m_{j,r-1}$ , in view of our induction hypothesis. It remains to check to effect of  $I_r$  on  $C_{r-1}$ , for three types of instructions: Z(n), S(n), T(m,n). This is straightforward.

4. This is because the instruction T(m,n) can be carried out by the following subroutine:

 $I_1 \quad Z(n) \quad (\text{set } r_n = 0)$ 

 $I_2$  J(m, n, 5) (if  $r_m = r_n$ , stop)

 $I_3 \quad S(n) \quad (r_n := r_n + 1)$ 

 $I_4$  J(1,1,2) (return to  $I_2$  and repeat)

## 1.4.3, P. 23.

1. (a) The function f(x, y) in part (d) of Exercise 1.3.3.1 is just the characteristic function of the predicate 'y < x'. Switch the letters x and y.

(b) The following program computes the characteristic function of ' $x \neq 3$ ':

```
I_1 S(2)

I_2 S(2)

I_3 S(2) (turn r_2 into 3)

I_4 J(1,2,6)

I_5 Z(1)

I_6 Z(1)

I_7 S(1)
```

(c) We create a program to compute the characteristic function of 'x is even' so the the general configeration of the nachine is x + 2k + 2k + 1 = 0

```
\begin{array}{ccc} I_1 & S(3) \\ I_2 & J(1,3,8) \\ I_3 & J(1,2,9) \\ I_4 & S(2) \\ I_5 & S(2) \\ I_6 & S(3) \\ I_7 & S(3) \\ I_8 & Z(1) \\ I_9 & Z(1) \\ I_{10} & S(1) \\ \end{array}
```

I skip exercises 1.5.2 on p. 24 because they are rather boring.

#### 2.3.4, P. 32.

- 1. (a) Apply the sucessor function m times to the zero function  $\mathbf{0}$ , we get the constant function  $\mathbf{m}$ , which is computable in view of Theorem 2.3.1.
  - (b) Let g be the summing function: g(x,y) = x + y. Then

$$nx = g(U_1^1(x), g(U_1^1(x), \dots g(U_1^1(x), g(U_1^1(x), U_1^1(x))) \dots))$$

(the number of the letter g on the right hand side is n-1), which is computable in view of Theorem 2.3.1. (Alternatively, we may proceed by induction on n, which has a neater presentation.)

- 2. We use the fact proved in part (a) of the previous exercise: the constant function  $\mathbf{m}$  is computable. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 2.3.1 and the identity  $h(x) = f(U_1^1(x), \mathbf{m}(x))$ .
- 3. Exercise 1.3.3.1 (a) says that the function

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

is computable. Notice that f is just the characteristic function of the predicate ' $x \neq y$ '. Hence ' $x \neq y$ ' is decidable. We can modify the program for computing f (see the solution to Exercise 1.3.3.1 above), with 0 and 1 switched, to show that the predicate  $E(x,y) = {}^{\iota}x = y'$  is decidable. Clearly

$$c_M(x,y) = c_E(g(U_1^2(x,y)), U_2^2(x,y))$$

which is computable in view of Theorem 2.3.1. Hence M is decidable.

# 2.4.16, P. 41

1. (b)  $[\sqrt{x}]$  by definition is the largest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n^2 \leq x$ . It can also be interpreted as the smallest n such that  $x < (n+1)^2$ . Notice that: 1. if  $n = [\sqrt{x}]$ , then  $n^2 \leq x < x+1 < (x+1)^2$ , or n < x+1; 2.  $x < (n+1)^2$  iff  $x+1 \leq (n+1)^2$ , or  $(x+1) \dot{-} (n+1)^2 = 0$ . Thus we have

$$[\sqrt{x}] = \mu y < x + 1 (f(x, y) = 0)$$
 where  $f(x, y) = (x + 1) - (y + 1)^2$  is computable.

From Theorem 2.4.12 we know that  $[\sqrt{x}]$  is computable.

(b) (The expressions LCM(x,y) and HCF(x,y) are rather troublesome when x=0 or y=0: our convention is LCM(x,t)=0 when x=0 or y=0 occurs. From Theorem 2.4.5 part  $(\ell)$  that the remainder function rm(x,y) and the function max(x,y) are computable. Now

$$LCM(x, y) = \mu z < xy + 1 \ (max(rm(x, z), rm(y, z)) = 0)$$

and hence is computable.

- (d) HCF(x, y) = qt(LCM(x, y)).
- (e) Number of prime divisors of  $x = \sum_{y < x+1} \operatorname{div}(p_y, x)$ .

(f) Define

$$\operatorname{pr}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ and } y \text{ are relatively prime, i.e. } \operatorname{HCF}(x,y) = 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since we have  $\phi(x) = \sum_{y < x} \operatorname{rp}(x, y)$ , it is enough to show that  $\operatorname{rp}(x, y)$  is computable. Now  $\operatorname{rp}(x, y) = g(\operatorname{HCF}(x, y))$ , where g(x) is the function defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

It is enough to check that g(x) is computable. Indeed,  $g(x) = \overline{\operatorname{sg}}(x-1) - \overline{\operatorname{sg}}(x)$ .

2. The function  $\pi(x,y)$  in this exercise is instrumental for the proof of part (a) of Theorem 4.1.2. Basic idea behind the function  $\pi(x,y)$  is this. Given any positive integer n, we pull out all factors of 2 from n (say r of them) so that we may write  $n=2^rs$ . Here s must be an odd number and hence can be written as 2k+1 for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this explanation it is clear that  $\pi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is a bijection.

We know that the functions  $f(x) = 2^x$ ,  $f_2(x) = 2x + 1$  and g(x,y) = xy - 1 are computable. Hence so is

$$\pi(x,y) = g(f_1(x), f_2(y)) \equiv g(f_1(U_1^2(x,y)), f_2(U_2^2(x,y))).$$

Also, we know that the functions  $(x)_2$  and  $[y/x] \equiv q(x,y)$  are computable. Thus

$$\pi_1(z) = (z+1)_2$$
 and  $\pi_2(z) = [([(z+1)/(z+1)-2]-1)/2]$ 

are also computable.

3. Let  $g(x) = 2^{f(x)}3^{f(x+1)}$ . Also let  $\eta_1(x) = (x)_2$  and  $\eta_2(x) = (x)_3$ . We know that  $\eta_1(x)$  and  $\eta_2(x)$  are computable. Since  $f(x) = \eta_1(g(x))$ , it is enough to show that g(x) is computable. To this end we use Theorem 2.4.2 and show that g(x) can be computed in a recursive fashion. Indeed, g(0) = 6 and

$$g(x+1) = 2^{f(x+1)}3^{f(x+2)} = 2^{f(x+1)}3^{f(x)+f(x+1)}$$
$$= 2^{\eta_2(g(x))}3^{\eta_1(g(x))+\eta_2(g(x))} = h(g(x))$$

where  $h(z) = 2^{\eta_1(z)} 3^{\eta_2(z) + \eta_2(z)}$  is clearly computable.

4. (a) Let M(x) = x is odd Then  $c_M(x) = 1 - \text{div}(2, x)$ , which is computable.

(b) Let M(x) = 'x is a power of prime'; (0 is considered as a power of prime). Recall that the functions  $p_x$  and  $g(x,y) = (x)_y$  (see Theorem 2.15.(c) and (d)) are computable. Consequently

$$c_M(x) = \sum_{y < x} \overline{\operatorname{sg}}(|x - p_y^{g(x,y)}|)$$

is computable.

(c) To facilitate our description, instead we prove that the problem M = x is a perfect square is decidable. We know that the function  $f(x) = [\sqrt{x}]$  is computable; see Exercise 4.16.1 (b). Notice that x is a perfect square iff  $x = f(x)^2$ . Thus we have

$$c_M(x) = \overline{sg}(|x - f(x)^2|)$$

which is clearly computable.

5. See the proof on P. 97 of Cutland's book.

## 2.5.4, P. 45.

1. Assume that f is a total injective computable function. Then the (partial) function  $f^{-1}$  is also computable in view of

$$f^{-1}(x) = \mu y (|x - f(y)| = 0).$$

- 2. This is because  $f(x) = \mu y(|p(y) x|)$ .
- 3. Let  $g(x,y) = \mu z$  (|zy-x| = 0). Then g is computable. Notice that, if  $y \neq 0$ , or y = 0 but  $x \neq 0$ , we have g(x,y) = f(x,y). The only discrepancy here is f(0,0) = 0 but g(0,0) is undefined. Let h(x,y) be the function such that h(0,0) is undefined and h(x,y) = 0 otherwise. Then f(x,y) = g(x,y) + h(x,y) and hence is computable.