

Lecture **interp4**: Error formula for polynomial interpolation

October 19, 2022

Summary: Derivation of error formula and examples of its use.

References: T. Sauer, *Numerical Analysis*, Section 3.2, first edition, pages 153-159.

Error formula and its derivation

Suppose that we have a data set $D_N = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, where we assume that $y_i = f(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. That is, we assume that the y_i data values stem from evaluation of a known function $f(x)$ on the x_i data points. Further, we assume that f is sufficiently smooth; namely, that its N th derivative $f^{(N)}(x)$ is itself a continuous function on an open interval which contains $[x_1, x_N]$. For simplification, we also assume $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{N-1} < x_N$. For many common functions, such as $\sin x$ and e^x , all derivatives are continuous on all of the real line \mathbb{R} . The analysis to follow pertains to such functions, among others.

Theorem 1. *Assume the conditions stated in the last paragraph, and let $p(x)$ be the (degree $N - 1$ or less) polynomial which interpolates the data set D_N . Then*

$$f(x) - p(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_N) \frac{f^{(N)}(c)}{N!}, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in [x_1, x_N]$ and also $c = c(x) \in [x_1, x_N]$.

Before starting the proof, first notice that the formula “looks right”. Indeed, since $p(x)$ interpolates $f(x)$ at the nodal points x_i , we have $f(x_i) - p(x_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. The right-hand side of (1) also vanishes at each x_i , since the factor $(x - x_i)$ present in right-hand side will of course vanish when $x = x_i$. The point $c = c(x)$ in general depends on the evaluation point x . Were it a constant (a possibility), then $f(x) = p(x) + (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_N) f^{(N)}(c)/N!$ would itself be a polynomial (of degree N or less).

Finally, while (1) is a equality, from it we may derive a more useful inequality. Set

$$M_N = \max_{x_1 \leq t \leq x_N} |f^{(N)}(t)|.$$

Then, from (1) we obtain

$$|f(x) - p(x)| \leq |(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_N)| \frac{M_N}{N!}. \quad (2)$$

This error estimate is more useful in practice.

Proof. Now turn to the proof of **Theorem 1**. We start by writing down the Newton formula for the interpolating polynomial $p(x)$,

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N f[x_1, \dots, x_k] \overbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (x - x_i)}^{\text{basis function } \phi_i(x)} \quad (3)$$

$$= f(x_1) + f[x_1, x_2](x - x_1) + \dots + f[x_1, \dots, x_N](x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{N-1}),$$

where, as noted before, the last Newton basis function $\phi_N(x)$ does not depend on the last data point x_N (it only depends on x_1 through x_{N-1}). Here is the trick. We now consider the addition of one more data point $(t, f(t))$ to D_N , giving a larger data set $D_{N+1} = \{(x_1, f(x_1)), \dots, (x_N, f(x_N)), (t, f(t))\}$. The trick is that t is essentially arbitrary, except that we assume $t \in [x_1, x_N]$. By the recursive property of the Newton approach, the (degree n or less) polynomial which interpolates this larger data set is

$$q(x) = p(x) + f[x_1, \dots, x_N, t](x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{N-1})(x - x_N). \quad (4)$$

By construction $q(t) = f(t)$, since $q(t)$ interpolates f at $x = t$. Whence we see that

$$f(t) = p(t) + f[x_1, \dots, x_N, t](t - x_1) \cdots (t - x_{N-1})(t - x_N).$$

In fact, the t here is a “dummy variable”; we could replace all t factors in the last formula with ξ , or s , or, indeed, x . Therefore, replacement of t with x yields

$$f(x) - p(x) = f[x_1, \dots, x_N, x](x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{N-1})(x - x_N).$$

This is the result (1), provided that we can show $f[x_1, \dots, x_N, x] = f^{(N)}(c(x))/N!$.

To finish the proof, rather than the boxed result we will show $f[x_1, \dots, x_N, t] = f^{(N)}(c(t))/N!$ instead, which is of course the same thing. To this end, refer back to (4) and define

$$h(x) := f(x) - q(x),$$

which, by construction, vanishes at x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, t . Moreover, the N th derivative $h^{(N)}$ is certainly a continuous function on $[x_1, x_N]$, since we have assumed f is N times continuously differentiable on an open interval *larger* than $[x_1, x_N]$ and, as a polynomial, any derivative of $q(x)$ of any order is a continuous function on all of \mathbb{R} (if q is differentiated more than N times, one gets the zero function which is plenty continuous). Now we repeatedly appeal to Rolle’s Theorem (a particular instance of the Mean Value Theorem for Derivatives, a basic result from Calculus): *If a real-valued function g is continuous on a closed interval $[a, b]$, differentiable on the open interval (a, b) , and $g(a) = g(b)$, then there exists at least one ξ in the open interval (a, b) such that $g'(\xi) = 0$.* Since h has $N + 1$ roots and is continuously differentiable, by Rolle’s Theorem, its derivative h' will have N roots. Then h'' will have $N - 1$ roots. By induction, $h^{(N)}$ will have one root, call it $c = c(t)$. That is, $h^{(N)}(c) = 0$, or

$$f^{(N)}(c) = q^{(N)}(c) = p^{(N)}(c) + N!f[x_1, \dots, x_N, t].$$

Since p is a polynomial of degree $\leq N - 1$, $p^{(N)}(x) = 0$ and $f^{(N)}(c) = qN!f[x_1, \dots, x_N, t]$. \square

Examples

Example 1

Consider the data set $\mathcal{D}_3 = \{(0, \frac{1}{4}), (2, \frac{1}{6}), (4, \frac{1}{8})\}$. **(a)** Construct the divided-difference table associated with \mathcal{D}_3 , and then write down the associated degree-2 Newton interpolating polynomial $p(x)$. **(b)** Using the formula from **(a)**, estimate the error $|f(3) - p(3)|$ in using $p(3)$ to approximate $f(3)$, where $f(x) = 1/(x+4)$ gives the data \mathcal{D}_3 from **(a)**. Compare with the exact error.

Solution. The divided difference table is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & & \\ & & -\frac{1}{24} & \\ 2 & \frac{1}{6} & & \frac{1}{192} \\ & & -\frac{1}{48} & \\ 4 & \frac{1}{8} & & \end{array}$$

From the table the interpolating polynomial is

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{24}x + \frac{1}{192}x(x-2).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$p(3) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{24} + \frac{3}{192} = \frac{9}{64} \simeq 0.14062$$

The exact error between $p(3)$ and $f(3) = 1/(3+4) = \frac{1}{7}$ is $f(3) - p(3) = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{9}{64} = \frac{1}{448}$, and so

$$|f(3) - p(3)| = \frac{1}{448} \simeq 0.0022321.$$

The third derivative of f is $f'''(x) = -6/(x+4)^4$, and $M_3 = |f'''(0)| = \frac{3}{128}$ is a bound on $|f'''(x)|$ over $[0, 4]$, indeed over $[0, \infty)$. So the estimate (2) becomes

$$|f(3) - p(3)| \leq \frac{|(3-0)(3-2)(3-4)|}{3!} \cdot \frac{3}{128} \simeq 0.011719.$$

Note that the estimate for the error is a bit worse than the exact error: $0.011719 > 0.0022321$.

Example 2

(Model for the sine function key on a digital calculator). Construct the polynomial p which interpolates $f(x) = \sin x$ at 4 equally spaced points on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and then estimate the error in using $p(1)$ as an approximation to $\sin(1)$.

Solution. The data set is $\mathcal{D}_4 = \{(0, 0), (\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}), (\frac{\pi}{2}, 1)\}$, with the associated divided difference table as follows.

0	0			
		$\frac{3}{\pi}$		
$\frac{\pi}{6}$	$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{9(\sqrt{3}-2)}{\pi^2}$	
		$\frac{3(\sqrt{3}-1)}{\pi}$		$\frac{18(5-3\sqrt{3})}{\pi^3}$
$\frac{\pi}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$		$\frac{9(3-2\sqrt{3})}{\pi^2}$	
		$\frac{3(2-\sqrt{3})}{\pi}$		
$\frac{\pi}{2}$	1			

We then read-off the interpolating polynomial from the top of the divided difference table,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x) &= 0 \cdot 1 + \frac{3}{\pi}(x-0) + \frac{9(\sqrt{3}-2)}{\pi^2}(x-0)(x-\frac{\pi}{6}) + \frac{18(5-3\sqrt{3})}{\pi^3}(x-0)(x-\frac{\pi}{6})(x-\frac{\pi}{3}) \\
 &= \frac{3}{\pi}x + \frac{9(\sqrt{3}-2)}{\pi^2}x(x-\frac{\pi}{6}) + \frac{18(5-3\sqrt{3})}{\pi^3}x(x-\frac{\pi}{6})(x-\frac{\pi}{3}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, from this formula we calculate

$$p(1) = \frac{3}{\pi} + \frac{9(\sqrt{3}-2)}{\pi^2}(1-\frac{\pi}{6}) + \frac{18(5-3\sqrt{3})}{\pi^3}(1-\frac{\pi}{6})(1-\frac{\pi}{3}) \simeq 0.841086.$$

Since the 4th derivative of the sine function is again the sine function, the M_n factor in (2) is $M_4 = 1$. Therefore, the error estimate becomes

$$|\sin(1) - p(1)| \leq \frac{|(1-0)(1-\frac{\pi}{6})(1-\frac{\pi}{3})(1-\frac{\pi}{2})|}{4!} \simeq 5.3476\text{e-}04.$$

So the approximation $p(1)$ is good to better than 3-digits in a relative sense: $|\sin(1) - p(1)|/|\sin(1)| \simeq 6.3551\text{e-}04$.