



AI Policy

BACKGROUND

Al policy must protect individuals, increase transparency and accountability, and center human rights.

DOCUMENTS

APA Comments

Reports

<u>Testimony</u>

States and municipalities are increasingly taking interest in Artificial Intelligence and filling the gaps left by federal inaction on algorithmic harm. States and cities have taken different routes, from notification and task forces to minimum privacy standards. Some of the recent efforts are highlighted below. EPIC is not including state and local laws primarily focused on investing resources in building more AI and general research, as they do not improve protection of individuals against algorithmic harm. At the federal level, EPIC is only including laws of this sort that have been enacted, and only including laws within the last several years.

U.S. FEDERAL STRATEGY

There have been no major legislative movement in Congress, but plenty of relevant bills introduced in the last several years. Anna Lenhart has published <u>a report</u> about the different ways legislation introduced in Congress would impact AI.

Fall 2023 Executive Action: Executive Order and an Office of Management & Budget Memo

On October 30, 2023, the Biden-Harris Administration <u>issued an Executive Order entitled "Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy</u>

<u>Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence"</u> that emphasizes the need for regulation of high-risk AI and critically recognizes the link between privacy and AI.

Notably, the order requires the developers of the most powerful AI systems to share their safety test results with the government, promises federal support for development and agencies use of privacy-preserving techniques, requires an evaluation of how agencies collect and use commercially available data (including from data brokers), and requires increased training on how to investigate and prosecute civil rights violations related to AI.

The order tasks agencies with a number of responsibilities that will lead to standards from the National Institute of Standards and Technology to layout responsible AI testing frameworks and guidance for content authentication and watermarking.

For government use of AI, the EO requires the development of guidance for agency use of AI and a faster and more efficient process for agencies to procure AI products and services. The directive also calls for the rapid hiring of AI professionals and the training of employees at all levels. The content of a forthcoming Office of Management and Budget memo will dictate the details of how government AI use will change.

EPIC has long advocated for comprehensive privacy protections, rigorous testing protocols, expanded resources for evaluation of AI systems, and a government-whole effort to fighting algorithmic discrimination.

Two days later, on November 1st, 2023, the Office of Management and Budget released a memo dictating how Government Agencies should approach using and regulating AI. There is a 30-day comment period before it's finalized.

Principles and International Agreements

U.S. STATE AND LOCAL LAWS (ENACTED)

No meaningful federal legislation has passed, however states have been very active.

EPIC has published "State of State AI Policy" to track the fast-moving world of AI legislation in states and localities around the country.

2022 - 2023 Legislative Session

2021 - 2022 Legislative Session

FRAMEWORKS

White House Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights

The Office of Science and Technology Policy released a wide-ranging "Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights" in Fall 2022, a document setting out how people should be able to expectThe five major principles are Safe and Effective Systems; Freedom from Algorithmic Discrimination; Data Privacy; Notice and Explanation; Human Alternatives, Consideration, and Fallback. The document lays out why these principles are critical, examples of where they are violated, and examples of how they've been addressed.

The Blueprint notes that individuals must be protected from abusive data practices and calls for data minimization rules, stating "[y]ou should be protected from violations of privacy through design choices that ensure such protections are included by default, including ensuring that data collection conforms to reasonable expectations and that only data strictly necessary for the specific context is collected."

In the days following its release, EPIC Deputy Director Caitirona Fitzgerald and Senior Counsel Ben Winters published an op-ed in Protocol, urging the Biden Administration to take action to bring the Blueprint into practice. The Fall 2023 Executive Order and Office of Management and Budget Guidance does exactly that.

National Institute of Standards and Technology Al Risk Management Framework

Formally released on January 26, 2023, the A.I. Risk Management Framework is a four-part, voluntary framework intended to guide the responsible development and use of A.I. systems. The core of the framework are recommendations divided into four overarching functions: (1) **Govern**, which covers overarching policy decisions and organizational culture around A.I. development; (2) **Map**, which covers efforts to contextualize A.I. risks and potential benefits; (3) **Measure**, which covers efforts to assess and quantify A.I. risks; and (4) **Manage**, which covers the active steps an organization should take to mitigate risks and prioritize elements of trustworthy A.I. systems. In addition to the core Framework, NIST also hosts supplemental resources like a community <u>Playbook</u> to help organizations navigate the Framework.

In April 2023, EPIC Senior Counsel Ben Winters and Equal Justice Works Fellow Grant Fergusson published a series of <u>blog posts</u> explaining how each of these instruct how entities using AI can do it more responsibly.

Universal Guidelines for Artificial Intelligence

In October 2018, over 250 experts and 60 organizations, representing more than 40 countries, endorsed the <u>Universal Guidelines for Artificial Intelligence</u> ("UGAI"). The guidelines were organized by the Public Voice. The guidelines in full are:

- Right to Transparency. All individuals have the right to know the basis of an AI decision that concerns them. This includes access to the factors, the logic, and techniques that produced the outcome.
- 2. **Right to Human Determination.** All individuals have the right to a final determination made by a person.
- 3. **Identification Obligation.** The institution responsible for an AI system must be made known to the public.
- Fairness Obligation. Institutions must ensure that AI systems do not reflect unfair bias or make impermissible discriminatory decisions.
- Assessment and Accountability Obligation. An AI system should be deployed only after an adequate evaluation of its purpose and objectives, its benefits, as well as its risks. Institutions must be responsible for decisions made by an AI system.
- Accuracy, Reliability, and Validity Obligations. Institutions must ensure the accuracy, reliability, and validity of decisions.
- Data Quality Obligation. Institutions must establish data provenance, and assure quality and relevance for the data input into algorithms.
- Public Safety Obligation. Institutions must assess the public safety risks that arise from the deployment of AI systems that

direct or control physical devices, and implement safety controls.

- Cybersecurity Obligation. Institutions must secure AI systems against cybersecurity threats.
- Prohibition on Secret Profiling. No institution shall establish or maintain a secret profiling system.
- Prohibition on Unitary Scoring. No national government shall establish or maintain a general-purpose score on its citizens or residents.
- 12. Termination Obligation. An institution that has established an AI system has an affirmative obligation to terminate the system if human control of the system is no longer possible.

Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development AI Principles

<u>The OECD AI Principles</u> were adopted in 2019 and endorsed by 42 countries—including the United States, several European Countries, and the G20 nations. The OECD AI Principles establish international standards for AI use:

- 1. Inclusive growth, sustainable development and wellbeing. AI should benefit people and the planet.
- Human-centered values and fairness. AI systems should be designed in a way that respects the rule of law, human rights, democratic values and diversity, and they should include appropriate safeguards – for example, enabling human intervention when necessary – to ensure a fair and just society.
- Transparency and explainability. There should be transparency and a responsible disclosure around AI systems to ensure that people understand AI-based outcomes and can challenge them.
- Robustness, security and safety. AI systems must function in a robust, secure and safe way throughout their life cycles and potential risks should be continually assessed and managed.
- Accountability. Organizations and individuals developing, deploying or operating AI systems should be held accountable for their proper functioning in line with the above principles.

INTERNATIONAL LAWS

Several other counties are more advanced than the U.S. in terms of AI policy development that works to protect people from algorithmic harm. For more information on AI laws and norms internationally, please see EPIC's International Policy page.

Recent Documents on AI Policy



See More in the Digital Library \rightarrow

TOP UPDATES

EPIC Urges NIST to Center AI Transparency and Data Minimization in AI Risk Management Following Biden Executive Order →

FEBRUARY 2, 2024

Senator Markey Introduces EPIC-Supported Bill to Ensure Federal Agencies Monitor and Combat AI Harms →

DECEMBER 14, 2023

GAO Report: Federal Agencies Are Not Complying with Al Requirements →

DECEMBER 12, 2023

All Updates →

RESOURCES

Understanding Transparency in Algorithmic Accountability → MARGOT KAMINSKI | 2020

Algorithmic Impact Assessments Under the GDPR: Producing Multi-Layered Explanations → MARGOT KAMINSKI, GIANCLAUDIO MALGIERI | 2020

Consultation: Proposals for ensuring appropriate regulation of artificial intelligence → RASHIDA RICHARDSON, AMBA KAK | 2020

Suspect Development Systems: Databasing Marginality and Enforcing Discipline → RASHIDA RICHARDSON, AMBA KAK | 2021

Power, Process, and Automated Decision-Making →
ARI EZRA WALDMAN | 2019

Confronting Black Boxes: A Shadow Report of the New York City Automated Decision System Task Force → RASHIDA RICHARDSON, ED., I 2019

Best Practices for Government Procurement of Data-Driven Technologies →
RASHIDA RICHARDSON | 2021

The Black Box Society → FRANK PASQUALE | 2015

Racism In, Racism Out →

Defend privacy. Support EPIC.

Support Our Work

EPIC's work is funded by the support of individuals like you, who help us to continue to protect privacy, open government, and democratic values in the information age.

DONATE



1519 New Hampshire Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036 202.483.1140 | <u>info@epic.org</u>

Sign Up for EPIC Alerts

EMAIL ADDRESS

address@email.com

SIGN UP

 $\hbox{EPIC uses MailChimp to maintain our mailing list and send newsletters. View MailChimp's privacy \\ \hbox{policy}.$

ISSUES	OUR WORK	MEDIA	ABOUT
Al & Human Rights	Updates	EPIC in the News	EPIC Projects
Consumer Privacy	Analysis	Press Kit	Board & Staff
Cybersecurity	EPIC Alert	EPIC Statements	EPIC Advisory Board
Data Protection	Events	EPIC Commentaries	Careers & Internships
Democracy & Free Speech	EPIC Publications		Being a Non-profit
Open Government	Digital Library		Cy Pres Awards
Privacy Laws			Privacy Policy
Surveillance Oversight			Contact Us

DONATE →

Copyright

© 1994 - 2024 EPIC, all rights reserved.