

Q2) We have, $y = \ln \mu + X_2 \tau + \varepsilon$, that is, $X_1 = I_n$.

Thus, $X_1^T X_1 = n = kb$, $(X_1^T X_1)^C = I/n$, $H_1 = 1/n J_{nk}$ and $X_{211} = (I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n) X_2$
 $= X_2 - \frac{1}{n} J_{nk}$, where J_{nk} is the $n \times k$ matrix of ones.

The reduced normal equations are $X_{211}^T X_{211} t = X_{211}^T y$, that is,

$$b \left(I_k - \frac{1}{k} J_k \right) t = X_2^T \left(I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) y \quad \boxed{(X^T X) b = (X^T X) y}$$

Since $[b(I_k - \frac{1}{k} J_k)]^C = \frac{1}{b} I_k$, we have, (parameters) $t \neq \text{not } 0$!!!

Recall!!

$$t = \frac{1}{b} X_2^T \left(I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) y = \frac{1}{b} (X_2^T - \frac{1}{n} J_{nk}) y = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_k - \bar{y}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$t = \frac{1}{b} X_2^T \left(I_n - (X_1^T X_1)^C J_n \right) y = \frac{1}{b} (X_2^T J_n - X_2^T (X_1^T X_1)^C J_n) y = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_k - \bar{y}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Noting that,

$$X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

, subject to relabelling the indices, this is exactly
 the same solⁿ as for the reduced
 equations for the CB.D.
 (Complete Block Design)

Sl. 45-50 (Thm 8.2)

similar to that.

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \beta_i + T_j + \epsilon_{ij}, \text{ Additive model}$$

standard error σ ,
where σ is (sample standard deviation)

(Q3a) $\left(\sum_j c_j y_{ij} \right) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\sum_j c_j^2 / b}$

SP.55 (Experimental design)

(i.e. becomes close to a standard normal)
in the lectures, degrees of freedom is large, $(n-p)$ is large! \rightarrow becomes a standard normal

(Q3b) To have power $100(1-\alpha)$ against this alternative we need

$$\left| c^T r^* \right| > z_{\alpha/2} \approx \sqrt{\sum_j c_j^2 / b}, \text{ which gives}$$

critical value/point

$$b > z_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2 \sum_j c_j^2 / (c^T r^*)^2 = z_{\alpha/2}^2 \sigma^2 c^T c / (c^T r^*)^2.$$

\rightarrow learn from prior MAST20005 knowledge about "hypothesis testing"!!

(Q4a) Each treatment occurs at most once in a block, and in exactly 3 blocks, each pair of treatments occurs in exactly 1 block.
Thus we have a BIBD with $t=4$, $b=6$, $k=2$, $r=3$ and $\lambda=1$.

(Q5) From the lectures, we have

$$X_{211}^T X_{211} = \lambda t \left(I_t - \frac{1}{t} J_t \right)$$

$$(X_{211}^T X_{211})^c = \frac{k}{\lambda t} I_6, \quad \begin{matrix} r = \frac{6k}{t} \\ \Rightarrow rt = b \end{matrix}$$

and therefore for any contrast $c^T \tau_1$,

$$c^T (X_{211}^T X_{211})^c X_{211}^T X_{211} = c^T \left(I_t - \frac{1}{t} J_t \right)$$

$$= c^T - \frac{1}{t} c^T J_t = c^T$$

$$\text{variables } \lambda = r \left(\frac{k-1}{t-1} \right), \quad r = \frac{6k}{t}$$

SC, q3

$$\text{no. of treatments, } \lambda = \frac{6k}{t} \left(\frac{k-1}{t-1} \right), \quad b = \frac{t-1}{k-1}$$

normal equations
get used to these
notations!!

The estimate follows from the solution to the reduced normal equations. (i.e. it is estimable Thm. 6.10)

$$\lambda = \frac{12}{4} \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) = 1$$

(Q7b) Differentiating and setting the derivative to 0, we obtain the generalised normal equations,

$$X_2^T V^{-1} X_2 t = X_2^T V^{-1} y, \quad (\text{from your understanding from the rank model})$$

Thus a solution is $t = (X_2^T V^{-1} X_2)^{-1} X_2^T V^{-1} y$.

(Q7c) We have (by substitution) $y_1 = U^T (X_2 \tau + \varepsilon') = y_1 U^T X_2 \tau + \varepsilon'_1$. Similar to $b = (X_2^T X_2)^{-1} X_2^T y$, consider $(X_2^T X_2)$ less than 1.
 $y_1 = U^T X_2 \tau + \varepsilon'_1, \quad E \varepsilon'_1 = 0, \quad \text{Var } \varepsilon'_1 = \sigma^2 U^T U$.
 $y_2 = k\mu I + X_1^T X_2 \tau + \varepsilon_2, \quad E \varepsilon_2 = 0, \quad \text{Var } \varepsilon_2 = (k^2 \sigma_\beta^2 + k\sigma^2) I$. true!

(Q7d) We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) &= E U^T (y - E y) (y - E y)^T X_1 = M U^T [E[y - E[y]]] [y - E[y]]^T X_1 \\ &= U^T \text{Var } y X_1 = U^T (\sigma^2 I + \sigma_\beta^2 X_1 X_1^T) X_1 \\ &= \sigma^2 U^T X_1 + \sigma_\beta^2 U^T X_1 X_1^T X_1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

in detail.

$$y_1 = U^T y = U^T (X_2 \tau + \varepsilon + X_1 \beta)$$

$$= U^T X_2 \tau + U^T \varepsilon + U^T X_1 \beta$$

$\approx \varepsilon$ Assumed, β

Symmetric

$$X_1 U^T \beta = 0.$$

$$= U^T X_2 \tau + \varepsilon,$$

$$U^T E[\varepsilon] = 0, \quad \text{Var}[U^T \varepsilon] = \sigma^2 U^T U$$

$$\text{Var}[U^T \varepsilon] = U^T \text{Var}[\varepsilon] U = U^T U \sigma^2$$

$$y_2 = X_1^T (X_2 \tau + \varepsilon') = X_1^T (X_2 \tau + \varepsilon + X_1 \beta) = X_1^T X_2 \tau + X_1^T \varepsilon + X_1^T X_1 \beta$$

$$E[\varepsilon_2] = 0, \quad \text{Var}[\varepsilon_2] = (k^2 \sigma_\beta^2 + k\sigma^2) I$$

$\approx \varepsilon_2$ $k^2 \mu I$

Q7A) Tutorial 12+13

$$\begin{aligned} E[y] &= E[X_1\beta + X_2\tau + \varepsilon] \quad \textcircled{O} \\ &= X_1 E[\beta] + E[X_2\tau] + E[\varepsilon] \\ &= \cancel{X_1\mu_1} + X_2 E[\tau] + \cancel{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

~~X₁~~ Assume $E[\tau] = \tau$,

$$= \mu X_1 + X_2 \tau = \mu + X_2 \tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_1\beta + X_2\tau + \varepsilon) &= X_1 \text{Var}(\beta) X_1^T + X_2 \text{Var}(\tau) X_2^T + \text{Var}(\varepsilon) \\ &= X_1 \sigma_{\beta}^2 I \underbrace{X_1^T + X_2^T}_{\textcircled{O}} + \sigma^2 I \end{aligned}$$

$$= \cancel{X_1\sigma_{\beta}^2 X_1^T} + \underbrace{\sigma_{\beta}^2 X_1^T}_{\text{constant / scalar like for the random}} + \sigma^2 I$$

block effects!!

Question 5 b) Show that $\hat{\beta}$ is biased if $\lambda \neq 0$!!! Assignment 2

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y.$$

$$(X^T X + \lambda I) \hat{\beta} = X^T y.$$

Theorem 4.4 (Gauss-Markov Theorem).

~~$$E[\hat{\beta}] = \dots$$~~

$$E[\hat{\beta}] = [(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1}] X^T E[y]$$
$$= [(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1}] X^T X \beta$$

$$= (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T X \beta \underset{\text{is biased}}{\cancel{=}} \beta \quad \lambda \neq 0!!$$

So $\hat{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimator for β , we know that $E[\hat{\beta}] = \beta$
therefore $(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T X \beta$ which means $\lambda = 0$ because
 $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta = I \beta = \beta$.

Var($\hat{\beta}$)

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T y)$$