

Assessment Rubric: Ethics Case Study Assignment (75 marks; 30% of overall subject grade)

Criteria	Strongly meets requirement	Soundly meets requirement	Meets limited requirement	Does not meet requirement
Influence of PredPol on Law Enforcement Practices and Strategies (5 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a comprehensive and insightful explanation of how PredPol influenced law enforcement practices and strategies. Offers specific examples and evidence to support assertions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presents a clear explanation of how PredPol influenced law enforcement practices and strategies. Provides some examples and evidence to support assertions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offers a basic explanation of how PredPol influenced law enforcement practices and strategies. Lacks specific examples and evidence to support assertions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to provide a coherent explanation of how PredPol influenced law enforcement practices and strategies. Lacks specific examples and evidence.
	5	4	3	0-2
Concerns Raised Regarding PredPol Algorithms (5 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly outlines specific concerns regarding bias, lack of transparency, and reliability of PredPol algorithms. Demonstrates a deep understanding of how these concerns emerged over time with thorough analysis. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presents a clear outline of concerns regarding PredPol algorithms. Offers analysis of how these concerns emerged over time with some depth. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outlines concerns regarding PredPol algorithms to a basic extent. Provides limited analysis of how these concerns emerged over time. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to outline concerns regarding PredPol algorithms. Lacks analysis of how these concerns emerged over time
	5	4	3	0-2
Stakeholder Responses to PredPol Implementation (5 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes comprehensive responses of stakeholders, including policymakers, civil rights organizations, and communities, to PredPol implementation. Provides nuanced insights into the diversity of responses and actions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes responses of stakeholders to PredPol implementation. Offers some insights into the diversity of responses and actions taken. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes responses of stakeholders to PredPol implementation to a basic extent. Provides limited insights into the diversity of responses and actions taken. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to describe responses of stakeholders to PredPol implementation. Lacks insights into the diversity of responses and actions taken.

	taken.			
	5	4	3	0-2
Ethical Implications of PredPol Use (10 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly identifies and discusses ethical implications of PredPol use with depth and insight. Provides compelling examples and evidence to support arguments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies and discusses ethical implications of PredPol use with clarity. Provides relevant examples and evidence to support arguments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies and discusses ethical implications of PredPol use to a basic extent. Offers limited examples and evidence to support arguments. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to identify or discuss ethical implications of PredPol use. Lacks examples and evidence to support arguments.
	8-10	5-7	3-4	1-2
Lack of Transparency and Ethical Concerns (10 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly discusses lack of transparency surrounding PredPol algorithms and its ethical implications in depth. Provides insightful analysis supported by relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses lack of transparency surrounding PredPol algorithms and its ethical implications with clarity. Provides analysis supported by relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses lack of transparency surrounding PredPol algorithms and its ethical implications to a basic extent. Offers some analysis supported by limited examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to discuss lack of transparency surrounding PredPol algorithms or its ethical implications. Lacks analysis and relevant examples/evidence.
	8-10	5-7	3-4	1-2
Balance Between Public Safety and Civil Liberties. (10 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explores the balance between public safety and civil liberties concerning PredPol use comprehensively and with depth. Provides nuanced analysis supported by relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explores the balance between public safety and civil liberties concerning PredPol use with clarity. Provides analysis supported by relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explores the balance between public safety and civil liberties concerning PredPol use to a basic extent. Offers limited analysis supported by some relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to explore the balance between public safety and civil liberties concerning PredPol use. Lacks analysis and relevant examples/evidence.
	5	4	3	0-2
Ethical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a comprehensive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly explains how ethical 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts to explain how 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to explain how ethical

Frameworks and PredPol Outcomes. (10 marks)	analysis of how ethical frameworks such as consequentialism and virtue ethics inform the evaluation of PredPol outcomes, with insightful connections between these frameworks and specific outcomes, supported by relevant examples and evidence.	frameworks inform the evaluation of PredPol outcomes, with some analysis and connections between frameworks and outcomes, supported by relevant examples/evidence.	ethical frameworks inform the evaluation of PredPol outcomes but lacks depth or clarity in analysis, with limited connections between frameworks and outcomes, and minimal relevant examples/evidence.	frameworks inform the evaluation of PredPol outcomes or lacks relevant analysis, connections, and examples/evidence.
	8-10	5-7	3-4	1-2
Alternative Approaches to PredPol. (10 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a detailed analysis of alternative approaches or modifications to PredPol Predictive Policing Software, addressing issues of bias, transparency, and accountability comprehensively and with insight, supported by reasoned arguments and ethical considerations, along with relevant examples and evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly suggests alternative approaches or modifications to PredPol, addressing some issues of bias, transparency, and accountability, supported by reasoned arguments and ethical considerations, with relevant examples/evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts to suggest alternative approaches or modifications to PredPol but lacks depth or clarity in addressing issues of bias, transparency, and accountability, with limited reasoning or ethical considerations, and minimal relevant examples/evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to suggest alternative approaches or modifications to PredPol or lacks relevant analysis, reasoning, and ethical considerations, with no or insufficient examples/evidence.
	8-10	5-7	3-4	1-2
Alignment of Actions with Ethical Principles. (10 marks)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a thorough evaluation of how actions taken in response to ethical concerns surrounding PredPol align with broader ethical principles and values within the criminal justice system and society at large, demonstrating clear connections between 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly evaluates how actions align with ethical principles and values, with some connections between actions and principles, supported by analysis and relevant examples/evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts to evaluate alignment with ethical principles and values but lacks depth or clarity in connections between actions and principles, with limited analysis and relevant examples/evidence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fails to evaluate alignment with ethical principles and values or lacks relevant analysis, connections, and examples/evidence.

	actions and principles, supported by insightful analysis and relevant examples/evidence.			
	8-10	5-7	3-4	1-2
Total marks: /75				