

MAST30022 Decision Making  
2021  
Tutorial 8

1. **(PS6-2)** Find what each of the decision methods: Wald's Maximin, Hurwicz's Maximax, Savage's Minimax Regret, and Laplace's Criterion, would tell a company manager to do in the following decision situation. The manager has no information about what the economy will be like 3 years from now when the payoff will come, and so he/she may suppose that each state may occur equally likely. The figures in the table are profit to company in \$ million. (Adapted from P. D. Straffin, "Game Theory and Strategy".)

		Economy			
		Way up	Slightly up	Slightly down	Way down
Manager	Hold steady	3	2	2	0
	Expand slightly	4	2	0	0
	Expand greatly	6	2	0	-2
	Diversity	1	1	2	2

Table 1: Question 2, Problem Set 6

**Solution**

	Way up	Slightly up	Slightly down	Way down	$s_i$	$o_i$	$(\sum_j v_{ij})/4$
Steady	3	2	2	0	0	3	7/4*
Slightly	4	2	0	0	0	4	3/2
Greatly	6	2	0	-2	-2	6*	3/2
Diversity	1	1	2	2	1*	2	1

From this table the Wald's Maximin, Hurwicz's Maximax, and Laplace's Criteria will pick the actions 'diversity', 'expand greatly', and 'hold steady', respectively.

The regret matrix is

	Way up	Slightly up	Slightly down	Way down	Maximum Regret
Steady	3	0	0	2	3
Slightly	2	0	2	2	2*
Greatly	0	0	2	4	4
Diversity	5	1	0	0	5

Since the minimum of the maximum regrets is attained by the second row, the Savage's Minimax Regret Criterion will pick the action 'expand slightly'.

2. **(PS6-3)** Pizza King and Nobel Greek are two competing restaurants. Each must determine the price they will charge for each pizza sold. Pizza King believes that Nobel Greek's price is a random variable  $D$  having the following probability distribution:  $\Pr(D = \$6) = 1/4$ ,  $\Pr(D = \$8) = 1/2$ ,  $\Pr(D = \$10) = 1/4$ . If Pizza King charges a price  $p_{PK}$  and Nobel Greek charges a price  $p_{NG}$ , Pizza King will sell  $100 + 25(p_{NG} - p_{PK})$  pizzas. It costs \$4 to make a pizza. Pizza King is considering charging \$5, \$6, \$7, \$8, or \$9 for a pizza. Use each of the four decision criteria (Wald's Maximin, Hurwicz's Maximax, Savage's Minimax Regret, and Laplace) to determine the price that Pizza King should charge. (Adapted from "Operations Research: Appl. & Alg.", W. L. Winston, 4th ed., 2004)

### Solution

Let  $N = 100 + 25(p_{NG} - p_{PK})$ . Pizza King can earn a profit of  $(p_{PK} - 4)N$  when it charges a price  $p_{PK}$  and Nobel Greek charges a price  $p_{NG}$ . Based on this one can work out the decision table:

PK's Price	Nobel Greek's Price		
	\$6	\$8	\$10
\$5	$125 \times 1 = 125$	$175 \times 1 = 175$	$225 \times 1 = 225$
\$6	$100 \times 2 = 200$	$150 \times 2 = 300$	$200 \times 2 = 400$
\$7	$75 \times 3 = 225$	$125 \times 3 = 375$	$175 \times 3 = 525$
\$8	$50 \times 4 = 200$	$100 \times 4 = 400$	$150 \times 4 = 600$
\$9	$25 \times 5 = 125$	$75 \times 5 = 375$	$125 \times 5 = 625$

Maximin criterion: The secured profits associated with the actions "\$5, \$6, \$7, \$8, \$9" are \$125, \$200, \$225, \$200, and \$125, respectively. Thus, if Pizza King uses the maximin criterion, it should charge \$7, and by doing so it will earn a profit of at least \$225.

Maximax criterion: The maximum profits associated with the actions "\$5, \$6, \$7, \$8, \$9" are \$225, \$400, \$350, \$600, and \$625, respectively. Thus, if Pizza King uses the maximax criterion, it should charge \$9, and by doing so it will earn a profit of at most \$625.

Minimax regret criterion: The regret matrix is shown below.

PK's Price	Nobel Greek's Price			Maximum Regret
	\$6	\$8	\$10	
\$5	100	225	400	400
\$6	25	100	225	225
\$7	0	25	100	100
\$8	25	0	25	25
\$9	100	25	0	100

Pizza King should charge \$8 in order to minimize maximum regret.

Laplace criterion: For  $i = 5, \dots, 9$ , the expected profit when  $p_{PK} = \$i$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}(\$i) &= [100 + 25(6 - i)](i - 4)/4 + [100 + 25(8 - i)](i - 4)/2 + \\
 &\quad [100 + 25(10 - i)](i - 4)/4 \\
 &= [100 + 25(8 - i)](i - 4).
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbf{E}(\$5) = 175$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(\$6) = 300$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(\$7) = 375$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(\$8) = 400$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(\$9) = 375$ . Pizza King should charge \$8 for a pizza, and by doing so it will earn an expected profit of \$400.

3. **(PS6-4)** Consider decision making with risk in which probabilities  $\mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are associated with the states. Consider the *expected utility rule* which chooses  $a_k$  to maximize

$$V_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j) v_{kj}.$$

Show that this rule satisfies the following six Axioms: complete ranking, independence of labelling, independence of value scale, strong domination, independence of irrelevant alternatives, and independence of addition of a constant to a column. (Adapted from “Decision Theory”, S. French, 1986)

### Solution

- **Complete ranking:** Any two actions  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are comparable since either  $V_i \geq V_j$ , or  $V_i \leq V_j$ , or both. Transitivity is satisfied since if  $a_i$ ,  $a_j$ , and  $a_k$  are three actions such that  $V_i \leq V_j$  and  $V_j \leq V_k$ , then  $V_i \leq V_k$ . We also have reflexivity since, for any action  $a_i$ ,  $V_i \leq V_i$ . Antisymmetry is satisfied since  $V_i \leq V_j$  and  $V_j \leq V_i$  imply  $V_i = V_j$ .
- **Independence of labelling:** If  $\pi$  is a permutation of actions and  $\tau$  is a permutation of states, let  $(v'_{ij})$  be the decision table whose  $\pi(i)$ -th row is the  $i$ -th row of  $(v_{ij})$  and whose  $\tau(j)$ -th column is the  $j$ -th column of  $(v_{ij})$ . Now observe that  $V'_{\pi(i)} = V_i$ , so the decision maker's preferred action should not depend on the actions and states labelling.
- **Independence of value scale:** If  $v'_{ij} = av_{ij} + b$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$  for some  $a > 0$  and  $b$ , then  $V'_i = aV_i + b$ , and therefore  $V_i > V_k \iff V'_i > V'_k$ .
- **Strong Domination:** If  $v_{ij} > v_{kj}$  for all  $j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j) v_{ij} \\ &> \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j) v_{kj} \\ &= V_k. \end{aligned}$$

- **Independence of irrelevant alternatives:**  $V_i$  only depends on row  $i$  and not on other rows, so holding  $V_i > V_k$  for some  $i$  and  $k$  does not change if you add an extra row.
- **Independence of addition of a constant to a column:** Let  $(v'_{ij})$  be constructed from  $(v_{ij})$  by adding a constant  $c$  to every entry in column  $\ell$  and

keeping all other entries unchanged, that is,  $v'_{i\ell} = v_{i\ell} + c$  for all  $i$ , and  $v'_{ij} = v_{ij}$  for all  $i$  and  $j \neq \ell$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} V'_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j) v'_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j \neq \ell} \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_j) v_{ij} + \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_\ell) (v_{i\ell} + c) \\ &= V_i + \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_\ell) c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $V_i > V_k \iff V'_i > V'_k$ .

4. **(PS6-5)** Consider the decision table of Milnor (as discussed in lectures):

		States			
		$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$\theta_3$	$\theta_4$
Actions	$a_1$	2	2	0	1
	$a_2$	1	1	1	1
	$a_3$	0	4	0	0
	$a_4$	1	3	0	0

Suppose that the situation is one under risk. Show that there are values of the probabilities  $\mathbf{Pr}(\theta_1), \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_2), \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_3)$ , and  $\mathbf{Pr}(\theta_4)$  such that  $a_1$  is optimal under the expected utility rule (see Question 3 above). Similarly, show that there are other sets of probabilities such that  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are optimal under the expected utility rule. However, show that there is no set of probabilities such that  $a_4$  is optimal under this rule. (Adapted from “Decision Theory”, S. French, 1986)

### Solution

Let  $p_i = \mathbf{Pr}(\theta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

- Action  $a_1$  is optimal under the expected utility rule if and only if

$$V_1 \geq V_2, \quad V_1 \geq V_3, \quad V_1 \geq V_4,$$

that is,

$$2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4 \geq 1, \quad 2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4 \geq 4p_2, \quad 2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4 \geq p_1 + 3p_2.$$

These inequalities are satisfied by choosing, for instance,  $p_1 = 1/2$ ,  $p_2 = 1/4$ ,  $p_3 = 0$ , and  $p_4 = 1/4$ .

- Action  $a_2$  is optimal under the expected utility rule if and only if

$$V_2 \geq V_1, \quad V_2 \geq V_3, \quad V_2 \geq V_4,$$

that is,

$$1 \geq 2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4, \quad 1 \geq 4p_2, \quad 1 \geq p_1 + 3p_2.$$

These inequalities are satisfied by choosing, for instance,  $p_1 = p_2 = p_4 = 0$ , and  $p_3 = 1$ .

- Action  $a_3$  is optimal under the expected utility rule if and only if

$$V_3 \geq V_1, \quad V_3 \geq V_2, \quad V_3 \geq V_4,$$

that is,

$$4p_2 \geq 2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4, \quad 4p_2 \geq 1, \quad 4p_2 \geq p_1 + 3p_2.$$

These inequalities are satisfied by choosing, for instance,  $p_1 = 1/4$ ,  $p_2 = 1/3$ ,  $p_3 = 5/12$ , and  $p_4 = 0$ .

- Action  $a_4$  is optimal under the expected utility rule if and only if

$$V_4 \geq V_2, \quad V_4 \geq V_3, \quad V_4 \geq V_1,$$

that is,

$$p_1 + 3p_2 \geq 1, \quad p_1 + 3p_2 \geq 4p_2, \quad p_1 + 3p_2 \geq 2p_1 + 2p_2 + p_4.$$

$$\iff p_1 \geq 1 - 3p_2, \quad p_1 \geq p_2, \quad p_1 \leq p_2 - p_4.$$

The only way for these inequalities to be satisfied is to set  $p_1 = p_2$  and  $p_4 = 0$ . But in that case,  $V_4 = V_1 = V_3$ , and the decision maker is indifferent between actions  $a_4$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_3$ .

5. (PS6-6) Consider the decision table below (where  $x$  is a real number).

		States			
		$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$\theta_3$	$\theta_4$
Actions	$a_1$	$x$	3	4	6
	$a_2$	2	2	2	4
	$a_3$	3	2	1	9
	$a_4$	6	6	1	3

- Find which decision will be taken, as a function of  $x$ , according to: (i) Wald's Maximin criterion; (ii) Hurwicz's criterion (take  $\alpha = 1/2$ ); (iii) Laplace's criterion; or (iv) Savage's Minimax Regret criterion.
- Find the range(s) of  $x$  for which all four criteria uniquely lead to the same choice.

(Adapted from "Decision Theory", S. French, 1986)

## Solution

(a) The decision table

		States						
		$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$\theta_3$	$\theta_4$	$s_i$	$s_i/2 + o_i/2$	$\bar{v}_i$
Actions	$a_1$	$x$	3	4	6	$\min\{x, 3\}$	$\min\{x, 3\}/2 + \max\{x, 6\}/2$	$(x + 13)/4$
	$a_2$	2	2	2	4	2	3	2.5
	$a_3$	3	2	1	9	1	5	3.75
	$a_4$	6	6	1	3	1	3.5	4

The regret table

		States				
		$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	$\theta_3$	$\theta_4$	$\rho_i$
Actions	$a_1$	$\max\{x, 6\} - x$	3	0	3	$\max\{\max\{x, 6\} - x, 3\}$
	$a_2$	$\max\{x, 6\} - 2$	4	2	5	$\max\{\max\{x, 6\} - 2, 5\}$
	$a_3$	$\max\{x, 6\} - 3$	4	3	0	$\max\{\max\{x, 6\} - 3, 4\}$
	$a_4$	$\max\{x, 6\} - 6$	0	3	6	$\max\{\max\{x, 6\} - 6, 6\}$

- (i) Wald's maximin criterion: We need to choose an action that achieves  $\max\{\min\{x, 3\}, 2\}$ . If  $x < 2$  choose  $a_2$ . If  $x = 2$  choose  $a_1$  or  $a_2$ . If  $x > 2$  choose  $a_1$ .
- (ii) Hurwicz's  $\alpha$ -criterion. We need to choose an action that achieves  $\max\{\min\{x/2, 1.5\} + \max\{x/2, 3\}, 5\}$ . If  $x < 7$  choose  $a_3$ . If  $x = 7$  choose  $a_1$  or  $a_3$ . If  $x > 7$  choose  $a_1$ .
- (iii) Laplace's criterion: We need to choose an action that achieves  $\max\{(x + 13)/4, 4\}$ . If  $x < 3$  choose  $a_4$ . If  $x = 3$  choose  $a_1$  or  $a_4$ . If  $x > 3$  choose  $a_1$ .
- (iv) Savage's minimax regret criterion: From the regret table, for  $a_1$ , if  $x < 3$  the maximum regret is  $6 - x$ , otherwise it is 3; for  $a_2$ , if  $x > 7$  the maximum regret is  $x - 2$ , otherwise it is 5; for  $a_3$ , if  $x > 7$  the maximum regret is  $x - 3$ , otherwise it is 4; for  $a_4$ , if  $x > 12$  the maximum regret is  $x - 6$ , otherwise it is 6.

So, if  $x \geq 3$ , the minimax regret of 3 is achieved by choosing  $a_1$ . If  $2 < x < 3$ , the minimax regret of  $6 - x$  is achieved by choosing  $a_1$ . If  $x = 2$ , the minimax regret of 4 is achieved by choosing  $a_1$  or  $a_3$ . If  $x < 2$ , the minimax regret of 4 is achieved by choosing  $a_3$ .

- (b) If  $x > 7$ , then  $a_1$  is chosen using all four criteria.