StuDocu.com

Stochastic Modelling Exam Notes

Stochastic Modelling (University of Melbourne)

Then S can be partitioned into a collection of disjoint subsets $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots, S_M$ (where M might be infinite) such that $j, k \in S_m$

So the state space $\ensuremath{\mathcal{S}}$ of a DTMC is partitioned into communicating classes by the communication relation \leftrightarrow .

If a DTMC has only one communicating class (i.e., all states communicate) then it is called an irreducible DTMC. Otherwise it is called reducible.

Definition: An irreducible DTMC is recurrent if $h_{i,j} = 1$ for every $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$. Otherwise it is transient

Definition: An irreducible DTMC is periodic with period d if any (hence every) state has period d>1. Otherwise it is aperiodic.

Definition: A recurrent DTMC is positive recurrent if $\mu_i < \infty$ for every $i \in S$. Otherwise it is null recurrent.

Lemma: Any irreducible DTMC with finite state space is positive recurrent.

Theorem: (**) An irreducible (time-homogeneous) DTMC with countable state space ${\mathcal S}$ has a stationary measure. It has a unique stationary distribution if and only if the chain is positive recurrent, and in this case $\pi_i = 1/\mu_i$ for each $i \in S$.

Combining Theorems (*) and (**) we see that for a positive recurrent irreducible DTMC, the long run proportion of time spent in state i is the stationary probability π_i .

We say that a DTMC is ergodic if the limiting distribution exists and does not depend on the starting distribution. This is equivalent to saying that $a_j=\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho_{i,j}^{(n)}$ exists for each $i,j\in S$, does not depend on i, and $\sum_{j\in S}a_j=1$.

Theorem: An irreducible (time-homogeneous) DTMC is ergodic if and only if it is aperiodic, and positive recurrent. For an ergodic DTMC the limiting distribution is equal to the stationary distribution

Theorem: For a (time-homogeneous) DTMC, if a limiting distribution exists then it is a stationary distribution.

Theorem: (***) Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an irreducible, aperiodic (time-homogeneous) DTMC with countable state space S. Then for all $i, i \in S$.

$$\rho_{i,j}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}\big(X_n = j | X_0 = i\big) \to \frac{1}{\mu_j}, \quad \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let $T(j) = \inf\{n \ge 0 : X_n = j\}$ denote the first hitting time of j (it is a stopping time). Then

$$m_{i,j} := \mathbb{E}[T(j)|X_0 = i],$$

is the expected time to reach state j starting from state i. By

- ▶ if $h_{i,j} < 1$ then $\mathbb{P}(T(j) = \infty | X_0 = i) > 0$ so $m_{i,j} = \infty$,
- if h_{i,i} = 1 then m_{i,i} may or may not be finite.

Let $T^+(i) = \inf\{n > 0 : X_n = i\}$. Then

$$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}[T^+(i)|X_0 = i],$$

is the expected time to return to state i.

Theorem: The vector $(m_{i,A})_{i \in S}$ of mean hitting times is the minimal non-negative solution to

$$m_{i,A} = egin{cases} 0, & ext{if } i \in A \ 1 + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_{i,j} m_{j,A}, & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_i = 1 + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{i,j} m_{j,i}.$$

$$h_{i,j} = \mathbb{P}(j \in \{X_0, X_1, \dots\} | X_0 = i),$$

which is the probability that we ever reach state j, starting from state i. The quantities $h_{i,j}$ are referred to as hitting probabilities Note that

- $h_{i,i} = 1$
- ▶ $h_{i,j} > 0$ if and only if $i \rightarrow j$.

$$f_i = \mathbb{P}(i \in \{X_1, X_2, \dots\} | X_0 = \\$$
 which is the probability that we ever return to

The characteristic equation of

$$h_{i,0} = \rho h_{i+1,0} + (1-\rho)h_{i-1,0}$$

 $pz^2 - z + (1 - p) = 0$

which (as we have seen before) has roots z=1 and $z=(1-\rho)/\rho$. If $(1-\rho)/\rho\neq 1$, the general solution for $j\geq 1$ is of the form

$$h_{i,0} = A + B \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{j}.$$

Simple Random Walk

Stirling's formula $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} n^n e^{-n}$ gives us the fact that

$$ho_{j,j}^{(2n)} pprox rac{(4
ho(1-
ho))^n}{\sqrt{n\pi}}$$
,

and the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{j,j}^{(2n)}$

- diverges if 4p(1-p) = 1 (i.e. p = 1/2), so the DTMC is
- converges if $4p(1-\rho) < 1$ (i.e. $\rho \neq 1/2$) (compare to geometric series), so the DTMC is transient.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} & \cdots & p_{1,m} \\ p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} & \cdots & p_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{m,1} & p_{m,2} & \cdots & p_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Processes Poisson

Definition:

A nonnegative integer-valued process $(N_t)_{t\geq0}$ is a Poisson process with a rate λ if

(i) it has independent increments on disjoint intervals: for $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq s_1 < t_1 \leq s_2 < \cdots < t_k$.

$$N_{t_1} - N_{t_2}, \dots, N_{t_r} - N_{t_r}$$

are independent variables, and

(ii) For each $t > s \ge 0$, $N_t - N_s \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\lambda(t-s))$

Let $T_0=0$ and $T_j=\min\{t:N_t=j\}$ (the time of $j^{ ext{th}}$ jump) and define $\tau_j = T_j - T_{j-1}$ (time between the $(j-1)^{st}$ and j^{th} jumps).

Theorem: $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with rate λ if and only if $(\tau_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are independent $\mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$ random variables.

Theorem: Let $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be two independent Poisson processes with rates λ_1 and λ_2 respectively and $L_t = N_t + M_t$. Then $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1+\lambda_2$.

Suppose in a Poisson process $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ each 'customer' is 'marked' independently with probability p. Let M_t count the number of marked customers' that arrive on [0, t].

Theorem. The processes $(M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $(N_t-M_t)_{t\geq 0}$ are independent Poisson processes with rates λp and $\lambda(1-p)$

Suppose that $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Poisson process and $(X_i)_{i\in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and identically-distributed random variables, which are

For $t \geq 0$, define $Y_t = \sum_{j \leq N_t} X_j$. Then $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a compound Poisson process

It can be shown that $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has independent increments and it is possible to compute the distribution of Y_t by conditioning on N_t .

Theorem: The conditional distribution of (T_1, \dots, T_k) given that $N_t = k$ is the same as the distribution of order statistics of a sample of k independent and identically-distributed random variables uniformly distributed on [0, t].

i.e.
$$(T_1, \cdots, T_k) | \{N_t = k\} \stackrel{d}{=} (U_{(1)}, \cdots, U_{(k)})$$

where U_1, \dots, U_k are independent $\sim U(0, t)$.

The same representation holds for the conditional distribution of (T_1, \dots, T_k) given that $T_{k+1} = t$.

Continuous Time Markor Chains

For a CTMC, if $X_t = i$, we wait an exponential (λ_i) time and then jump to a new state. The probability of jumping to j is $b_{i,j}$.

$$rac{q_{i,j}}{\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}} q_{i,\ell}} = b_{i,j}. \ \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}}^{r,j} q_{i,\ell} = \lambda_i$$

A similar argument to the one we saw in the DTMC setting shows that an irreducible finite-state CTMC is positive recurrent.

Theorem: An irreducible and positive recurrent CTMC has a unique stationary distribution π . For such a CTMC, the limiting proportion of time spent in state i is π_i and the limiting distribution is π (irrespective of the initial distribution).

For an irreducible transient CTMC, the limiting proportion of time spent in each state is 0, and there is no limiting distribution.

$$\pi_i = \frac{\mathbb{E}[T_1^{(i)}|X_0 = i]}{\mathbb{E}[T^{i,i}|X_0 = i]}$$

 $\pi_i = \frac{\mathbb{E}[T_1^{(i)}|X_0=i]}{\mathbb{E}[T_1^{(i)}|X_0=i]} \text{ is a bit like the proportion of time spent}$ $\pi_i = \frac{\mathbb{E}[T_1^{(i)}|X_0=i]}{\mathbb{E}[T^{(i)}|X_0=i]} \text{ is a bit like the proportion of time spent}$ at i up to the first time that we return to i (start from i initially). The numerator of this quantity is $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} = -\frac{1}{q_{i,i}}$. By a first step analysis the denominator is

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{j \in S} b_{i,j} m_{j,i}.$$

This is because on average we take time $1/\lambda_i$ to escape from i, at which point we go to j with probability $b_{i,j}$ and then we have to get from j to i (which takes time $m_{j,i}$ on average).

Theorem: For a CTMC with state space S, and $A \subset S$, the vector of mean hitting times $(m_{i,A})_{i\in\mathcal{S}}$ is the minimal non-negative solution to

$$m_{i,A} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in A, \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{j \in S} b_{i,j} m_{j,A}, & \text{if } i \notin A. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_{i,j}^{(s+t)} & = & \sum_{k} \mathbb{P}(X_{s+t} = j | X_s = k, X_0 = i) \mathbb{P}(X_s = k | X_0 = i) \\ & = & \sum_{k} \rho_{i,k}^{(s)} \rho_{k,j}^{(t)}. \end{array}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P^{(t)} = QP^{(t)} \quad (\ddagger) \quad \text{backward equations}$$

$$For \quad \text{solving} \quad P_{ij} \quad (\dagger)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P^{(t)}=P^{(t)}Q.$$
 (†) forward equations

Differential Equation:
$$\rho_{ij}(t) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \rho_{ij}(t)$$

 $\Rightarrow \rho_{ij}(t) = A + B e^{\alpha_2 t}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, A, B \in \mathbb{R}$

The generator of such a birth and death process has the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu_0 & \nu_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \mu_1 & -(\mu_1 + \nu_1) & \nu_1 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\mu_2 + \nu_2) & \nu_2 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 & -(\mu_3 - \nu_3) & \nu_3 & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

The CTMC evolves by remaining in state k for an exponentially-distributed time with rate $\nu_k+\mu_k$, then it moves to state k+1 with probability $b_{k,k+1}=\nu_k/(\nu_k+\mu_k)$ and state k-1with probability $b_{k,k-1} = \mu_k/(\nu_k + \mu_k)$, and so on

Assume $\nu_i > 0$ and $\mu_i > 0$ for all i. Assuming non-explosivity, we derive the stationary distribution (if it exists) by solving $\pi Q = 0$. In fact we can solve the detailed balance equations:

$$\nu_k \pi_k \equiv \mu_{k+1} \pi_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

This has solution

$$\pi_k = \pi_0 \prod_{\ell=1}^k \frac{\nu_{\ell-1}}{\mu_{\ell}}.$$

This document is available free of charge on Students available free of charge on



- Arrival times T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , \cdots . The inter-arrival times are $\tau_1 = T_1 T_0$, $\tau_2 = T_2 T_1$, $\tau_3 = T_3 T_2 \cdots$
- ▶ The inter-arrival times are assumed to be i.i.d.
- ▶ Alternatively, we could use a counting process N_t giving the number of arrivals in [0, t], $t \ge 0$.

Standard setup for service

- There is a total of m spaces for both receiving service and waiting for it.
- If there is an idle server, service commences for an arriving customer immediately.
- ► The service time S_i^(j) of the ith customer at the jth server is a random variable.
- The service times are assumed to be independent (and also identically distributed for each fixed j
- When a server is serving a customer, it cannot provide any service to other customers.
- If all servers are busy, then the arriving customers join a queue if there is enough space, otherwise, the customer is rejected.

M/M/1 queue

- Arrival stream: Poisson process with intensity λ
- Service: n = 1 server, service times $\sim \exp(\mu)$
- ▶ Infinite space for waiting: $m = \infty$
- ► The state X_t gives the number of customers at time t:
 - If X_i = 0 the server is idle.
 - If $X_t = k \ge 1$ one customer is being served and k-1 customers are waiting in the queue.

This is a CTMC (in fact a birth and death process) with non-zero transition rates $q_{i,i+1}=\lambda$ and $q_{i+1,i}=\mu$ for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

M/M/1 stationary distribution

Using our results from CTMCs, we see that a stationary distribution for $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ exists if (and only if) the chain is positive recurrent. This is equivalent to $\rho\equiv\lambda/\mu<1$, in which case, for $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\pi_n = (\lambda/\mu)^n \pi_0.$$

Using the normalisation condition $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_n = 1$, we see that

$$\pi_0 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\lambda/\mu)^i = 1$$

which tells us that

$$\pi_0 = 1 - \mu$$

and, for $n \ge 0$,

$$\pi_n = (1 - \rho)\rho^n$$

So the stationary distribution for the number of customers in the system is geometric* $(1-\rho)$. (Note that this geometric takes values in \mathbb{Z}_+).

Recall that ℓ is the expected number of customers in the system while ℓ_q is the expected number of customers waiting for service (both at stationarity).

Little's law says that

$$\ell = \lambda d$$

and

$$\ell_o = \lambda \mathbb{E}[W].$$

It follows that the expected waiting time is

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \frac{\rho}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

Once we have the expected waiting time, we can calculate the expected total time d in the system via the formula

$$d = \mathbb{E}[W] + \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

M/M/a Queue

This system has the following properties

- a ≥ 1 servers
- a Poisson arrival process with rate λ,
- a FIFO service discipline,
- independent exp(μ) service times,
- when an arrival finds more than one idle server, it chooses one at random,
- when k servers are working, the total service rate is kμ.

The transition rates are $q_{i,i+1} = \lambda$, for $i \ge 0$ and $q_{i,i+1} = \mu \times \min(a,i)$ for $i \ge 1$.

Exercise: draw the transition diagram

This is a birth-and-death process with $\nu_i=\lambda$ for $i=0,1,2,\ldots$ and $\mu_i=i\mu$ for $i=1,2,\ldots$, a and $\mu_i=a\mu$ for i>a.

Meneral Theory

$$N_t = \frac{t}{M}$$
, where $M = E(T_i)$
 $V_t \sim N(\frac{t}{M}, \frac{t\sigma^2}{M^2})$

The residual lifetime R_t at time t is the amount of time until the next renewal time.

Since $T_{N_t} \le t < T_{N_t+1}$, the residual lifetime at time t is $R_t = T_{N_t+1} - t > 0$.

$$R_t$$
 has density, $\frac{(-F(y))}{M}$ where $F(y)$ is the confort T_t

The age of the renewal process at time t is the time since the most recent renewal, i.e. $A_t=t-T_{N_t}.$

$$A_{t}$$
 has density, $\frac{(-F(y))}{M}$ when $F(y)$ is the cdf of T_{t}

For large t, find the joint probability density function of (R_t, A_t) in the computer packets example.

First,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_t \le x, R_t \le y) = \mathbb{P}(A_t \le x) - \mathbb{P}(R_t > y) + \mathbb{P}(A_t > x, R_t > y),$$

50

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}.$$

▶ When t is large, $\mathbb{P}(A_t > y, R_t > x) \approx \int_{x+y}^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(z)}{\mu} dz$. ▶ Hence, the joint pdf is 1/12 if 1 < x + y < 5 and 0 otherwise.

Probability Ostributions $\rho = \frac{(or(X;Y))}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$ Exponential $(X \stackrel{q}{=} exp(\lambda))$ $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$, $x \ge 0$ $F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , & x < 0 \\ 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & , & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$ $E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $V(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$

Gamma $(X \stackrel{q}{=} Y(r, \alpha))$ $f_2(z) = \frac{\alpha^r}{(r-l)!} z^{r-l} \cdot e^{-\alpha z}, z \ge 0$ $= \frac{\alpha^r}{\Gamma(r)} \cdot z^{r-l} \cdot e^{-\alpha z}, z \ge 0$ $\Gamma(r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \cdot x^{r-l} dx = (r-l)!$ $E(X) = \frac{r}{\alpha}, V(X) = \frac{r}{\alpha^2}$ $F_Z(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{(\alpha z)^k}{k!} e^{-\alpha z}$ Biranate Normal $((X, Y) \sim N_2(u_{X_1}u_{Y_1}\sigma_{X_1}\sigma_{Y_1}\rho_{Y_2}))$

 $(X|Y=y) \sim N(M_X + \rho\sigma_X \frac{(y-M_Y)}{\sigma_Y}, \sigma_X^2 (1-\rho^2))$ Discrete uniform $(X \stackrel{A}{=} U(a,b))$ $\rho_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a+1}, \quad x = a, a+1, \dots, b$

=> X = N (My, ox2) and Y = N (My, ox2)

 $E(X) = \frac{a+b}{2}, V(X) = \frac{1}{12}(b-a) \cdot (b-a+2)$ Genetic(X = G(p)) $P(X \ge 2) = (1-p)^{2}$ $P_{X}(2) = (1-p)^{2} \cdot p, x = 1,2,5,...$ $E(X) = \frac{1}{p}$ $V(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^{2}}$ $Normal(X \sim N(M, \sigma^{2}))$

 $f_{X}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}(x-\mu)^{2}}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ $f_{2}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^{2}}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ $Z = X - \mu \quad (=) \quad X = \sigma Z + \mu$

 $Z = \frac{X - M}{\sigma} \quad (=) \quad X = \sigma Z + M$ $F_2(z) = \underline{\Phi}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}t^2} dt$ $\underline{\Phi}(-z) = (-\underline{\Phi}(z))$

Continuous uniform $\left(\begin{array}{c} x = A(a,b) \end{array} \right)$ $f_{X}(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad a \le x \le b$ $F_{X}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2-a}{b-a}, \quad a \le x \le b \\ \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad x > b \end{cases}$ $E(X) = \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad V(X) = \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}$

Brownian (Sum of continue functions => continues) and (sum of independent Re's => independent)

To show (Wt) to Brownian Bridge or BM:

- & Show for 0=5, <t; = ... = She < th , Wti-Ws: one independent and continuous
- * E(W+) = 0
- + $Van(W_t)$ = t for BM or $Cov(W_t, W_S) = s(1-t)$ for BB for 025 ctc1
- $B_t \sim N(0,t)$ and $B_t B_S \sim N(0,t-S)$