MAST30027: Modern Applied Statistics

Assignment 1

Due: 1:00pm Friday 14 August (week 3)

This assignment is worth 3 1/3% of your total mark. Fill in a plagiarism declaration form and hand it in together with this assignment.

Fit a binomial regression model to the O-rings data from the Challenger disaster, using a *probit* link. You must use R but may not use the glm function; I want you to work from first principles. Your solution should include the following:

- 1. parameter estimates;
- 2. 95% CIs for the parameter estimates;
- 3. a likelihood ratio test for the significance of the temperature coefficient;
- 4. an estimate of the probability of damage when the temperature equals 31 Fahrenheit (your estimate should come with a 95% CI, as all good estimates do);
- 5. a plot comparing the fitted probit model to the fitted logit model.

Show your working, that is, the R code you use.

Solution

For a binomial regression with a probit link we have $y_i \sim \text{bin}(n_i, \Phi(\eta_i))$, where $\eta_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$, so

$$\begin{split} l(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \sum_{i} \left[y_{i} \log \Phi(\eta_{i}) + (n_{i} - y_{i}) \log(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i})) \right] \\ \frac{\partial l(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{j}} &= \sum_{i} \left[\frac{y_{i} \phi(\eta_{i}) x_{i,j}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})} + \frac{(n_{i} - y_{i}) \phi(\eta_{i}) x_{i,j}}{1 - \Phi(\eta_{i})} \right] \\ \frac{\partial^{2} l(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{j} \partial \beta_{k}} &= \sum_{i} x_{i,j} x_{i,k} \left[\frac{-y_{i} \phi(\eta_{i})^{2}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})^{2}} + \frac{-y_{i} \phi(\eta_{i}) \eta_{i}}{\Phi(\eta_{i})} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{-(n_{i} - y_{i}) \phi(\eta_{i})^{2}}{(1 - \Phi(\eta_{i}))^{2}} + \frac{(n_{i} - y_{i}) \phi(\eta_{i}) \eta_{i}}{1 - \Phi(\eta_{i})} \right] \\ - \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{2} l(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{j} \partial \beta_{k}} &= \sum_{i} x_{i,j} x_{i,k} n_{i} \phi(\eta_{i})^{2} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi(\eta_{i})} + \frac{1}{1 - \Phi(\eta_{i})} \right] \end{split}$$

1. Estimating β

```
> library(faraway)
> data(orings)
> logL <- function(beta, orings) {
+    y <- orings$damage
+    X <- cbind(1, orings$temp)
+    zeta <- X %*% beta
+    p <- pnorm(zeta)
+    return(sum(y*log(p) + (6 - y)*log(1 - p)))
+ }
> (betahat <- optim(c(10, -.1), logL, orings=orings, control=list(fnscale=-1))$par)</pre>
```

```
[1] 5.5917242 -0.1058008
2. 95% CIs for \beta_0 and \beta_1
  > X <- cbind(1, orings$temp)</pre>
  > zetahat <- X %*% betahat
  > a <- dnorm(zetahat)^2*(1/pnorm(zetahat) + 1/(1-pnorm(zetahat)))</pre>
  > I11 <- sum(6*X[,1]^2*a)
  > I12 <- sum(6*X[,1]*X[,2]*a)
  > I22 <- sum(6*X[,2]^2*a)
  > Iinv <- solve(matrix(c(I11, I12, I12, I22), 2, 2))
  > c(betahat[1] - 1.96*sqrt(Iinv[1,1]), betahat[1] + 1.96*sqrt(Iinv[1,1]))
  [1] 2.239700 8.943748
  > c(betahat[2] - 1.96*sqrt(Iinv[2,2]), betahat[2] + 1.96*sqrt(Iinv[2,2]))
  [1] -0.15784765 -0.05375385
  Comparing with glm output, we see that the estimates and standard errors agree with ours
  to four significant figures.
  > probitmod <- glm(cbind(damage,6-damage) ~ temp, family=binomial(link=probit), orings)
  > summary(probitmod)
  Call:
                                            ~ temp, family = binomial(link = probit),
  glm(formula = cbind(damage, 6 - damage)
      data = orings)
  Deviance Residuals:
                                    30
                 1Q
                      Median
                                            Max
  -1.0134 -0.7761 -0.4467 -0.1581
                                         1.9983
  Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
  (Intercept) 5.59145
                           1.71055 3.269 0.00108 **
                           0.02656 -3.984 6.79e-05 ***
  temp
               -0.10580
  Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
      Null deviance: 38.898 on 22 degrees of freedom
  Residual deviance: 18.131 on 21 degrees of freedom
  AIC: 34.893
  Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
3. Testing H_0: \beta_1 = 0. First we calculate the deviance for the model including temperature.
  > y <- orings$damage
  > n <- rep(6, length(y))
  > ylogxy <- function(x, y) ifelse(y == 0, 0, y*log(x/y))
  > phat <- pnorm(zetahat)</pre>
  > (D \leftarrow -2*sum(ylogxy(n*phat, y) + ylogxy(n*(1-phat), n - y)))
```

[1] 18.13058

```
> (df <- length(y) - length(betahat))</pre>
  [1] 21
  Next we fit the null model and use a likelihood ratio test.
  > (phatN <- sum(y)/sum(n))
  [1] 0.07971014
  > (DN <- -2*sum(ylogxy(n*phatN, y) + ylogxy(n*(1-phatN), n - y)))
  [1] 38.89766
  > (dfN \leftarrow length(y) - 1)
  [1] 22
  > pchisq(DN - D, dfN - df, lower=FALSE) # p-value
  [1] 5.186684e-06
  We have very strong evidence that \beta_1 \neq 0.
  Note that our deviance calculations agree with the output from glm.
4. Forecast for the probability of failure when the temperature is 31° Fahrenheit.
  > si2 <- matrix(c(1, 31), 1, 2) %*% Iinv %*% matrix(c(1, 31), 2, 1)
  > (p31 <- pnorm(betahat[1] + betahat[2]*31))</pre>
  [1] 0.9896084
  > pnorm(betahat[1] + betahat[2]*31 - 1.96*sqrt(si2))[1]
   [1] 0.7108118
  > pnorm(betahat[1] + betahat[2]*31 + 1.96*sqrt(si2))[1]
   [1] 0.9999763
5. Plot of the fitted probit (dashed line) and logit (solid line) models. They are very close, but
  the probit model puts a little more weight in the tails.
  > plot(damage/6 ~ temp, orings, xlim=c(25,85), ylim=c(0,1),
   + xlab="Temperature", ylab="Prob of damage")
  > x < - seq(25,85,1)
  > lines(x, pnorm(betahat[1] + betahat[2]*x), col="red", lty=2)
```

> betalogit <- glm(cbind(damage,6-damage) ~ temp, family=binomial, orings)\$coefficients

> lines(x, ilogit(betalogit[1] + betalogit[2]*x), col="blue")

