## MAST30013 – Techniques in Operations Research

Semester 1, 2021

## **Tutorial 7 Solutions**

1. The resource constraint can be written as:

$$10x + 20y = 1000.$$

The optimization problem is

max 
$$R(x,y) = 5xy$$
  
s.t.  $10x + 20y = 1000$ .

(a) The Lagrangian for the above problem is

$$L(x, y, \eta) = 5xy + \eta(1000 - 10x - 20y).$$

The Lagrangian condition is

$$\nabla L(x, y, \eta) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} 5y - 10\eta \\ 5x - 20\eta \\ 1000 - 10x - 20y \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{1}$$

The first two equations give  $\eta = y/2 = x/4$ , which implies y = x/2. Substituting this in the third equation, and solving for x gives x = 50. Then y = 25 and  $\eta = 12.5$ . The stationary point is (50, 25).

Note since the constraint is an affine function, the constraint qualifications are satisfied at the stationary point. We use the second-order sufficiency condition to check the stationary point (50,25) is a point of local maxima. That is to check

$$d^T \nabla^2_{x,y} L(x^*, y^*, \eta^*) d < 0 \quad d \in \mathcal{C}(x^*, y^*),$$

where

$$C(x^*, y^*) = \{ d \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d \neq 0, \nabla h(x^*, y^*)^T d = 0 \}.$$

The Jacobian is

$$\nabla h(x^*, y^*) = \nabla h \begin{pmatrix} 50 \\ 25 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ -20 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$\nabla h(x^*, y^*)^T d = (-10, -20) \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -10d_1 - 20d_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d_2 = -d_1/2$$

$$\Rightarrow d = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ -d_1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$d^{T}\nabla_{x,y}^{2}L(x^{*},y^{*},\eta^{*})d = (d_{1},-d_{1}/2)\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}d_{1}\\-d_{1}/2\end{bmatrix} = -d_{1}^{2} < 0.$$

The second order sufficient condition implies that the stationary point (50, 25) is a local maxima.

- (b) According to the economic interpretation of Lagrangian multipliers, the change in the objective function value due to a change  $\epsilon$  in budge is approximately to  $\eta^*\epsilon$ . Thus, if the company increases its budget by \$100, the corresponding increase in the revenue is expected to be  $12.5 \times 100 = 1,250$ .
- 2. The problem can be formulated to maximize (revenue cost) or minimize (cost revenue):

$$\max \quad 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}} - wx - vy$$
s.t.  $x = 1000$ ;
$$\min \quad wx + vy - 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
s.t.  $x = 1000$ 

(a) (Now take the minimization problem as example.) The Lagrange function is

$$L(x, y, \eta) = wx + vy - 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}} + \eta(x - 1000)$$

$$\nabla_{x,y}L = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} w - x^{-\frac{2}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}} + \eta \\ v - x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{-\frac{2}{3}} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$h(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = 1000 \tag{3}$$

From the bottom line of (2),

$$y^{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}{v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad y = \left(\frac{10}{v}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Now, from the top line of (2),

$$w - 1000^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{10}{v}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \eta = 0.$$

Then

$$\eta = \frac{1}{100} \left( \frac{10}{v} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - w.$$

Note since the constraint is an affine function, the constraint qualifications are satisfied at the stationary point  $(1000, (10/v)^{\frac{3}{2}})$ . We use the second-order sufficiency condition to check the stationary point is a point of local minima.

Given

$$\nabla h(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \nabla h(x^*,y^*)^T d = 0 \Rightarrow d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} \ \forall d_2 \neq 0.$$

Then

$$d^{T}\nabla_{xy}^{2}L(x^{*}, y^{*}, \eta^{*})d = (0, d_{2})\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial x \partial x} & \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial y \partial x} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial y \partial y} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial y \partial y}d_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{3}x^{*\frac{1}{3}}y^{*-\frac{5}{3}}d_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{20}{3}\left(\frac{10}{v}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}d_{2}^{2} > 0.$$

So the stationary point is a local minima.

(b) If  $\eta^*$  is positive, then the firm is willing to pay  $\eta^*\epsilon$  to increase  $\epsilon$  in the x quote.