

Regular points

Given a function $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and an associated level set

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}\},$$

a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ is regular if the set

$$\{\nabla h_1(\mathbf{p}), \nabla h_2(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \nabla h_n(\mathbf{p})\}$$

is linearly independent.

- For each of the functions $h_i: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ below, sketch the level set that contains the point $(1 \ 1)^T$, and decide whether $(1 \ 1)^T$ is a regular point.

(a) $h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$

(b) $h_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_2^2$

(c) $h_3(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^2$

The method of Lagrange

Given $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, the Lagrangian of f and \mathbf{h} is the function \mathcal{L} defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Lagrange's multiplier theorem then states that if \mathbf{x}^* is a minimiser of $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}^T$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a regular point of \mathbf{h} , then there exists $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that $D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^*; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}^T$, where the derivatives are with respect to \mathbf{x} and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$; i.e.,

$$D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, to find candidates for local minimisers, state the Lagrangian of f and \mathbf{h} and then solve $D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}^T$ for \mathbf{x} and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The SOSC can then be used to deduce the status of those points:

- If $D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}^T$ and $D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is positive definite on $T\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}^*)$, then \mathbf{x}^* is a strict local minimiser.
- If $D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}^T$ and $D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is negative definite on $T\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}^*)$, then \mathbf{x}^* is a strict local maximiser.

For this condition, the derivatives are with respect to \mathbf{x} only; i.e.,

$$D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Consider the nonlinear optimisation problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimise} && f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 + 4x_1 + 5x_2 + 6x_3 \\ &\text{subject to} && h_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 = 3, \\ &&& h_2(\mathbf{x}) = 4x_1 + 5x_3 = 6. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = (h_1(\mathbf{x}) - 3 \ h_2(\mathbf{x}) - 6)^T$ and let \mathcal{H} denote the feasible set.

- Write down $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, the Lagrangian of f and \mathbf{h} .
- Find the unique point $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*$ in the feasible set for which $D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}^T$.
- Show that \mathbf{x}^* is regular.
- Determine the tangent space of \mathcal{H} at \mathbf{x}^* .
- Show that $D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is positive definite on the tangent space $T\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}^*)$. What do you conclude?

- Consider the nonlinear optimisation problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximise} && f(\mathbf{x}) = 4x_1 + x_2^2 \\ &\text{subject to} && h(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 9. \end{aligned}$$

Find, and classify, all local extremisers.